

EAST SOOKE ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION

Tuesday, April 10, 2012

7:00 p.m.

East Sooke Firehall 1397 Coppermine Road, Sooke, BC

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEMS

- 1. Correspondence received to be dealt with under the following agenda items:
 - a) Agenda Item 6 and 7 Proposed Amendments to Bylaw 2040 and Bylaw 3353
 - John Corsiglia, East Sooke
 - Robert Byers, East Sooke
 - Gloria Snively, East Sooke
 - David Heft, East Sooke
 - Gina Tremblay, East Sooke
 - Dave Wood, East Sooke
 - Anne Lesniak and Dale White, East Sooke
 - Dylan Smith, East Sooke
 - Steele Smith, East Sooke
 - Sherri Smith, East Sooke
 - Tim Marks, East Sooke
 - Mitch and Cathie Leaman, East Sooke
 - Deena Burnett and Kris Gilbertson. East Sooke
 - Alan Strickland, East Sooke
 - Suzanne Jensen, East Sooke
 - Jane Hutchins, East Sooke
 - Jason Kozina, East Sooke
 - Lloyad Boehmer, East Sooke
 - Donna Langford, East Sooke
 - Brad Kunetsky, East Sooke
 - Carol Moran, East Sooke
 - Pam Engwer, East Sooke
 - Diana Pogue, East Sooke
 - Zac Doeding, East Sooke
 - Janice St. John, East Sooke
 - Grant Wright, East Sooke
 - Linda Main, East Sooke
 - Petition (Hunt, LaBrash, Smith, Toft, Johnson, Willson, King) received at meeting
 - Jill Tate, East Sooke received at meeting
 - Bruce Tate, East Sooke received at meeting
 - Barb Smith, East Sooke received at meeting
 - Lawrence Moran, East Sooke received at meeting
 - George May, East Sooke received at meeting
 - David Bigelow, East Sooke received at meeting

From:

john corsiglia

Sent:

Sunday, April 08, 2012 3:19 PM

To:

idf info;

Subject:

Proposed Ammendment to JDF Land Use Blaw 2040 PROBLEMATIC

East Sooke Area Planning Commission

Dear Area Planning Commissioners,

I am unable to attend the Tuesday April 10 APC meeting because of a prior commitment, but wish to point out that there could be very real risks associated with changing the steep slope definition from 20% to 30%. There is an incident reported in Washington State where, after a 3 inch snowfall, run-off from firefighting froze on a 24% slope driveway which caused extremely serious problems for firefighters. Only one small 4x4 vehicle was able to negotiate the icy drive and subsequent run-off from it's operation froze on the driveway rendering it too slippery for vehicular descent or ascent. Firefighters were forced to hand-carry heavy air tanks up the icy driveway to re-supply firefighters at the scene.

Importantly, our East Sooke Fire Service is presently somewhat handicapped because of an inability to house equipment we are required to acquire and operate. Please note our Chief's remarks in the on-line minutes of the Feb. 20, 2012 ES Fire and Rescue Service Commission:

The Fire Underwriters Survey had a representative visit the hall. Two of the concerns raised were:

1. The reduction in service to the district as a result of removing the second engine from service without replacing it.

2. The lack of an upgraded or replaced hall 18 years after a published report from the fire commissioners' office that noted the hall was inadequate.

By reducing our responding engines by 50% it appeared to the underwriter that previous commissions decided to reduce our ability to respond rather than improve the hall to house the equipment the community required. I am unable to effectively argue against this point.

Fortunately, the East Sooke Fire and Rescue Service Commission (ESFRSC) has been attending to this situation and has now completed the purchase of a suitable site for building our larger and long-overdue fire hall. Also the Chief, Brigade officers, and ESFRSC are working with the CRD engineer who managed the Port Renfrew fire hall replacement project to put together detailed plans intended to correct this situation as soon as as possible.

The fact that we have become aware of a very real and pressing need to strengthen our fire fighting capacity means that no changes that could negatively affect public safety and the safety of firefighters and other emergency service personnel should be permitted--especially at this time.

I believe this proposal to relax the steep slope requirement matter has come up in the past when the community felt strongly, and our **APC recommended to LUC** that the steep slope requirement associated with development permit applications should NOT be relaxed. This was excellent, locally informed advice that should not be trumped by individual desires to build inappropriate driveways. Even now, a driveway project on Seagirt Rd. appears to be running into what may be serious trouble--even without freezing run-off. It is obious that both geo-techs and blasters can be surprised by some of the loose shales and unstable material underlying our geologically interesting if not peculiar East Sooke slopes. Perhaps communities that are accepting steeper

driveways have different geology that East Sooke! In any event we can wish them well even if we do not follow their example.

We are most fortunate to have dedicated and obviously very keen fire fighters who train regularly and provide fire protection and rescue service at all hours of day and night. We must take every opportunity to support these important volunteers. As a sitting E.S. fire commissioner I hear a lot about some of thee safety issues that can sometimes become extremely important.

It would seem to be prudent to table the proposed change regarding steeply sloped driveways in East Sooke. It is a fact that we do sometimes get very cold weather.

Thank you for all you do,

John Corsiglia

East Sooke

Dear East Sooke APC,

I do not support increasing the size of accessory buildings (Proposed Amentment to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Bylaw No. 2040).

I do not support changing the Definition of a Steep Slope (Proposed amendment to the East Sooke Official Community Plan, Bylaw 3353).

I need to know more about what "significant changes" were made to the wording after the motion left the APC, and the property or properties in question, before offering an opinion on the matter.

A 3% target is very small, but it's a start and I understand that one should be specified.

Thank you for your consideration.

Robert Byers

From:

Gloria Snively

Sent:

Monday, April 09, 2012 6:19 PM

To:

idf info

Subject:

East Sooke APC Meeting

East Sooke Area Planning Commission April 9, 2012

Dear Commissioners,

I am unable to attend the Tuesday April 10 APC meeting because of a prior family commitment in Victoria, but wish to make a few comments regarding proposed changes to the East Sooke Community Plan.

Proposed Amendment to the East Sooke Official Community Plan, Bylaw No. 3353

I have serious concerns with regard to increasing the definition of steep slope from 20% to 30%. After conducting a few simple Google searches online, it is clear that it can be very difficult to impossible for fire trucks to maneuver slopes of 20% or more under certain conditions. Fire trucks have been found to have serious problems maneuvering driveways of more that 20% in the winter when water used to fight fires can run down driveways and freeze, making it impossible for larger fire trucks to negotiate icy conditions, either ascending or descending.

Importantly, our East Sooke Fire Service is presently unable to house equipment we are required to acquire and operate. Our East Sooke Fire and Rescue Commission is in the process of attending to this situation. As we know, our ES Fire fighters and first responders are volunteers who provide service at all hours day and night. We need to fully support our fire fighters and first responders to minimize accidents and potential injury as much as possible, while maximizing our ability to respond to all fires. It is crucial that we support our ESFRC by making decisions in concert with the ESFRC and Fire Chief.

It would seem absolutely necessary to table the proposed change regarding steeply sloped driveways in East Sooke.

Thank you in advance,

Gloria Snively

East Sooke

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:01 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: David Heft

Sent: Sunday, April 08. 2012 12:37 PM

To:

Cc: June Klassen Subject: Bylaw 2040

I own

and will be away on April 10. I wish to go on record as supporting the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP which are being considered at the Apri 10 East Sooke ACP meeting.

David Heft

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:02 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: OCP meeting

For supplementary agenda

From: gina tremblay

Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2012 10:16 PM

To: June Klassen

Cc:

Subject: OCP meeting

Hello June, I am unable to attend the meeting April 10th but do support the proposed amendment to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the OCP plan for East Sooke being discussed at the meeting. gina tremblay

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:02 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From:

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 9:23 AM

To:

June Klassen

Subject: Bylaw 2040

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Dave Wood

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:02 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: APC April 10, 2012

For supplementary agenda

From: Anne Lesniak

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 5:44 PM

To:

Cc: June Klassen

Subject: APC April 10, 2012

We support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10, 2012 East Sooke APC meeting

Respectfully submitted

Anne F. Lesniak and Dale A. White

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:03 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: Sherri Smith

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 6:27 PM

To: June Klassen **Subject:** Bylaw 2040

Good evening June,

I am unable to attend the meeting on Tuesday night. I wanted to send you my feelings on Bylaw 2040

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting

Thank you,

Dylan Smith

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:03 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: Steele Smith

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 6:27 PM

To: June Klassen **Subject:** Bylaw 2040

Good evening June,

I am unable to attend the meeting on Tuesday night. I wanted to send you my feelings on Bylaw 2040

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting

Thank you,

Steele Smith

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:03 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: Sherri Smith

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 6:27 PM

To: June Klassen Subject: Bylaw 2040

Good evening June,

I am unable to attend the meeting on Tuesday night. I wanted to send you my feelings on Bylaw 2040

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting

Thank you,

Sherri Smith

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:04 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: APC

For supplementary agenda

From: Tim Marks

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 7:39 PM

To: June Klassen Subject: APC

Hello, I would like to register my view.

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Tim J Marks

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:04 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: proposed amendments to bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: Mitch Leaman

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 8:09 PM

To:

Cc: June Klassen

Subject: proposed amendments to bylaw 2040

Good evening,

I am writing in support of Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the the East Sooke OCP which are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting. I would also like to encourage discussion into righting the wrongs done to those wanting to build under the old unlawful Steep Slope regulations; specifically the covenants against the land which those who wanted a building permit were forced into.

Mitch and Cathie Leaman,

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:05 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: amendments to Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: kris and deena

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 9:52 PM

To:

Cc: June Klassen

Subject: amendments to Bylaw 2040

We support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Kris Gilbertson

Deena Burnett

Land owners / Ratepayers of East Sooke

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:05 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: My Support of Bylaw 2040 and Amendments to East Sooke OCP

For supplementary agenda

From: Alan Strickland

Sent: Monday, April 09, 2012 10:11 PM

To: June Klassen;

Subject: My Support or Bylaw 2040 and Amendments to East Sooke OCP

To whom it may concern:

Please be advised that I am fully in support of these changes.

Specifically, I support proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

I respectfully request that you formally register my support.

Sincerely,

Alan Strickland

Resident

East Sooke

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:06 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Bylaw 2040

For supplementary agenda

From: suzanne jensen

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 6:53 AM

To: June Klassen **Subject:** Bylaw 2040

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Suzanne Jensen

From:

J.K. Hutchins

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:12 AM

To:

Subject:

East Sooke Amendments, 10 April 2012

Attachments:

East Sooke APC.doc

; directorjdf; jdf info

I am sending my thoughts on the proposed amendments directly the APC members whose email addresses I have, in the hope of giving you a bit more time to consider some of the issues. To those APC members not receiving this letter directly from me, I apologize, and hope that it is forwarded.

I thank all of you for the time and thought you give to our community.

Cordially, Jane Hutchins To the East Sooke APC;

I have received the notice of the Proposed Amendments to the JdF Land Use Bylaw 1992, Bylaw No. 2040 and to the ESOCP, Bylaw No. 3353. I trust that the APC will review the amendments in light of the questions,

"How does the community of East Sooke benefit from these changes?" and "Are these changes consistent with the East Sooke Official Community Plan?"

We have discussed at length and agreed upon the heights and sizes of accessory buildings already, over the course of many months. A community compromise was passed after a great deal of time, and I must ask, why are we starting the discussion again? Did we adopt a flawed bylaw in the first place, or are we willing to gradually erode community quality—and, more importantly, the bylaw revision process—through biennial bylaw changes? Please reject this amendment outright.

Retaining the current Steep Slope Development Permit designations was agreed upon by the community during the 2010 review of the rezoning of Lot 1 Section 89, Sooke District Plan 26576, following long discussions of the perils of building on unstable slopes in an area subject to high rainfall. Please respect the views of the community and reject this proposal.

Further to the discussion of Additional Development Permit exemptions, the site(s) in question is/are not identified; nor are the original and revised wording put forward. Failure to specify what, exactly is under consideration is failure to give proper notice of the changes, and this section should be tabled until the community receives this information. The news that "significant changes" have again been made following the East Sooke APC meeting is additional reason to be concerned about the state of bylaw revision in East Sooke.

This agenda undermines the credibility of land use discussions in East Sooke and squanders staff and community time. I ask that the APC reject all of the proposed amendments except the legally mandated requirement that we specify a specific target for Greenhouse Gas reduction.

Thank you very much for your attention, and for the work you do on behalf of the community.

Cordially, Jane Hutchins Cc: Mike Hicks, Director, JdF

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 9:09 AM

To: Subject: Wendy Miller FW: APC Meeting

For supplementary

From: Jason Kozina

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 8:46 AM

To: June Klassen **Subject:** APC Meeting

Hi June,

Unfortunately, I will be unable to attend the APC meeting tonight but I would like you to know that I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and I support the proposed amendments to the the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

I believe these amendments to be reasonable and an improvement to the current Bylaw 2040 & East Sooke OCP.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide my opinion.

Sincerely, Jason Kozina

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 9:31 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: East Sooke OCP amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments

For supplementary

From: Lloyad Boehmer

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 9:31 AM To: ; June Klassen

Subject: East Sooke OCP amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting tonight.

Lloyad Boehmer

E Sooke BC

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 10:06 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: East Sooke OCP amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments

For supplementary

From: Donna Langford

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 9:44 AM **To:** I; June Klassen

Subject: East Sooke OCP amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting tonight.

Donna Langford

E Sooke BC

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 10:07 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: E. Sooke APC meeting

For supplementary

From: Christine Kunetsky

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 10:00 AM

To: June Klassen

Subject: E. Sooke APC meeting

Hi June: I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the E. Sooke O.C.P. being considered at the APC meeting this evening. Brad Kunetsky

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 10:25 AM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: East Sooke OCP

For supplementary

From: Carol Morar

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 10:24 AM

To: June Klassen

Subject: East Sooke OCP

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 APC meeting.

J de F Regional Director Hicks
East Sooke APC Members
J de F Land Use Committee Members

RE: Changes to Steep Slope and Height of an Auxiliary Building

I am not in support of changing either bylaw. I don't understand why these changes are being proposed, nor who is proposing that they be changed. It was agreed previously by the APC that no changes be made to our OCP, until a community review of that document takes place.

If the changes are necessary to allow for a specific rezoning involving slope, then let the applicant bring forward his application on its own merits. At this time, with current 20% grade restrictions in place, it won't pass. The steep slopes 20% grade was put into place by a J de F planner, with good reason. That was the slope grade that was determined to be best for safe development. Anyone not in agreement has the opportunity to have input when the OCP is opened for review.

It seemed a good solution to have applicants come forward to a Board of Variance, in the case of height restrictions, so that their building plans can be reviewed on a case by case basis. Adjacent neighbours can give input to the Board, and the community avoids a blanket bylaw, where an applicant's plan not negatively impacting a neighbour/s can go ahead, while a process exists to protect neighbours from being impacted by large overheight accessory buildings.

We have seen change after change to our OCP. Stop undercutting its rules, and start protecting our community.

Thank you for your consideration,

Pam Engwer

East Sooke, BC

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:15 PM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: East Sooke Advisory Planning Commission Meeting:

For supplementary

----Original Message----

From: Diana

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 11:34 AM

To: June Klassen

Subject: East Sooke Advisory Planning Commission Meeting:

Please acknowledge receipt of this Email.

To consider:

Proposed Amendment to the Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Bylaw No.

2040

Proposed Amendment to the East Sooke Official Community Plan, Bylaw No.

3353

Members of the Committee,

It is incumbent upon you to let reason prevail in NOT amending the two Bylaws before you.

It is not reasonable to increase the height and floor area of accessary buildings when it appears that the Planning department cannot maintain the height of buildings already under construction, note an inordinately out of scale building on the seaward side of Seagirt Rd.

If this can be allowed to happen without the proposed Bylaw, think what it could be like should the amendment pass.

The steep slopes Bylaw as it stands, is essential in maintaining order and safety in the JDF.

Again on Seagirt Rd. a small property on the water is undergoing immense alteration and stress.

I can only hope this is being correctly monitored by the Planning department before a foreseeable Tragedy occurs.

Please consider that these Bylaws in their present state are in law for the protection of the public. To alter them now may serve an expediency for careless development, but it is NOT in the public interest and the SAFETY factor will not be maintained.

Do not change the existing Bylaws, they are an important lynchpin for the JDFEA Planning Department.

Diana Pogue,

East Sooke, B.C.

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:17 PM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Apc Meeting April 10 2012

For supplementary

----Original Message-----From: Zachary Doeding

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:01 PM

To: June Klassen;

Subject: Apc Meeting April 10 2012

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Zac Doeding

East Sooke

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:17 PM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: Apc Meeting April 10 2012

For supplementary

----Original Message-----From: Zachary Doeding

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:02 PM

To: June Klassen;

Subject: Apc Meeting April 10 2012

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Janice St. John

East Sooke

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 3:20 PM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: E. Sooke APC meeting

From: linda

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 2:45 PM

To: June Klassen

Subject: E. Sooke APC meeting

I support the proposed amendments to the bylaw 2040 and proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10th East Sooke APC meeeting.

Best regards, Grant Wright

From:

June Klassen

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 3:20 PM

To:

Wendy Miller

Subject:

FW: E. Sooke APC meeting

From: linda

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 2:59 PM

To: June Klassen

Subject: E. Sooke APC meeting

I support the proposed amendments to the bylaw 2040 and proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10th East Sooke APC.

Best regards, Linda Main

Linda Main

Sooke, B.C.

Petition

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Name (print)	Address	Signature
TRAUS HUNT		4
TREUDR LaBrash		1 Tainhi Brunt
Dylan Smith		HE SON OF THE
Marton Toff	V	hotel -
Due JOHASUN	- 111-111	Ja July
The state of the s	~	111:5 1
11/1472	7	- NO) 92
	See Alexander	
196		
		1
		<i>X</i> *

april 10/12 APC

To: JdF Planning

My name is Jill Tate. I am a resident of East Sooke and live at

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 2012 East Sooke APC meeting.

Jul M Late

Rec'd @ Apr. 10, 2018 APC

To Juan de Fuca Planning

My name is Bruce Tate. I am a resident of East Sooke and live at

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 2012 East Sooke APC meeting.

Rec'dat Aprio, 2012 APC

Monday April 9th 2012

Phone call from **Barb Smith** saying she approved of both amendments

Rec'd at Apr 10, 2012 APC

From:

Ikmoran

Sent:

Tuesday, April 10, 2012 11:48 AM

To:

Subject: East Sooke OCP

I support the proposed amendments to Bylaw 2040 and the proposed amendments to the East Sooke OCP that are being considered at the April 10 East Sooke APC meeting.

Lawrence Moran

From: Sent:

GEORGE MAY

Monday, April 09, 2012 6:33 PM

To: Cc:

Subject:

Re. apc bylaw changes.

I agree with the suggested changes re. aux. bldg and steep-slope, seems reasonable.

George.

Rec'd at Apr. 10,2017 APC

From: David

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2012 12:39 PM

To:

Subject: A.p.c meeting

I can't make the meeting tonight.

I support the amendments to the bylaws 2040 for tonights APC. Meeting April 10.

Thank you David Bigelow.

Sent from my HTC