



Making a difference...together

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Eastside Public Advisory Committee
Held Wednesday, May 20, 2015, in the Board Room, 625 Fisgard St., Victoria, BC**

Present: D. Sutton (Acting Chair), D. Dickson, B. Gilbert, G. Klima, J. Knock, S. Marks, B. Mumford

Staff: N. More, Committee Clerk (recorder)

Consultant: A. Gibbs, Public Assembly; Dan Huang and Chris Town, Urban Systems

Absent: Director V. Derman (Vice Chair), T. Davies, N. Thambirajah

The meeting was called to order at 4:03 p.m.

1. Approval of Agenda

MOVED by B. Gilbert, **SECONDED** by D. Dickson,
That the agenda be approved with the addition of a topic under New Business.

CARRIED

2. Workshop: Decision Making Matrix

Dan Huang presented illustrations of the infographic format to be used for showing information on the sites during the public input events. The site profiles will be put together in a booklet, and also on the website.

A. Gibbs reviewed how the public workshop would be set up to gather input on the sites. The Committee discussed the method and a list of considerations currently identified from the public process to now, including:

- livability
- cost
- resource recovery
- environmental
- health and safety
- resilience

Generally speaking, there will be seven themes with several criteria within themes for the public to rank.

The Committee provided comments to Urban Systems and A. Gibbs to help in refining the infographics and the workshop method.

The Urban Systems consultants and A. Gibbs left the meeting at approximately 5:10 p.m.

3. New Business

a) Motion regarding one of the IPSOS survey questions

On the motion, the Committee discussed the choice "Ability to use treated solids for things like compost, fuel sources or gasification" listed under the Priority Criteria question on the

survey provided to the public, and whether the choice included mutually exclusive items with itself or simply provided examples.

MOVED by B. Gilbert, **SECONDED** by D. Dickson,

That it be recommended to the Eastside Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery Select Committee:

That the interpretation of the survey question on Priority Criteria be interpreted with caution because the choice "Ability to use treated solids for things like compost, fuel sources or gasification" was ambiguous.

DEFEATED

G. Klima, J. Knock, S. Marks, B. Mumford **OPPOSED**

4. General Discussion

a) How to keep the community positively engaged

Members of the Committee expressed concern over negative feedback they have received as individual members of the Committee and requested a discussion of approaches to deal with it be put on the next agenda.

5. Adjournment

MOVED by Director, **SECONDED** by Director,

That the meeting be adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

CARRIED

CHAIR

RECORDER