



Making a difference...together

**REPORT TO THE PLANNING AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE
WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 22, 2008**

SUBJECT

Revised Consultation Strategy for the Regional Growth Strategy 5-year Review

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the Consultation Strategy for the RGS 5-year review.

At its meeting of July 23, 2008, the Planning & Protective Services Committee reviewed the consultation strategy for the initial phases of the RGS 5-year review. The committee referred the strategy back to staff to be revised to better reflect the need to raise awareness of the RGS. This report responds to that request.

BACKGROUND

The RGS Consultation Strategy is designed to reflect the approved RGS workplan and project phasing.

The project phasing plan for the RGS 5-year review was approved by the Committee of the Whole on April 30, 2008. The 5-year review is organized in four project phases and is designed to: update the land use and growth assumptions; refocus the process to address municipal-provincial concerns; and restructure the document to balance the need for long-term predictability with short-term responsiveness by developing a range of policy and implementation tools.

Initial project phases include the demographic analysis, development of the land use inventories, release of the RGS State of the Region (monitoring) report, development of the issues and trends analysis, review of emerging legislation and policy, and clarification of growth assumptions and growth drivers.

These two project phases contribute to information updating and a SWOT analysis (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) of the Regional Growth Strategy from the perspective of the affected local governments and stakeholders. Consultation efforts will be directed to obtaining input on the following:

- What are the strengths and weaknesses of the RGS from the perspective of those jurisdictions that have worked with the document?
- What are the perceived strengths and weaknesses of regional growth strategies from the perspective of other regions who have adopted growth strategies?
- What are the opportunities for improving the value of the RGS to the member municipalities?
- How successful has the RGS been at meeting the stated targets?

- How many of the RGS actions have been initiated and/or completed?
- How will changes in Provincial policy direction and actions affect the RGS?
- How much growth is anticipated over the next 25 years?
- To what extent is the zoned land base sufficient to accommodate the anticipated growth?

REGIONAL GROWTH STRATEGY IMPLICATIONS

The RGS Revision Consultation Plan is guided by the provisions of the *Local Government Act* that pertain to regional growth strategies. Section 855(2) of the *Local Government Act* states that:

[A]s soon as possible after the initiation a regional growth strategy, the board must adopt a consultation plan that, in the opinion of the board, provides opportunities for early and ongoing consultation with, at a minimum;

- (a) Its citizens
- (b) Affected local governments
- (c) First Nations
- (d) School district boards, greater boards and improvement district boards
- (e) Provincial and federal governments and their agencies.

The consultation strategy is intended to fulfill those stated responsibilities.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The consultation strategy proposed for the Preliminary Phase and the Phase One of the RGS Review can be funded through the core budget provisions. The budget implications for subsequent phases will be confirmed by the Board in 2009.

SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS

The RGS Consultation Strategy is designed to reflect the approved workplan and project phasing and responds to anticipated consultation requirements for the initial phases of the project, including the technical review, issues identification and "SWOT" analysis of the growth strategy. The consultation strategy provides the opportunity for member municipal elected officials and staff, First Nations, stakeholders and residents to inform the background work on the review. Opportunity will be provided for all stakeholders to contribute to the project through on-line feedback and access to committee reports and process. Subsequent project phases will require a more comprehensive engagement process.

At the conclusion of the Phase One, a report will be made to Committee regarding the options for next steps and their potential scope, consultation requirements, and outcomes or deliverables. The report will include a revised workplan, a revised consultation strategy to guide the subsequent phases and an updated budget projection.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Planning & Protective Services Committee receive the report for information.

Tracy K. Corbett, MCIP
Senior Manager
Regional Planning

Robert Lapham, MCIP
General Manager
Planning and Protective Services

Kelly Daniels
Chief Administrative Officer
Concurrence

COMMENTS: