

Meeting Minutes
Core Area Liquid Waste Management
Committee

Wednesday, February 24, 2016

9:05 AM

6th Floor Boardroom

PRESENT

DIRECTORS: L. Helps (Chair), R. Atwell (Vice-Chair), M. Alto (9:01), D. Blackwell, J. Brownoff, V. Derman, C. Day (for C. Hamilton), B. Desjardins (Board Chair), B. Isitt (9:03), N. Jensen, C. Plant, Chief R. Sam (9:01), D. Screech, L. Seaton, Chief A. Thomas, L. Wergeland (for S. Brice), G. Young

STAFF: R. Lapham, Chief Administrative Officer; L. Hutcheson, General Manager, Parks and Environmental Services; T. Robbins, General Manager, Integrated Water Services; A. Orr, Senior Manager, Corporate Communications; R. Sharma, Senior Manager, Financial Services; D. Telford, Senior Manager, Environmental Engineering; B. Reems, Corporate Officer, and N. More, Committee Clerk (Recorder)

ALSO PRESENT: Director D. Howe, Alternate Director L. Hundleby; T. Coady, Technical Overview Panel; B. Eaton, Chair, Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program Commission; E. Lee, Urban Systems, A Gibbs, Public Assembly; C. Houghton, Aurora Innovations

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m.

1. Approval of Agenda

**MOVED by Director Brownoff, SECONDED by Director Blackwell,
That email correspondence between Director Young and Director Atwell and the
Core Area Liquid Waste Management Committee be added as 6.4 and the
supplementary agenda be added, and the agenda be approved as amended.
CARRIED**

**MOVED by Director Desjardins, SECONDED by Director Brownoff,
That the late delegations be added to the speakers list.
APPROVED
UNANIMOUS**

2. Adoption of Minutes

Chief Sam and Director Alto entered the meeting at 9:01 a.m.

**2.1. [16-276](#) Adoption of Core Area Liquid Waste Management Committee Minutes of
January 27 and February 10, 2016**

**MOVED by Director Plant, SECONDED by Director Blackwell,
That the following minutes of the Core Area Liquid Waste Management
Committee be adopted:
1. January 27, 2016
2. February 10, 2016
CARRIED**

3. Chair's Remarks

Chair Helps remarked on the process and highlighted cost effectiveness, public support for a higher level of treatment, innovation to decrease costs and increase environmental benefit, timely completion and keeping the funding.

Director Isitt entered the meeting at 9:03 a.m.

4. Presentations/Delegations

- 4.1. 16-317** Delegation: Allan Crow, item 5.1
- This delegation did not appear. He provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.2. 16-318** Delegation: Annie Gibson, Surfrider Foundation Vancouver Island Chapter, item 5.1
- Annie Gibson, representing Surfrider Foundation Vancouver Island, spoke in favour of the motion in item 5.1. She was concerned with delays and pollution of ocean and beaches.
- 4.3. 16-319** Delegation: Colin Nielsen, item 5.3
- Colin Nielsen spoke against a Rock Bay site and favoured a Clover Point site. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.4. 16-320** Delegation: Gillian Montgomery, Surfrider Foundation, item 5.3
- Gillian Montgomery, of the Surfrider Foundation Vancouver Island Chapter, spoke to item 5.3 in favour of tertiary treatment at Rock Bay.
- 4.5. 16-321** Delegation: Suzanne Cole, Burnside Gorge Community Association, item 5.3
- Suzanne Cole, representing the Burnside Gorge Community Association, felt the most affected neighborhoods should have a high level of engagement with the design process and spoke against solids processing for the Rock Bay area. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.6. 16-322** Delegation: David Langley, items 5.3 and 5.7 (revised agenda)
- David Langley, regarding item 5.3, spoke in favour of a single, centralized plant. Regarding item 5.7, he remarked on the regional water supply and questioned the need for water reuse. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.7. 16-323** Delegation: Karen Harper, item 5.11 (revised agenda)
- Karen Harper spoke in favour of the motions in items 5.11 and 5.12, and against

the recommended option in 5.3. She was concerned with costs.

- 4.8. 16-324** Delegation: Russ Smith, items 5.1, 5.11 and 5.12 (revised agenda)
- Russ Smith spoke against the motion in 5.1. Regarding item 5.2, he felt Integrated Resource Management should be fully explored before forwarding any plan to the Ministry. He spoke against the recommended option in 5.3. He was concerned with costs and disruptions and favoured a Clover Point location.
- 4.9. 16-325** Delegation: Rick Illi, CUPE 1978, items 5.3 and 5.6 (revised agenda)
- Rick Illi spoke as President of CUPE Local 1978 and expressed concern for wastewater treatment to be a publically owned and operated service to ensure accountability and provide local jobs, with no further expansion of the P3 part of the project. He also spoke against expanding into private operation of the Hartland landfill in the event of integrated resource management.
- 4.10. 16-326** Delegation: John Farquharson, Sewage Treatment Action Group, item 5.2
- John Farquharson, regarding 5.2, spoke in favour of Integrated Resource Management as part of an overall system design and in favour of the motion in 5.12. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.11. 16-327** Delegation: Mehdi Najari, item 5.3
- Mehdi Najari, spoke against the recommendation in 5.3, the deadlines and the public engagement process.
- 4.12. 16-328** Delegation: Bryan Gilbert, items 5.1, 5.2, 5.11 (revised agenda)
- Bryan Gilbert spoke in favour of keeping all options on the table for potential solutions by private sector initiative and submitted suggested edits to the staff report in item 5.3. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.13. 16-329** Delegation: Norma Brown, item 5.1
- Norma Brown spoke against the motion in 5.1. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.14. 16-330** Delegation: Robert Drew, items 5.1, 5.3, 5.10, 5.11, 5.12 (revised agenda)
- Robert Drew, spoke against having the plant at Rock Bay. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.15. 16-331** Late Delegation: Brian Grover, items 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3
- Brian Grover, spoke against the recommended option in 5.3. The delegation provided a written submission, on file at Legislative and Information Services.
- 4.16. 16-332** Late Delegation: Ian Back, item 5.3

Ian Back spoke against the Rock Bay site. He submitted an aerial photo of the site from the 1950s, on file at Legislative and Information Services.

The Committee went into recess at 10:09 a.m.

The Committee reconvened at 10:13 a.m.

5. Committee Business

5.1. [16-50](#) Motion with Notice: Examine Feasibility of Single Facility at McLoughlin Point or Macaulay Point (Director Jensen)

Director Jensen presented a revised version of the motion. The Chair's ruling that the motion was in order was appealed and then upheld by a tie vote, in accordance with the Procedures Bylaw, section 20.(5)(a):

For: Blackwell, Brownoff, Isitt, Jensen, Screech, Seaton, Wergeland, Young
Against: Alto, Atwell, Day, Derman, Desjardins, Plant, Sam, Thomas.

On the motion, the Chair's ruling was again appealed and upheld by a tie vote:
For: Blackwell, Brownoff, Isitt, Jensen, Screech, Seaton, Wergeland, Young
Against: Alto, Atwell, Day, Derman, Desjardins, Plant, Sam, Thomas.

The Committee discussed reasons for and against the proposed feasibility study.

MOVED by Director Jensen, SECONDED by Director Isitt
That the Technical Oversight Panel (TOP) working with CRD staff and CRD consultants be requested to examine the feasibility of locating a facility at McLoughlin Point in conjunction with a second facility.

On the motion to amend the motion, the Committee considered the implications of the amendment and defeated an amendment to the amendment. The Committee continued to discuss the amendment to the main motion.

MOVED by Director Plant, SECONDED by Director Isitt,
That the motion be amended to change the wording after "facilities at" to "either McLoughlin Point or Macaulay Point and Clover Point and a possible site to serve the Western communities.

MOVED by Director Desjardins, SECONDED by Director Blackwell,
That the motion also be amended to add "That any new sites brought forward are brought back to the councils of the municipality in which they are located; i.e., Esquimalt and Victoria."

DEFEATED

OPPOSED Atwell, Blackwell, Brownoff, Isitt, Jensen, Screech, Seaton, Thomas, Wergeland, Young

MOVED by Director Plant, SECONDED by Director Isitt,
That the motion be amended as follows:
That the Technical Oversight Panel (TOP) working with CRD staff and CRD consultants be requested to examine the feasibility of locating facilities at either McLoughlin Point or Macaulay Point and Clover Point and a possible site to serve the Western communities.

CARRIED

OPPOSED Desjardins, Sam, Thomas

**MOVED by Director Jensen, SECONDED by Director Isitt,
That the Technical Oversight Panel (TOP) working with CRD staff and CRD
consultants be requested to examine the feasibility of locating facilities at either
McLoughlin Point or Macaulay Point and Clover Point and a possible site to serve
the Western communities.**

CARRIED

OPPOSED Alto, Desjardins, Sam, Thomas

The Committee went into recess at 12:00 p.m.

The Committee reconvened at 12:10 p.m. with everyone present save Directors Jensen and Isitt.

**5.3. [16-278](#) Recommended Option - Core Area Sewage Treatment and Resource
Recovery**

The Committee heard item 5.3 ahead of item 5.2, but due to time constraints,
the Committee consented to hear only the presentation from Kyle Braid of Ipsos
Canada and then forward item 5.3 to the next meeting for consideration.

**MOVED by Director Plant, SECONDED by Director
That the presentation take no more than 15 minutes.
CARRIED**

L. Hutcheson introduced K. Braid. He indicated that he had assisted Public
Assembly with the survey piece of the Eastside public consultation.

Directors Jensen and Isitt entered the meeting at 12:08 p.m.

K. Braid provided highlights of the survey data. The Committee sought
clarification on the validity, objectives, and structure of the survey and on public
participation in the survey.

5.2. [16-277](#) Report from the CRD Integrated Resource Management Task Force

Chair Helps clarified that the Integrated Resource Management Task Force was
created by the Capital Regional District Board in response to a
recommendation of the Committee of the Whole, so the final report will go from
the Task Force to the Board, but because the information was relevant to item
5.3 on the Core Area Liquid Waste Management Committee's agenda, the
interim report was submitted for the Committee to receive for information.

Director Derman, as Chair of the Task Force, provided highlights of the report.
On the motion, the Committee discussed the information in the Task Force
report and potential implications for the wastewater treatment project.

**MOVED by Director Derman, SECONDED by Director Plant,
That the following be received for information:**

(a) Report from the CRD Integrated Resource Management Task Force

(b) Staff comments on the Integrated Resource Management Task Force report.

**MOVED by Director Derman, SECONDED by Director Plant,
That the meeting be extended.**

CARRIED

The main motion was split and the question was called.

MOVED by Director Derman, **SECONDED** by Director Plant,
That the report from the CRD Integrated Resource Management Task Force be
received for information.

CARRIED

OPPOSED Blackwell, Isitt, Screech, Seaton, Young

MOVED by Director Derman, **SECONDED** by Director Plant,
That the staff comment on the Integrated Resource Management Task Force
report be received for information.

CARRIED

The remaining agenda items were not considered due to time constraints and will be brought forward to the next meeting.

- 5.4. [16-189](#) Fairness and Transparency Advisor Reports - January and February, 2016
- 5.5. [16-184](#) 2016 CRD Board Standing Committee Terms of Reference and Work Programs (CALWMC)
- 5.6. [16-199](#) Available Funding Options - Core Area Wastewater Program
- 5.7. [16-206](#) Regional Water System - Supply and Demand
- 5.8. [16-201](#) Westside Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery Select Committee Agenda Packages and Motion
- 5.9. [16-280](#) Eastside Wastewater Treatment and Resource Recovery Select Committee Agenda Package for Information
- 5.10. [16-49](#) Motion with Notice: Accountability and Representation in Governance of Components of Eastside and Westside Sub-systems
- 5.11. [16-257](#) Motion with Notice: Mechanism for Future Options (Derman, Plant)
- 5.12. [16-258](#) Motion with Notice: Compliance with Charter Goals (Derman, Plant)

6. Correspondence

- 6.1. [16-302](#) Correspondence from Harbour Resource Partners, 18 Feb. 2016, re: Harbour Resource Partners Affordable and Bylaw Compliant Solution for the CRD CALWMP Liquid Treatment Plant
- 6.2. [16-303](#) Correspondence from Esquimalt and Songhees Nations, 19 Feb. 2016, re: CRD Sewage Treatment Facility-Rock Bay Lands
- 6.3. [16-304](#) Correspondence from Burnside Gorge Community Association, 22 Feb.

2016

- 6.4. [16-375](#) Correspondence Between Core Area Liquid Waste Management
Committee Directors, 23 Feb. 2016, re: Comments on IRM for Feb. 24

7. New Business

8. Adjournment

MOVED by Director Blackwell, **SECONDED** by Director Desjardins,
That the meeting be adjourned at 1:26 p.m.
CARRIED

CHAIR

RECORDER