# Notice of Meeting and Meeting Agenda <br> Capital Regional District Board 

Wednesday, September 13, 2023
1:10 PM
6th Floor Boardroom 625 Fisgard Street

Victoria, BC

The Capital Regional District strives to be a place where inclusion is paramount and all people are treated with dignity. We pledge to make our meetings a place where all feel welcome and respected.

## 1. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

## 2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

## 3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

3.1. $\underline{23-625}$ Minutes of the August 9, 2023 Capital Regional District Board Meeting

Recommendation: That the minutes of the Capital Regional District Board meeting of August 9, 2023 be adopted as circulated.
Attachments: $\quad$ Minutes - August 9, 2023

## 4. REPORT OF THE CHAIR

## 5. PRESENTATIONS/DELEGATIONS

The public are welcome to attend CRD Board meetings in-person.
Delegations will have the option to participate electronically. Please complete the online application at www.crd.bc.ca/address no later than $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ two days before the meeting and staff will respond with details.

Alternatively, you may email your comments on an agenda item to the CRD Board at crdboard@crd.bc.ca.

### 5.1. Presentations

| 5.1.1. | $\underline{23-642}$ | Presentation: Gord Horth (General Manager) Capital Region Emergency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Service Telecommunications (CREST); Re: Member Agency Report |  |

## 6. CONSENT AGENDA

| 6.1. | 23-614 | Household Hazardous Waste Pickup in Electoral Areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recommendation: | There is no recommendation. This report is for information only. |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: Household Hazardous Waste Pickup in EAs |
|  |  | Appendix A: Hartland Depot - Accepted Household Hazardous Waste Products |
|  |  | Appendix B: Household Hazardous Waste Collection Locations within EAs |
|  |  | Appendix C: BC Ferries - Dangerous Goods Information |
| 6.2. | 23-525 | Capital Regional District External Grants Update |
|  | Recommendation: | There is no recommendation. This report is for information only. |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: CRD External Grants Update |
|  |  | Appendix A: 2023 External Grants Dashboard |
|  |  | Appendix B: 2023 Grant Alerts |
| 6.3. | 23-644 | 2022 Capital Regional District Origin Destination Household Travel Survey |
|  | Recommendation: | There is no recommendation. This report is for information only. |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey |
|  |  | Appendix A: 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey |
|  |  | Presentation: Origin Destination Household Travel Survey |

## 7. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

7.1. $\quad \underline{23-655}$ Consent on Behalf for Bylaw 4551 - Amendment to Increase Annual Requisition for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service

Recommendation: 1. That participating area approval for Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" be obtained via two-thirds consent on behalf of municipal and electoral area participating areas.
2. That participating area approval in the electoral areas for Bylaw No. 4551 be provided by the Electoral Area Directors consenting on behalf, rather than alternative approval process. (NWA)
Attachments: $\quad$ Staff Report: Consent on Behalf for BL4551 - Amd. to Inc. Annual Req.
Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4551 at Third Reading
Appendix B: Previous Staff Report dated May 3, 2023

| 7.2. | 23-641 | Regional District Wide AAP for Bylaw 4552 - Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recommendation: | 1. That elector approval for long-term borrowing under Bylaw No. 4552 be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area, as per section 345 of the Local Government Act; <br> (NWA, $2 / 3$ on region wide AAP) <br> 2. That third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023," be rescinded; <br> (WA) <br> 3. That Bylaw No. 4552 be amended by replacing in its entirety Whereas Clause E with the following: <br> "E. Pursuant to section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required for this borrowing and shall be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area under section 345 of the Local Government Act; and," <br> (NWA) <br> 4. That Bylaw No. 4552 be read a third time as amended; (WA) <br> 5. That Bylaw No. 4552 as amended be referred to the Inspector of Municipalities. (NWA) |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: Reg. Dist. Wide AAP for BL4552-Land Banking Loan Auth. |
|  |  | Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4552 |
|  |  | Appendix B: Bylaw No. 4552 Redlined |
|  |  | Appendix C: Prev. Staff Report dated May 3, 2023 |
|  |  | Appendix D: Prev. Staff Report dated June 14, 2023 |
| 7.3. | 23-559 | Bylaw No. 4570: 2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment No. 2, 2023 |
|  | Recommendation: | 1. That Bylaw No. 4570, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" be introduced and read a first, second, and third time; and (WA) <br> 2. That Bylaw No. 4570 be adopted. <br> (WA, $2 / 3$ on adoption) |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: BL 4570: 2023-2027 Fin. Plan Amd. No. 2 |
|  |  | Appendix A: BL No. 4570 Schedules A \& B |


| 7.4. | 23-615 | Bylaw No. 4569 and 4568: Temporary Borrowing Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recommendation: | 1. That Bylaw No. 4569, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and <br> (WA) <br> 2. That Bylaw No. 4569 be adopted. <br> (WA, 2/3 on adoption) <br> 3. That Bylaw No. 4568, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and (WA) <br> 4. That Bylaw No. 4568 be adopted. <br> (WA, 2/3 on adoption) |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: Bylaw No 4568-4569: Temp. Borrowing - Saan. Pen. Rec. |
|  |  | Appendix A: BL 4569 Temp. Borrowing (Centennial Park Multi Sport Box) |
|  |  | Appendix B: BL 4568 Temp. Borrowing (Panorama Heat Recovery) |
| 7.5. | 23-524 | Growing Communities Fund Allocation and Project Approvals |
|  | Recommendation: | That the recommendations provided to the Capital Regional District Board in Appendix D Option 1 be endorsed. <br> (NWA) |
|  | Attachments: | Staff Report: GCF Allocation and Project Approvals |
|  |  | Appendix A: April 12, 2023 CRD Board Report \& Appendices - GCF |
|  |  | Appendix B: GCF Internal Program Scorecard |
|  |  | Appendix C: GCF Application Summary |
|  |  | Appendix D: Options for Allocation of GCF |
|  |  | Appendix E: GCF Grant Reqs. \& CRD 2023-26 Corp. Plan |

## 8. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

## Finance Committee

8.1 $\quad$ 23-405 Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement
Recommendation: The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That the March 9, 2022 Board resolution pertaining to the approved Service Agreement be rescinded;
2. That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a further revised
Service Agreement to provide emergency communications services, as attached at Appendix C; and,
3. That Staff be directed to amend the Financial Plan to reflect the increased service agreement payments for 2022 to 2027.
(WA)
[At the July 5, 2023 Finance Committee meeting, the following motion arising was passed:]
4. That the CRD Board ask the CREST Board to present funding options to update the Call Answer Levy revenue.
(NWA)
Attachments: $\quad$ Staff Report: CREST Service Agreement
Appendix A: Staff Report 8 Dec 2021 Board CREST
Appendix B: Staff Report 9 Mar 2022 Board CREST
Appendix C: Service Agreement 2022-27- tracked changes

## Transportation Committee

8.2. $\quad$| Motion with Notice: Transferring of Regional Trails to CRD (Director |
| :--- |
| Caradonna) |

Recommendation:
[At the July 19, 2023 Transportation Committee, the following Notice of Motion was
read into the record to be discussed at the next Committee meeting:]
The Transportation Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That staff inquire with the province on the possibility of transferring the trails
rights-of-way and assets to the CRD.
(NWA)

## 9. BYLAWS

## 10. NOTICE(S) OF MOTION

10.1. $\underline{23-646}$ Motion with Notice: Implications of Funding for Homelessness Agencies (Director de Vries)
Recommendation: [At the September 6, 2023 Hospitals and Housing Committee meeting, the following Notice of Motion was provided to be discussed at the CRD Board meeting:]

That staff return to the October meeting of the Hospitals and Housing Committee with a Staff Report detailing the implications associated with a requested 5-year funding extension to the Aboriginal Coalition to End Homelessness (\$150,000 annually with an annual inflation indexation) and a requested increase in funding (\$120,000 annually with an annual cost of living indexation) for the Alliance to End Homelessness in the Capital Region (totaling \$345,000 annually).
(NWA)

## 11. NEW BUSINESS

## 12. MOTION TO CLOSE THE MEETING

12.1. $\quad 23-630 \quad$ Motion to Close the Meeting

Recommendation: 1. That the meeting be closed for Appointments in accordance with Section 90(1)(a) of the Community Charter. [1 item]
2. That the meeting be closed for Labour Relations in accordance with Section 90(1)(c) of the Community Charter. [1 item]
3. That the meeting be closed for Intergovernmental Negotiations in accordance with Section 90(2)(b) of the Community Charter. [1 item]

## 13. RISE AND REPORT

## 14. ADJOURNMENT

Votinq Key:<br>NWA - Non-weighted vote of all Directors<br>NWP - Non-weighted vote of participants (as listed)<br>WA - Weighted vote of all Directors<br>WP - Weighted vote of participants (as listed)

# Meeting Minutes <br> <br> Capital Regional District Board 

 <br> <br> Capital Regional District Board}

## PRESENT

DIRECTORS: C. Plant (Chair), M. Tait (Vice Chair), M. Alto (EP), P. Brent (1:02 pm), S. Brice,
J. Brownoff, C. Coleman, Z. de Vries, B. Desjardins, S. Goodmanson (1:12 pm) (EP), G. Holman
(1:02 pm), P. Jones (1:02 pm), S. Kim (for J. Caradonna) (EP), M. Little, C. McNeil-Smith
(1:02 pm), K. Murdoch, D. Murdock, J. Rogers (for S. Tobias) (EP), L. Szpak, D. Thompson (EP), A. Wickheim (1:05 pm), K. Williams (1:09 pm), R. Windsor (1:20 pm) (EP)

STAFF: T. Robbins, Chief Administrative Officer; N. Chan, Chief Financial Officer; M. Barnes, Acting General Manager, Planning and Protective Services (for K. Lorette); A. Fraser, General Manager, Integrated Water Services; L. Hutcheson, General Manager, Parks and Environmental Services; K. Morley, General Manager, Corporate Services; S. Henderson, Senior Manager, Real Estate; C. Neilson, Senior Manager, Human Resources; E. Sinclair, Senior Manager, Regional and Strategic Planning; F. Lopez, Manager, Strategic Planning; M. Taylor, Manager, Building Inspection; M. Lagoa, Deputy Corporate Officer; T. Pillipow, Committee Clerk (Recorder)

EP - Electronic Participation
Regrets: Directors Caradonna, Kobayashi, Tobias
The meeting was called to order at $1: 00 \mathrm{pm}$.

## 1. TERRITORIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Director Brice provided a Territorial Acknowledgement.

## 2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

MOVED by Director Brice, SECONDED by Director Tait, That the agenda for the August 9, 2023 Session of the Capital Regional District Board be approved with the following amendment:

- agenda item 6.3. be moved to be considered under Reports of Committees as Item 8.12.
CARRIED


## 3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

# 3.1. $\underline{23-550 ~ M i n u t e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ J u l y ~ 12, ~} 2023$ Capital Regional District Board Meeting <br> MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Coleman, That the minutes of the Capital Regional District Board meeting of July 12, 2023 be adopted as circulated. <br> CARRIED 

## 4. REPORT OF THE CHAIR

Good afternoon Directors, Staff and members of the public.
It is nice to see everyone again. I hope you are having an enjoyable summer. I want to begin by looking back to last month's meeting and thank each of you. I recognize the topic of Biosolids continues to be a challenging one for this board but the reason I am referencing last month's meeting is because I wish to acknowledge the respectful debate that we had last month.
I think it is a sign of a highly functioning board that we can continue to cooperate and be congenial with each other despite some very significant differences of opinion on the subject.
I hope that continues to be a trademark of our work together.
I also want to thank each of our committees for their work to date and acknowledge the Chairs and Vice Chairs for their efforts to conduct effective meetings thus far.
Over the next few months two things are going to emerge for this board that I hope you will each begin to consider in advance of our meetings.
The first is our interest in developing a more coordinated transportation system is now in the stage of consulting with our member municipalities and other transportation agencies.
I hope you will each be an ambassador for the CRD's efforts at your Council tables over the next couple of months. There will inevitably be different opinions but I am optimistic we will find a consensus on how to move forward based on shared goals.
The other topic I wish to "whet the ground" about is our provisional 2024 budget. The 2023 budget was largely shaped by the previous board.
For Budget 2024 there are inevitably going to be opportunities and challenges but I encourage each of you to ask lots of questions and prepare for the meetings each of our committees in September through October that will have to shape the budget.
If you have any questions about the budgeting process please reach out to staff and/or myself.
Thank you for listening to my remarks. Now let's get on with the business of our meeting.

Directors Brent, Holman, Jones and McNeil-Smith joined the meeting at 1:02 pm. Director Wickheim joined the meeting at 1:05 pm.

## 5. PRESENTATIONS/DELEGATIONS

### 5.1. Presentations

There were no presentations.

### 5.2. Delegations

5.2.1 $\quad \underline{23-561 ~ D e l e g a t i o n ~-~ E d w a r d ~ D o m o v i t c h ; ~ R e s i d e n t ~ o f ~ S o o k e: ~ R e: ~ A g e n d a ~ I t e m s: ~}$ 6.7. Development Variance Permit for Lot 1, Section 98, Sooke District, Plan VIP23938-6144 East Sooke Road, and 6.8. Provision of Park Land for Subdivision of Lot 1, Section 98, Sooke District, Plan VIP23938-6144 East Sooke Road

This delegation did not speak.
5.2.2 $\quad$ 23-562 Delegation - Mick Collins; Resident of Oak Bay: Re: Agenda Items: 6.5. Long-Term Biosolids Management Planning, 8.1. Notice of Motion: Academic Review - Land Application of Biosolids (Director Desjardins), 8.2. Notice of Motion: Consortium Approach - Lessons Learned on Thermal Processing of Biosolids from Australia (Director Tobias), and 8.3. Healthy Waters Project for Tod Creek on the Saanich Peninsula - Update July 2023
M. Collins spoke to items 6.5., and 8.1. through 8.3. and provided a handout of their presentation.
Director Williams joined the meeting at 1:09 pm.
5.2.3. 23-563 Delegation - Frances Pugh; Representing Saanich Inlet Protection Society and Peninsula Biosolids Coalition: Re: Agenda Item: 6.5. Long-Term Biosolids Management Planning
F. Pugh spoke to item 6.5.
5.2.4. 23-564 Delegation - Jonathan O'Riordan; Resident of the Captial Regional District:

Re: Agenda Items: 6.5. Long-Term Biosolids Management Planning, 8.1. Notice of Motion: Academic Review - Land Application of Biosolids (Director Desjardins), and 8.2. Notice of Motion: Consortium Approach Lessons Learned on Thermal Processing of Biosolids from Australia (Director Tobias)
J. O'Riordan spoke to items 6.5., 8.1. and 8.2.

## 6. CONSENT AGENDA

MOVED by Director Desjardins, SECONDED by Director Murdoch, That consent items 6.1., 6.2 and 6.4. through 6.11. be approved. CARRIED
6.1. $\underline{23-555}$

Capital Regional District Arts and Culture: 2022 Impact Report
This report was received for information.
6.2. $\underline{23-532}$
6.4. $\quad \underline{23-475}$
6.5. $\quad \underline{23-496}$ Long-Term Biosolids Management PlanningThis report was received for information.
6.6. $\underline{23-535}$6.7. $\underline{23-467}$ Development Variance Permit for Lot 1, Section 98, Sooke District, PlanVIP23938-6144 East Sooke Road
That Development Variance Permit VA000159 for Lot 1, Section 98, Sooke District,Plan VIP23938 to vary the Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Bylaw No. 2040,Schedule A, Part 1, Section 3.10(4)(a) by reducing the minimum frontagerequirement for proposed Lot 3 from $10 \%$ of the lot perimeter ( 32 m ) to $8.6 \%$ of theapproved.
CARRIED
6.8. $\underline{23-468}$ Provision of Park Land for Subdivision of Lot 1, Section 98, Sooke District, Plan VIP23938-6144 East Sooke Road
That cash in lieu of park land dedication be requested for the proposed subdivision of Lot 9, Section 129, Sooke District, Plan VIP67208, subject to verification of the land value pursuant to Section 510 of the Local Government Act.
CARRIED
6.9. $\quad \underline{23-465} \quad$ Watershed Security Officer Designation
Appoint Jim Harradine and Derek Hall as Watershed Security Officers; and that Devon Barnes be removed from appointment; for the purpose of Section 233 of the Local Government Act and Section 28(3) of the Offence Act, and in accordance with Capital Regional District Bylaw No. 2681. CARRIED
6.10. $\quad \underline{23-533}$ Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund Grant Application

That staff be instructed to apply for, negotiate, and if successful, enter into an agreement, and do all such things necessary for accepting Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation grant funds and overseeing grant management for the proposed projects.
CARRIED
6.11. 23-493 Merchant Mews Pathway Design - Additional Funding

That the Salt Spring Island Transportation Service 2023 Capital Plan be amended to increase the budget for the Merchant Mews project by $\$ 16,400$ funded from the Capital Reserve Fund.
CARRIED
7. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

There were no administration reports.

## 8. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

## Environmental Services Committee

8.1. $\quad \underline{23-456}$

## Notice of Motion: Academic Review - Land Application of Biosolids (Director Desjardins)

Director Windsor joined the meeting electronically at 1:20 pm.

MOVED by Director Desjardins, SECONDED by Director Williams, That staff report back with a proposal that CRD Environment Service fund University of Victoria or other suitable independent academic institution to prepare a review:
a) of available literature, to determine whether there are validated examples and/or peer reviewed papers assessing the risks of the application of biosolids on environmental and human health, and
b) based on this and on The Precautionary Principle, whether CRD may have a legal liability for such application. The institution may receive submissions from the public.

Discussion ensued regarding whether there will be new information coming forward from the Province this fall.

MOVED by Director Holman, SECONDED by Director Brownoff,
That the main motion be amended to replace the opening statement with the wording, "That staff report back with a proposal that CRD Environmental Service work with senior governments to provide a review:"
DEFEATED
Opposed: Alto, Coleman, Desjardins, Goodmanson, Jones, Little, Rogers, Tait, Thompson, Wickheim, Williams, Windsor

MOVED by Director Holman, SECONDED by Director Brent,
That the main motion be amended to add the wording "and benefits" after the wording "assessing the risks"
CARRIED
Opposed: Desjardins, Jones, Tait, Thompson, Wickheim, Williams
MOVED by Director Brent, SECONDED by Director de Vries,
That the main motion be amended to remove the wording "and on The
Precautionary Principle".
DEFEATED
Opposed: Coleman, Desjardins, Goodmanson, Jones, Kim, Little, Murdock, Rogers, Tait, Thompson, Wickheim, Williams, Windsor

Discussion ensued regarding the expected benefits of having public input.
Director Windsor left the meeting at 1:51 pm.
MOVED by Director Murdoch, SECONDED by Director Brent, That the main motion be further amended to strike the wording "The institution may receive submissions from the public."

Discussion ensued regarding:

- clarification on public submissions
- whether part b) of the motion would be part of a public document
The question was called on the amendment:
That the main motion be further amended to strike the wording "The institution
may receive submissions from the public."
CARRIED
Opposed: Desjardins, Jones, Thompson, Wickheim
The question was called on the main motion as amended:
That staff report back with a proposal that CRD Environment Service fund
University of Victoria or other suitable independent academic institution to
prepare a review:
a) of available literature, to determine whether there are validated examples
and/or peer reviewed papers assessing the risks and benefits of the application
of biosolids on environmental and human health, and
b) based on this and on The Precautionary Principle, whether CRD may have a
legal liability for such application.
CARRIED
Opposed: McNeil-Smith, Murdoch


## Finance Committee

8.4. $\quad 23-405 \quad$ Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement

MOVED by Director Brice, SECONDED by Director Williams,

1. That the March 9, 2022 Board resolution pertaining to the approved Service Agreement be rescinded;
2. That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a further revised Service Agreement to provide emergency communications services, as attached at Appendix C; and,
3. That Staff be directed to amend the Financial Plan to reflect the increased service agreement payments for 2022 to 2027.
4. That the CRD Board ask the CREST Board to present funding options to update the Call Answer Levy revenue.

Discussion ensued regarding:

- revenue and subsidy options
- any impact a referral may have on staff preparing the financial plan

Referral Motion:
MOVED by Director McNeil-Smith, SECONDED by Director Holman,
That the main motion be referred to the next meeting of the CRD Board and that a representative of CREST be invited to give a presentation at that meeting. CARRIED
Opposed: Jones

## Governance Committee

8.5. $\quad \underline{23-531} \quad$ Capital Regional District Advocacy Strategy
T. Robbins spoke to Item 8.5.

Discussion ensued regarding how this applies to issues within the electoral areas and sub-regional commissions.

MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Murdoch, That the updated CRD Advocacy Strategy be approved.
CARRIED
Opposed: Wickheim
Director Coleman left the meeting at 2:48 pm.
8.6. $\underline{23-534}$
8.7. $\quad \underline{23-516}$Bylaw No. 4556: Capital Regional District Public Notice Bylaw No. 1, 2023
Alternate Director Rogers returned to the meeting at 3:06 pm.
MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,

1. That Bylaw No. 4556, "Capital Regional District Public Notice Bylaw No. 1,2023" be introduced and read a first, second, and third time.CARRIED
MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Jones,
2. That Bylaw No. 4556 be adopted.
CARRIED
8.8. $\quad 23-530$ Membership in the Institute of Corporate Directors
Discussion ensued regarding membership fees.
MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,That the Board renew its membership with the Institute of Corporate Directorsuntil the end of 2024.
CARRIED
8.9. $\quad \underline{23-539 ~ B y l a w ~ N o . ~} 4350$ and 4566 - Proposed Amendments to Recreation Commission Bylaws for Sooke and EA (2788) and Peninsula (2397)

MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Tait,

1. That Bylaw No. 4350, "Sooke and Electoral Area Parks and Recreation Commission Bylaw No. 1, 2000, Amendment Bylaw No. 4, 2023" be introduced and read a first, second and third time.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Tait,
2. That Bylaw No. 4350 be adopted.

CARRIED

MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Wickheim,
3. That Bylaw No. 4566, "Peninsula Recreation Commission Bylaw No. 1, 1996, Amendment Bylaw No. 6, 2023" be introduced and read a first, second, and third time.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Little, SECONDED by Director Tait,
4. That Bylaw No. 4566 be adopted.

CARRIED
Juan de Fuca Land Use Committee
8.10. 23-382 Development Permit with Variance for Lot 30, Section 98, Sooke District, Plan 33263-6067 Brecon Drive

MOVED by Director Wickheim, SECONDED by Director Tait, That Development Permit with Variance DV000091, as amended, for Lot 30, Section 98, Sooke District, Plan 33263, to authorize construction of an accessory building within a Riparian Development Permit Area, and to vary Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw No. 2040, as follows:

1. Part 1, Section 4.01 (1)(d) to reduce the front yard requirement from 15 m to 6 m;
2. Part 1, Section 4.01 (2)(a) to increase the height permitted from 6 m to 6.392 m ; and
3. Part 1, Section 4.01 (2)(c) to increase the maximum combined total floor area allowance for accessory buildings and structures from 100 m 2 to 167 m 2 on a lot with an area of more than $2,000 \mathrm{~m} 2$ and less than $5,000 \mathrm{~m} 2$ be denied.
CARRIED

## Transportation Committee

### 8.11. $\underline{23-492}$ <br> Regional Trails Widening and Lighting Project - Funding Options

MOVED by Director Murdock, SECONDED by Director Szpak,

1. That the Regional Trails Widening and Lighting Project be accelerated by the inclusion of the Project in the 2024-2028 Financial Plan and that project funds be secured by way of debt; and
2. That staff continue to develop partnerships, pursue grant opportunities and report back to the Regional Parks Committee at the September 27, 2023 meeting with options to generate additional funds through non-tax revenue.
3. That the CRD Chair and CRD staff work with the province including a letter to the Minister of Transportation to secure opportunities for supporting the work identified in the Trails Widening and Lighting Project; and
4. That going forward the project be referred to as the regional trestles renewal, trails widening and lighting project.

Discussion ensued regarding:

- maintenance service levels
- grant opportunities

MOVED by Director Holman, SECONDED by Director Wickheim, That the main motion be amended to replace section 1 with the wording "That Regional Trail and active transportation projects in electoral areas also be accelerated by including such projects in the 2024-28 Financial Plan, and that project funds also be secured by way of additional regional debt of up to $\$ 4$ million, to be allocated to electoral areas based on converted assessments."

Discussion ensued regarding transportation governance.

Referral Motion:
MOVED by Director Murdoch, SECONDED by Director Brice, That the amending motion be referred to the next meeting of the Transportation Committee for discussion.

Discussion ensued regarding whether the intent of the amending motion directed a new action, and therefore should be considered a motion arising.

The question was called on the referral motion:
That the amending motion be referred to the next meeting of the Transportation Committee for discussion.
DEFEATED
Opposed: Alto, Brent, Brice, de Vries, Goodmanson, Jones, Kim, McNeil-Smith, Plant, Rogers, Szpak, Tait

The Chair ruled the amendment out of order.

Discussion ensued regarding:

- active transportation and trail priorities in the electoral areas
- whether debt servicing may delay overall dam and bridge upgrade projects
- maintenance levels required on the aging trestles

MOVED by Director de Vries, SECONDED by Director Brice, That the meeting be extended beyond the 3 hour scheduled time.
CARRIED
8.12. $\quad \underline{23-545}$ Enforcement Practices for Alternative Forms of Housing - Recreational Vehicles

MOVED by Director Brent, SECONDED by Director Wickheim,
That the Board work collaboratively with former premier Mike Harcourt to advocate to other levels of government and their agencies for housing opportunities in the Electoral Areas.

## CARRIED

## 9. BYLAWS

| 9.1. $\quad$ 23-537 | Bylaw 4546-"Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Centennial Park |
| :--- | :--- |
| Multi-Sport Box) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023" |  |
|  | MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Jones, |
| That Bylaw 4546 - "Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Centennial Park |  |
| Multi-Sport Box) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023" be adopted. |  |
| CARRIED |  |

9.2. $\quad \underline{23-538} \quad$ Bylaw 4547 - "Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Panorama Heat Recovery System) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023"

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Jones,
That Bylaw 4547 - "Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Panorama Heat Recovery System) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023" be adopted.
CARRIED
10. NOTICE(S) OF MOTION

There were no notice(s) of motion.

## 11. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business.

## 12. MOTION TO CLOSE THE MEETING

12.1. $\underline{23-552}$

Motion to Close the Meeting
MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,

1. That the meeting be closed for Appointments in accordance with Section 90(1)
(a) of the Community Charter.

## CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
2. That the meeting be closed for Labour Relations in accordance with Section 90(1)(c) of the Community Charter.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
3. That the meeting be closed for Land Acquisition In accordance with Section 90(1)(e) of the Community Charter.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
4. That such disclosures could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the Regional District.

## CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
5. That the meeting be closed for Legal Advice in accordance with Section 90(1)
(i) of the Community Charter.

## CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
6. That the meeting be closed for Contract Negotiations in accordance with Section (90)(1)(k) of the Community Charter.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
7. That such disclosures could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the Regional District.
CARRIED

MOVED by Director Tait, SECONDED by Director Murdoch,
8. That the meeting be closed for Intergovernmental Negotiations in accordance with Section 90(2)(b) of the Community Charter.
CARRIED

The Capital Regional District Board moved to the closed session at 4:15 pm.

## 13. RISE AND REPORT

The Capital Regional District Board rose from its closed session at 4:49 pm without report.

## 14. ADJOURNMENT

MOVED by Director de Vries, SECONDED by Director Brice,
That the August 9, 2023 Capital Regional District Board meeting be adjourned at 4:49 pm.
CARRIED

## CHAIR

## CERTIFIED CORRECT:

## CORPORATE OFFICER

## CREST

## Why CREST?

A wide range of entities use the CREST system because they need to interoperate together.

Having a single entity dedicated to providing secure reliable public safety grade communications is cost effective \& the safest option.

7 highly trained electrical engineers and communication technicians, available 24/7, manage the 3000 radios on the system and the 7 dispatch centers equipment.

## GROWTH SINCE INCEPTION

## 2003 <br> 2023

Transmission Towers
10
35
Agency users
36
50

## CREST System 2023



- Total Transmissions 10,067,183
- System Availability 99.9992\%
- Average Calls Per Hour 1,149
- Radios in Service 2,994


## 2020 \& 2023 User Survey

- Overall Radio System \& Equipment 88.6*
- Mobile Radio (Coverage/Clarity) 91.6*
- Portable Radio (Coverage/Clarity) 89.4*
- Technical Support 96.6*
* Respondents who were satisfied or very satisfied (possible score of 100)


## CRD Service Fee vs CREST Budget

## CRD Service Fee CREST Budget

2005
\$1,584,305
\$3,040,572
2010
\$1,602,549
\$5,622,081
2015
\$1,400,999
\$6,776,664
2020
\$1,681,602
\$7,778,130
The CRD service fee as a percentage of the overall CREST budget has declined dramatically over time. Conversely the service delivered by CREST has grown year over year.

The CRD is mandated to provide emergency communications.
CREST was formed as an independent service provider of emergency communications to fulfil that responsibility.

Initially a 911 "call answer" levy on telephone landlines was the primary method of funding collected by the CRD and allocated to CREST. It was thought at the time (2001) that the province would mandate a call answer levy on all devices (cell \& landline) and that revenue would be available to fund CREST operations.

## Call answer levy

## CRCST

A cell phone levy has been discussed and studied repeatedly by the province.

UBCM has called for a province wide cell phone levy for 20 years. Resolutions to that effect were passed in 2004/09/11/12/21/22. It is on AGAIN (SR2) at this year's UBCM.

Eight of the 12 provinces/territories have had a cell phone levy for quite some time ( the average monthly charge currently in Canada is just over a \$1).

CREST has advocated for a provincial cell emergency levy since our inception.
Should a cell phone levy be legislated in BC, it is likely those funds would flow to the CRD (\& other regional districts), not directly to CREST.


## CREST

## Comments - Questions?

## Community Safety

## SR2 911 Emergency Communications Service Delivery <br> UBCM Executive

Whereas there is a growing need for a more resilient and reliable emergency communications system, especially in light of the increasing severity and frequency of disasters and emergencies, 911 service disruptions and a growing annual emergency call volume;

And whereas 911 is a universally recognized number for British Columbians to call when there is an emergency (e.g. medical issue), but 911 calls related to mental health are oftentimes directed to police agencies as the first point of contact;

And whereas the improvement of the 911 emergency communications system has been a long-standing priority for local governments, as well as the UBCM Executive, which has made calls for improvements related to funding, governance and standards:

Therefore be it resolved that the Province of BC work with local governments to modernize the 911 emergency communications system in a manner that is consistent with recent UBCM advocacy, and which includes the following:

- Implementation of a 911 call answer levy on cellular devices, to address current and future financial challenges associated with the delivery of 911 services;
- Development of consistent 911 service standards, without increasing service costs for local governments;
- Creation of a provincial 911 governance model, with local government representation, to address policy and service standard issues, and manage revenue; and
- Integration of mental health call options within the 911 framework.


## UBCM Resolutions Committee recommendation: Endorse

UBCM Resolutions Committee comments:
The UBCM membership has consistently endorsed resolutions seeking the creation of a call answer levy on cellular devices to fund 911 emergency services (2021-EB7, 2012-LR1, 2011-B13, 2009-B10, 2004-SR1). Last year, UBCM members endorsed a more wide-ranging resolution (2022-EB42), seeking for the Province to work with UBCM to develop a new provincial mandate and structure for 911 service delivery, with a focus on improving the governance, funding and operations.

Additionally, the UBCM Executive, in October 2022, delivered correspondence calling for a governance model, consistent service standards, and a provincial strategy to modernize 911 services, in addition to emphasizing the need for a call answer levy on cellular devices.

The integration of mental health call options within the 911 framework is an emerging advocacy issue for the UBCM Executive. In August 2022, the UBCM Executive and Local Government Policing Modernization Roundtable requested that the Province create and fund a coordinated continuum of response to mental health, addictions and other complex social issues, including through the addition of a mental health option within 911 call options. This request is consistent with recommendations delivered by the Special Committee on Reforming the Police Act in April 2022.
In March 2023, the Province announced funding towards the implementation of Next Generation 911 (NG911), a federally mandated initiative to modernization 911 networks and infrastructure across Canada. Funding includes:

- $\$ 90$ million towards E-Comm 911 technological upgrades and other work associated with the transition that would otherwise be funded by local governments; and
- $\$ 60$ million to UBCM to support local government transition costs.


## See resolution RR17

UBCM Executive comments:

## Background

The Executive is bringing forward this Special Resolution as a means to consolidate recent UBCM resolutions and advocacy pertaining to 911 emergency communications service delivery. Requests related to funding, governance, standards and the integration of mental health call options have all been featured as part of recent UBCM resolutions and/or other advocacy directed towards the provincial government.

Many of these requests have coincided with the introduction of Next Generation 911 (NG911), which is a federally mandated initiative to modernization 911 networks and infrastructure across Canada. NG911 digitalbased infrastructure and technology will enable enhanced emergency communications capabilities, including real time texting, audio and video streaming, picture messaging and precise location determination. This new platform also offers the ability to add new emergency response functions, including the integration of mental health call options into the current police, fire and ambulance dispatch framework.

These requests are also a reflection of the current state of 911 emergency communications service delivery in British Columbia. The implementation of NG911 will likely increase the annual cost of 911 service delivery, which will be borne by local governments. The financial pressures to fund the 911 system are even more noteworthy given the lack of a province-wide call answer levy on cellular devices. While most Canadian provinces have already implemented such a levy, BC local governments are only able to pay for this service through property taxes or a levy on those that still use landline phones.

Data provided by E-Comm 911 shows that annual call volume has increased over the past several years, putting substantial pressure on the dispatch system. Many 911 calls are also mental health related, and without a dedicated call option, affected individuals are often passed to agencies who are not and should not be expected to take the place of trained mental health professionals.

While the 911 emergency communications system has become province-wide, with E-Comm handling 99 percent of calls, BC still lacks a provincial 911 governance structure. This means that many local governments are not able to provide direct input towards the 911 services that they fund and receive. This has made it difficult to develop, among other things, province-wide standards, which would ensure all areas of the province are receiving the same level of service.

## UBCM Policy Position

The UBCM membership has consistently endorsed resolutions seeking the creation of a call answer levy on cellular devices to fund 911 emergency services (2021-EB7, 2012-LR1, 2011-B13, 2009-B10, 2004-SR1). Furthermore, in October 2019, the UBCM Executive called on the Province to establish a call answer levy on cellular devices, in addition to creating a new governance entity to manage associated revenue.

Last year, UBCM members endorsed a more wide-ranging resolution (2022-EB42), seeking for the Province to work with UBCM to develop a new provincial mandate and structure for 911 service delivery, with a focus on improving the governance, funding and operations.

Noting the increasing frequency and severity of disasters, recent 911 service disruptions and growing annual call volume, the UBCM Executive, in October 2022, delivered a more comprehensive request to the Province. Correspondence called for a governance model, consistent service standards, and a provincial strategy to modernize 911 services, in addition to emphasizing the need for a call answer levy on cellular devices.

The integration of mental health call options within the 911 framework is an emerging issue prioritized by the Local Government Policing Modernization Roundtable (LGPMR) and UBCM Executive. In August 2022, the UBCM Executive and LGPMR requested that the Province create and fund a coordinated continuum of response to mental health, addictions and other complex social issues, including through the addition of a
mental health option within 911 call options. This request is consistent with recommendations delivered by the Special Committee on Reforming the Police Act in April 2022.

## Current Status

In 2019, six years after a UBCM working group had examined the introduction of a province-wide call answer levy on cellular devices, the Province initiated a comprehensive review of 911 emergency communication service delivery. This review was abruptly concluded in February 2020, prior to any meaningful changes being implemented.

The Province and UBCM, in spring 2023, examined local government transition costs associated with Next Generation 911. Following these discussions, the Province finalized a $\$ 150$ million investment towards the implementation of NG911, including:

- $\$ 90$ million towards E-Comm 911 technological upgrades and other work associated with the transition that would otherwise be funded by local governments; and
- $\$ 60$ million to UBCM to support local government transition costs.

UBCM has also continued to engage the Province regarding long-term changes to 911 emergency communications service delivery. Most recently, UBCM Executive members raised this issue as part of an April 2023 meeting with the Honourable Mike Farnworth, Minister of Public Safety and Solicitor General. UBCM and provincial staff continue to meet on a regular basis to discuss challenges, concerns and long-term solutions to improve 911 emergency communications service delivery.

Conference decision: $\qquad$

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO ELECTORAL AREAS COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Household Hazardous Waste Pickup in Electoral Areas

## ISSUE SUMMARY

At the June 14, 2023 Capital Regional District (CRD) Board meeting, staff were asked to investigate the cost and feasibility of Hartland funding a one-time household hazardous waste (HHW) pickup on the four islands in the Southern Gulf Islands, Juan de Fuca and also on Salt Spring Island.

## BACKGROUND

In 2004, the CRD launched a HHW collection program at the Hartland Depot to support residents of the region with safe end-of-life management of their unwanted and expired HHW products. This no-charge program includes both products covered under Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) through the BC Recycling Regulation, such as paint and motor oil, as well as non-EPR products (orphans) like propane tanks and pool chemicals.

Subsequently, the HHW collection area at the Hartland Depot was retrofitted in 2009 to support this growing service, with a focus on safety for the public, staff and contractors and improvements for receipt, sorting, storage and subsequent transport of material. The ability to receive and manage orphan materials at the Hartland Depot is a unique service our region provides to residents and is heavily reliant on the infrastructure investment, staffing and contractor services to support this operation. The cost for delivery of this service is approximately $\$ 800,000$ per year (2023 numbers) net of revenue from the services agreements for products covered under EPR. A complete list of HHW items currently accepted at the Hartland Depot is included as Appendix A.

In addition to the Hartland Depot program, and in support of a proactive strategy to remove additional residual HHW products from the waste stream, the CRD had also facilitated and funded collection of HHW from municipal yards, non-profit reuse organizations and gulf island recycling depots. Between 2006 and 2015, the CRD provided 26 mobile round-up events in Electoral Area communities. These ancillary collection programs were discontinued in 2016. This decision was made due to a number of factors, including: concerns around safety at these collection sites; decreasing volumes collected; a decision to focus resources towards the collection infrastructure available for residents region-wide at the Hartland Depot; and expansion of provincial EPR programs providing collection for many HHW products in Electoral Area communities, making the mobile round-up events somewhat redundant.

Education materials were developed and distributed in 2016 to communities impacted by the discontinuation of the biennial mobile HHW round-up events on the Gulf Islands. Residents were encouraged to take a "pack-in, pack-out" approach to managing their unwanted HHW products, as most of these items would have been purchased from off-island locations. This communication also included information about allowances and restrictions for both regular and dangerous goods sailings on the BC Ferries.

The range of products included in provincial EPR programs, and the associated collection of these materials within Electoral Areas, has expanded over the years, as well as the number of collection points throughout the province and in our region. A list of locations within Electoral Area
communities that accept this material on an ongoing basis can be found in Appendix B. Through expansion of the BC Recycling Regulation, it is expected that by 2025 , collection programs will be operational in the province for orphan items such as compressed canisters (fuel, adhesives, bear spray) propane tanks, fire extinguishers and more aerosols, battery types and electronic accessories, providing additional return opportunities for consumers.

Reinstatement of mobile HHW collection service within the Electoral Area communities would involve the following key items:

- securing locations and dates for events
- hiring a contractor to manage the events including all aspects of collection, packaging, transportation and processing as well as site safety
- advertising and promotion

Preliminary estimates provided by a qualified third party to conduct mobile collection events in these communities range from $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ per community, depending on volume and type of products received, as well travel logistics and subsequent transport of material to sorting and processing facilities. The Environmental Resource Management (ERM) budget for the HHW service is limited to the Hartland Depot operation and community education; costs for mobile collection events are not currently included in the ERM budget. The total cost to provide one-time HHW events in the six Electoral Area communities is estimated to be $\$ 200,000$.

## CONCLUSION

The acceptance of both provincially-regulated (Extended Producer Responsibility) and non-regulated household hazardous waste at the Hartland Depot offers residents a one-stop drop for their unwanted products, and provides for safe and efficient collection, consolidation and packaging for transportation to processing. Cost for delivery of the service is approximately $\$ 800,000$ per year. Off-site Capital Regional District collection programs were conducted between 2006 and 2015, but discontinued due to concerns around site safety, reducing volumes and a renewed focus on the centralized collection infrastructure at the Hartland Depot. Through expansion of the $B C$ Recycling Regulation, it is expected that by 2025, collection programs will be operational for items such as compressed canisters (fuel, adhesives, bear spray) propane tanks, fire extinguishers and more aerosols, battery types and electronic accessories.

## RECOMMENDATION

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

| Submitted by: | Russ Smith, Senior Manager, Environmental Resource Management |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Larisa Hutcheson, P. Eng., General Manager, Parks \& Environmental Services |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Hartland Depot - Accepted Household Hazardous Waste Products
Appendix B: Household Hazardous Waste Collection Locations within Electoral Areas
Appendix C: BC Ferries - Dangerous Goods Information

## What is Household Hazardous Waste?

Household hazardous waste (HHW) is any waste from your home that you consider to be dangerous or unsure of. It includes any leftover household products that are marked flammable, corrosive, explosive or poisonous. Common examples include pesticides, varnishes, paints, cleaners, and batteries.

## Items accepted at the Hartland HHW facility:

Automotive Products
Air conditioning refrigerants Antifreeze
Autobody filler
Automobile batteries - lead acid
Brake fluid
Carburetor cleaner
Car wax with solvent
Diesel fuel
Chrome polish
Engine degreaser
Fuel additives
Gasoline (in ULC approved container)
Grease
Hardeners (MEKP)
Oil filters
Starter fluids
Transmission fluid
Used motor oil and containers
Windshield washer fluid

## Batteries

General household batteries
Lead acid batteries
Lithium-ion Batteries
Small Ni-Cad batteries (re-chargeable)
E-mobility batteries
Glues \& Cements
Liquid glues only (dispose of hardened glue as regular garbage)
Hobby Supplies
Chemistry sets
Kerosene
Photography chemicals

Household Products
Abrasive cleaners
Aerosol products
All-purpose cleaners
Ammonia
Disinfectants
Bleach
Drain cleaner
Floor wax strippers
Furniture polish and waxes
Linseed oil
Metal cleaners
Oven cleaners
Rust remover
Shoe polish (liquid)
Spot and stain remover
Toilet bowl cleaner
Upholstery and rug cleaners
Lighter fluid
Muriatic acid
Tub and tile cleaners
Window cleaners
Tanks \& Containers
Butane tanks
Gas tanks
Helium tanks
Kerosene containers
Pesticide containers
Propane tanks
Fire extinguishers

## Gardening and Pest Control

All fungicides
All herbicides
All insecticides
Chemical fertilizers
Flea/tick pet products
P.C.P Act

Rat poison
Slug bait
Wood preservatives
Mercury Items
Compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFLs)
Fluorescent tubes/ballasts
Metal halide lamps
Thermometers
Thermostats
Ultraviolet lamps
Pure Mercury
Miscellaneous
Barbecue starters
Smoke detectors
Swimming pool and spa/hot tub chemicals Cell phones
Electronics with re-chargeable batteries
Home heating oil

## Paints \& Solvents

Acetone
Empty containers
Latex paint
Lead based paint
Marine paint
Oil-based paint
Paint thinner and strippers
Plastic
Spray paint
Varnish or lacquer
Other solvents
Personal Care Products
Antibacterial soap
Foot powder
Hair dye
Hairspray
Hydrogen peroxide Jewelry cleaner Nail polish and remover Perm Iotion or solution Rubbing alcohol

## Items NOT accepted at the Hartland HHW facility:

## Ammunition

Call your local police/ fire department
for information.

Flares
Visit myrecyclopedia.ca and search flares for events and facilities that accept flares.

## Pharmaceuticals

Return all unused medication to pharmacies participating in the return program, free of charge.


Corrosive


Poison

For more information on Household Hazardous Waste please visit our website at www.crd.bc.ca/hhw or call the CRD Infoline at 250.360.3030.

## HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION LOCATIONS WITHIN ELECTORAL AREAS

| Electoral Area | Household Hazardous Waste - Extended Producer Responsibility Materials | Household Hazardous Waste <br> - Non-Extended Producer Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTHERN GULF ISLANDS |  |  |
| Galiano Island |  |  |
| Galiano Island Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Light bulbs/tubes <br> - Smoke and CO Alarms <br> - Single-use batteries <br> - Rechargeable batteries <br> - Oils/filters/containers (2024) |  |
| Mayne Island |  |  |
| Mayne Island Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Light bulbs/tubes <br> - Smoke and CO Alarms <br> - Electronics <br> - Single-use batteries <br> - Rechargeable batteries <br> - Empty oil/antifreeze jugs | - Inkjet/toner cartridges |
| Pender Island |  |  |
| Pender Island Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Light bulbs/tubes <br> - Smoke and CO Alarms <br> - Single-use batteries <br> - Rechargeable batteries <br> - Oils/filters/containers <br> - Antifreeze <br> - Automotive batteries <br> - Thermostats |  |
| Pender Island Firehall |  | - Propane cylinders/tanks |
| Saturna Island |  |  |
| Saturna Island Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Electronics <br> - Household batteries <br> - Lightbulbs/tubes <br> - Motor oil/antifreeze | - Inkjet/toner cartridges |
| Darryl's and James's Digs | - Automotive batteries <br> - Antifreeze <br> - Smoke and CO Alarms <br> - Thermostats | - Propane cylinders/tanks |


| Electoral Area | Household Hazardous Waste - Extended Producer Responsibility Materials | Household Hazardous Waste - Non-Extended Producer Responsibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALT SPRING ISLAND |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Pesticides, solvents, gasoline <br> - Light bulbs/tubes <br> - Smoke and CO Alarms <br> - Electronics <br> - Single-use batteries <br> - Rechargeable batteries <br> - eMobility batteries <br> - Automotive batteries <br> - Thermostats | - Inkjet/toner cartridges |
| Pharmasave/Lower Ganges | - Single-use batteries <br> - Rechargeable batteries |  |
| Harbour Authority Salt Sprint Island | - Oils/filters/containers |  |
| Salt Spring Garbage \& Recycling |  | - Propane cylinders/tanks |
| JUAN DE FUCA |  |  |
| Port Renfrew Garbage \& Recycling Depot | - Paint <br> - Paint plus <br> - Electronics <br> - Light bulbs/tubes <br> - Motor oil <br> - Cooking oil | - Propane tanks and canisters <br> - Fire extinguishers |

Travel advisory:
All Routes Status

Home / Travel and boarding / Dangerous goods

## Common dangerous goods

Carefully review the list below. If you plan to travel with dangerous goods, or you're shipping a trailer with dangerous cargo, you need to complete a dangerous goods shipping document ahead of travel. Print your completed document and present it to the ticket agent when you arrive at the terminal.
(1)

Diesel, gasoline and propane do not require dangerous goods documentation if within the limits described in the list below.


Complete dangerous goods shipping document
PDF 77 KB | 2 pages

If your particular product is not listed or you have any questions about travelling with dangerous good, you can email us at dg.bcf@bcferries.com, call 250-978-1152, or fax 250-3861652.

Aerosols no larger than 1 litre are allowed.

Automotive antifreeze is not considered dangerous and can be carried in any amount.

Auxiliary fuel tanks that form an integral part of the vehicle, connected by a fuel line to the engine fuel system, and firmly secured and protected from external damage, are permitted. Auxiliary fuel tanks are not to be confused with spare gas tanks (see Gasoline).

Adhesive products containing flammable liquid, such as contact cement, have special
requirements. Check with the terminal staff for information on acceptable quantities.

## Air bottles, scuba tanks and enriched air

- Oxygen for personal use is allowed in cylinders up to 5 litre water capacity. No transferring between containers is permitted.
- Scuba tanks (compressed air) for personal use is unlimited and must be declared - All full or partially-full tanks require a dangerous goods shipping document
- All full or partially-full tanks are to be transported in closed, locked vehicles, or in open vehicles, provided the tanks are out of sight
- Enriched air must be declared and fully documented
- The number of enriched air tanks may not exceed 8 per consignment (tank size not to exceed 20 kg ). Enriched air tanks follow the same conditions of transportation as Scuba tanks for safe stowage in vehicles.
- Empty tanks not containing air are not considered dangerous goods under the Transport of Dangerous Goods Regulations, as long as the valves are open and not under pressure
- Foot passengers may take these tanks on board, provided the tanks are taken immediately to the main vehicle deck for stowage during the voyage. The vessel's officer will identify to foot passengers a safe stowage area on the vessel.
- These tanks are not considered baggage and our employees are not permitted to handle them or to transport them in our baggage vans

Ammunition for small arms, rifles and shotguns are permitted on our ferries in small quantities, provided they are securely packaged and carried separately from the firearm.

Batteries for automobiles are permitted. New vehicle batteries and up to 3 used batteries can be transported.

Boat/RV gas can be carried in spare tanks. See gasoline for the amounts of gas which can be carried in spare tanks.

Coleman's fuel, naphtha and white gas products used to fill camp stoves and lanterns are permitted in 5 litre metal containers in vehicles, but foot passengers are prohibited from carrying them.

Cleansers like bleach and other household cleansers may be carried on our ferries in a carton designed to keep them upright and secure to avoid spillage and harmful fumes.

Diesel fuel in tidy tanks of 450 litres or less is permitted. No dangerous goods documentation required if you travel with amounts of diesel fuel within this limit.

Firearms may be transported under strict conditions.

- Firearms must be transported in compliance with Canadian Firearms Regulations. Firearms transported in vehicles must be:
- Unloaded
- Not visible from outside the vehicle
- Locked inside vehicle when unattended
- Foot passengers are not permitted to transport firearms, except on sailings between Port Hardy (Bear Cove) and Prince Rupert, and between Prince Rupert and Graham Island (Skidegate) on Haida Gwaii. On these routes, foot passengers with firearms must:
- Declare firearms to the ship's officer once on board
- Be responsible for securing the firearms in a locker designated by the ship's officer
- Airsoft guns, pellet guns, crossbows, bow and arrows, axes and similar items of concern are subject to restrictions, including:
- Foot passengers are not permitted to transport any items of concern with them; if they have any, these must be stored in checked luggage
- Items of concern transported in vehicles must be:
- Not visible from outside the vehicle
- Locked inside the vehicle when unattended
- On-duty police officers, armoured car personnel, or peace officers authorized to carry restricted firearms in the performance of their duties are permitted by law to possess and transport firearms

Fire extinguishers can be carried on BC Ferries as long as they are firmly packaged or secured to prevent them from moving.

Fireworks are prohibited on BC Ferries. Some pyrotechnics are permitted if they are used as safety equipment for a vehicle or are equipment being transported. These pyrotechnics will normally fall under the category of Class 1-1.4S.

Foot passenger carry-on commodities are allowed on the car deck, as long as the deck officer gives their authority, and a suitable storage area is available on the car deck.

- Passengers may carry outboard motors, chain saws, etc. onto the car deck only. This type of equipment is not permitted in passenger areas.
- A foot passenger may carry no more than 1 cylinder of propane, not exceeding 15 kg ( 30 litres). The cylinder must be carried on board by the customer and must be stowed on the car deck in a location designated by the ship's officer.

Fuel oils in tank truck quantities can be transported as long as the flash point of products is not less than $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the following fuels:

- UN 1202
- UN 1223
- UN 1267
- UN 1268
- UN 1300
- UN 1863

Gasoline in approved containers is permitted on BC Ferries in limited amounts, not exceeding 25 litres. However, foot passengers are not allowed to carry gasoline on board the ferry.

- The following may carry 1 spare container of gasoline:
- RVs and vehicles
- Vehicle carrying a boat on top
- Vehicle carrying or towing jet skis or quads
- The following may carry 2 spare containers of gasoline:
- Boat towed behind a vehicle

Note: No dangerous goods documentation required if you travel with amounts of gasoline within the limits above.

Gases, including most compressed gases and some liquefied gases, are acceptable. To prevent damage, cylinders must be properly secured within the vehicle.

Hay bales may be carried, but must be secured within a closed vehicle or the load must be completely tarped to prevent random ignition. A dangerous goods shipping document is required and is transported under UN 1327, and in addition Class 4.1 placarding must be displayed when transporting over 500 kgs (1100 lbs).

Helium can be transported aboard BC Ferries. All full or partially full tanks require a dangerous goods shipping document, and must be transported in closed, locked vehicles; or in open vehicles, provided the tanks are out of sight.

Kirpans are permitted for all of our passengers who are practicing members of the Sikh religion. All passengers travelling with Kirpans must do the following while aboard our ferries:

- Keep the Kirpan sheathed
- Keep the Kirpan worn underneath clothing
- Keep the Kirpan not visible to other passengers

Marine pollutants are hazardous to aquatic life and humans; therefore, all marine pollutants must be identified on a dangerous goods shipping document.

Methanol in the fuel tank of a race car is permitted up to a maximum of 25 litres. A maximum of 2 additional containers are permitted, but must be completely secured and labelled.

Oxygen for personal medical use is permitted, but cylinders may not exceed 5 litre water capacity.

- Cylinders that are not in a portable unit must be secured within the transport vehicle
- The transfer of liquid oxygen from the liberator or bulk container to the stroller or portable container on board the vessel is prohibited
- Please notify terminal staff if you are carrying personal medical oxygen

Paint and related paint products, including lacquer, enamel, stain, shellac, varnish, polish, liquid filler and liquid lacquer base, paint thinners or reducing compounds are allowed for personal use, and if purchased at a public retail outlet.

- If you're transporting commercial quantities, check with the terminal of departure for details of flash point limits for flammable products
- Latex or water-based paint is not subject to regulations

Propane valves must be closed and sealed with the tags issued at the ticket booth, and the cylinders must be upright and firmly secured to prevent tipping.

- Commercial vehicles are prohibited from carrying propane tanks
- RVs are permitted:
- $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~kg}$ cylinders (50 litre water capacity each) connected to a regulator and secured in or on the vehicle
- $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~kg}$ (30 litre water capacity) for a barbecue
- Passenger vehicles are permitted:
- $1 \times 15 \mathrm{~kg}$ (30 litre water capacity)
- Important propane safety alert:
- The brass valve in a propane cylinder will be damaged if it comes in contact with anhydrous ammonia. This deterioration will lead to cracking of the valve body or its components and can ultimately result in a violent, unexpected expulsion of the valve from the cylinder, causing personal injury or death.

Note: No dangerous goods documentation required if you travel with amounts of propane within the limits above.

Service vehicles, including welding, refrigeration and plumbing vehicles, or any vehicle having a service repair function, may be classed as a service vehicle. Service vehicles travelling in marine mode are required to present a shipping document for dangerous goods. Call the terminal of departure for details on limits.

Wheelchairs (motorized) have no restrictions when they are in use, but there are some precautions when they are carried as cargo or freight:

- The battery must be securely in place, disconnected, with the terminals insulated to prevent short-circuiting
- The chair itself must be securely anchored so that it will not move
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## REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Capital Regional District External Grants Update

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To provide the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board a bi-monthly update on external grants activity for the period between June 20, 2023 and August 21, 2023.

## BACKGROUND

This report summarizes activities and outcomes since the CRD External Grants Update was last presented in July. The External Grants Dashboard (Appendix A) details applications submitted, updated, awarded and declined as of 2023. Appendix B provides a summary of grant alerts for 2023.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

## Grants/Contributions Awarded

1. $\$ 65,000$ through the Ministry of Municipal Affairs' Regional District Basic Grant program to assist with administration costs for electoral areas.
2. $\$ 60,000$ through BC Hydro's Sustainable Communities \& Industry Partnerships Project Implementation Offer to support the Neighbourhood Energy Navigator Project.
3. $\$ 45,000$ through UBCM's Support Local Preparedness for Implementation of NG911 to provide base funding for Next Generation 911.
4. $\$ 12,000$ through University of Victoria's Pacific Institute for Climate Solutions Internship Program to support the Regional Climate Projections - Understanding Current Use, Gaps, and Opportunities Project.
5. $\$ 10,000$ through BC Healthy Communities Society's PlanH program to support the Decolonizing Health Policy, Planning and Programming in the Capital Region Project.
6. $\$ 7,500$ through Salt Spring Island Foundation's Community Grant Program to support New Audio System for Salt Spring Island Multi Space Gymnasium.
7. $\$ 6,000$ through Salt Spring Island Foundation's Community Grant Program to support Uniforms and Safety Gear for Martial Arts and Wrestling Programs.

There are two grants under news embargo.

## Applications Submitted

Two CRD applications were submitted:

1. $\$ 63,150,000$ through Infrastructure Canada's Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund to support the Capital Regional District - Regional Water System Upgrades - Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Improvement Project. This has an estimated total project cost of $\$ 150,000,000$.
2. $\$ 1,581,250$ through Natural Resources Canada's Zero Emission Vehicle Infrastructure Program - For Delivery Organizations to support the Capital Region EV Charger Assistance Program. This has an estimated total project cost of $\$ 3,100,000$.

## Service Delivery Implications

## New Grant Opportunities

Twenty-three grant calls (includes programs with multiple streams) were issued during the reporting period and are summarized in the table below. Appendix B details relevant active grants (as of August 21, 2023) and lists recently closed grants for 2023.

| Grant | Deadline | Information |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Veteran Homelessness Program - <br> Infrastructure Canada | 06-Jul-23 | Funding to prevent and reduce veteran <br> homelessness in Canada |
| Retrofit Funding for Multi-unit Residential <br> Buildings - Canada Greener Affordable <br> Housing - Canada Mortgage and Housing <br> Corporation | 31-Jul-23 | Access to low-interest repayable and <br> forgivable loans for deep energy retrofits <br> of multi-unit residential buildings |
| Housing Accelerator Fund - Canada <br> Mortgage and Housing Corporation | 18-Aug-23 | Incentive funding to local governments <br> to encourage initiatives aimed at <br> increasing housing supply |
| Community Climate Transitions Innovation <br> Fund - Tamarack Institute | 24-Aug-23 | Provides funding for community-led <br> climate change mitigation and <br> adaptation projects. |
| Community Based Projects - New Horizons <br> for Seniors Program | 14-Sep-23 | Funds projects that empower seniors in <br> their communities and contribute to <br> improving their health and well-being |
| Climate Change Adaptation Program - <br> Natural Resources Canada | 22-Sep-23 | Funding to increase access to climate <br> change adaptation tools and resources |


| Grant | Deadline | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disaster Risk Reduction - Climate Adaptation - UBCM | 06-Oct-23 | Funding to reduce risks from future disasters due to natural hazards and climate-related risks through three streams: <br> 1. Foundational Activities including risk mapping, risk assessments and planning <br> 2. Non-structural projects including land use planning and education and temporary mitigation equipment <br> 3. Small-Scale Structural Projects |
| Youth Innovation Component - Enabling Accessibility Fund - Employment and Social Development Canada | 10-Oct-23 | Funding for Youth Accessibility Leaders to find accessibility barriers in their communities |
| Innovator Skills Initiative - Innovate BC | 20-Oct-23 | Funding to employers to hire for tech or tech-related roles |
| Volunteer and Composite Fire Departments <br> Equipment and Training - Community <br> Emergency Preparedness Fund - UBCM | 20-Oct-23 | Funding to fire departments for preparation and response to emergencies |
| BC Active Transportation Infrastructure Grants Program - Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure | 27-Oct-23 | Funding to support network planning grants and infrastructure grants to support the development of active transportation infrastructure for all ages and abilities |
| Rural Economic Diversification and Infrastructure Program - Ministry of Employment, Business and Economic Development | 30-Oct-23 | Funding for rural economic development projects that promote economic capacity building, economic diversification, resilience, clean economy and infrastructure development through three streams: <br> 1. Economic Capacity <br> 2. Economic Diversification <br> 3. Forest Impact Transition |
| Indigenous Cultural Safety and Cultural Humility Training - UBCM | 03-Nov-23 | To enhance cultural safety and humility in the delivery of local emergency management programs and services |
| Complete Communities Program - UBCM | 12-Jan-24 | Funding to undertake assessments to inform land use decision-making; considering housing need, supply and location; providing transportation options; and connecting to infrastructure investment and servicing decisions |


| Grant | Deadline | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Safety Support Fund - Fierte Canada Pride (FCP) | 31-Mar-24 | Provides funding to both FCP members and non-members for Pride Festivals and Events between June 1, 2023 and March 31, 2024 |
| Rental Protection Fund - Ministry of Housing | No established deadline | Provides funding for affordable housing projects |
| Environmental Jobs Growth Program Environmental Careers Organization of Canada | Continuous | Funding for training and employment to support job seekers looking to enter or advance their careers in the clean economy |
| Community Support, Multiculturalism, and Anti-Racism Initiatives Program - Canadian Heritage | Continuous | Funding to community-based events that promote intercultural or interfaith understanding, promote discussions on multiculturalism, diversity, racism and religious discrimination, or celebrate a community's history and culture such as heritage months recognized by Parliament |
| Next Generation 911 - UBCM | Continuous through 2025 | Funding to eligible recipients to support the transition and operational readiness of existing 911 services to NG911 |

## CONCLUSION

The CRD recognizes grants are a supplementary funding source to address the needs of services provided to the region. The External Grants Update outlines how the CRD continues to integrate and consider these grant opportunities relative to service needs, as well as informing local partners of these opportunities through the Grants Dashboard and Grants Alerts. The CRD will continue to provide a summary of activities and outcomes in the External Grants Update on a bimonthly basis.

## RECOMMENDATION

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

| Submitted by: | Lia Xu, MSc., CPA, CGA, Finance Manager, Local Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: 2023 External Grants Dashboard
Appendix B: 2023 Grant Alerts
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## APPENDIX A 2023 EXTERNAL GRANTS DASHBOARD

(Updated as of 21-Aug-23)

| Approved | Pending | Declined / Withdrawn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 10 | 16 |
| $\$ 22,941,455$ | $\$ 77,954,312$ | $\$ 14,644,091$ |

## 2023 Approved Grants

| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Services | Inclusive Governance Study Grant - Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Province of BC | Inclusive Regional Governance | 60,000 | N/A |
|  | Restructure Planning Grant - Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Province of BC | Community Issues Assessment for Magic Lake Estates | 15,000 | N/A |
|  | Capital and Innovation Program - Island Coastal Economic Trust | SGI: Miners Bay Dock Revitalization Project, Mayne Island | 30,000 | 2023 |
|  | TD Friends of the Environment Foundation - TD Bank | SGI: Pender Island Parks and Recreation Commission Youth Native Tree Planting Program Project | 6,000 | 2023 |
| Executive Services | CleanBC Organic Infrastructure and Collection Program - Organic Processing Infrastructure | Salt Spring Island Composting Project | 168,462 | 2021 |
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| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Services (con't) | Bloom Fund - Salt Spring Island Foundation | SSI: Mount Maxwell Park Land Acquisition | 100,000 | 2022 |
|  | 2023 Canada Summer Jobs - Employment and Social Development Canada | Salt Spring Island Parks | 13,154 | 2023 |
|  | Community Grants Fund - Salt Spring Island Foundation | Salt Spring Island Multi-Space Dance Project | 9,000 | 2022 |
|  | Infrastructure Planning Grant Program Province of BC | SSI: Mount Erskine Water System Infrastructure Condition Assessment | 7,500 | 2022 |
|  | Community Grants Fund - Salt Spring Island Foundation "New | Uniforms and Safety Gear for Martial Arts and Wrestling Programs | 6,000 | 2023 |
|  | Community Grants Fund - Salt Spring Island Foundation "New | New Audio System for SIMS Gymnasium | 7,500 | 2023 |
| Finance and Technology | Growing Communities Fund - Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Province of BC | Growing Communities Fund | 11,559,000 | N/A |
|  | Regional District Basic Grant-Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Province of BC "New | Administrative Support to Electoral Areas | 65,000 | N/A |
| Integrated Water Services | Strategic Priorities Fund - Canada CommunityBuilding Fund | Regional Water Supply Main No. 4 Mt. Newton to Highway 17 Section Replacement | 6,000,000 | 2022 |
|  | Restructure Implementation Grant - Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Province of BC | Conversion and Transfer of Seagirt Waterworks to the CRD | 5,000 | N/A |
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| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year <br> Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parks \& Environmental Services | 2022/23 Active Transportation Infrastructure Stream - BC Active Transportation Infrastructure Grants Program | Mayne Island Regional Trail Phase 1 Development Project | 500,000 | 2022 |
|  | Priority Places Program - Environment and Climate Change Canada | Species at Risk Assessment, Planning, and Habitat Restoration at Mill Hill Regional Park 2023-2026 | 180,000 | 2022 |
|  | Custom Efficiency Program Implementation Incentive - Fortis BC | Energy Conservation Measures at CRD HQ | 11,700 | 2023 |
|  | Sustainable Communities and Industry Partnerships Project Implementation Offer BC Hydro "New | Neighbourhood Energy Navigator Project | 60,000 | 2023 |
|  | Pacific Institute for Climate Solutions Internship Program - University of Victoria "New | Regional Climate Projections: Understanding Current Use, Gaps, and Opportunities | 12,000 | 2023 |
| Planning \& Protective Services | Reaching Home: Canada's Homelessness Strategy - Infrastructure Canada | Designated Communities Stream (2023-24) | 3,194,540 | 2023-24 |
|  | Island Rail Corridor Funding Grant - Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure - Province of BC | Island Rail Corridor Consultation | 600,000 | 2023 |
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| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning \& Protective Services (Con't) | 2022 Volunteer \& Composite Fire Departments Equipment and Training - Community Emergency Preparedness Fund - UBCM | Capital Regional District Fire Department Training \& Equipment Project | 178,599 | 2022 |
|  | Regional Emergency Management Planning Grant - Ministry of Emergency Management and Climate Readiness - Province of BC | Regional Emergency Planning 2023 | 50,000 | N/A |
|  | 2023 Emergency Operations \& Training Community Emergency Preparedness Fund UBCM | CRD DOC/EOC Capacity Development Project | 30,000 | 2023 |
|  | 2023 Emergency Operations \& Training Community Emergency Preparedness Fund UBCM | CRD Evacuee Registration and Assistance (ERA) Team Project | 18,000 | 2023 |
|  | Healthy Public Policy Stream - Healthy Communities Grant - PlanH *New | Decolonizing Health Policy, Planning and Programming in the Capital Region | 10,000 | 2023 |
|  | Base Funding - Next Generation 911 - UBCM "New | Support Local Preparedness for Implementation of NG911 | 45,000 | N/A |
| Total |  |  | 22,941,455 |  |
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## .... 2023 Pending Grants

| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive Services | 2023 Canada Summer Jobs - Employment and Social Development Canada | Panorama \$51,365 and SEAPARC $\$ 42,908$ | 94,273 | 2023 |
| Integrated Water Services | Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund Infrastructure Canada "New | Capital Regional District - Regional Water System Upgrades - Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Improvement Project. | 63,150,000 | 2023 |
| Parks \& Environmental Services | CleanBC Communities Fund - Climate Change Mitigation - Green Infrastructure Stream Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program | Capital Regional District Public Electric Vehicle Charging Network Project | 6,403,671 | 2022 |
|  | Active Transportation Fund - Infrastructure Canada | Galloping Goose Regional Trail and Selkirk Trestle Enhancement Project (Submitted by Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, in partnership with Regional Parks) | 5,848,328 | 2022 |
|  | CleanBC - BC Hydro Commercial Custom Program | Panorama Energy Recovery Project | 200,000 | 2020 |
|  | Community Sport Program Development ViaSport | SEAPARC's Learn to Play Lacrosse Program | 3,000 | 2023 |
|  | For Delivery Organizations - Zero Emission Vehicle Infrastructure Program - Natural Resources Canada "New | Capital Region EV Charger Assistance Program | 1,581,250 | 2023 |

वृٍ
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| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning \& Protective Services | Community Resiliency Initiative Grant - 2023 FireSmart Community Funding \& Supports UBCM | Capital Regional District FireSmart Project - 2023 | 348,790 | 2022 |
|  | Sustainable Affordable Housing Study Grant Green Municipal Fund - Federation of Canadian Municipalities | Campus View Redevelopment Project | 175,000 | 2023 |
|  | 2023/24 Complete Communities Grant Program - UBCM | Capital Region Growth and Mobility Study | 150,000 | 2023 |
| Total |  |  | 77,954,312 |  |

Making a difference...together

## X <br> 2023 Declined / Withdrawn Grants

| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporate Services | Economic Diversification Stream - Rural Economic Diversification and Infrastructure Program | Last-mile Connectivity and Economic Development Project for the Southern Gulf Islands (Declined) | 576,725 | 2023 |
|  | Community Sport Program Development ViaSport "New | SGI: Mayne Island Parks and Recreation Commission BCSPP Community Sports Program (Declined) | 3,000 | 2023 |
| Executive Services | Environmental Quality - Green Infrastructure Stream - Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program | SSI: Cedars of Tuam - New Well and Water Treatment Plant (Declined) | 1,143,948 | 2022 |
|  | Active Transportation Infrastructure Stream BC Active Transportation Infrastructure Grants Program | SSI: Merchant Mews Pathway Project (Declined) | 81,900 | 2022 |
|  | Reaching Each and Everyone: A Community Sport Intervention Program - Canadian Parks and Recreation Association | SSI: Inclusive Recreation Project (Declined) | 50,000 | 2022 |
|  | Community Grants Program - ICBC | Salt Spring Island Speed Reader Boards (Withdrawn) | 21,200 | 2022 |
|  | 2023 Youth Employment ExperienceCanadian Parks and Recreation Association | Youth Program Leader Position, Salt Spring Island (Declined) | 14,768 | 2023 |
| Integrated Water Services | Environmental Quality - Green Infrastructure Stream - Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program | JDF: Anderson Cove Water Main Extension Project (Declined) | 1,766,905 | 2022 |
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| Department | Grant Program | Project | Amount (\$) | Year Submitted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Environmental Quality - Green Infrastructure Stream - Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program | SGI: Skana Water System Storage Tank Replacement (Declined) | 535,272 | 2022 |
| Parks \& Environmental Services | Strategic Priorities Fund - Canada Community Building Fund | Lochside Regional Trail and Swan Lake Trestle Enhancement Project, Capital Region, Victoria, BC (Declined) | 5,999,999 | 2022 |
|  | Strategic Priorities Fund - Canada Community Building Fund | Lochside Regional Trail and Brett Avenue Trestle Enhancement Project, Capital Region, Victoria, BC (Declined) | 4,376,354 | 2022 |
|  | New Horizons for Seniors Program Employment and Social Development Canada | Community Garden Improvements at the Greenglade Community Centre (Declined) | 25,000 | 2020 |
|  | New Horizons for Seniors Program Employment and Social Development Canada | SGI: Dinner Bay Seniors Fitness Circuit Project (Declined) | 25,000 | 2022 |
|  | 2023 Youth Employment Experience Canadian Parks and Recreation Association | Assistant Cultural Programmer for Regional Parks (Withdrawn) | 8,000 | 2023 |
|  | Community Connectedness Stream - Healthy Communities Grant - PlanH *New | Building Community Connection Regional Parks Inclusive and Adaptive Hiking with Power to Be (Declined) | 4,200 | 2023 |
| Planning \& Protective Services | Community Wellness Grant - Island Health | Village on the Green Redevelopment Project (Declined) | 11,820 | 2023 |
| Total |  |  | 14,644,091 |  |

## APPENDIX B 2023 GRANT ALERTS

Open Grants as of 21-Aug-23

| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 24-Aug-23 | Community Climate Transitions Innovation Fund - <br> Tamarack Institute [LINK] | Provides funding for community-led climate change mitigation and adaptation <br> projects. |
| 31-Aug-23 | Aquatic Invasive Species Prevention Fund - <br> Fisheries and Oceans Canada [LINK] | Funding for efforts to prevent the introduction and spread of aquatic invasive <br> species. |
| 01-Sep-23 | Community to Community Program - UBCM [LINK] | Funding to support increased understanding and improve relations between First <br> Nations and local governments. |
| 14-Sep-23 | Greener Neighbourhoods Pilot Program - <br> Demonstration Projects - Natural Resources <br> Canada [LINK] | Funding for six Energiesprong-inspired aggregated deep energy retrofit projects in <br> communities across Canada. |
| 14-Sep-23 | Community Based Projects - New Horizons for <br> Seniors Program [LINK] | Funds projects that empower seniors in their communities and contribute to <br> improving their health and well-being. |
| 15-Sep-23 | BC Asset Management Planning Program - UBCM <br> [LINK] | Funding to assist local governments in delivering sustainable services by extending <br> and deepening asset management practices. |
| 22-Sep-23 | Climate Change Adaptation Program - Natural <br> Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding to increase access to climate change adaptation tools and resources. |


| DEADLINE |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| P60GRAM | PROGRCRIPTION |  |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04-Nov-23 | Enhancement \& Restoration Grants - Habitat Conservation Trust Foundation [LINK] | Funding for projects that focus on native freshwater fish, wildlife and their habitats and have the potential to achieve a significant conservation outcome. |
| 31-Dec-23 | 2023 Fire Smart Community Funding and Supports Program - Community Resiliency Investment UBCM [LINK] | Funding to increase community resiliency through Fire Smart planning/activities to reduce wildfire risk. |
| Continuous through 2023 | Community Placemaking Program - Island Coastal Economic Trust [LINK] | Funding for communities to reimagine and revitalize public spaces. |
| Continuous <br> through 2023 | 2 Billion Trees Program - Government of Canada [LINK] | Funding for tree planting through three streams: <br> 1. Mass Planting <br> 2. Small-Scale Planting <br> 3. Urban/Suburban |
| Continuous through 2023 | Forest Enhancement Society of BC [LINK] | Funding support to reduce wildfire risk and increase community resilience. |
| Continuous through 2023 | Play Your Court Program - National Bank of Canada [LINK] | Funding to give new life to community tennis courts. |
| 12-Jan-24 | Complete Communities Program - UBCM [LINK] | Funding to support local government and modern treaty First Nations undertake assessments to inform land use decision-making; considering housing need, supply and location; providing transportation options; and connecting to infrastructure investment and servicing decisions. |
| 31-Маг-24 | Community Safety Support Fund - Fierte Canada Pride (FCP) [LInk] | Provides funding to both FCP members and non-members for Pride Festivals and Events between June 1, 2023, and March 31, 2024. |


| DEADLINE |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| No established <br> deadline | Rental Protection Fund - Ministry of Housing <br> [LINK] | Provides funding for affordable housing projects. |
| Continuous <br> through 2025 | Next Generation 911 - UBCM [LINK] | Funding to eligible recipients to support the transition and operational readiness of <br> existing 911 services to NG911. |
| Continuous <br> through March <br> 2026 | Local Community Accessibility Grants Program - <br> SPARC BC [LINK] | Funding to identify and remove barriers to improve accessibility and inclusion. |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Continuous | Capital Project: Signature Initiative - Green <br> Municipal Fund - Federation of Canadian <br> Municipalities [LINK] | Funding (combined loans and grants) to help Canadian cities and communities of <br> all sizes implement bold environmental projects that reduce Greenhouse Gas <br> Emissions (GHG) emissions and protect the air, water, or land. |
| Continuous | New Construction and Retrofits for Affordable <br> Housing - Federation of Canadian Municipalities <br> [LINK] | Funding to evaluate solutions for integrating deep energy efficiency measures and <br> onsite renewable energy generation in existing affordable housing retrofit and <br> new build projects. |
| Continuous | Wastewater Systems - Federation of Canadian <br> Municipalities [LINK] | Funding for wastewater treatment systems through two streams: <br> 1. Capital <br> 2. Study |
| Continuous | GHG Impact Retrofits - Federation of Canadian <br> Municipalities [LINK] | Funding to retrofit a local recreational or cultural facility to reduce GHG emissions. |
| Continuous | Commemorate Canada - Canadian Heritage [LINK] | Funding for initiatives that commemorate and celebrate historical figures, places, <br> events and accomplishments of national significance. |
| Continuous | Community Building Monitoring and Analysis <br> Grant - Green Municipal Fund - Federation of <br> Canadian Municipalities [LINK] | Funding to track energy use of existing community facilities over time, compare <br> the energy performance of their buildings to similar buildings in other <br> municipalities and identify opportunities to save energy. Funding is intended for <br> community building owners who do not have a building monitoring and analysis <br> system in place. |
| Continuous | GHG Reduction Pathway Feasibility - Green <br> Municipal Fund - Federation of Canadian <br> Municipalities [LINK] | Funding to assess feasibility for projects that reduce energy and GHGs for <br> recreational and cultural facilities. |


| DEADLINE |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Continuous | McConnell Foundation Fund [LINK] | PROGRAM |
| Continuous | Funding to support projects through three streams: <br> 1. Climate <br> 2. Reconciliation <br> 3. Communities |  |
| Continuous | Medium and Heavy Duty Zero Emission Vehicles <br> Program - Transport Canada [LINK] | Funding to encourage adoption of medium and heavy-duty zero emissions <br> vehicles. |
| Affordable Housing-Green Municipal Fund - |  |  |
| Federation of Canadian Municipalities [LINK] |  |  |$\quad$| Funds for deliverables required in applications for additional funding of energy |
| :--- |
| efficient affordable housing. |
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| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | \left\lvert\, \(\left.\begin{array}{ll}Continuous \& \begin{array}{l}Zero Emission Transit Fund - Infrastructure Canada <br>

[LINK]\end{array}\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Funding through two components to support public transit and school bus } \\
\text { operators to electrify their fleets: } \\
\text { 1. Planning projects } \\
\text { 2. Capital projects }\end{array}
$$\right.\right]\)

Closed Grants

| DEADLINE | PROGRAM |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 04-Jan-23 | Rural Economic Diversification and Infrastructure <br> Program - Ministry of Employment, Business and <br> Economic Development [LINK] | Funding for rural economic development projects that promote economic capacity <br> building, economic diversification, resilience, clean economy and infrastructure <br> development through three streams: <br> 1. Economic Capacity <br> 2. Economic Diversification <br> 3. Forest Impact Transition. (CRD is excluded but EAs may request an exemption) |
| 11-Jan-23 | Green Jobs - Science and Technology Internship <br> Program - Natural Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding for youth training placements to build knowledge and skills for future <br> employment in the natural resources sectors. |
| 12-Jan-23 | Canada Summer Jobs - Employment and Social <br> Development Canada [LINK] | Funding for wage subsidies for employers to create summer work experiences for <br> youth 15-30 years of age. |
| 12-Jan-23 | Documentary Heritage Communities Program - <br> Libraries and Archives Canada [LINK] | Funding to commemorate local history and heritage. |
| 15-Jan-23 | TD Friends of the Environment Foundation Grant - <br> TD Bank [LINK] | Funding for environmental initiatives with a primary focus on environmental <br> education and green space programs. |
| 16 Jan-23 | BC Vision Zero Grant Program - BC Injury and <br> Prevention Unit [LINK] | Funding for projects that protect vulnerable road users from serious injury, <br> disability or death. |
| 18-Jan-23 | Fealthy Canadians and Communities Fund - Public <br> (Extended) <br> Health Agency of Canada [LINK] | Funding for interventions that address health inequities through three streams: <br> 1. Creating supportive social environments for tobacco prevention and cessation. <br> 2. Creating food environments that enable access to healthy foods to improve <br> healthy eating. |
| 3. Creating and/or promoting healthy built environments to increase physical |  |  |
| activity. |  |  |


| DEADLINE |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 18 -Jan-23 | PROGRAM <br> Infrastructure Planning Grant Program - Province <br> of BC [LINK] | Funding for projects supporting the development of sustainable community <br> infrastructure. |
| 27-Jan-23 | 2023 Emergency Support Services - Community <br> Emergency Preparedness Fund - UBCM [LINK] | Capacity support for emergency support services including volunteer recruitment, <br> training and purchase of equipment. |
| 31-Jan-23 | Wildfire Community Preparedness Day - FireSmart <br> Canada [LINK] | Funding to support Wildfire Community Preparedness Day May 6, 2023. |


| Deadine | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28-Feb-23 | Scotts Gro for Good - Scotts Miracle Grow [LINK] | Funding to support community efforts to showcase the benefits of gardening, including encouraging healthy eating habits. |
| 03-Маг-23 | Fairs, Festivals and Events Fund - Ministry of Tourism, Arts, Culture and Sport [LINK] | One-time funding to event organizers to support the sustained recovery of community events. |
| 09-Mar-23 | Community Foundation Grants - Canada Post Community Foundation [LINK] | Funding to small municipalities to make a difference in the lives of children and youth. |
| 13-Маг-23 | Zero Emission Vehicle Awareness Initiative Medium and Heavy Duty Stream - Natural Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding for new and innovative projects that aim to increase knowledge, awareness and confidence in medium and heavy-duty zero-emission or lowemission vehicles for fleet owners, drivers and general public. |
| 15-Маг-23 | Projects - Stream Rapid Housing Initiative Round 3 <br> - Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation <br> [LINK] | Funding to build new housing and/or purchase existing buildings that will be rehabilitated or converted into permanent affordable housing. |
| 17-Маг-23 | Poverty Reduction Planning and Action Program UBCM [LINK] | Funding to support local governments to reduce poverty and advance the province's poverty reduction strategy through two streams: <br> 1. Poverty Reduction Plans and Assessments <br> 2. Poverty Reduction Action |
| 17-Маг-23 | Emotive Community Outreach Incentive Program Go Electric Program - CleanBC [LINK] | Funding to small municipalities to assist in delivering Electric Vehicle (EV) awareness campaigns to accelerate adoption of EVs across BC. |
| 22-Маг-23 | Community Wellness Grant Program - Island Health [LINK] | Funding for upstream wellness interventions that reduce barriers and increase supports for health and wellness. |
| 27-Маг-23 | Living Cities Fund - Green Communities Canada [LINK] | Funding for community-based local organizations with training, funding, and logistical support to implement transformative green infrastructure projects. |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31-Маг-23 | 2023 Emergency Operations Centres and Training -Community Emergency Preparedness - UBCM [LINK] | Funding for purchase of equipment and supplies to maintain/improve EOCs and training. |
| 04-Apr-23 | Community Grants Program - Victoria Foundation [LINK] | Funding to strengthen community resilience through flexible, general operating funding to eligible local organizations. |
| 07-Apr-23 | Enabling Small Modular Reactors Program Natural Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding for research and development to develop and deploy small modular reactors for waste management. |
| 13-Apr-23 | Building for the Future - Housing Supply Challenge: Round 4 - Impact Canada (Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation) [LINK] | Funding for activities for innovative construction processes, techniques, systems and materials to increase affordable, climate-resistant housing. |
| 14-Apr-23 | 2023 Pilot Program - Urban Communities Partnering for Reconciliation - UBCM [LINK] | Funding for events and activities to enhance dialogue and support reconciliation efforts and resolve issues of common responsibility. |
| 14-Apr-23 | Emerging Approaches for Reducing Landfill Methane Emissions - Environment and Climate Change Canada [LINK] | Funding to encourage additional testing and further adoption of emerging technologies that permit continuous or drone-based monitoring of methane emissions in landfills. |
| 14-Apr-23 <br> (Extended) | Codes Acceleration Fund - National Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding to support adoption and implementation of national model building energy codes through two streams: <br> 1. Those who have the ability to adopt energy codes <br> 2. Those that do not have the ability to adopt energy codes |
| 17-Apr-23 | Habitat Acquisition Grants - Habitat Conservation Trust Foundation [LINK] | Funding for land trust organizations, including regional governments, to purchase properties to protect fish and wildlife habitat. |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28-Apr-23 | Deep Retrofit Accelerator Initiative - Natural Resources Canada [LINK] | Funding to support accelerated development of deep retrofit projects. |
| 28-Apr-23 | Heritage Legacy Fund - Heritage BC [LINK] | Funding for community initiatives to conserve and increase the understanding and appreciation of heritage resources as well as those working towards reconciliation with Indigenous peoples through collaboration. |
| 28-Apr-23 | 2023 Public Notification \& Evacuation Route <br> Planning - Community Emergency Preparedness - <br> UBCM [LINK] | Funding for evacuation route planning and public notification, emergency support services and Emergency Operations Centres (EOC) training. |
| 30-Apr-23 | Community Anniversaries - Building Communities Through Arts and Heritage - Canadian Heritage [LINK] | Provides funding for one-time events/capital projects that celebrate the 100th (or 125th/150th /175th, etc.) anniversary of a significant historical event/personality. |
| 01-May-23 | Capacity Grants - Habitat Conservation Trust Foundation [LINK] | Funding to build and strengthen the capacity of organizations to design and deliver conservation or restoration projects. |
| 05-May-23 | Community to Community Program - UBCM [LINK] | Funding to support increased understanding and improve relations between First Nations and local governments. |
| 12-May-23 | Asset Management Planning Program - UBCM [LINK] | Funding for local governments to deepen and strengthen asset management practices. |
| 15-May-23 | AgriSpirit Fund - Farm Credit Canada [LINK] | Funding for capital projects that enrich the lives of residents in communities of less than 150,000. |
| 15-May-23 | Healthy Communities Grant - PlanH [LINK] | Funding through two streams to support initiatives that advance programs and strategies that improve health equity and well-being: <br> 1. Community Connectedness <br> 2. Healthy Public Policy |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 15-May-23 | Emerging Priorities Stream - Community <br> Workforce Response Grant - WorkBC [LINK] | Funding for immediate skills training needs for labour market <br> challenges/opportunities. |
| 31-May-23 | Local Food Infrastructure Fund - Agriculture <br> Canada [LINK] | Funding for infrastructure investments that increase food security. |


| DEADLINE |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 16-Jun-23 | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |


| DEADLINE | PROGRAM | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 31 -Jul-23 | Retrofit Funding for Multi-unit Residential <br> Buildings- Canada Greener Affordable Housing - <br> Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation [LINK] | Access to low-interest repayable and forgivable loans for deep energy retrofits of <br> multi-unit residential buildings. |
| 31 -Jul-23 | TSBC Community Grant Program - Tire <br> Stewardship BC [LINK] | Funding to support the use of recycled tire products, including playgrounds, water <br> parks, tracks, playing fields, etc. |
| 18-Aug-23 <br> (Extended) | Housing Accelerator Fund - Canada Mortgage and <br> Housing Corporation (CMHC) [LINK\} | Incentive funding to local governments to encourage initiatives aimed at increasing <br> housing supply. |
| Currently <br> Closed <br> (Continuous) | Jobs and Growth Fund in British Columbia - Pacific <br> Economic Development Canada [LINK] | Funding through four streams: <br> 1. Activities that support the transition to a green economy <br> 2. Activities that foster an inclusive recovery <br> 3. Activities that advance Canada's competitiveness and grow small and medium- <br> sized enterprises through digital adoption <br> Activities that strengthen capacity in sectors critical to Canada's recovery and <br> growth |
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## REPORT TO TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT 2022 Capital Regional District Origin Destination Household Travel Survey

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To provide the results of the 2022 Capital Regional District (CRD) Origin Destination Household Travel Survey (OD Survey).

## BACKGROUND

The CRD 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey (profiles residential travel patterns on an average fall day). The OD Survey provides comprehensive data about how residents travel around the region and the underlying demographic and household factors that influence people's travel behaviours. The survey provides key data points about where people go, for what purpose and how they get there. The CRD, local governments, the Province and other agency partners use the data to support transportation and land use decisions, track progress toward regional transportation goals and guide additional research. The 2022 OD Survey is provided in Appendix A.

The OD Survey covers the Regional Planning Area, inclusive of the CRD's 13 municipalities and the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area. Since 2017, the OD Survey has also included Salt Spring Island. The OD Survey is statistically representative of travel behaviour in the region. Over the duration of the survey, 62,500 households were contacted, and 8,581 valid surveys were completed, exceeding the target of 7,650 surveys by $11 \%$. This completion rate represents $4.6 \%$ of all households in the Regional Planning Area and Salt Spring Island combined.

The 2022 OD Survey methods were adjusted to respond to administrative, societal and technological changes since 2017:

- Added questions about e-bikes and e-micromobility
- More nuanced questions relating to work from home and hybrid work from home
- Replaced 'other' with 'non-binary', 'prefer to self-describe' for gender
- Asked questions about dwelling tenure (rented or owned)
- Asked about household income
- Asked about access to electric vehicle (EV) charging
- Distinguished plug-in hybrid from hybrid vehicles

The above noted changes ensure that the data is robust and reflective of the times and that the 2022 OD Survey aligns well with other OD surveys undertaken in mid to large-sized regions in Canada. Additionally, they better support the CRD's climate action and equity goals.

Although it followed the same general procedure as previous surveys, the 2022 OD Survey is unique in that it took place following severe pandemic-induced impacts on travel. As a result, the report notes differences in travel behaviour from previous surveys and how the pandemic or other factors may have influenced these changes.

## Key Findings

Regional transportation goals are listed below. The OD Survey provides data that helps track the region's progress toward achieving these goals.

## Goal 1: Reduce congestion in the morning and afternoon peak periods

People are taking fewer trips, with a 10\% reduction in total trips in 2022 despite a 9\% increase in population since 2017. This trend can be attributed to changing travel behaviours in the densely populated Core (Victoria, Saanich, Oak Bay, Esquimalt and View Royal). However, trips within the Westshore (Langford, Colwood, Highlands, Metchosin and Sooke), and between the Westshore and the Core and the Saanich Peninsula (Central Saanich, Sidney and North Saanich), are slightly higher in 2022.

Trip volumes are also slightly down. People taking fewer trips throughout the day correspond to reductions in work and school commutes, as well as shopping, restaurant/bar, social activities and other activities that occur outside the commuter peaks. All these reductions are consistent with the lingering effects of pandemic activity shifts.

## Goal 2: Increase the number of people walking, cycling and taking transit

Mode share continues to trend in the right direction, with $29 \%$ of trips made by walking, cycling and transit use. This is up from the 2017 mode share of $26.6 \%$. The regional mode share goal is $45 \%$. As with trip volumes, mode share varies depending on where you live in the region.

Goal 3: Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the transportation sector In addition to gains in mode share, the region is also showing gains in the number of EVs-only. The gain in EVs between 2017 and 2022 is significant from 1,900 to 11,900 vehicles. EVs now represent $4 \%$ of the region's private vehicles, with hybrids at $3 \%$ and plug-in hybrids at $1 \%$. Note that the numbers in the OD survey reflect the responses of surveyed households and may not correspond to the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia's vehicle population breakdown.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Environmental \& Climate Action

Car travel is a significant contributor to GHG emissions. GHG emissions can be reduced in two ways: reducing car trips and switching to EV, hybrid and plug-in hybrid vehicles.

To better understand the rollout of a higher percentage of EVs, the OD Survey collected information on access to EV charging. Access is highest in five+ storey apartments, with $42 \%$ of these respondents having access in their building (33\%) or nearby (9\%). The access rate was $28 \%$ for single-detached dwellings, $26 \%$ for ground-oriented dwellings and $18 \%$ for apartments of less than five storeys.

The OD Survey also collected information on electric bicycles and e-micromobility devices. It found that $11 \%$ of adult bicycles were electric, representing 30,490 bicycles and that $2.3 \%$ of households had access to an e-micromobility device.

Survey data show that $32 \%$ of full-time workers who usually commute to an external workplace now work from home at least once a week. This trend has had a direct impact on daily travel volumes. With more people commuting and working, remote work could be another way to reduce the number of car trips.

## Regional Growth Strategy Implications

The Regional Growth Strategy (RGS) has set a goal of $42 \%$ of all trips made by walking, cycling and transit (sustainable modes) by 2038. Since adoption of the RGS, the regional target has been adjusted upwards to $45 \%$ to reflect the Victoria Regional Transit Commission increased the transit mode share target from $12 \%$ to $15 \%$ in 2020.

For 2022, $29 \%$ of trips were made using sustainable modes, up from $26.6 \%$ in 2017 . Table 1 provides more detail about the change in mode share over time.

Table 1 Details of sustainable mode shares, persons 11+, 2001-2022

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Travel <br> Mode | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share |
| Transit | 65,000 | $6.9 \%$ | 71,500 | $\mathbf{7 . 0} \%$ | 65,500 | $6.5 \%$ | 82,000 | $7.8 \%$ | 60,900 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 24,500 | $2.6 \%$ | 35,100 | $3.5 \%$ | 27,200 | $2.7 \%$ | 53,400 | $5.1 \%$ | 73,900 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Walk | 109,300 | $11.7 \%$ | 101,100 | $10.0 \%$ | 133,500 | $13.2 \%$ | 144,200 | $13.7 \%$ | 136,800 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Sustainable | $\mathbf{1 9 8 , 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 , 7 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 0 \%}$ |

Mode share gains are from a $2.8 \%$ increase in cycling since 2017. Notable is that while e-bikes only make up $10 \%$ of bicycles, they represent $30 \%$ of the cycling trips. Among sustainable modes, transit has the highest share for trips between the Core, Westshore and Saanich Peninsula.

Sustained effort is needed to meet the $45 \%$ regional mode share target by 2038. A preliminary assessment of mode shift potential indicates that more than half of car driver trips could be made by bicycle or on foot. Additional research is needed to understand whether walking or cycling is a suitable mode for the trip purpose.

Equity, Diversity \& Inclusion (EDI)
Survey wording was adjusted and new questions were added to better address EDI.
The OD Survey also provides insight into equity gaps. For instance, women and men make nearly equivalent numbers of walking trips, but women have only about two-thirds as many cycling trips as men. Other research supports that this difference is at least partially attributable to women being more reluctant to ride on infrastructure that is not physically separated from vehicle traffic.

Additionally, the OD Survey found that most people travelling by sustainable modes had access to a vehicle, except for transit users. A third of transit users did not have access to a vehicle, possibly making them 'captives' to taking transit. Further, a greater proportion of transit users are in households earning under $\$ 22,000$. This suggests the need to make transit more attractive so that it is a choice rather than a necessity to expand transit mode share.

## Intergovernmental Relations

The findings of the report were shared with local government staff at the September 11, 2023, joint meeting of the Regional Transportation Working Group, Development and Planning Advisory Committee and Climate Action Inter-Municipal Working Group. CRD staff will continue to support local government staff as they use the information for transportation and land use decisions.

## Service Delivery

Information from the OD Survey will be used to support further research by CRD staff such as an update to the CRD Housing and Transportation Cost Estimate Study and to support ongoing work related to transportation governance. Additionally, information will be available to support other CRD divisions such as Regional Parks and Climate Action with their initiatives. The OD Survey will be available publicly on the CRD website.

## Strategic / Corporate Plan

Information from the OD Survey can contribute to the following corporate plan initiatives:

- 4b-2 Prepare policy positions to support advocacy for infrastructure investments and service improvements to achieve regional transportation priority items related to mass transit, general transit improvements, active transportation and multi-modal and safe highways
- 4b-3 Support local governments to implement consistent approaches to transportation demand management, active transportation and safety policy
- 4d-1 Shift travel behaviour through education, encouragement, and information


## CONCLUSION

The CRD 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey, conducted every five years, provides valuable insight into the travel patterns of the region's residents. The CRD, local governments, the Province and other agency partners will use the results to support transportation and land use decisions, track progress toward regional transportation goals and guide additional research.

## RECOMMENDATION

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

Submitted by: Emily Sinclair, MCIP, RPP Senior Manager, Regional and Strategic Planning
Concurrence: Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services
Concurrence: Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

## ATTACHMENT

Appendix A: 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey
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## SURVEY HIGHLIGHTS

In fall 2022, the Capital Regional District (CRD) conducted a comprehensive origin-destination (0-D) survey. The 2022 CRD Origin-Destination Household Travel Survey is the latest in a series of surveys that have been conducted every 5-6 years. The survey profiles residents' 24 -hour travel patterns over the course of a typical fall weekday. The profile will aid the CRD in its Regional Growth Strategy, the Regional Transportation Plan and other ongoing sustainable planning initiatives.
The survey study area covers the Regional Planning Area (RPA) plus Salt Spring Island. The final sample comprises 8,581 households. The final survey dataset includes information on 18,023 residents of the CRD and 43,531 trips made by those residents aged $5+$ years. When weighted and expanded, the survey data represent approximately 184,700 households in the region and almost 405,500 residents. Overall, the survey dataset constitutes a randomly selected $4.6 \%$ sample of households and $4.4 \%$ sample of population.
The 2022 0-D survey followed the same general procedure as previous CRD 0-D surveys. However, the $20220-\mathrm{D}$ survey is unique in that it took place following the severe pandemicinduced impacts on travel. As a result, the report notes differences in travel behaviour from previous surveys and how the pandemic or other factors may have influenced these changes.
Survey highlights are presented below. Many of the highlights show comparisons with previous surveys, some dating back to 2001.1 Details can be found in the accompanying report.

## Demographics

Population and the numbers of households, workers and vehicles are all important determinants of travel. Since 2001, the number of workers (i.e., the number of potential commuters) has grown faster than the population. The numbers of households and vehicles have also grown faster than population, although households to a somewhat lesser extent than vehicles. Growth rates for all these variables were highest between 2017 and 2022, with employment and vehicles growing at a $1.85 \%$ compound annual growth rate.

In 2022, 212,800 people, or more than half the population, were employed, either full-time $(166,100)$ or part-time $(45,700)$. Almost one-quarter of the population was retired $(92,100$

[^0]people). By comparison, $54 \%$ of the population was in the working age cohort (25-64 years old) in 2022 and the 65+ population has increased by $23 \%$ since 2017 and $52 \%$ since $2011 .^{2}$

The four determinants relate to each other. These relationships help explain how and why travel behaviour has changed over time. Overall, the relationships among these determinants have been stable or have experienced only gradual changes. In 2022, the average household size was 2.19 people per household, which represents a modest reduction over time. There was an average of 1.19 workers per household, which represents a marginal reduction since 2011. Vehicle availability has also been steady, at 1.55 vehicles per household in 2022.

## Mobility options

There is a strong relationship between mode choice and access to a vehicle. In other words, if a household has a vehicle, it is likely to be used. This is especially true of workers, who have been shown in past surveys to have priority over the use of the household vehicle for their commute to work. Key findings are:

Access to a vehicle remains pervasive, with 89\% of RPA households having access to at least one vehicle. RPA households have an average of 1.56 vehicles each. Ninety-three percent of RPA residents of driving age (16+) have access to a household vehicle, representing an average of 0.83 vehicles per person 16+.
However, these rates vary by geography, with vehicle availability tending to be highest in suburban communities like Saanich and Langford and lowest in Victoria. The geographical variations may reflect differences in dwelling type, density, dwelling tenure, household income, occupational status, accessibility to transit and other factors. Further research may be needed to understand the underlying factors.

A slight reduction in vehicle dependency is apparent. In 2022, there were more zero- and 1vehicle households compared with 2017, with the number of single-vehicle households slightly exceeding those households with two or more vehicles. Moreover, the proportion of 'car-light' households has increased: These reflect households that have fewer vehicles than workers, at $19.9 \%$ of households in 2022 compared with $17.8 \%$ in 2017. While these may be encouraging findings in terms of potentially reducing the dependency on the private auto, it should be noted that the 2022 findings might have been influenced by the pandemicinduced economic conditions and impacts on household finances. As well, the average numbers of vehicles in multi-vehicle households continued to grow slightly, which pushed up the overall average number of vehicles per household slightly. Further research is needed to understand the underlying factors. There will also be a need to monitor these trends over time.

[^1]The take-up of alternative-fuelled 'green' vehicles is growing rapidly. Though still a small proportion of the private vehicle stock, ${ }^{3}$ the population of alternative-fuelled vehicles ('green' vehicles) has grown quickly in recent years. These include hybrids, plug-in hybrids, electric-only vehicles (EVs) and biodiesel. As the figure below shows, green alternativefuelled vehicles represented $8.5 \%$ of the vehicle population in 2022, triple the $2.8 \%$ share in 2017, which itself was more than double the $1.2 \%$ share in 2011. In absolute terms, this represents a tripling of green alternative-fuelled vehicles, from 8,100 vehicles in 2017 to 23,800 vehicles in $2022 .{ }^{4}$ This growth has been driven by the six-fold+ increase in electric vehicles, from 1,900 vehicles in 2017 to 11,900 vehicles in $2022 .{ }^{5}$ Note also that the absolute numbers of diesel and biodiesel vehicles have been declining over time, even as the total vehicle population has increased.
Households with green alternative-fuelled vehicles, 2011-2022


Note: Reflects households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings). Plug-in hybrids were not tracked separately from non-plug-in hybrids in 2011 and 2017.

Also noteworthy is households' access to bicycles and e-micromobility devices, which can complement, or serve as an alternative to owning, a household vehicle. Bicycles include adult and children's bicycles, as well as adult e-bikes (which have an electric motor to assist

[^2]R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.
the cyclist when they are pedalling). E-micromobility devices include e-scooters, eskateboards, hoverboards and other lightweight low-speed electric-powered devices. The survey counted electric throttle-assisted bicycles that do not need to be pedalled to accelerate are counted as e-micromobility devices, rather than as e-bikes. ${ }^{6}$
Bicycles are pervasive among RPA households, though not as pervasive as vehicles. Twothirds of RPA households ( $66 \%$ ) have at least one adult bicycle or e-bike. Seventy percent of households with children have at least one child-sized bicycle. E-bikes make up 10\% of the stock of all bicycles and 11\% of adult bicycles, while $2.3 \%$ of households have access to an e-micromobility device.

## Trip volumes

Through 2017, the total number of daily trips made by RPA residents increased, even as the average daily number of trips made per person decreased steadily. However, as shown in the figure below, 2022 marked a significant drop in both total trips and the average trip rate per person, for both the $5+$ and $11+$ thresholds. ${ }^{7}$ The evident explanation is an apparent lingering effect of the pandemic-induced changes in people's daily activities.

Trips for persons 11+ years, RPA households, 2001-2022


* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents 11+, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

[^3]From 2017, daily trip volumes for persons aged 5+ dropped by $10 \%$ to 995,900 daily trips in 2022 from 1,104,300 trips, as shown in the figure below. This corresponds to a $17 \%$ reduction in the average daily trip rate per person aged $5+$, to 2.63 trips per person in 2022 from 3.17 trips per person in 2017. It also corresponds to a $17 \%$ reduction in average daily trips per household, to 5.54 trips per household in 2022 from 6.69 trips per household in $2022 .{ }^{8}$

Figure 36. Daily trips for persons 5+ years, 2017 and 2022


* Total trip volumes: all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips in the RPA. Trips/person: trips in the RPA made by RPA residents, excluding Salt Spring Island residents and their trips.


## Reasons for travelling (trip purpose)

People travel for a variety of reasons. As shown in the figure below, commuting trips to work and school comprise $20 \%$ of daily trips. Stated another way, these commuting trips represent one-third ( $34 \%$ ) of all trips destinations outside the home. ${ }^{9}$ Including trips to pick up or drop off passengers (which are mostly associated with commuting to and from work or school) brings the total commuting and commuting-related trips to just under half the total ( $46 \%$ ). Trips for shopping, household maintenance and personal business comprise $17 \%$ of all trips, or $29 \%$ of all trips other than return home. Trips for recreational, dining (restaurant) and social activities make up $14 \%$ of all trips, or $24 \%$ of all trips other than return home.

[^4]Daily trip purpose, population 5+, 2022


However, compared with 2017, almost all trip purposes recorded reductions in volume, commensurate with the lingering pandemic-induced shifts in people's activities. The greatest reductions occurred in commuting and commuting-related trips to work and post-secondary school, likely reflecting the ongoing shifts in remote / on-site working and schooling. Shopping / household maintenance and restaurant trips also experienced significant reductions, consistent with a lingering use of online purchases.
Trips to elementary and secondary schools increased by $7 \%$, consistent with the $8.7 \%$ increase in the 5-17 population since 2017. The increase in these trips may reflect government policies that re-opened these schools as the pandemic eased, recognizing also that some parents may elect to keep their children home if the children or others were ill. Recreational trips also increased.

The composition of trip purposes fluctuates by time of day. The figure below shows a concentration of work, to school and pick-up and drop-off trips that dominates the AM peak period. After the AM peak period, shopping and personal business begin to increase, peaking in the hour beginning at 2 pm . Recreation, social and restaurant trips also increase, peaking in the hour beginning at 5 pm . All these purposes taper off significantly by the early evening. In the meantime, the return home trip builds after the AM peak period and dominates and peaks during the PM peak period. ${ }^{10}$

[^5]Trip purpose by start hour, 2022


All trips made in the RPA by persons $5+$, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.
As noted, these volumes reflect a reduction in travel activity since 2017. The figure below shows that the reductions are not limited to the typical peak travel times. Their breadth across the day corresponds to reductions in work and school commutes, as well as shopping, restaurant/bar and social activities and other activities that occur outside the commuter peaks. All these reductions are consistent with the lingering effects of pandemic activity shifts.

Trip volumes by hour of day, 2017 and 2022


All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

## Mode shares

The figure below shows the mode shares of weekday trips made by RPA persons 5+, based on the primary mode of the trip. ${ }^{11}$ It can be seen that:

- More than two-thirds (69\%) of daily trips are made by auto. Of these, $54 \%$ are made as the driver, of which almost three-quarters are made by the driver travelling alone ( $39 \%$ of all trips). Another $15 \%$ are made with one or more passengers.
- $6 \%$ of trips are made by transit, almost all of which are accessed on foot ( $95.2 \%$ of transit trips). Another $3.3 \%$ are accessed as park-and-ride and kiss-and-ride and 1.5\% are accessed by bicycle or micromobility modes.
- Almost one-quarter of trips are made by active transportation modes, with $15 \%$ made on foot, $8 \%$ by bicycle or e-bike and $0.16 \%$ by micromobility modes.
- $30 \%$ of bicycle trips are made by e-bikes, even though they make up only $10 \%$ of the stock of adult and children's bicycles. This suggests a more regular use of e-bikes than of other bikes.

Daily mode shares, persons 5+, 2022


| Auto Driver Trips | Mode <br> share | \% of driver trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single Occupant (SOV) | 39.1\% | 73.1\% |
| 2-Occupant (HOV-2) | 11.0\% | 20.6\% |
| 3-Occupant (HOV-3+) | 3.3\% | 6.1\% |
| Transit Access | Mode share | \% of transit trips |
| Walk Access | 5.9\% | 95.1\% |
| Park \& Ride (drive access) | 0.1\% | 1.8\% |
| Kiss \& Ride (passenger) | 0.1\% | 1.7\% |
| Bicycle/ Micromobility | 0.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Bicycle/Micromobility | Mode <br> share | \% of bike/ micromobility |
| Bicycle | 5.4\% | 68.4\% |
| E-bike | 2.3\% | 29.5\% |
| E-micromobility | 0.14\% | 1.8\% |
| Micromobility | 0.02\% | 0.3\% |

Based on all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

* 'Other' modes include motorcycle / scooter, HandyDART, school bus, taxi, Harbour ferry / water taxi, BC Ferries, other marine, train and airplane.

[^6]The figure below compares the 2017 and 2022 mode shares. While proportions are generally in the same order, the overall magnitude of trips by all modes has dropped. There has been an increase in the number and proportion of cycling trips, although the number of transit trips had not yet returned to 2017 levels.

Daily mode shares, persons 5+, 2017 and 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

## Mode shares by time of day

As noted above, the total daily volume of trips in the RPA has dropped 10\% overall. However, the reduction has varied by time of day. This suggests shifts in activity patterns that go beyond simple changes to commuting, as might otherwise be expected given the significant reductions in work and school trips. As shown in the figure below, there appears to be some slight shifting among the five major time periods of the day, with the Midday and PM peak periods increasing their share of daily trips and the evening accounting for a lower proportion than in 2017.

Compared to 2017, there are notable drops in auto driver mode shares in both the AM and PM peak periods (drops of -3.6 and -3.0 percentage-points respectively), with the same being true for transit (drops of -1.9 percentage-points in both peak periods). Conversely, in these same time periods, there has been increase in both bicycle/micromobility (+3.0, +3.4 $\%-p t s)$ and walk mode shares (+2.6, +1.6 \%-pts) in these same time periods. Bicycling also sees an increase at other times of day, except for overnight.

A number of factors may have influenced these shifts in trip volumes and mode shares, including the impact of increased work-/study-from-home and reduced commuting, possible shifts in where workers work and live, the impact of the pandemic on daily commerce (retail shopping and services), the social impacts of the pandemic and other factors not considered here.

Trip volumes by mode by time period, 2017-2022


## Telecommuting and work from home

The work commute is a key contributor to peak period travel. Commuters to and from work make up an important component of transit ridership, especially those who are commuting to and from the same work location. Through 2017, the proportions of workers who had a usual workplace (outside the home), no fixed workplace (the location varied) or worked exclusively from home remained fairly constant. The figure below shows that over this period, around $80 \%$ of workers had a usual workplace. Another $11-12 \%$ of workers had no fixed workplace. The remaining $8 \%$ worked exclusively from home.

Workplace location, 2011 to 2022


The pandemic altered these proportions, with its significant increase in people working from home. According to the 2021 Census, only $60 \%$ reported a usual workplace and $27 \%$ worked exclusively from home. The proportion of workers with no fixed workplace rose slightly to $13 \%$. While these proportions are not unexpected and the Census and survey results are not directly comparable, the 2022 CRD survey proportions suggest that the pandemic has had some lingering effects:

- The share of workers who work exclusively from home has doubled since 2017. One in six workers ( $16 \%$ ) work this way, even as people reporting a usual workplace have increased from 60\% in 2021 to $74 \%$ in 2022. However, with the emergent hybrid workplace environment, this does not necessarily mean that average peak period travel volumes and transit ridership levels have seen a similar rebound towards pre-pandemic levels. It is also too soon to tell whether the 2022 proportions will continue to shift as workplace policies evolve, or whether 2022 is the 'new normal.'
- One-third of full-time workers with a usual workplace have a hybrid working arrangement. The figure below describes hybrid work patterns observed in 2022 for full-time workers who had a usual place of work outside the home. Almost one-third (32\%) of these workers have hybrid work arrangements and telecommute on at least one weekday (i.e., when they do not travel to work or for a work-related trip). On an average weekday, $17 \%$ of full-time workers having a usual workplace outside the home, work from home. The work-from-home proportions were highest on Mondays and Fridays, at $19 \%$. These days also saw the lowest proportion of people working, whether at a workplace or at home (a total of $85 \%$ reporting working on Monday and Friday compared with $92 \%$ to $94 \%$ on other weekdays): these figures are consistent
with flex day practices and with Mondays and Fridays being more common days for people to take vacation days.
- The share of workers with no fixed workplace appears unaffected. The proportion of workers with no fixed workplace has dropped slightly to pre-pandemic levels (and still slightly above the 2011 and 2017 shares). However, the proportion of workers with no fixed workplace seems largely to have been unaffected by the pandemic. This persistence likely reflects a combination of the traditional base in jobs that have no fixed workplace (e.g., construction) and growth in new 'gig' economy jobs (such as food delivery services, which grew rapidly during the pandemic lockdowns).

Hybrid work patterns - full-time workers with usual workplace outside the home


## Walkable and bikeable motorized trips

Many trips made by auto or by transit cover short distances. The figure below examines the extent to which trips could feasibly have been made on foot or by bicycle instead. The analysis uses distance to assess 'walkability' and 'bikeability.' The figure shows that more than half of auto driver trips (54\%) could be made by bicycle (43\%) or on foot (10\%). These proportions are lower in suburban areas like the Saanich Peninsula ( $33 \%+9 \%$ ) and West Shore (35\%+9\%) and highest in the Core (49\%+12\%). Good proportions of auto passenger and transit trips are also of bikeable or walkable distance. Given the smaller overall mode shares for these modes, shifting trips from these modes would contribute modestly to the mode shift potential. Taking into account the potential of all motorized modes, this trip distance analysis suggests that modes shifts of up to $30 \%$ potentially could be achieved.

These findings are informative. However, a mode shift for many of the auto or transit trips that are of walkable or bikeable distance may be impractical. For example, these trips may be part of a trip chain that requires a vehicle, an auto is needed to carry heavy items not easily carried walking or biking, the traveller might have a disability or health condition that
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

2022 CRD Origin-Destination Survey
limits ability to walk or bike, some cyclists will use only separated pathways rather than travelling on the road and so on. There may also be a need to ensure that the 'supply' of bicycle and pedestrian paths is available to meet traveller needs and itineraries.

Auto driver, auto passenger and transit trips that are walkable or bikeable, 2022


The distance was based on the trip length for each mode. Bikeable trips are those within a 4.6 km range, based on the finding that $90 \%$ of reported cycling trips had an estimated cycling trip length within this range. The distance threshold for walkable trips was set 1.6 km range, based on the same $90 \%$ criterion.

## What this means: a baseline for the future

The 2022 CRD Origin-Destination Household Travel Survey provides an important baseline of travel in the post-pandemic period. Compared with 2017, the 2022 survey recorded a significant reduction in both total trips and the average trip rates per person and per household. These reductions also extended to shifts in trip purpose and in mode choice, reflecting in part a continuing contingent of people working from home and the emergence of a hybrid working arrangement.
From the perspective of the CRD's sustainable transportation initiatives, there is evidence of changes in traveller behaviour. Cycling was the only mode that showed an increase, even with the drop in overall trip-making. Access to a household vehicle remained pervasive although there were signs of a reduced dependency by households on vehicles, evidenced by slight increases in the proportion of zero- and 1-vehicle households and in 'car-light' households. As well, the proportion of alternative-fuelled 'green' vehicles, while still relatively small, is increasing rapidly, with this growth being driven by a significant increase in electric vehicles since 2017.
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## ACRONYMS

The table below explains the acronyms that are used in this report.

| Acronym | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| AC | Alternating current (referring to household charging stations for electric vehicles) |
| AM peak period | Morning commuter peak period, covering the three hours from 0600 to 0859 |
| CAGR | Compound annual growth rate (annualized compounded average rate of growth) |
| CATI/CATW | Computer Assisted Telephone/Web Interview survey systems |
| CRD | Capital Regional District |
| CVRD | Cowichan Valley Regional District |
| DA | Statistics Canada Dissemination Area |
| DC | Direct current (referring to fast charging stations for electric vehicles) |
| EV | Electric vehicle - in this study, typically a personal vehicle |
| FN | First Nation |
| F/T | Full-time student |
| GED | General Educational Development program |
| GTHA | Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area |
| HBO | Home-based other trips (neither school nor work trips) |
| HBS | Home-based school trips |
| HBW | Home-based work trips |
| HOV-2 | High occupancy passenger vehicle (two occupants) |
| HOV-3 | High occupancy passenger vehicle (three occupants) |
| HOV-4+ | High occupancy passenger vehicle (four or more occupants) |
| K-12 | Kindergarten - Grade 12, referring to elementary and secondary school grades |
| NHB | Non-home-based trips |
| O-D | Origin-destination |
| P/T | Part-time student |
| PM peak period | Afternoon commuter peak period, covering the three hours from 1500 to 1759 |
| PSE | Post-secondary school or student |
| RPA | Regional Planning Area |
| SCVRD | South part of Cowichan Valley Regional District (Cowichan Valley Subdivision C) |
| SOV | Single occupant passenger vehicle |
| SS | Salt Spring Island |
| \%-pts | Percentage points |

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

In fall 2022, the Capital Regional District (CRD) conducted a comprehensive trip diary (origindestination, or O-D) survey. The survey profiles residents' travel behaviour. The profile will aid the CRD in its Regional Growth Strategy, the Regional Transportation Plan and other ongoing sustainable planning initiatives. The 2022 survey provides an update to surveys that were conducted in 2017, 2011, 2006 and 2001.

As in 2017, the 2022 survey study area includes all incorporated municipalities in the CRD, the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area and Salt Spring Island.
The survey was conducted with a random sample of 8,985 households in the study. The final sample was 8,581 households surveyed after data validation and rejection of surveys with data issues. The final survey dataset includes information on 18,023 residents of the CRD and 43,531 trips made by those residents. When weighted and expanded, the survey data represent approximately 184,700 households in the region and almost 405,500 residents. Overall, the survey dataset constitutes a randomly selected $4.6 \%$ sample of households and 4.4\% sample of population. The overall household-level survey results have an estimated margin of error due to random sampling of $\pm 1.3 \%$ and the person- and trip-level results have an estimated margin of error of $\pm 0.9 \%$, both at a $95 \%$ confidence level, taking into account the effects of data weighting. ${ }^{12}$
Although it followed the same general procedure as previous CRD 0-D surveys, the 2022 0-D survey was unique in that it took place following the severe pandemic-induced impacts on travel. As a result, the report notes differences in travel behaviour from previous surveys and how the pandemic or other factors may have influenced these changes.
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## What is a household 0-D survey and how is it used?

O-D surveys provide a comprehensive profile of how people move, yet their design and application are often misunderstood. Origin-destination surveys are conducted by municipalities around the world. They aim to provide a factual profile of how a municipality's residents travel and of the underlying demographic and household factors that influence people's travel behaviour.

In the CRD, as in several other Canadian municipalities, a web-based or telephone survey is conducted of a random sample of households. The survey asks about the travel made by all household members (in the CRD, all members 5 and over) over a recent 24 -hour weekday. The survey collects information at three levels:

- Household, including number of members, the number of vehicles and bicycles, type of dwelling and more.
- Person, including age, occupational status, type of occupation if employed, whether the person has a driver's licence and more.
- Trip, covering the trips made by each household member. For each trip made on the designated survey day, information is gathered about where the trip began (origin), the time the trip began, where it ends (destination), the mode(s) used for the trip, the purpose of the trip (e.g., commuting to work) and more.
The data collected are cleaned, expanded (to represent the total number of households) and validated against other data sources such as the Census of Canada. All this is done according to rigorous statistical processes. All collected data are held as confidential and are stripped of any identifying features before they can be used.

The survey is entirely fact-based and is based on what people actually did. As a result, it is statistically representative of the travel behaviour of the CRD's residents. This factual basis enables planners and other analysts to inform plans and policies both objectively and systematically. They do this by using the data to better understand how household and personal characteristics influence travel behaviour - where people go, for what purpose, how they get there and so on. The data are used as inputs to travel demand models, which are used to forecast travel as the region grows and to evaluate alternative infrastructure improvements and policies. Because the CRD has a rich history of surveys, it can assess changes in demographic, household and travel trends over time - all of which supports forecasts, policies, plans and infrastructure decisions. Survey outputs can inform policies in other areas, like equity or climate change. However, they cannot measure or comment on the progress of these policies or other initiatives.

### 1.2 Report organization

This report presents the results of the 2022 CRD Origin-Destination Household Travel Survey. The survey was conducted by R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. (Malatest) in association with David Kriger Consultants Inc. (DKCI). DKCI and Malatest prepared this report.
The report has several chapters, in addition to this introductory chapter:

- Chapter 2 explains how the survey was conducted. It provides an overview of how the survey was conducted, expanded and analyzed.
- Chapter 3 profiles the household and demographic characteristics that were gathered from the survey. It also describes how these characteristics have evolved over time, with a focus on those characteristics that influence travel.
- Chapter 4 profiles the travel characteristics that were gathered in the survey. These characteristics are compared with previous CRD surveys and, where appropriate, other surveys.
- Chapter 5 presents a series of origin-destination tables (matrices), which summarize key travel patterns across the study area.
- Chapter 6 presents two-page profiles of household, demographic and travel characteristics associated with the CRD study area and its sub-areas.
The report is accompanied by two appendices, for reference:
- Appendix 1 presents the survey invitation letter that was sent to the sampled households.
- Appendix 2 presents the survey instrument ('script') that was used for the telephone and web interviews.


## 2 SURVEY CONDUCT

The 2022 Capital Regional District Origin Destination Household Travel Survey (CRD 0-D survey or the survey) was a household travel survey designed to obtain information on mode shares and travel patterns in the study area. The survey captured information on key household characteristics (number of household members, number of vehicles, dwelling type, income); household residents' demographics, socio-economic characteristics and places of work and school; and trips taken over the course of 24 hours (from 4:00 a.m. to 3:59 a.m. the next day).

The methodology for this study included the completion of surveys both by telephone and online via a 24 -hour recall survey. Triptelligence ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, Malatest's CATI/CAWI (Computer Assisted Telephone/Web Interview) system, accommodated both survey modes on a single integrated platform. The diagram below illustrates the general process for the household travel survey. The survey process is summarized in the sections that follow.

### 2.1 Overview

Figure 1. Household Travel Survey Overview


### 2.2 Survey geography

The 2022 study area consists of all incorporated municipalities in the CRD, First Nations within or adjacent to these municipalities, the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area and Salt Spring Island. The study area did not include the Southern Gulf Islands or any part of the Cowichan Valley Regional District (CVRD). ${ }^{13}$
Most of the reporting covers the thirteen incorporated municipalities and the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area: this area corresponds to that which is covered by the Regional Growth Strategy and is referred to in this report as the "Regional Planning Area" (RPA). Households from Salt Spring Island were included in the survey to build a better picture of travel from those regions to and from the RPA and of the travel patterns of Salt Spring Island residents (see Section 6 of this report for summary statistics for Salt Spring Island).
The study area is shown in Figure 2, with the RPA reporting area outlined in red. In general, the survey results focus on the characteristics of trips to, from and within the RPA by residents of the entire study area.

Figure 2. Study Area
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### 2.3 Survey design

The survey was a household-based survey that collected demographic information on all household members and trip information for household members 5 years of age and older. The survey employed a 24 -hour recall method that asked survey respondents to report on their trips on the previous weekday, from 4:00 a.m. on the previous day to 3:59 a.m. the next day. Respondents could choose whether to complete the survey online or over the phone.
The survey was conducted using Malatest's Triptelligence ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ system, an integrated CATI/CAWI (computer assisted telephone/web interview) system incorporating Google Maps and data handling features developed specifically for origindestination surveys.

The survey was branded as "Origin-Destination Survey", with a logo designed by the CRD. A dedicated website
 was developed to provide prospective participants with information about the survey, including answers to frequently asked questions and contact information should they have any concerns about the survey.
Outlined below are the types of information collected by the survey:

| HOUSEHOLD LEVEL | PERSON LEVEL <br> For each person in the household | TRIP LEVEL <br> For each trip made by each household member $5+$ years of age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home location | Gender | Origin location |
| Dwelling type | Age | Destination location |
| Household size (\# people) | Driver's licence | Trip departure time |
| Number of vehicles by fuel type | Car share membership | Purpose (destination activity) |
| Number of bicycles | Student status ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{t}$ ) | Mode(s) of travel (up to 5) |
| (adult, adult e-bike children's) | School level | Bus routes boarded (if bus transit used) |
| Number of e-micromobility devices | School location |  |
|  | Employment status ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{t}$ ) | Use of Park \& Ride locations (if bus transit used) |
| Household income | Workplace location |  |
| Dwelling tenure (rent/own) | Weekdays commuted or | Number of vehicle occupants |
| Availability of electric vehicle charging at home or nearby Agreement to participate in future research | telecommuted last week | (if driver or passenger) |
|  | Other occupational status (retired, unemployed, etc.) Whether took trips on travel day | Whether vehicle occupants were other household members (if multiple vehicle occupants) Whether a vehicle was available for the trip (if non-auto mode) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## What is a trip?

For this survey, a trip was defined as a journey from one place (origin) to another (destination) with a single purpose that may involve more than one mode of travel. Travel to work with a stop at a coffee shop is two separate trips: one with a purpose of restaurant/dining and another with a purpose of work. Travel to work which involved driving to a park \& ride location and then taking transit the rest of the way is considered a single trip, with transit as the primary mode and driving as the transit access mode.

### 2.3.1 Changes to the Survey Design since the 2017 Survey

The core of the 2022 survey questionnaire was largely the same as the questionnaire used in 2017 in order to facilitate longitudinal comparison. Refinements were made to the questionnaire to reflect changing trends in vehicles, social awareness, hybrid work, and transportation options.

Changes to the questionnaire are detailed below:

- Vehicle fuel type: added 'plug-in hybrid' category, differentiated from regular hybrids (the 2017 survey only had a single category for 'hybrid'.
- Gender: add response categories of 'non-binary' and 'prefer to self-describe' to replace 'other'.
- Occupational activity: 'Stay-at-home parent or caregiver' replaced 'homemaker'.
- Workplace type: more precisely-worded definitions were used (work exclusively from home; no fixed workplace or work on the road; usual workplace go to regularly or occasionally).
- Trip mode of travel: emergent modes were added, including
- car share driver,
- car-share passenger,
- e-bike (pedal-assist electric bicycle),
- micromobility device (e.g., kick scooter, skateboard, inline skates, unicycle), and
- e-micromobility device (e.g., e-kick scooter, e-skateboard, hoverboard, e-unicycle/mono-wheel).

Other changes were made to how response categories have been aggregated in analysis of the data:

- 'Bicycle' mode share is now 'bicycle and micromobility', with the use of bicycles, ebikes, micromobility devices, and e-micromobility devices now grouped under this category.
- 'Auto-driver' mode shares now include both auto driver and car-share driver.
- 'Auto-passenger' mode shares now include both auto passenger and car-share passenger.
- The detailed dwelling type response categories are now aggregated to four categories in the district summaries at the end of this report:
- single-detached (unchanged from 2017),
- other ground oriented (aggregating semi-detached, row/townhouse, suite in house, and mobile home),
- apartment/condominium in a building with 1-4 floors, and
- apartment/condominium in a building with 5 or more floors (with the latter two categories previously aggregated as 'apartments').


### 2.4 Survey conduct

To obtain coverage of all households in the study area, including cell-phone-only households, the survey of households employed an address-based sampling approach. Households were randomly selected from databases of mailable residential addresses, with a portion of these households having only address listings (address-only) and the remaining having addresses that could be matched to listed phone numbers (address-and-phone). A sampling plan was developed to obtain an overall $4.2 \%$ sample of the study area. Municipal districts with smaller populations were over-sampled to reduce the margin of sampling error for areas that would naturally have numerically small samples if sampled at the same rate as other municipalities. Districts with larger populations were modestly under-sampled relative to the overall target rate. The final valid samples obtained and the sampling rates are


The survey was tested internally before being launched the week of September 26, 2022. Sending letters to a portion of the sample allowed for a'rolling field test' of the survey instrument via online and telephone interviews, to confirm that survey processes were operating as expected and to obtain feedback from participants. A full launch of the survey to all households followed.

Survey administration collected surveys between September 28 and December 17, 2022 for travel dates between September 27 and December 16, 2022. Survey completion targets were set for each of the 19 sampling districts in the region to ensure relatively uniform sampling
could be obtained across the region. Three main flights of letter invitations were sent in September and October, with two smaller flights of letters in November to target sampling districts with lower response rates. Across the entire survey administration period, just under 62,500 letters were sent. A small supplementary sample of 473 households that completed a joint City of Victoria / District of Saanich survey in 2021 and 2022 on Vehicle Kilometers Travelled had agreed to receive an invitation to the CRD OD survey. These households were invited to participate via email, with $51 \%$ of these households responding to the CRD OD survey.
By the end of October, $78 \%$ of data collection was completed, with targets for a number of districts achieved by this time. Survey administration continued to early December to target districts that were below target. Overall, across both sample types, the survey of households had a $14.3 \%$ response rate prior to the rejection of invalid surveys. After the invalid surveys were excluded, the valid response rate was $13.7 \%$.

Across both sampling approaches, a total of 8,911 surveys were completed. A total of 330 surveys were rejected during data validation, for a final dataset of 8,581 validated households.

### 2.5 Data processing

After data collection, the survey data were subjected to a battery of validation tests to ensure that the survey questions were completed as intended and to flag possible errors in the data or issues with trip logic. Each night, Malatest's Triptelligence ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ data validation system automatically ran a battery of tests on survey completions from the previous day. The system assigned flags for different issues with different levels of priority (critical issue, possible error, warning, etc.) for review by data validation staff. The data validation staff reviewed each flagged survey and either made logical corrections, re-geocoded locations, called back respondents to clarify information, or rejected the survey as unsalvageable. Surveys that passed all data validation tests were randomly selected for manual review to verify that such surveys appeared to be correct and that validation tests were working as expected. Through the data validation process, just under $4 \%$ of surveys were rejected.
The data were also systematically reviewed and tested by data analysts to provide quality control of the dataset and rule out the possibility of any systematic data issues. Any relevant re-codes to the data were undertaken (such as combining captured information on work status, school status or other status into a single occupation variable).
A small number of missing data points was imputed. In preparation for the data weighting, the few person records with unknown age or gender were imputed. Those reporting nonbinary gender were randomly assigned to male or female for data weighting and analysis, as such respondents were too few to analyse separately. They are referred to in this report as "men+" and "women+". The original responses are preserved in the final dataset.

After finalization of the dataset, all latitude/longitude coordinates for locations captured by the survey (home, work, school, trip origin, trip destination) were geocoded using GIS tools to relevant study geographies and to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone $10 x$ x-y coordinates.

### 2.6 Data expansion and weighting

The data for the surveyed households were expanded to represent the total population living in residential households in the study area and a portion of post-secondary students living in on-campus residences. The survey data were also weighted to more accurately represent the distributions of households by household characteristics and demographics. This is necessary to address non-response bias and uneven sampling rates in the final survey sample.
The study area geography was organized into expansion zones as the base geographical unit for data weighting. The expansion zones were developed based on aggregations of Statistics Canada Dissemination Areas (DAs), for which detailed census profile data are available. For smaller municipalities, the expansion zone is the entire municipality. For the City of Victoria and District of Saanich, the expansion zones were developed to fit the sub-municipal district geographies as closely as possible, although some component DAs extend across district boundaries. Rather than attempting to split the DA-level Census data to two different expansion zones, the DAs were assigned to either one expansion zone or another. Thus, a few expansion zones have slightly different boundaries from the sub-municipal districts. For reporting purposes, however, each household is assigned to its correct reporting zone. This may result in very small variations in the weighted counts of households with different characteristics for the expansion zones compared to the actual districts used for sampling, analysis and reporting. As the overlaps between DA-based expansion zones and the districts used for reporting are very minor, these differences in counts will have negligible impact on the analysis of the data.
An iterative proportional fitting (IPF) method was employed to balance household weights and person weights for the multiple weighting controls. In this method, incremental adjustments to the household weights are made in succession for each of the household controls, as well as a composite adjustment to each household weight to account for the disproportionate distribution by age/gender amongst the members of each household. Each successive adjustment to balance a given control may slightly or significantly unbalance the correction previously introduced for a different control. However, iteratively cycling through each control results in convergence to a solution where all household and population controls have expected distributions (to within reasonable tolerance; some deviations may be expected, particularly for expansion zones with smaller sample sizes). In this manner, all persons within each household carry the same weight as the household. Limits were set on extreme weights, although they were allowed to range from 0.2 to 5.5 times the base expansion weight for the household's expansion zone. Less than $1 \%$ of households received
weights above 4.0 times the base expansion weight. The weights received final calibrations to ensure that the total number of households in each expansion zone matched the control totals.

The weighting controls were developed from 2021 Census data. The controls were selected for having significant influence on trip-making behaviour and for completeness of the information in the survey data. Estimates for 2022 were projected forward from 2021 Census counts based on annualized municipal-level growth rates between the 2016 and 2021 Censuses. ${ }^{14}$ Adjustments to the resulting counts were also made to remove the portion of the population outside the survey sampling frame (approximately $2.3 \%$ of the population) that lives in collective dwellings or without a fixed address. The adjustments to the distributions of population by age group took into account that seniors make up a greater portion of the population living in collective dwellings. In some smaller expansion zones, certain age and/or gender categories may have been collapsed further due to small sample sizes or cells with no sample.
For each expansion zone, the weighting controls included:

- total households (private dwellings occupied by usual residents),
- household counts by dwelling type (house, apartment, other ground oriented),
- household counts by household size (1-person, 2-person, 3-person, 4-person, 5+ person),
- population counts by age and gender (12 age ranges, 2 genders),

In addition, the weights were seeded by an initial adjustment of household counts by DA, to better balance the sample geographically within each expansion zone. After this, the expansion zone level adjustments took over. It should be noted that the sample may not necessarily be fully balanced by DA or traffic zone.
As households reporting travel have more complex surveys with more questions and data points, they may have been more likely to either abandon the survey during data collection or more likely to have been rejected for poor trip logic or other data problems during data validation. To compensate for this, all partial surveys with demographic and all completed surveys that were rejected during validation were combined with the accepted survey

[^9]completions and weighted to determine the incidence of travel by geography and age group (i.e., what percentage of people reported travel). This revealed that the 'natural incidence' before survey abandonment and rejections was in fact slightly higher overall (84.6\%) than in the accepted surveys $(83.6 \%)$. To better reflect actual incidence of travel, a weighting adjustment by age group (seven groups) by expansion zone was introduced to the data weighting of the accepted survey completions prior to the final rebalancing by household and demographic characteristics. After the final rebalancing, this resulted in a very modest $(0.7 \%)$ increase in the total weighted and expanded trip count in the final weighted data, compared to the result prior to the introduction of this adjustment.

To contain the variance of the data weights (as such weighting could create more extreme high or low data weights), no attempt was made to adjust the weighting to balance the survey sample by day of week. It may be noted that travel on Thursdays and Fridays is somewhat over-represented, while travel on Mondays is somewhat under-represented.

### 2.7 Validation of the weighted survey data

The weighted survey data were validated against Census statistics (various household and demographic characteristics, employed labour force estimates, usual mode of travel for journey to work) and other available reference data (enrolments). The results compared favourably for most characteristics, including geographic distributions, household size, dwelling type, age/gender and employed labour force. This suggests that the survey results can be taken to be generally representative of the total population.

There were a few deviations of the survey data from the reference statistics. Within the dwelling category of "other ground-oriented dwellings" used in the weighting, the survey distributions by rowhouse/townhouse, semi-detached, suite in a house and mobile home may not necessarily match Census distributions. The survey results may somewhat underrepresent households with lower incomes, although it is difficult to say this with certainty given that only $58 \%$ of households answered the income question. Comparison against 2021 Census place of work and journey to work revealed differences that were more likely due to the conduct of the Census at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic (e.g., much higher incidence of people working from home than in the 2016 Census and in the 2022 CRD OD survey results). The survey data may somewhat under-represent Camosun College students ${ }^{15}$ and may provide good representation of University of Victoria students living off campus (as the survey was conducted with residents of private dwellings and did not include students living in residence on campus). ${ }^{16}$ Comparison against Statistics Canada's 2022 Labour Force

[^10]Survey (LFS) estimates suggest that the survey data do a good job of representing total employment: the expanded survey data represent 212,750 employed persons in the RPA, compared to October 2022 LFS estimates of 216,200 employed persons in the Victoria CMA. ${ }^{17}$
Finally, BC Transit ridership counts were compared against the survey data. BC Transit data for Fall 2022 suggest that there were around 89,990 boardings in October and 94,470 in November. The majority ( $78 \%$ ) of the CRD OD surveys were completed by October 31, 2022, thus the BC Transit comparison would be to a weighted average between October and November of about 90,970 boardings, with the weighted and expanded survey results for residents of private dwellings representing 61,380 transit trips within the RPA with 75,350 boardings, or $83 \%$ of BC Transit's ridership estimate. Various factors might contribute to the difference between the BC Transit counts and the expanded survey counts: whether survey respondents under-reported the actual routes they took; the methodology of the BC Transit boarding counts; the extent to which people outside the survey frame make transit trips; and whether the survey sample under-represents transit users despite data weighting for various household and demographics characteristics.

### 2.8 Statistical reliability

### 2.8.1 Data reliability

The 2022 CRD OD survey was conducted with a sample of about $4.6 \%$ of households in the CRD. As with any survey, the data collected can be subject to sources of error or bias that can affect the reliability of the survey results. Potential sources of error can include the following:

- Undercoverage. Coverage error is associated with the failure to include some populations in the same frame used for sample selection, which may occur with samples of convenience such as telephone directories. The sample frame used was a Canada Post database of mailable residential addresses which provides excellent coverage of private dwellings in the study area, reducing the concern of undercoverage. However, the Canada Post database may sometimes miss some housing types, such as basement / secondary suites, mobile home parks and other nonconventional dwelling types.

[^11]R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.
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- Non-response bias. Non-response bias occurs when individuals who do not participate in a survey differ in relevant ways from individuals who do participate. For example, younger people are often less inclined to participate in surveys. This bias has also been addressed, in part, through the data expansion process, including the weighting by household size, dwelling type, age, gender and post-secondary enrolments. However, it should be noted that there can be other, hidden biases in the data that could not be corrected by the data weighting.
- Measurement error. This type of error is associated with the failure of survey instruments to capture correct information (e.g., through misunderstanding survey questions). To control for this, the questionnaire and associated materials were based on previously well-tested survey questions, thoroughly reviewed for content and meaning and field-tested with a sample of respondents prior to the full survey administration. Telephone interviewers were trained on the objectives of the survey, definitions of key terms, the intent of survey questions and how to address different trip circumstances described by respondents. During survey administration, interviews were regularly monitored by a supervisor to ensure consistent application of questions. The online survey also included several built-in tests to prompt respondents to confirm key data and clarify illogical responses.
- Processing error. Processing errors include data entry, coding, editing and imputation errors. These potential sources of error were addressed through comprehensive training of survey staff and survey validation staff, continuous quality management practices and data validation.
- Sampling error. Sampling error refers to the variability that occurs by chance because a sample was surveyed, rather than the complete population. As best as possible, sampling error was controlled for by obtaining a robust survey sample and targeting of areas with lower-than-expected response rates.
- Error due to extreme weights when analysing small samples. Notwithstanding the limiting of very extreme weights in the data weighting, small sample sizes for some strata and non-response bias may contribute to the assignment of high weights for some cases relative to others within the same geographic zone or population stratum. Users of the data should take note that the sample sizes for some zones are relatively modest. The survey results for such zones should be interpreted with caution. Caution should also be exercised when analysing any small subgroups of the total population.


### 2.8.2 Estimates of sampling error

Sampling error can be estimated based on the size of the sample universe (number of households in the study area) and the number of household survey completions. The estimated margin of error for the survey results at the household level is at $\pm 1.3 \%$ at a $95 \%$
confidence level (theoretically, for a given survey question, the true response proportion for the population would be somewhere within the margin of error of the survey results 19 times out of 20), taking into account the effects of data weighting on sampling error. For person- and trip-level survey results for the entire study area, the sampling error is estimated to be $\pm 0.9 \%$. Sampling errors increase when the study area is disaggregated into sub-regions, municipal districts or when analysing population sub-samples.
Table 1 provides the household sampling rate, the household and person sample sizes and the household and person sampling errors for the geographies in the study area. It may be noted that while most districts obtained survey sample rates of between $3.9 \%$ and $4.9 \%$ of all households, certain districts were oversampled and achieved much higher sampling rates: Metchosin (14.1\% of households were surveyed), Highlands (11.2\%), Juan de Fuca Electoral Area (11.2\%), Salt Spring Island ( $10.0 \%$ ) and North Saanich ( $6.2 \%$ ). Even with these higher sampling rates, the sampling errors in these districts are somewhat higher than for other districts due to the numerically small samples.
Reporting of survey results related to trips originating in or destined to given sub-regions or municipal districts will include trips made by residents of the given geography as well as other residents of the study area from outside the given geography. Therefore, the sampling error associated with information on trips to, from or within the area would be much better than that for just the trips made by residents of the area. The sampling errors for personlevel information can be considered to carry over to the trips those people make (i.e., the sampling error is associated with the entire trip chain). Therefore, the calculation of sampling error can be undertaken using the number of persons as the sample size rather than number of trips.

Table 1. Survey Samples and Sampling Errors for Different Levels of Reporting

| Geography | Households | Population in Private Dwellings | Surveys | Sampling Rate (\% of Households) | Sampling Error, Household Level ( $\pm \%$ ) | Persons in Surveyed Households | \% of Population in Private Dwellings | Sampling <br> Error for Persons, Trips Info ( $\pm \%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Study Area | 184,700 | 406,100 | 8,581 | 4.6\% | 1.3\% | 18,023 | 4.4\% | 0.9\% |
| Regional Planning Area | 179,500 | 394,600 | 8,056 | 4.5\% | 1.3\% | 16,991 | 4.3\% | 0.9\% |
| Sub-Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saanich Peninsula | 19,600 | 44,500 | 959 | 4.9\% | 3.8\% | 2,031 | 4.6\% | 2.7\% |
| Core | 120,600 | 253,600 | 5,030 | 4.2\% | 1.6\% | 10,114 | 4.0\% | 1.2\% |
| West Shore | 39,300 | 96,500 | 2,067 | 5.3\% | 2.7\% | 4,846 | 5.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Municipal totals for those with sub-municipal districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City of Victoria | 49,900 | 89,000 | 2,093 | 4.2\% | 2.4\% | 3,664 | 4.1\% | 1.8\% |
| District of Saanich | 48,300 | 116,200 | 1,990 | 4.1\% | 2.7\% | 4,465 | 3.9\% | 1.8\% |
| Districts (reporting zones) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | 5,200 | 11,500 | 525 | 10.1\% | 5.4\% | 1,032 | 9.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Sidney | 6,000 | 11,900 | 296 | 4.9\% | 7.2\% | 531 | 4.4\% | 5.7\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

### 2.8.3 Caveats

It should be understood that sampling error is not the only possible source of error. While efforts have been made to control for possible error and to weight the data to be more representative of the population, there may still remain some non-response bias or other sources of error not accounted for in the data weighting and data processing.
The weighted survey data are based on a sample of population expanded to represent the total population of persons living in private dwellings (excluding population living in collective dwellings). As such, expanded counts from the survey data should be understood to be estimates, not exact counts.

## 3 HOUSEHOLDS, DEMOGRAPHICS, VEHICLES AND BICYCLES

### 3.1 Overview

This chapter describes the household and demographic factors that influence people's travel choices and patterns. The chapter discusses how these relate to each other. It also notes how they have changed over time, especially in light of the profound pandemic-induced shifts in social, economic and travel activity that transpired between the 2017 and 2022 surveys.

Note that the factors and proportions presented in this chapter reflected the survey results, which were expanded and validated to Census and other reference statistics described in the previous chapter. As a result, in most cases the results are consistent with these references. However, references to the working population may differ from the Census, given that the 2021 Census was taken at the height of a Covid wave whereas the household travel survey was conducted 18 months later. These differences refer specifically to total employment, mode of travel to work and the number of people working at home.

### 3.2 Summary of key indicators

Table 2 traces the growth in population, workers, households and vehicles across the study areas for each survey since 2001. Because the study areas varied among the surveys, Table 3 shows the same information for the Regional Planning Area (RPAs) alone. According to both definitions, the variables have all grown between 8.5\% (total population) and $9.6 \%$ (vehicles). The growth rates are discussed further below.


Both 11+ and 5+ populations were used for comparisons in the 2017 survey. This reflects the transition that year from 11+ year-olds as the survey's population threshold to 5+ year-olds. To enable the comparison with older CRD surveys, the two tables retain both age thresholds.
Figure 3 shows that the number of workers (i.e., the number of potential commuters) has grown faster than population over the last two decades. The number of workers grew by 9.6\% between 2017 and 2022, while the population grew by $8.5 \%$ in the same period. The reasons for the faster growth in workers may be the result of changes in the labour market between 2017 and 2022 and other factors. Further research would be needed to understand the reasons.

The numbers of households and vehicles have also grown faster than population, although households to a lesser extent than vehicles. Nonetheless, growth rates have been uneven
among these demographic variables and over time. As Figure 4 shows, the compound annual growth rates (CAGRs) for the four demographic variables were highest between 2017 and 2022, with both employment and vehicles growing fastest at a $1.85 \%$ CAGR. ${ }^{18}$

Table 2. Scope of the study area - total population, households and vehicles

| Survey <br> Year | Geography | Population | Population <br> $5+$ | Population <br> $11+$ | Employment <br> (Workers) | Households | Vehicles |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | RPA+SS | 405,500 | 389,700 | 368,200 | 217,900 | 184,700 | 288,980 |
| 2017 | RPA+SS | 373,700 | 357,500 | 337,700 | 199,100 | 170,000 | 263,600 |
| 2011 | RPA+SS+SCVRD2 | 399,600 | 381,700 | 361,000 | 212,600 | 178,500 | 283,000 |
| 2006 | RPA+SS+SCVRD1 | 362,200 | N/A | N/A | 189,200 | 160,500 | 253,600 |
| 2001 | RPA | 337,200 | N/A | N/A | 148,100 | 146,100 | 211,600 |
| 2017 to 2022 \% increase | $+8.5 \%$ | $+9.0 \%$ | $+9.0 \%$ | $+9.4 \%$ | $+8.6 \%$ | $+9.6 \%$ |  |

Geographies are not identical among survey years. Hence, parameters may not be directly comparable.

- The 2017 and 2022 study areas included the RPA and Salt Spring Island.
- The 2011 study area included the RPA, Salt Spring Island and the southern part of the CVRD including Duncan.
- The 2006 study area included the RPA, Salt Spring Island and a smaller portion of the southern CVRD (Cowichan Valley Subdivision C). Data expansion was based on estimates rather than actual 2006 Census counts and appeared to have overestimated the number of persons in the study area by $4.1 \%$ for the 2006 study area and $6.3 \%$ for the RPA alone.
- The 2001 study area effectively included only the RPA as only 2 surveys were obtained for Cowichan Valley Subdivision C and Salt Spring Island was excluded by design. Again, data expansion used estimates rather than Census counts, overestimating population in by $5.6 \%$ for the stated study area and $10.6 \%$ for the RPA (the effective study area).

Table 3. Regional Planning Area (RPA) - total population, households and vehicles

| Survey Year | Population (Census) | Population 5+ | Population 11+ | Employment (Workers) | Households (Census) | Vehicles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 394,000 | 378,600 | 357,600 | 212,800 | 179,500 | 279,800 |
| 2017 | 363,300 | 347,400 | 328,000 | 194,200 | 165,100 | 255,300 |
| 2011 | 338,000 | 323,500 | 306,000 | 183,500 | 153,400 | 232,800 |
| 2006 | 322,900 | 309,600 (est.) | 290,400 (est.) | 169,300 | 145,500 | 223,100 |
| 2001 | 305,100 | 292,900 (est.) | 277,800 (est.) | 154,700 | 135,700 | 210,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 to 2022 \% increase | +8.5\% | +9.0\% | +9.0\% | +9.6\% | +8.7\% | +9.6\% |

- 2022, 2017, 2011 figures reflect population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excluding population in collective dwellings).
- 2006 survey data have been re-geocoded to match the Regional Planning Area for the purpose of comparison. 2006 figures have also been scaled down to match actual 2006 Census dwelling counts and estimated population in private dwellings.
- 2001 figures have been scaled down to match actual 2001 Census dwelling counts and estimated population in private dwellings.

[^12]Traditionally, growth in access to vehicles has translated into growth in trip-making in Canadian cities. However, as noted below, the number of vehicles available per household has only changed modestly since 2017. Moreover, as described in Section 4, the pandemic has had a profound impact on travel behaviour, resulting in a significant contraction in overall trip numbers in 2022. Nonetheless, the impact of the relatively rapid recent growth in the underlying demographic and household determinants of travel provides a comparator for future surveys.

For reference, Figure 4 also shows the overall CAGRs for each variable over the two decades. Figure 3. RPA growth in population, workers, households and vehicles - 2001 to 2022


Figure 4. RPA growth rates in demographic variables (CAGRs), 2001 to 2022


CAGR = Compound Annual Growth Rate (i.e., the annualized compounded average rate of growth rate).

Table 4 summarizes how these indicators relate to each other. These relationships help explain how and why travel behaviour, described in the next section, has changed over time. Overall, these relationships have been stable or have experienced only gradual changes:

- Household size and composition have changed modestly. The average household size dropped slightly from 2.25 persons per household in 2001 to 2.20 persons per household in 2011. Since then, the average household size has been stable, at 2.20 persons per household in 2017 and 2.19 persons per household in 2022. This would normally suggest that the number of trips made to support householdlevel activities, likely the weekly trip for household groceries, should also be stable, although still subject to changes in human activity and commerce over time, including changes brought about by the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Since 2017, there has been a modest increase in the average number of young school-aged children (younger than 11), which may suggest an increase in elementary school trips.
- Average vehicle availability (access) has grown modestly. Mode choice is linked to vehicle access. This is especially true of employed household members, who often have priority for the household's vehicles, whose trips to and from work make up significant proportions of peak period travel volumes and, experience has shown, whose habitual commutes make these travellers more conducive to using transit and other alternatives to driving. On average, 1.55 vehicles were available per household in 2022. This rate has fluctuated slightly over the past two decades and is marginally higher than 2011's low of 1.52 vehicles per household. The rate is $30 \%$ greater than the average number of workers in the household ( 1.19 persons per household in 2022, an average that has dropped marginally since 2011) - meaning that there are more than enough vehicles on average for each worker. ${ }^{19}$

Stated another way, Figure 5 shows that over the decade to 2011, the average number of workers per household grew while the vehicles available to these workers dropped. Since then, to 2017 the situation was reversed. Although both rates have increased slightly since then (the rapid growth in the vehicle stock was noted above), the vehicle availability per workers remains below 2001 levels though per household vehicle availability is on par with its 2001 level.

[^13]R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

Table 4. Key demographic indicators (RPA)

| Survey Year | Persons / <br> Household | Population <br> 5+ / <br> Household | Population <br> $11+/$ <br> Household | Workers / <br> Household | Vehicles / <br> Household | Vehicles / <br> Worker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 2.19 | 2.11 | 1.99 | 1.19 | 1.55 | 1.31 |
| 2017 | 2.20 | 2.10 | 1.99 | 1.18 | 1.55 | 1.31 |
| 2011 | 2.20 | 2.11 | 1.99 | 1.20 | 1.52 | 1.27 |
| 2006 | 2.22 | 2.13 (est.) | 2.00 (est.) | 1.16 | 1.53 | 1.32 |
| 2001 | 2.25 | 2.16 (est.) | 2.05 (est.) | 1.14 | 1.55 | 1.36 |

Figure 5. Changes in key demographic indicators - 2001 to 2022 (RPA)


Finally, it should be noted that the relative stability and the values of these key indicators are comparable with those elsewhere. The comparison is relevant to this survey because it helps validate the survey findings. Table 5 compares key CRD indicators with Québec City (another capital region) and Central Okanagan (a smaller BC region). The table compares the most recent and preceding surveys. While the demographic and economic structure of the three regions varies, the comparison confirms that the key CRD indicators are reasonable and are within expectations. Although the Central Okanagan has a higher average vehicle availability per household, the average household sizes and average vehicle availability per person are in comparable ranges among the three regions. Moreover, with the possible exception of the drop in Central Okanagan's vehicle availability rate between 2013 and 2018 (still only a $6.7 \%$ drop), any changes have been slight.

Table 5. Comparison of key indicators

| Survey Year | CRD (RPA) |  | Québec City * |  | Central Okanagan ** |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2022 | 2011 | 2017 | 2013 | 2018 |
| Population | 363,300 | 394,000 | 807,245 | 841,160 | 220,470 | 237,250 |
| Persons / household | 2.20 | 2.19 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 2.33 | 2.31 |
| Vehicles / household | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.38 | 1.44 | 1.95 | 1.82 |
| Vehicles / person | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.79 |

* Origin-Destination Survey 2011, Summary of Results, March 2015 and Origin-Destination Survey 2017, Summary of Results, October 2019, prepared for the Québec Urban Community et al.
*R.A. Malatest, 2018 Okanagan Travel Survey, Report 3: Analysis of Survey Results \& Trends, prepared for the City of Kelowna et al., February 2020.

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the growth in dwellings by RPA sub-area and the associated CAGRs, respectively. While the Core has the greatest concentration of population, the West Shore and especially Langford have had the highest annual growth rates, even as the CAGR has slowed since 2017.

Figure 6. Population in RPA sub-areas and largest municipalities, 2011 to 2022


Note: Population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excludes population in collective dwellings).

Figure 7. Population growth in RPA sub-areas and largest municipalities, 2011 to 2022


Note: Population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excludes population in collective dwellings). CAGR = Compound Annual Growth Rate (i.e., the annualized compounded average rate of growth rate).

### 3.3 Households and demographics

### 3.3.1 Age distribution of population in private dwellings

Age is an important indicator of travel behaviour, reflecting in part an individual's occupational status as well as their responsibilities in the household and the modes that are available to them. Table 6 summarizes the population distribution by age for the 2011, 2017 and 2022 surveys. Figure 8 shows the distribution of the cohorts and Figure 9 shows their respective growth rates.

- More than half the population is in the working age cohort (25-64), comprising 54.1\% of the total population in 2022. However, this proportion has dropped from 57.1\% since 2011, even as the absolute numbers in the 25-64 cohort have increased by $9.1 \%$. This shift matters because this is the dominant group in household formation and in the workforce.
- At the same time, seniors (65+) have increased in absolute and proportional terms since 2011. Their numbers have increased by half (52\%) since 2011, representing a $22.2 \%$ share of the total population (from 17\% in 2011) and a CAGR of $3.87 \%$ since 2011.
- The $65+$ proportions (and numbers) are comparable to those of the 0-24 cohort, who represent $23.7 \%$ of the total population in 2022 . However, growth within this cohort has been uneven, with elementary and secondary school population growing the fastest since 2011 ( $1.58 \%$ CAGR for the 5-10 cohort and 1.11\% CAGR for the 11-17 cohort), while the pre-school cohort ( $0-4$ ) and the post-secondary cohort (18-24) are growing more slowly, at CAGRs of $0.55 \%$ and $0.14 \%$ respectively.

Table 6. RPA population by age group, 2011 to 2022

| Age Group | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 | $2011 \%$ | $2017 \%$ | $2022 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 to 4 | 14,500 | 15,900 | 15,400 | $4.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| 5 to 10 | 17,680 | 19,400 | 21,000 | $5.2 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 11 to 17 | 23,480 | 24,400 | 26,500 | $6.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| 18 to 24 | 29,940 | 31,700 | 30,400 | $8.9 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 25 to 44 | 88,300 | 93,200 | 108,000 | $26.1 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| 45 to 64 | 106,600 | 107,200 | 105,100 | $31.5 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 57,600 | 71,500 | 87,500 | $17.0 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Total (all ages) | 338,000 | 363,300 | 394,000 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Note: Population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excludes population in collective dwellings). In 2022, Census data on the distribution of population by dwelling type by age group in each Census Subdivision was used to adjust the population of all age groups to reflect population in private dwellings. In 2011 and 2017, in the survey data weighting, within the 65+ group, the population of those aged $75+$ was reduced by $20 \%$ to account for a larger proportion of the older population likely to reside in collective dwellings, which were not included in the sampling frame.

Figure 8. Population distribution by age group, 2011 to 2022


Note: population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excludes population in collective dwellings).

Figure 9. Population growth by age group, CAGR - 2011-2022


Note: population in private dwellings, not total population (i.e., excludes population in collective dwellings). CAGR $=$ Compound Annual Growth Rate (i.e., the annualized average rate of growth rate).

### 3.3.2 Occupational status

Occupational status influences travel behaviour: where people go and for what purpose, how often they travel and so on. Figure 10 shows the distribution of the population's employment status. Table 7 summarizes the occupational status of the population over 2011, 2017 and 2022. Figure 11 shows how the status has changed over time.

Figure 10. Employment status for total population, 2022

" 'Other statuses' includes persons who are unemployed and looking for work, those who are unemployed and not looking for work (including on disability, on parental leave, on medical leave), stay-at-home parents and caregivers and other statuses not elsewhere classifiable).
The proportion of employed people has remained steady across the three survey years ( $53.6 \%$ of eligible respondents in 2022), even as the total number of workers has grown and noting that this masks the pandemic-high unemployment rate of $11.1 \%$ in July $2020 .{ }^{20}$ An additional $1 \%$ of the population was unemployed in 2022.
There has been a slight increase in full-time employment since 2017, which is consistent with the low unemployment rates at the time of the survey ( $4.3 \%$ in October 2022 and $3.5 \%$ in November 2022). ${ }^{21}$ This represents a $2.04 \%$ CAGR since 2011. Part-time employment increased by a CAGR of $0.67 \%$ since 2017, following a contraction from 2011.

The number of students has increased by only 200 since 2017, which is consistent with the flat school age (5-17) populations and the slight drop in the 18-24 population noted in the preceding section.

[^14]Retirees are growing fastest, representing almost one-quarter of the population (23.4\%) in 2022. Growth in this group accelerated following 2017 (preceded by a CAGR of 2.56\% from 2011 and followed by a CAGR of $4.28 \%$ to 2022). While this growth is consistent with the rapid growth in the 65+ population, it may also relate to shifts in retirement patterns that occurred during the pandemic. ${ }^{22}$ Research may be required to ascertain the underlying factors.

Table 7. Population occupational status, 2011 to 2022

| Occupational Status | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 | $2011 \%$ | $2017 \%$ | $2022 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time employed | 133,000 | 150,000 | 166,100 | $41.3 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ |
| Part-time employed | 48,100 | 44,200 | 45,700 | $12.2 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Student (full-time or part-time) | 66,300 | 73,000 | 73,200 | $20.1 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) | 14,500 | 15,900 | 15,400 | $4.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Retired | 64,200 | 74,700 | 92,100 | $20.6 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
| Homemaker (2011, 2017 surveys) / | 10,000 | 10,600 | 5,900 | $2.9 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Stay-at-home parent/caregiver (2022)* | 17,900 | 17,200 | 20,800 | $4.7 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| Other | 1,600 | 100 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Decline / don't know | 338,000 | 363,300 | 394,000 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total (expanded number of eligible <br> survey persons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sum of rows adds to greater than 100\% due to multiple responses (students who were employed were counted in both categories). Other includes persons who are unemployed and looking for work, those who are unemployed and not looking for work (including on disability, on parental leave, on medical leave and other statuses not elsewhere classifiable).
"Exercise caution when interpreting the results for 'Homemaker / stay-at home parent or caregiver'. The response category of 'homemaker' was used in 2011 to 2017, whereas in 2022 the category was 'stay-at-home parent or caregiver'. In 2022, the 'other' category (specifically the subcategory of not employed and not looking) may include some of the types of people who might have identified as 'homemaker' in previous surveys.

[^15]Figure 11. Change in occupational status, 2011 to 2022


For this chart, 'other statuses' include unemployed and looking for work, unemployed and not looking, homemaker (2011, 2017) / stay-at-home parent or caregiver (2022) and other responses not elsewhere classifiable. For this chart, homemaker has been combined with other statuses because in 2022, the 'other' category may include some of the types of people who might have identified as 'homemaker' in previous surveys.

### 3.3.3 Worker occupation type

Figure 12 breaks down surveyed workers' type of occupation, while Figure 13 shows how the occupation type has varied since 2011. The figures reflect the sum of full-time and part-time employment. It can be seen that:

- Office employment remains the largest single occupation, consistent with the CRD's role as the provincial capital. Its $44 \%$ share has increased from the $38 \%$ shares recorded in 2011 and 2017, representing 93,000 jobs in 2022 - a 26\% increase since 2017.
- Most other occupation types have increased in number, including industrial services (since 2017), other main services, arts, entertainment \& recreation (since 2017), health care \& social assistance, school employment, and commercial driver. Combined, these represent 89,500 or $42 \%$ of the jobs in 2022 . Health care \& social assistance remains the second-largest occupation type, at 25,300 jobs in 2022 (12\% of all jobs). Despite its growth since 2017, employment in industrial services is still below what it was in 2011.
- Two occupations contracted in 2022, including accommodation \& food services, (since 2017) and retail \& wholesale. Combined, these represent 27,600 occupations in 2022 (13\% of all occupations), down from 33,400 occupations in 2011 (18\%). While the reductions in retail and wholesale reflect continued trends since 2011, the reductions overall are consistent with the pandemic-induced economic contractions and may
reflect a lingering after-effect of the pandemic. Given the low unemployment rate in 2022, they may also reflect a shift to other occupation types.
Readers are advised that the results presented here are survey results. Occupation type was not adjusted for in data weighting and may be subject to non-response bias.

Figure 12. Occupation type, 2022
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Figure 13. Occupation type, 2011-2022


Note that these distributions reflect survey respondents. Occupation type was not adjusted in the data weighting. If the survey sample is biased with respect to type of employment, the survey distributions may not necessarily reflect actual distributions in the population.

### 3.3.4 Workplace and work from home

The work commute is a key contributor to peak period travel. Commuters to and from work make up an important component of transit ridership, especially those who are commuting to and from the same work location. Through 2017, the proportions of workers who had a usual workplace (outside the home), no fixed workplace (the location varied) or worked exclusively from home remained fairly constant. Figure 15 shows that over this period, around $80 \%$ ( $79-81 \%$ ) of workers had a usual workplace. Another 11-12\% of workers had no fixed workplace. The remaining $8 \%$ worked exclusively from home.
The pandemic altered these proportions, with its significant increase in people working from home. According to the 2021 Census, only $60 \%$ reported a usual workplace and $27 \%$ worked exclusively from home. The proportion of workers with no fixed workplace rose slightly to $13 \%$. While these proportions are not unexpected and the Census and survey results are not directly comparable, the 2022 CRD survey proportions suggest that the pandemic has had some lingering effects:

- The share of workers who work exclusively from home has doubled. The proportions reporting a usual workplace have increased from $60 \%$ in 2021 to $74 \%$ in 2022, falling short of the pre-pandemic level of $81 \%$ in 2017 (see Figure 14). There has been a corresponding reduction in the share of people who work exclusively from home, relative to the $27 \%$ proportion recorded in the 2021 Census. Even so, $16 \%$ (one in six workers) still work exclusively from home, which is double the pre-pandemic proportion of $8 \%$ recorded in the 2017 survey. With the emergent hybrid workplace environment, the rebound in those reporting a usual workplace does not necessarily mean that average peak period travel volumes and transit ridership levels have seen a similar rebound towards pre-pandemic levels (see Chapter 4). It is also too soon to tell whether the 2022 proportions will continue to shift as workplace policies evolve, or whether 2022 is the 'new normal.'
- One-third of full-time workers with a usual workplace have a hybrid working arrangement. Figure 16 describes hybrid work patterns observed in 2022 for full-time workers who had a usual place of work outside the home. Not only has working exclusively from home doubled. In addition, another one-third (32\%) of workers with a usual workplace have hybrid work arrangements and telecommute on at least one weekday (i.e., when they do not travel to work or for a work-related trip). On an average weekday, $17 \%$ of full-time workers having a usual workplace outside the home, work from home. The work-from-home proportions were highest on Mondays and Fridays, at $19 \%$. These days also saw the lowest proportion of people working, whether at a workplace or at home (a total of $85 \%$ reporting working on Monday and Friday, compared with $92 \%$ to $94 \%$ on other weekdays): these figures are consistent with flex day practices and with Mondays and Fridays being more common days for people to take vacation days.
- The share of workers with no fixed workplace appears unaffected. The proportion of workers with no fixed workplace has dropped slightly to pre-pandemic levels (and still slightly above the 2011 and 2017 shares). However, the proportion of workers with no fixed workplace seems largely to have been unaffected by the pandemic. This persistence likely reflects a combination of the traditional base in jobs that have no fixed workplace (e.g., construction) and growth in new 'gig' economy jobs (such as food delivery services, which grew rapidly during the pandemic lockdowns).
- Workplace patterns are consistent across the RPA. Table 8 breaks down the workplace location for residents of the Saanich Peninsula, Core and West Shore sub-areas and the largest municipalities. The distributions of workplace locations are consistent among these areas, with three-quarters ( $74 \%-75 \%$ ) of workers having a usual workplace, $14 \%-17 \%$ working exclusively from home (rising slightly to $18 \%$ among workers who live in Victoria) and $9 \%-12 \%$ having no fixed workplace.

Figure 14. Workplace location, 2022


Figure 15. Workplace location, 2011 to 2022


Figure 16. Hybrid work patterns - full-time workers with usual workplace outside the home


Table 8. Workplace location by sub-areas

|  | RPA <br> Total | Saanich <br> Peninsula | Core | West <br> Shore | Victoria | Saanich | Langford |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total workers | 212,400 | 20,300 | 138,900 | 53,500 | 53,540 | 60,590 | 28,480 |
| Usual workplace | $74 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| No fixed workplace | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Work exclusively from home | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

### 3.3.5 Dwelling type

Dwelling type can be an indicator of development density and sprawl, with higher densities generally reflecting a more efficient use of land and being more conducive to enticing residents to take transit, cycle or walk instead of driving. Figure 17 shows the breakdown of private dwelling types. Across the RPA, houses and ground-oriented dwellings represent almost two-thirds ( $65 \%$ ) of all private dwellings. However, in the Core, $44 \%$ of the dwellings are apartments and in the City of Victoria, the number of apartments rises to $70 \%$ ( $48 \%$ are apartments of less than 5 storeys). In downtown Victoria, $95 \%$ of the dwellings are apartments, with $67 \%$ having 5 or more storeys.

Figure 17. Dwelling type


Note: Private dwellings - excludes collective dwellings, whose residents were not included in the survey.

Households surveyed were asked a supplemental question as to whether they rent or own the dwelling they live in. Approximately $62 \%$ provided an answer. Of those who did, approximately $75 \%$ were owners and $25 \%$ renters. ${ }^{23}$ Given that the answers are for a subsample of the total survey dataset and that no adjustment was made in the data weighting for dwelling tenure, the survey result should not be taken to represent all households. The utility of the question is that it will make it possible for transportation planners to explore the transportation patterns and needs of renters as compared to owners.

### 3.3.6 Household size

The stability in average household size (2.19 persons per household in 2022) was noted in Section 3.2. Figure 18 shows the breakdown of RPA households by the number of occupants. More than two-thirds (71\%) of households have 1 or 2 occupants, with the remaining 29\% having 3 or more occupants.

However, within the RPA, the average household size varies between the core and suburban areas: Seven percent of Downtown households and 16\% of City of Victoria households have 3 or more persons. The proportion of $3+$ households rises to $36 \%$ in the District of Saanich and $38 \%$ in the West Shore.

[^16]Figure 18. Household size, 2022


Note: Reflects households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

### 3.3.7 Household income

Household income is a factor that can influence travel choices - notably, whether or not a household has a vehicle. Figure 19 summarizes the proportions of households by income, according to six income brackets. For comparison, Figure 20 shows the distributions for both the 2022 survey and the 2021 Census.

Note that only 58\% of the responding households responded to this question. That is, these figures represent only a subsample of the responding households. Accordingly, the 2022 survey distributions shown in the figures are meant to be used for information only and are not necessarily representative of the population as a whole. Going forward, for analytical and modelling purposes the CRD may wish to use the data to further analyze the travel behaviour patterns of the responding households.

Figure 19. Household income, 2022


Figure 20. Household income, 2022 CRD OD vs. 2021 Census


Note: These distributions reflect responses from a subsample of $58 \%$ of the households that responded to the survey. Accordingly, these distributions are not necessarily representative of the population as a whole. Given that the number responding is a subsample, these data are not to be relied upon for any use other than information. However, for the survey records that do contain this information, in its future modelling and analytics, the CRD could use the data to better understand the travel patterns of households within different income brackets.

### 3.4 Access to vehicles

### 3.4.1 Vehicles and vehicle access

There is a strong relationship between mode choice and access to a vehicle. In other words, if a household has a vehicle, it is likely to be used. This is especially true of workers, who tend to have priority over the use of the household vehicle for their commute to work. Table 9 summarizes the characteristics of CRD households' access to a vehicle. Figure 21 and Table 10 show how these characteristics have changed over time. It can be seen that:

- Access to a vehicle remains pervasive, although this varies by geography, with vehicle access highest in the suburban communities like Saanich and Langford and lowest in Victoria:
- $89 \%$ of RPA households have access to at least one vehicle. This pervasiveness has remained stable over time. In 2022, virtually all households in Saanich and Langford had access to a vehicle, while the same was true of three-quarters (75\%) of Victoria households.
- RPA households have an average of 1.56 vehicles each, reflecting slight growth since 2011. In 2022, there are almost 2 vehicles per household in the Saanich Peninsula and West Shore, with the rate closer to 1 vehicle per household in Victoria.
- $93 \%$ of RPA residents of driving age (16+) have access to a household vehicle, representing an average of 0.83 vehicles per person 16+. Virtually all 16+ residents of Saanich and Langford have access to a vehicle (almost 1 vehicle on average), while the rate drops to $81 \%$ in Victoria ( 0.64 vehicle on average).

The geographical variations may reflect differences in dwelling type, density, dwelling tenure, household income, occupational status, accessibility to transit and other factors. Further research may be needed to understand the underlying factors.

Table 9. Access to household vehicles, 2022

|  |  | Sub-Areas |  |  | Large Municipalities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Saanich <br> Peninsula |  | Core | West <br> Shore | Victoria | Saanich | Langford |
| Total Households | 179,490 | 19,590 | 120,560 | 39,340 | 49,870 | 48,340 | 19,970 |
| Private Vehicles | 279,800 | 38,180 | 166,970 | 74,650 | 50,770 | 82,930 | 35,540 |
| Persons 16+ years of age | 338,670 | 38,620 | 220,540 | 79,510 | 79,640 | 99,160 | 39,390 |
| Avg. vehicles per household | 1.56 | 1.95 | 1.38 | 1.90 | 1.02 | 1.72 | 1.78 |
| Avg. per person 16+ years of age | 0.83 | 0.99 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.90 |
| \% of Households with at least one <br> Vehicle | $89 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| \% of population 16+ years of age <br> with access to a household vehicle | $93 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

Figure 21. Household vehicles, 2017-2022


Note: Reflects vehicles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

Table 10. Household vehicles, 2011-2022

|  | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Households | 153,400 | 165,100 | 179,490 |
| Households with vehicles | 136,300 | 148,300 | 160,430 |
| Total household vehicles | 232,800 | 255,300 | 279,800 |
| $\%$ of households with at least one vehicle | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Average vehicles per household | 1.52 | 1.55 | 1.56 |

Note: Reflects vehicles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

- More zero- and 1-vehicle households, while multi-vehicle households have more vehicles. While the overall average number of vehicles per household has increased slightly, the distribution of these averages has shifted. Figure 22 and Table 11 show that although most households have access to a vehicle, the number of single-vehicle households now slightly exceeds households with two or more vehicles in 2022 ( 80,926 and 79,675 households, respectively).

Growth in single-vehicle households has been faster than growth in multi-vehicle households. Zero-vehicle households ( 18,891 households in 2022) have also grown slightly, after a slight drop in 2017. While these may be encouraging findings in terms of potentially reducing the dependency on the private auto, it should be noted that the 2022 findings might have been influenced by the pandemic-induced economic conditions. As well, the average numbers of vehicles in multi-vehicle households continues to grow slightly, from 2.38 vehicles per household in 2011 to 2.50 vehicles
per household in 2022, resulting in the slight increase in the overall number of vehicles per household ( 1.56 vehicles per household).

Figure 22. Vehicles per household, 2011-2022


Note: Reflects vehicles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

Table 11. Details of vehicles per household, 2011-2022

|  | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zero-vehicle households | 17,095 | 16,800 | 18,891 |
| Single-vehicle households | 66,378 | 73,400 | 80,926 |
| Multi-vehicle households (2+ vehicles) | 69,967 | 74,900 | 79,675 |
| Total households | 153,440 | 165,100 | 179,492 |
| Total vehicles | 232,800 | 255,300 | 280,230 |
| Total vehicles in multi-vehicle households | 166,422 | 181,900 | 199,304 |
| Average number of vehicles in multi-vehicle households | 2.38 | 2.43 | 2.50 |

### 3.4.2 Car-light and zero-car households

One objective of sustainable land use and transportation plans is to make alternatives to driving sufficiently convenient that households can avoid the need for a vehicle, or for a second vehicle. A comparison of the 2017 and 2022 surveys suggests that a gradual move towards 'car-light' and zero-car households is happening, as summarized in Table 12 and Figure 23. The table focuses on vehicle availability according to households by number of workers who, as discussed in Section 3.2, are typically the priority users of a vehicle.

Table 12. Trends in 'car-light' households, 2017-2022

| Vehicles in households with workers | Change in \# of |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-worker households | 2017 | 2022 | Change <br> such households |  |
| No vehicles | $12.5 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $+1.9 \%$ | $+10 \%$ |
| At least one vehicle | $87.5 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $-1.9 \%$ | $+26 \%$ |
| Households with 2 or more workers | 61,600 | 67,000 |  | $+7 \%$ |
| No vehicles | $3.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ | $+9 \%$ |
| Fewer vehicles than workers (car-light household) | $33.1 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $+4.1 \%$ | $+39 \%$ |
| At least one vehicle per worker | $63.7 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $-5.0 \%$ | $+22 \%$ |
| All households with workers | 114,600 | 125,200 |  | $0 \%$ |
| No vehicles | $7.5 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ | $+9 \%$ |
| Fewer vehicles than workers (car-light household) | $17.8 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ | $+29 \%$ |
| At least one vehicle per worker | $74.7 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $-3.5 \%$ | $+22 \%$ |

Figure 23. Trends in 'car-light' households, 2017-2022


For all households with workers:

- The proportion of zero-car households has increased - representing 8.9\% of all households in 2022 compared with $7.5 \%$ in 2017 (and accounting for a 9\% growth in the number of 'working' households).
- The proportion of 'car-light' households has also increased. These reflect households that have fewer vehicles than workers, at 19.9\% of households in 2022 compared with $17.8 \%$ in 2017.
- The proportion of households that have at least one vehicle for each worker has corresponding dropped - still a majority though representing 71.3\% of households in 2022 compared with $74.7 \%$ in 2017.
Though slight, these trends suggest that households' reliance on the private vehicle may be diminishing. Nonetheless, the factors underlying the diminishment are not known - in particular, the impact of the pandemic on the need to travel (hence a possible deferral of a vehicle purchase), the pandemic's impact on household finances, shortages in vehicle availability (hence higher purchase prices), food price inflation and other factors in 2022. Further research is needed to understand the underlying factors. There will also be a need to monitor these trends over time.

Independent of this, it can also be noted that a higher percentage of 1-worker households does not have any vehicles, at a rate of $14.3 \%$ in 2022 , compared with $4.1 \%$ of $2+$ worker households. This might relate to household size, household income or other factors. Further research is needed to understand the underlying factors. It should also be noted that the numbers of 1-worker and 2+ worker households are comparable ( $46 \% \mathrm{v} 54 \%$ of all households with workers), so a better understanding of the factors could provide important insights for policy.

### 3.4.3 Household size, composition and dwelling type

The next two figures show the relationship between access to a vehicle and household characteristics. Figure 24 shows the relationship with household size and composition. It can be seen that:

- Vehicle access is virtually universal in the largest households. Three-quarters (77\%) of one-person households have access to a vehicle. However, this proportion rises quickly as household size increases. Virtually all households with 3 or more members have access to a vehicle.
- The average number of vehicles per household rises quickly with household size. 5+ person households have almost three times the number of vehicles as 1-person households, on average.
- Working household members, on average, always have access to a vehicle. There is at least 1 vehicle per worker, with 1.8 vehicles available on average in 1-person
households (the occupant is a worker) and 1.64 vehicles available for 2 -person households (at least one member is working).
- Driving-age ( $16+$ ) persons on average, have relatively good access to a vehicle. Even households that do not have a working member (e.g., households whose members are retired or do not work) tend to have access to a vehicle. The availability of vehicles per driving-age person drops gradually as household size increases, ranging from 0.89 vehicles per person 16+ in 1-person households (almost one vehicle per person $16+$ on average) to 0.73 vehicles per person $16+$ in $5+$ person households. In other words, if a household member is working, then the household is almost certain to have at least one vehicle. If no one in the household is working, then it is still likely that the household has a vehicle.

Figure 24. Relationship between vehicle access and household size, 2022


Note: Reflects vehicles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

Dwelling type is also an indicator of access to a vehicle. Figure 25 shows that:

- Virtually all houses and ground-oriented dwellings have access to a vehicle, with virtually all houses ( $98 \%$ ) having access to a vehicle.
- Households in higher-density structures still have good access to a vehicle, with three-quarters of apartments having access to a vehicle (77\% for buildings with less than 5 storeys and $72 \%$ for those with 5 or more storeys).
- Vehicle access may be linked to density. In other words, the more dwellings per unit area, the less likely a household will have a vehicle, although vehicle accessibility is pervasive. Vehicle availability per driving-age person (16+) also drops with higher density.

It is important to note that the findings described here reflect observed conditions. Further research is needed to understand the relationship of density with other factors, notably where the dwelling is located (e.g., dense core or low-density suburb), proximity to destinations like work or school, household composition and size, the number of workers in the household, household income and more.

Figure 25. Relationship between vehicle access and dwelling type, 2022


Note: Reflects vehicles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

### 3.4.4 Vehicle fuel types

The take-up of alternative-fuelled 'green' vehicles is growing rapidly. Though still a small proportion of the private vehicle stock, ${ }^{24}$ the population of alternative-fuelled vehicles ('green' vehicles) has grown quickly in recent years. These include hybrids, plug-in hybrids, electric-only vehicles (EVs) and biodiesel. Figure 26 shows the breakdown of the vehicle population by fuel type, Figure 27 summarizes how the breakdown has changed since 2011 and further details are provided in Table 13.

[^17]R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

Green alternative-fuelled vehicles represented $8.5 \%$ of the vehicle population in 2022, triple the $2.8 \%$ share in 2017, which itself was more than twice the $1.2 \%$ share in 2011 . In absolute terms, this represents a tripling of green alternative-fuelled vehicles, from 8,100 vehicles in 2017 to 23,800 vehicles in $2022 .{ }^{25}$ This growth has been driven by the six-fold+ increase in electric vehicles, from 1,900 vehicles in 2017 to 11,900 vehicles in 2022.

Note that comparison of the survey results with ICBC statistics on the fuel types of registered private vehicles shows that the number of EVs is higher in the expanded survey results than the ICBC counts. This suggests that EV owners may have been keener to participate in the survey, which could suggest a slight possible bias towards EV owners (although their overall relatively small numbers indicate that any bias to the results for other questions would be minimal). Even so, it should also be noted that the figures may not be directly comparable, given that the 'household vehicles' captured by the survey include both privately-owned vehicles and some business-owned vehicles kept at home by the business owner or available to employees for personal use. While the number of EVs is unquestionably growing fast, given that the numbers reflect the responses of surveyed households and may not correspond to ICBC's vehicle population breakdown, some caution should be used in interpreting the magnitude of the increase suggested by the survey results.

Note also that the absolute numbers of diesel and biodiesel vehicles have been declining over time, even as the total vehicle population has increased.

Figure 26. Vehicle population by fuel type, 2022


Notes: Given the relatively small numbers of some of these vehicle types, the percentages are shown to one decimal point. These figures reflect the responses of surveyed households and may not correspond to ICBC's vehicle population breakdowns.

[^18]Table 13. Vehicle population by fuel type, 2011-2022

| Vehicles by Fuel Type | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 | $2011 \%$ | $2017 \%$ | $2022 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gasoline | 219,700 | 239,000 | 248,000 | $94 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Hybrid | 2,800 | 5,300 | 9,500 | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | $\%$ | $\%$ | 2,400 | $\%$ | $*$ | $1 \%$ |
| EV (electric only) | 100 | 1,900 | 11,900 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Diesel | 9,900 | 8,200 | 7,900 | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | 300 | 400 | 100 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other or Unknown Alternative Fuel | 0 | 500 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 232,800 | 255,300 | 279,800 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

*Plug-in hybrids were not tracked separately from non-plug-in hybrids in 2011 and 2017.
These figures reflect the responses of surveyed households and may not correspond to ICBC's vehicle population breakdowns for the area.

Figure 27. Households with green alternative-fuelled vehicles, 2011-2022


Note: Reflects households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).
*Plug-in hybrids were not tracked separately from non-plug-in hybrids in 2011 and 2017.

### 3.4.5 Access to EV charging at home or nearby

Respondents were asked if they had access to EV charging. The responses are summarized in Figure 28. Table 14 breaks down the responses by geography, Figure 29 provides a breakdown by dwelling type and Table 15 provides a breakdown by tenure.

For clarity, note that respondents were instructed to answer yes if they have access to an AC Household Charging station (wallbox) or a DC Fast Charge station, whether public or private, or if they have access to specialized equipment for safe AC trickle charging, though only if it is designed for regular/daily use rather than occasional/emergency use. These definitions were used to avoid the confusion that many vehicles can have a plug-in to a regular outlet, which has a very long trickle charging and could be used for purposes other than EV charging.
Note this was a supplemental question to the survey. One-third of respondents did not answer to it. Of those who responded:

- One-quarter of responding households have access to EV charging, 15\% in their building and $11 \%$ nearby. EV charging was not available or near enough to be used conveniently for two-thirds ( $63 \%$ ) of the responding households. Eleven percent did not know.
- Access varies by location, ranging from 32\% of Saanich Peninsula respondents having access to EV charging to 21\% of Victoria households and 22\% of Langford households (though Victoria and Langford respondents also had higher rates of 'don't know' responses).
- Access is highest in $5+$ storey apartments, with $42 \%$ of these respondents having access in their building ( $33 \%$ ) or nearby ( $9 \%$ ). The access rate was $28 \%$ for houses, $26 \%$ for ground-oriented dwellings and $18 \%$ for apartments of less than 5 storeys.
- Access is greater for owners, with $28 \%$ of these respondents having access in their building ( $17 \%$ ) or nearby ( $11 \%$ ). Twenty percent of renters had access, either in their building ( $10 \%$ ) or nearby ( $10 \%$ ). Renters had higher incidences of 'don't know' responses ( $16 \% \mathrm{v} 10 \%$ for owners).
Although informative regarding the take-up of EV charging (and, by implication, the choice of an EV for the household vehicle), the response rates to this supplemental survey question could overstate the incidence of EV charging. Other factors might also apply. For example, a renter might not have permission to implement charging equipment in their dwelling and awareness of EV charging facilities outside one's dwelling may be of interest only to those who have an EV. Further research is needed to understand the findings.

Figure 28. Reported Access to EV Charging, 2022


- Yes, in my building
- Yes, nearby
- Not available or not near enough to be convenient for me

Table 14. Reported access to EV charging by geography

| Is electric vehicle charging available to you where you live? " | RPA | Saanich Peninsula | Core | West Shore | Victoria | Saanich | Langford |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, in my building | 15\% | 18\% | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| Yes, nearby | 11\% | 14\% | 10\% | 13\% | 7\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Not available or not near enough to be convenient for me | 63\% | 61\% | 63\% | 63\% | 63\% | 64\% | 64\% |
| Don't know | 11\% | 8\% | 12\% | 10\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% |

*Survey participants were instructed to answer yes if they have access to an AC Household Charging station (wallbox), or a DC Fast Charge station, whether public or private, or if they have access to specialized equipment for safe $A C$ trickle charging, though only if it is designed for regular/daily use rather than occasional/emergency use.

Figure 29. Relationship between dwelling type and access to EV charging


Table 15. Renters' and owners' access to EV charging

| Is electric vehicle charging available to you where you live? | Renter | 0 wner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes, in my building | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Not available or not near enough to be convenient for me | $64 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Don't know | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

### 3.4.6 Licensed drivers and car share membership

Access to vehicles also can be described in terms of driver licensing and car share membership (where the latter reflects who is able to access a vehicle, regardless of ownership).

Table 16 and Figure 30 profile how the proportion of the population that is licensed to drive has changed over time.

Table 16. Driver's licences, 2011 to 2022

|  | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population 16+ | 273,300 | 311,700 | 338,700 |
| Population with a driver's licence | 237,700 | 279,700 | 305,390 |
| \% with driver's licence | $87 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

It can be seen that:

- Licensing is pervasive among the 16+ population. The sustained $90 \%$ proportion of licensed drivers over time means that the take-up of a licence has kept pace with population growth. The proportions of licensed male drivers are generally greater than those of female drivers for most age cohorts, with differences accentuated among younger and older cohorts.
- More younger drivers are getting their licences. Recent surveys elsewhere in Canada and the United States have shown a drop-off in the numbers of licensed drivers in their teens and twenties, with a suggestion that young adults might be eschewing licences and the purchase of a vehicle in favour of other mobility options. Figure 30 shows that take-up of licences among teens and young adults has increased since 2011 for both men and women.
- Older drivers are retaining their licences for longer periods. Figure 30 also shows that older drivers are retaining their licences to a later age, although this varies between men and women. For women, the drop-off that was apparent in the 65-69 cohort in 2011 is now being delayed to the 75-79 cohort. For men, the drop-off that began in the 75-79 cohort in 2011 is now being delayed to the 80-84 cohort. In other words,
men are still retaining their licences longer, though both men and women are driving (or are retaining the ability to drive) at older ages.

Figure 30. Driver's licencing rates by age and gender, 2011-2022

\% of Women+ 16 years of age and older

\% of Men+ 16 years of age and older


Figure 31 and Table 17 describe how car share membership has changed over time (data are available only from 2017). While only a small proportion of the eligible driving population has a membership in one or more of the car share providers, the rate has more than doubled since 2017, from $1.8 \%$ to $4.4 \%$ of the $16+$ population, with an absolute increase of 2.6 times.

In sum, the driver licensing characteristics indicate that people are getting their licences earlier. Drivers are also keeping their licences longer, so that they can retain the ability to drive if they are eligible to do so. The upward trend in car share membership supports this desire and may provide evidence that more people realize they do not need to own a vehicle to do so.

Figure 31. Car share membership, 2017 to 2022


Table 17. Car share membership, 2017 to 2022

|  | Persons 16+ |  | \% of Persons 16+ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2017 |  | 2022 | 2017 |
| Total Persons 16+ Years | 306,100 | 338,700 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Car Share Members | 5,600 | 14,700 | $1.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Modo | 4,000 | 8,400 | $1.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Evo" | 400 | 8,100 | $0.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| Other | 1,500 | 100 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

* Evo was not operational in the CRD in 2017. In 2017, Evo car share members would have used the service in other cities.

Readers are reminded that figures are expanded survey results and may not necessarily match actual membership figures. Evo membership is provided free to all BCAA members. However, not all BCAA members may be aware or recall that they have an included Evo membership.

### 3.5 Access to bicycles and e-micromobility devices

This discussion looks at households' access to bicycles and e-micromobility devices, which can serve to complement to using, or as an alternative to owning, a household vehicle. Bicycles include adult and children's bicycles, as well as adult e-bikes (which have an electric motor to assist the cyclist when they are pedalling). Emicromobility devices include escooters, e-skateboards,
 hoverboards and other lightweight low-speed electric-powered devices. The survey counted electric throttle-assisted bicycles that do not need to be pedalled to accelerate as emicromobility devices, rather than as e-bikes. Heavier devices such as electric wheelchairs and mobility scooters were not included as e-micromobility devices for the purposes of the survey. Figure 32, Figure 33 and Table 18 profile the characteristics and take-up of bicycles and e-micromobility devices:

- Bicycles are pervasive among RPA households, though not as pervasive as vehicles:
- Two-thirds of RPA households have at least one adult bicycle or e-bike, representing a slight increase from 2017 ( $66 \%$ in 2022 compared with 64\% in 2017). By comparison, $90 \%$ of households have access to at least one vehicle.
- 71\% of households with children have at least one child-sized bicycle, the same as in 2017.
- E-bikes make up 10\% of the stock of all bicycles (including children's bicycles) and $11 \%$ of adult bicycles (when regular non-motorized adult bicycles and adult e-bikes are combined). As discussed in the next chapter, e-bikes were used for $30 \%$ of the trips made by cyclists. In other words, just as people who have access to a vehicle will use them, these numbers suggest that the same is true for those who have access to an e-bike.
- The stock of total adult bicycles (combining non-motorized bicycles and e-bikes) has grown by $20 \%$ since 2017, compared with a $15 \%$ growth in children's bicycles. Note that adult bicycles and e-bikes combined made up 85\% of the bicycle stock in 2022. Although data on e-bikes were not collected in 2017, the 2022 stock of 30,490 e-bikes suggests that e-bikes purchases could be responsible for much of the surge in the
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adult bike population. Note also that the District of Saanich introduced an e-bike rebate program in October 2021, which also may have impacted the surge. ${ }^{26}$

- A small proportion of households has access to e-micromobility devices, at $2.3 \%$ of all households.

Figure 32. Household bicycles and e-micromobility devices, 2017-2022


Note: Reflects bicycles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).
Figure 33. Percent of households with access to bicycles and e-micromobility, 2017-2022


Note: Reflects bicycles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

[^19]Table 18. Bicycles and e-micromobility device statistics by sub areas, 2022

|  |  | Sub Areas |  |  | Largest Municipalities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | RPA | Saanich <br> Peninsula | Core | West <br> Shore | Victoria | Saanich | Langford |
| Total private households | 179,490 | 19,590 | 120,560 | 39,340 | 49,870 | 48,340 | 19,970 |
| Population in households | 393,990 | 44,390 | 253,160 | 96,440 | 88,810 | 115,920 | 48,400 |
| Households with children <18 <br> years | 37,480 | 3,800 | 22,480 | 11,200 | 6,550 | 11,310 | 5,990 |
| Total bicycles | 314,320 | 35,880 | 208,190 | 70,250 | 71,340 | 96,620 | 33,700 |
| Adult bicycles (non-motorized) | 235,330 | 27,460 | 159,500 | 48,370 | 56,920 | 71,820 | 23,100 |
| Adult e-bikes | 30,490 | 3,050 | 20,330 | 7,110 | 6,790 | 9,660 | 3,200 |
| Child bicycles | 48,500 | 5,370 | 28,360 | 14,770 | 7,630 | 15,140 | 7,400 |
| Avg. bicycles per household | 1.75 | 1.83 | 1.73 | 1.78 | 1.43 | 2.00 | 1.69 |
| Avg. bicycles per capita | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.70 |
| \% of households with at least <br> one bicycle | $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| \% of households with at least <br> one adult bicycle or e-bike | $66 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| \% of households with children <br> with at least one children's | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| bicycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

E-bikes are pedal-assisted electric bicycle with a top speed of $32 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The electric motor only operates when you pedal. E-micromobility devices include e-scooters, e-skateboards, hoverboards and other lightweight low-speed electric-powered devices, including electric throttle-assisted bicycles that do not need to pedal in order to accelerate. They do not include heavier devices such as electric wheelchairs and mobility scooters.

Figure 34 shows bicycle access by dwelling type. The figure accounts for adults' and children's bicycles together. The highest proportions of households that have bicycles are among houses and other ground-oriented dwellings ( $75 \%$ ), though the proportions drop to close to just over half of apartments. Similarly, the availability rates per household are highest among houses and other ground-oriented dwellings, with the rates almost 2.5 times those for apartment households. Per capita, the differences are less pronounced.
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Figure 34. Relationship between bicycle access and dwelling type, 2022


Note: Reflects bicycles accessible to households in private dwellings (i.e., excludes collective dwellings).

It is informative to compare bicycle access by dwelling type with vehicle access by dwelling type (Figure 25):

- Generally, the trend lines (the shape of the curves) are similar for both bicycles and vehicles.
- Virtually all houses and ground-oriented dwellings have access to a vehicle, whereas only $75 \%$ of these dwellings have access to a bicycle. Three-quarters of apartments have access to a vehicle, while just over half of apartments have access to a bicycle.
- Per household, the average take-up rates for bicycles are slightly to moderately higher than those for vehicles. For example, in houses there are 2.26 bicycles per household compared with 1.98 vehicles per household. The rates are reversed for apartments less than 5 storeys.
- Per capita, the rates are also similar, noting that the bicycle take-up is measured against the entire 5+ population (i.e., the entire eligible bike-riding population) while the vehicle take-up is measured against the 16+ population (the eligible driving-age population).

Figure 35 compares the share of households that have access to an adult bicycle with those that have access to a vehicle. The figure shows that bicycle access and vehicle access, according to the average numbers of each per household, are complementary. In other words, there is no apparent indication that households are purchasing a bicycle to substitute for a vehicle, or vice versa. Other factors may be more indicative of the take-up of either
mode - e.g., household size and composition, location and so on. Further research is needed to understand the underlying factors.

Figure 35. Household access to bicycle v access to vehicle


### 3.6 Summary: key takeaways

This chapter reviews the household and demographic factors that determine the need to travel and travel characteristics. Nine key takeaways are presented below:

1. The number of workers (potential commuters), households (generators of trips) and vehicles - all determinants of travel - have grown faster than population.
2. The 25-64 age cohort, which dominates the labour force and the formation of households, comprises just over half the population. However, the population of seniors (65+) has grown quickly and there are now almost as many seniors as there are school-age children and young adults in the 0-24 cohort.
3. More people are able to drive: more younger people are getting their driver's licence and seniors are retaining their licence longer.
4. Office jobs, typically a primary market for commuting by transit, remain the largest single occupation. After a $26 \%$ growth since 2017, office jobs now make up almost half ( $44 \%$ ) the RPA's jobs. Some occupations also grew since 2017, notably health care \& social assistance ( $12 \%$ of all jobs). However, other occupations like accommodation \& food service and retail \& wholesale, contracted.
5. The home-work commute makes up a significant component of peak period travel. However, where people work has changed through the pandemic. Threequarters of workers have a usual workplace outside the home. However, following the pandemic, one-third of full-time workers with usual workplaces outside the home have hybrid working arrangements, with $32 \%$ working at home at least one day a week. The share of workers who work exclusively from home has doubled, from $8 \%$ in 2017 to $16 \%$ in 2022. Around $10 \%$ of workers have no fixed workplace.
6. Household access to a vehicle is pervasive. On average, there are 1.31 vehicles per worker. This is more than enough to serve workers, who tend to have priority for the vehicle, and other family members. Even so, almost 20\% of households are 'car-light,' meaning that they have fewer vehicles than they do workers. There are more zero-vehicle and 1-vehicle households than in 2017; however, multi-vehicle households have more vehicles on average than they did in 2017.
7. The take-up of alternative-fuelled 'green' vehicles is growing rapidly, representing $9 \%$ of the vehicle population 2022, which is a three-fold increase since 2017. This growth has been driven by the six-fold+ increase in electric vehicles since 2017.
8. Household access to an adult bicycle is pervasive, though less so than access to vehicles. Bicycle and vehicle take-up tend to be complementary, meaning that neither mode substitutes for the other mode completely.
9. The stock of adult bicycles and e-bikes has increased by $20 \%$ since 2017. Indications are that e-bike purchases comprise an important part of that growth. A small proportion of households has access to e-micromobility devices.

## 4 DAILY TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

This chapter presents the characteristics of the trips captured in the survey. The details of these trips were collected from household members who were 5 years of age and older. The ensuing discussion describes daily trips and trip rates, mode shares (daily and during the commuter peaks), trip purposes, characteristics of vehicle, transit, cycling and walking trips, weekday commuting and telecommuting patterns and inter-district flows. Note that trip rates are based on trips made by population and households in the RPA, however total trips, overall mode shares, and various other statistics are based on trips in the RPA made by all surveyed households, including Salt Spring Island households.

### 4.1 Total trips and trip rates

### 4.1.1 Daily trips

This section presents the key travel characteristics from the 2022 and compares them with previous surveys. Prior to 2017, trips made by persons 11 years and older were included. From 2017, trips by persons 5+ and older are being captured. As a result, comparisons with previous surveys must be referenced in two ways.
Through 2017, the total number of daily trips made by RPA residents increased, even as the average daily number of trips made per person decreased steadily. However, 2022 marked a significant drop in both total trips and the average trip rate per person, for both the 5+ and $11+$ thresholds. The evident explanation is an apparent lingering effect of the pandemicinduced changes in people's daily activities.

Compared with 2017, daily trip volumes for persons aged 5+ dropped by $10 \%$ to 995,900 daily trips in 2022 from 1,104,300 trips, as shown in Figure 36. This corresponds to a 17\% reduction in the average daily trip rate per person aged 5+, to 2.63 trips per person in 2022 from 3.17 trips per person in 2017. It also corresponds to a 17\% reduction in average daily trips per household, to 5.54 trips per household in 2022 from 6.69 trips per household in 2017. ${ }^{27}$ As noted in the next paragraph, the steep reductions since 2017 hold true whether measured for persons 5+ or for persons 11+.
Ongoing contractions in average trip rates were greater after 2017. These reductions take on a more profound context when compared with changes in travel patterns over the 21-year period. Figure 37 and Table 19 shows these changes for the $11+$ RPA population. ${ }^{28}$ RPA population and households have increased steadily since 2001, as have total daily trips (except for a slight drop in 2011). However, average daily trips per household and per person have dropped steadily since 2006. In the 11-year period between 2006 and 2017, average daily trips per household and per person contracted by $-9.0 \%$ and $-8.6 \%$ respectively. A much

[^20]steeper contraction has been recorded since then, with the 2022 averages representing declines of $-17.6 \%$ and $-17.9 \%$ respectively since 2017. While trends between 2017 and the onset of the pandemic are not available, the changes in travel behaviour (described in the ensuing sections) are consistent with the lingering effects of the profound pandemicinduced shifts in people's daily activity.

Figure 36. Daily trips for persons 5+ years, 2017 and 2022


[^21]Figure 37. Trips for persons 11+ years, RPA households, 2001-2022


* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents 11+, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Table 19. Details of trips for persons 11+ years, RPA households, 2001-2022

|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% Difference - 5yr |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Diff - } \\ 21 y r \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | 2006 | 2011 | 2017 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} 2001- \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2006- \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2011- \\ 2017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2017- \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2022 \end{aligned}$ |
| Population | 305,100 | 322,900 | 338,000 | 363,300 | 394,000 | 5.8\% | 4.7\% | 7.5\% | 8.5\% | 29.1\% |
| Population 11+ years | 277,800 | 290,400 | 306,000 | 328,000 | 357,600 | 4.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% | 9.0\% | 28.7\% |
| Households | 135,700 | 145,500 | 153,400 | 165,100 | 179,500 | 7.2\% | 5.4\% | 7.6\% | 8.7\% | 32.3\% |
| Total trips by residents 11+ * | 941,100 | 1,015,900 | 1,009,000 | 1,048,700 | 939,100 | 7.9\% | -0.7\% | 3.9\% | -10.5\% | -0.2\% |
| Trips per RPA resident | 3.08 | 3.15 | 2.99 | 2.89 | 2.38 | 2.0\% | -5.1\% | -3.3\% | -17.5\% | -22.6\% |
| Trips per RPA resident 11+ | 3.39 | 3.50 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 2.63 | 3.3\% | -5.7\% | -3.1\% | -17.9\% | -22.5\% |
| Trips per RPA household | 6.93 | 6.98 | 6.58 | 6.35 | 5.23 | 0.7\% | -5.8\% | -3.4\% | -17.6\% | -24.5\% |

* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents 11+, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Trip rates vary by sub-area. As shown in Figure 38 and detailed in Table 20, the daily trip rate per person 5+ is highest in the Core (which also generates two-thirds of daily trips) at 2.71 trips per person 5+ and in the City of Victoria at 2.81 trips per person 5+. The trips rates are lowest in the Saanich Peninsula (2.41 trips per person 5+) and in the City of Langford (2.58 trips per person 5+). The daily trip rates per household are highest in the West Shore (4.83 trips per household) and especially in the District of Saanich (6.07 trips per household). The rates are lowest in the Saanich Peninsula ( 5.26 trips per household) and in the City of Victoria (4.83 trips per household). Per person trip rates are highest in the Core and lowest in
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the suburbs. Household trip rates are highest in the suburbs, which reflects the larger household sizes.

Figure 38. Details of daily trips, sub-areas and largest municipalities, 2022


* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Table 20. Trips and trip rates made persons 5+ years, by sub-area, 2022

|  |  | Sub-Areas |  |  | Largest Municipalities |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | RPA <br> Residents | Saanich <br> Peninsula | Core | West <br> Shore | City of <br> Victoria | District of <br> Saanich | City of <br> Langford |
| Households | 179,500 | 19,600 | 120,600 | 39,300 | 49,900 | 48,300 | 20,000 |
| Total persons 5+ years of age | 378,600 | 42,800 | 244,300 | 91,400 | 85,900 | 111,800 | 45,600 |
| \% who travelled | $84 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Total trips * | 994,400 | 103,000 | 662,300 | 229,100 | 241,000 | 293,600 | 117,700 |
| Household trip rate | 5.54 | 5.26 | 5.49 | 5.82 | 4.83 | 6.07 | 5.90 |
| Person trip rate | 2.63 | 2.41 | 2.71 | 2.51 | 2.81 | 2.63 | 2.58 |

* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.


### 4.1.2 Comparison with other jurisdictions

Table 21 provides a comparison of the CRD survey trip rates with those of selected other urban regions in Canada. The comparison serves to validate the key travel characteristics from the CRD; namely, daily person and household trip rates. Recent surveys were consulted, where available, including one that was conducted in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area
(GTHA) as part of research initiative to assess travel characteristics during the pandemic lockdowns. The City of Vancouver's annual panel survey also identified trends before and during the pandemic and the Coquitlam survey was conducted in 2022. All sources are publicly available, although not all information was available from these sources.

Table 21. Comparison of trip rates

| City | Year of Survey | Daily Person Trip Rate | Daily Household Trip Rate | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRD (trips made in the RPA by RPA residents 11+) | 2022 | 2.63 | 5.23 | 394,000 |
|  | 2017 | 3.20 | 6.35 | 363,300 |
|  | 2011 | 3.30 | 6.58 | 338,000 |
|  | 2006 | 3.50 | 6.98 | 322,900 |
|  | 2001 | 3.39 | 6.93 | 305,100 |
| Coquitlam | 2022 | 2.41 | 6.86 | 159,285 |
| City of Vancouver | 2020 * | 2.71 | -- | -- |
|  | 2019 * | 3.73 | -- | -- |
|  | 2018 * | 3.76 | -- | -- |
| Vancouver North Shore | 2021 ** | 3.13 | -- | -- |
|  | 2019 * | 3.66 | -- | -- |
| Kelowna | 2018 | 3.02 | 6.67 | 237,250 |
|  | 2013 | 3.22 | 7.14 | 220,470 |
|  | 2007 | 3.37 | 7.63 | 198,870 |
| Greater Toronto and | Fall 2021 *** | -- | 2.60 | -- |
| Hamilton Area | 2016 | -- | 5.20 | -- |
| Kingston | 2019 | 2.98 | 6.43 | 133,560 |
| Québec City | 2017 | 2.57 | -- | 827,929 |
|  | 2011 | 2.40 | -- | 792,951 |
|  | 2006 | 2.73 | -- | 743,392 |

*Small sample (panel survey) of adults 18+ years of age. Source: 2020 Vancouver Panel Survey, Final Report, City of Vancouver, June 2021.
**Small sample (panel survey) of persons 15+ years of age. Source: 2021 North Shore Transportation Survey, Final Report, City of North Vancouver, District of North Vancouver, District of West Vancouver, June 2022.
**Very small sample. Unweighted results. Source: COVID-19 influenced Households' Interrupted Travel Schedules (COVHITS) Survey: Fall 2021 Cycle Report, University of Toronto, December 31, 2021.
Other sources:

- RA Malatest, Coquitlam Trip Diary Survey 2022.
- RA Malatest, 2018 Okanagan Travel Survey, Report 3: Analysis of Survey Results \& Trends, City of Kelowna et al., 2020.
- RA Malatest, 2019 Kingston Household Travel Survey, Daily Travel Characteristics Report, City of Kingston, 2019.
- Key Facts of the Household Survey, Québec-Lévis Origin-Destination Survey, Québec Ministry of Transport, 2017.

The comparison shows that:

- The CRD rates are comparable with those of other urban regions at both the person and household levels.
- The available data indicate that these regions, like the CRD, exhibited reductions in person trip rates and household trip rates over time, although with some fluctuations.
- Trip rates at both the person and household levels dropped precipitously from 2020 in other regions. This is evidenced especially by the City of Vancouver panel survey, which was conducted near the beginning of the lockdowns in 2020, and by the GTHA survey, which was conducted at various intervals during the lockdowns. It is also demonstrated by the 2022 Coquitlam survey which, although it lacks an earlier reference point, is reasonably comparable to the 2022 CRD, 2021 GTHA and 2020 Vancouver surveys.


### 4.1.3 Daily trips by household characteristics

Table 22 summarizes how rates trips vary by key household characteristics. Trip rates generally increase with dwelling type, income, vehicle access and the numbers of workers attributes that may be related to household size. However, progressions in trip rates per household may vary when measured at the person level. The highest average person trip rates are for single parents or two adults with one or more children 0-17 years, people with household incomes greater than $\$ 125,000$ and people living in 4-person households. The lowest average person trip rates are for people living in households that have three or more adults and no children and for those with household incomes below $\$ \mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$. The ranges can be large - for example, household trip rates are 2.23 times greater for households that have access to two or more vehicles than for zero-vehicle households.

### 4.1.4 Daily trips by demographic characteristics

Table 23 examines how trip rates vary by demographic characteristics. The table shows that trip rates are highest for people in the 35-54 cohort (i.e., people who are generally in the midst of their work careers and have established households) and for part-time and full-time workers. Among students, part-time post-secondary students and K-12 students have the highest trip rates. The lowest trip rates are associated with people 85+, people who are studying online and people who are unemployed.

Table 22. Total daily trips and trip rates by household characteristics, persons 5+, 2022

| Household Characteristic | Trips made by Residents * | Household Trip Rate | Person <br> Trip Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Total | 994,400 | 5.54 | 2.63 |
| By Household Size |  |  |  |
| 1 person | 163,800 | 2.71 | 2.71 |
| 2 people | 331,500 | 4.97 | 2.49 |
| 3 people | 164,500 | 7.06 | 2.51 |
| 4 people | 199,100 | 10.53 | 2.88 |
| 5+ people | 135,400 | 13.34 | 2.69 |
| By Dwelling Type |  |  |  |
| House | 433,400 | 6.33 | 2.59 |
| Apartment 5+ storeys | 59,700 | 4.02 | 2.66 |
| Apartment <5 storeys | 189,300 | 3.94 | 2.68 |
| Other Ground-Oriented | 312,100 | 6.49 | 2.64 |
| By Household Income |  |  |  |
| Less than \$25K | 14,300 | 2.95 | 2.18 |
| \$25K to <\$50K | 58,500 | 3.96 | 2.59 |
| \$50K to <\$80K | 95,400 | 4.40 | 2.55 |
| \$80K to <\$125K | 177,800 | 5.97 | 2.73 |
| \$125K to <\$200K | 178,300 | 7.68 | 2.92 |
| \$200K or more | 77,600 | 7.83 | 2.85 |
| Decline / Don't Know / Not asked | 76,100 | 5.21 | 2.48 |
| By Household Type |  |  |  |
| Single person | 163,800 | 2.71 | 2.71 |
| Two adults, no children | 313,300 | 4.91 | 2.46 |
| Three or more adults, no children | 139,700 | 7.84 | 2.26 |
| Single parent, one or more children 0-17 years | 33,000 | 7.72 | 3.44 |
| Two adults, one or more children 0-17 years | 260,800 | 10.15 | 3.07 |
| Three or more adults, one or more children 0-17 years | 83,800 | 11.14 | 2.43 |
| By Vehicle Ownership |  |  |  |
| No household vehicles | 60,900 | 3.19 | 2.45 |
| At least one vehicle | 933,500 | 5.82 | 2.64 |
| Worker vs. Non-Worker Households |  |  |  |
| No workers | 195,000 | 3.59 | 2.42 |
| 1 worker | 263,300 | 4.53 | 2.65 |
| 2 or more workers | 536,100 | 8.00 | 2.70 |

[^22]Table 23. Total daily trips and trip rates by demographic characteristics, persons 5+, 2022

|  | Daily Trips * | Person Trip <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Survey Total | 994,800 | 2.63 |
| By Employment Status |  |  |
| Work Full-Time | 463,800 | 2.80 |
| Work Part-Time | 130,400 | 2.85 |
| Unemployed | 8,100 | 2.06 |
| Other (includes students 15+ who do not work) | 82,100 | 2.35 |
| Retired | 217,300 | 2.35 |
| Not applicable (5-14 years) | 93,200 | 2.61 |
| By Student Status |  |  |
| Not a student | 791,500 | 2.64 |
| K-12 student | 123,000 | 2.60 |
| PSE Full-time | 56,200 | 2.49 |
| PSE Part-time | 22,000 | 2.65 |
| Other / online | 2,200 | 2.02 |
| Gender |  |  |
| Men+ | 479,900 | 2.62 |
| Women+ | 514,900 | 2.63 |
| Age Group | 93,200 |  |
| 05 to 14 | 101,700 | 2.61 |
| 15 to 24 | 134,900 | 2.41 |
| 25 to 34 | 168,500 | 2.48 |
| 35 to 44 | 145,300 | 3.14 |
| 45 to 54 | 149,800 | 2.96 |
| 55 to 64 | 129,100 | 2.67 |
| 65 to 74 | 62,100 | 2.45 |
| 75 to 84 | 10,200 | 2.25 |
| $85+$ | 1.42 |  |

[^23]
### 4.1.5 Daily trips by age group

Figure 39 shows how the daily trip rate varies by age. Following a slight decline in tripmaking as children enter their late teens and young adulthood, peak trip-making activity occurs in the 35-44 cohort which, as noted, is consistent with a stage in life in which people are active in their work careers and may be raising children - all of which contribute to increased travel activity. From a high of 3.14 trips per person in the 40-44 cohort, the average trip rate per person drops gradually with age, reaching below the daily average of 2.63 trips per person in the 60-64 cohort and starting to drop more steeply once people reach the $80+$ cohorts.

Figure 39. Trip rate by age (5-year age groups), 2022


* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Figure 40 expands on these trends by breaking down the trip rate by gender. Women have consistently higher trip rates through the 35-44 cohort, after which men have higher trip rates and women's travel activity drops faster than men's activity. The highest trip rate overall is that for women in the $35-44$ cohort, at 3.22 trips per person, while women $85+$ have the lowest trip rate, at 1.23 trips per person.

Figure 40. Trip rate by gender by age (10-year age groups), 2022


* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.


### 4.1.6 Trips by hour of the day

Figure 41 plots trips by hour of the day for 2017 and 2022. The volumes are plotted by start time. The general profile of the trips remains the same, with the morning and afternoon commuter peaks registering the greatest volumes of the day. The 1 pm start of afternoon peak volumes continues, with long evening taper ending slightly earlier in 2022. However, the number of trips has dropped at all times of day in 2022. The most notable reductions occur in the hours starting at:

- 8 am , at $-9,300$ trips (the peak of the AM commuter peak).
- 11 am , at $-10,700$ trips (the mid-morning peak).
- 5 pm, at -11,600 trips (during the PM commuter peak and the largest hourly drop).
- 7 pm , at $-7,500$ trips (during the early evening).
- 9 pm , at -9,200 trips (during the late evening).

In other words, the reductions are not limited to the typical peak travel times. As examined in Section 4.3.1, their breadth across the day corresponds to reductions in work and school commutes, as well as shopping, restaurant/bar and social activities and other activities that occur outside the commuter peaks. All these reductions are consistent with the lingering effects of pandemic activity shifts.

Figure 41. Trip volumes by hour of day, 2017 and 2022


All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Figure 42 shows how travel patterns vary among working and non-working adults and children aged 5-17. As expected, the peak travel times for workers and children occur during the commuter peaks, which is consistent with their commutes to and from work and school respectively. ${ }^{29}$ However, while there is still some activity by workers between the two commuter peaks (including work-related trips), children's travel drops to near-zero volumes during this time, consistent with their attendance at school. The morning peak hour occurs at 8 am for both workers and children (students), although the afternoon peak hour for students occurs at 3 pm and an hour later for workers. The morning is the sharpest peak for students, while the afternoon peak is greatest for workers.

Trips made by non-working adults peak during the daytime inter-peak period, which is consistent with shopping, personal appointments and other discretionary trips.

[^24]Figure 42. Trips by start hour for workers, non-workers and children, 2022




* All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Figure 43 shows hourly trip volumes by work status and gender, all among adults 18+. As expected, workers' trips are concentrated in the two commuter peak periods. However, female workers contribute more to commuting volumes than male workers, although male activity in the morning begins earlier than that of females. The proportion of female workers' activity during the two peaks is sharper than that for male workers.
For non-workers, the distributions are also similar by gender, although male non-workers have more a trough in their mid-day activity than females, coupled with a sharper midmorning peak.

Figure 43. Trips by start hour by work status by gender, adults (18+), 2022



[^25]Finally, Figure 44 compares shows the hourly volumes for working and non-working adults 18+ and children 5-17 for 2017 and 2022. This is a breakdown of the total trips shown in Figure 41. The overall drops in travel are driven by reductions in working and non-working adults' trips, though these reductions are offset in part by increases in children's trips:

- Workers experienced significant drops in trip volumes during the morning and afternoon commuter peaks, at 7AM and 8AM ( $-8,200,-10,400$ respectively) and at 4PM and 5PM ( $-6,300$ and $-10,000$ respectively). Reductions also occurred at 7PM $(-5,900)$ and 9PM $(-6,900)$, outside the commuter peaks though reflecting trips made by workers.
- Non-workers sustained high drops in the daytime inter-peak period between 11AM4PM ( $-5,800,-3,500,-5,800,-5,800,-4,500$ and $-3,900$ each hour respectively). Reductions in trip volumes also occurred across the rest of the day, although with lesser magnitude.
- Children made more trips in the hours starting at 8AM (+800), afternoon at 2PM, 3PM and 4PM (+1,900, +300 and +2,200 respectively), though they made fewer trips at most other hours.

Figure 44. Trips by start hour by work status, adults (18+), 2017-2022


[^26]
### 4.2 Primary mode shares - daily

### 4.2.1 Daily mode shares

Figure 45 shows the mode shares of weekday trips made in the RPA by persons 5+, based on the primary mode of the trip. ${ }^{30}$ It can be seen that:

- More than two-thirds (69\%) of daily trips are made by auto. Of these, $54 \%$ are made as the driver, of which almost three-quarters are made by the driver travelling alone ( $39 \%$ of all trips). Another $15 \%$ are made with one or more passengers.
- $6 \%$ of trips are made by transit, almost all of which are accessed on foot ( $95.2 \%$ of transit trips). Another 3.3\% are accessed as park-and-ride and kiss-and-ride, and 1.5\% are accessed by bicycle or micromobility modes.
- Almost one-quarter of trips are made by active transportation modes, with $15 \%$ made on foot, $8 \%$ by bicycle or e-bike and 0.16\% by micromobility modes.
- Just under 30\% of bicycle trips are made by e-bikes, even though they make up only $10 \%$ of the stock of adult and children's bicycles. As noted in Section 3.5, this suggests a more regular use of e-bikes than of other bikes.

Figure 45. Daily mode shares, persons 5+, 2022


| Auto Driver Trips | Mode <br> share | \% of driver <br> trips |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single Occupant (SOV) | $39.1 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| 2-Occupant (HOV-2) | $11.0 \%$ | $20.6 \%$ |
| 3-Occupant (HOV-3+) | $3.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Transit Access | Mode | \% of transit |
| Walk Access | $5.9 \%$ | trips |
| Park \& Ride (drive access) | $0.1 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Kiss \& Ride (passenger) | $0.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Bicycle/ Micromobility | $0.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Bicycle/Micromobility | Mode | $\%$ of bike/ |
| Bicycle | share | micromobility |
| E-bike | $5.4 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ |
| E-micromobility | $2.3 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| Micromobility | $0.14 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |

Based on all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

* 'Other' modes include motorcycle / scooter, HandyDART, school bus, taxi, Harbour ferry / water taxi, BC Ferries, other marine, train and airplane.

[^27]Figure 46 compares the 2017 and 2022 mode shares for persons $5+$. While proportions are generally in the same order, the overall magnitude of trips by all modes has dropped, with the exception of bicycle, which has increased from 55,600 daily trips in 2017 to 79,000 in 2022, with a corresponding mode shift from $5 \%$ to $8 \%$ of daily trips by persons $5+$.
Figure 47 and Table 24 extend the comparison to 2001 for persons 11+. Here it can be seen that even with the steady reduction in auto driver trips since 2006, the drop-off to 2022 has been steep. Auto passenger volumes, while generally steady over time, also dropped noticeably after 2017. The increase in transit volumes to 2017 was also followed by a noticeable drop. A steady rise in walk trips to 2017 was followed by a smaller drop. Only cycling trips continued to increase after 2017, recording a $39 \%$ increase.

Figure 46. Daily mode shares, persons 5+, 2017 and 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Figure 47. Daily mode shares, persons 11+, 2001-2022


Based on all trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents $\underline{11+}$, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.
Table 24. Details of daily mode shares, persons 11+, 2001-2022

|  | 2001 |  | 2006 |  | 2011 |  | 2017 |  | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Travel Mode | Daily <br> Trips | Mode Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share | Daily <br> Trips | Mode <br> Share |
| Auto driver | 593,100 | 63.2\% | 652,100 | 64.3\% | 634,900 | 62.9\% | 617,700 | 58.9\% | 534,800 | 56.9\% |
| Passenger | 135,600 | 14.5\% | 137,100 | 13.5\% | 131,100 | 13.0\% | 134,900 | 12.9\% | 115,400 | 12.3\% |
| Transit | 65,000 | 6.9\% | 71,500 | 7.0\% | 65,500 | 6.5\% | 82,000 | 7.8\% | 60,900 | 6.5\% |
| Bicycle | 24,500 | 2.6\% | 35,100 | 3.5\% | 27,200 | 2.7\% | 53,400 | 5.1\% | 73,900 | 7.9\% |
| Walk | 109,300 | 11.7\% | 101,100 | 10.0\% | 133,500 | 13.2\% | 144,200 | 13.7\% | 136,800 | 14.6\% |
| Other | 10,800 | 1.2\% | 17,600 | 1.7\% | 16,800 | 1.7\% | 16,500 | 1.6\% | 17,300 | 1.8\% |
| Total | 938,300 | 100.0\% | 1,014,400 | 100.0\% | 1,009,000 | 100.0\% | 1,048,700 | 100.0\% | 939,100 | 100.0\% |

Based on all trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents $\underline{11+}$, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

### 4.2.2 Mode shares by sub-area

Figure 48 and Table 25 show how mode shares vary by sub-area:

- Auto trips dominate in the suburban areas, while the auto share drops in the core. Whereas four-fifths of trips in the suburban areas are made by auto, of which twothirds are made as the driver, in Victoria, less than half of daily trips are by auto.
- The transit share is higher in the core, at $7.7 \%$ of all trips. The highest share is among Victoria residents, at 9.1\%, although District of Saanich residents have a $6.7 \%$ transit share (more than double the Saanich Peninsula share of $3.0 \%$ ).
- Victoria's active transportation share ( $43.6 \%$ ) is almost the same as the city's auto share ( $46.1 \%$ ). Almost one-third ( $30.8 \%$ ) of Victoria residents walk and another 12.8\% of residents cycle. Both are the highest active transportation shares in the RPA. Active transportation comprises 14.7\% of District of Saanich trips, which is more than double Langford's 7.1\% share.

Figure 48. Mode shares by sub-area, 2022


Table 25. Details of mode shares by sub-area, 2022

|  | Study <br> Area | RPA Residents | Sub-Areas |  |  | Largest Municipalities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Saanich Peninsula | Core | West Shore | city of Victoria | District of Saanich | City of Langford |
| Total Trips | 995,900 | 994,400 | 103,000 | 662,300 | 229,100 | 241,000 | 293,600 | 117,700 |
| Auto Driver | 53.8\% | 53.8\% | 65.6\% | 48.5\% | 63.9\% | 36.5\% | 56.5\% | 62.5\% |
| Auto Passenger | 14.8\% | 14.8\% | 16.3\% | 13.2\% | 18.7\% | 9.6\% | 15.7\% | 18.2\% |
| Transit | 6.2\% | 6.2\% | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 3.1\% | 9.1\% | 6.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Bicycle | 7.9\% | 7.9\% | 3.8\% | 10.2\% | 3.2\% | 12.8\% | 8.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Walk | 15.0\% | 15.1\% | 8.1\% | 18.9\% | 7.1\% | 30.8\% | 11.4\% | 8.7\% |
| Other | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 3.1\% | 1.5\% | 4.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.7\% | 3.6\% |

Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

### 4.2.3 Mode shares by age group

Mode shares vary by age, as people's mobility needs and abilities change. Figure 49 depicts the shares for each mode by age range, with details provided in Table 26:

- Auto driver shares dominate all age cohorts from 25 years on, commensurate with people joining the workforce and, for many, starting families. The dominance peaks in the 45-54 cohort, at $70 \%$ of all trips, then steadily drops - although driving is still the dominant mode for the 85+ population, at $56 \%$ of all trips.
- Auto passenger shares are highest among those who lack a licence or otherwise do not drive. The auto passenger shares are highest among children below the driving age (and who may not be old enough to travel independently). The auto passenger share drops quickly for teens, bottoming out among the 35-44 population at 6.3\% before rising steadily to $21.4 \%$ for the $85+$ population.
- The transit share is correspondingly highest among students and young adults those who either are too young to drive or who do not have access to a vehicle. The 15-19 population has the highest share, at 23.5\%, followed by the 20-24 population at $20.1 \%$. These shares are consistent with cohorts that can travel independently though lack access to a vehicle.
- Cycling and micromobility peak in the 35-44 cohort, with a $12.3 \%$ share. Use of these modes is also evident in the 5-9 cohort (9.1\%). The shares drop steadily before they start to rise again in the young adult cohort. After the $35-44$ peak, the shares then start to decline again gradually from 45 years on.
- Walking is pervasive among all age groups, though its share varies by age. The highest shares are among pre-16 children (22.8\% for the 5-9 population and 20.5\% for the 10-14 population). The lowest shares are in the 45-64 population, though never less than $10.7 \%$. This cohort also has the highest auto driver shares.

Figure 49. Mode shares by age range, 2022


Transit


Bicycle and Micromobility


Walk


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

Table 26. Details of mode shares by age range, 2022

|  | Total Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit bus | Walk | Bicycle and micromobility | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Total | 995,900 | 535,900 | 147,600 | 61,400 | 149,700 | 79,000 | 22,300 |
| 5 to 9 | 46,500 |  | 26,800 | 300 | 10,600 | 4,200 | 4,500 |
| 10 to 14 | 46,700 |  | 22,700 | 5,000 | 9,600 | 3,800 | 5,700 |
| 15 to 19 | 45,100 | 8,400 | 14,000 | 10,600 | 6,800 | 2,500 | 2,700 |
| 20 to 24 | 56,800 | 24,700 | 6,800 | 11,400 | 9,600 | 3,700 | 600 |
| 25 to 34 | 134,900 | 71,400 | 13,500 | 11,900 | 23,700 | 12,800 | 1,600 |
| 35 to 44 | 168,300 | 100,300 | 10,700 | 6,800 | 28,300 | 20,700 | 1,600 |
| 45 to 54 | 145,400 | 101,400 | 10,300 | 4,900 | 15,500 | 11,700 | 1,600 |
| 55 to 64 | 150,200 | 101,500 | 14,100 | 5,000 | 16,900 | 10,700 | 2,000 |
| 65 to 74 | 129,500 | 81,700 | 16,700 | 3,100 | 19,500 | 7,100 | 1,500 |
| 75 to 84 | 62,400 | 40,900 | 9,800 | 1,900 | 7,800 | 1,600 | 400 |
| 85+ | 10,200 | 5,700 | 2,200 | 600 | 1,400 | 100 | 200 |
|  | Total Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit bus | Walk | Bicycle and micromobility | Other |
| Survey Total | 995,900 | 535,900 | 147,600 | 61,400 | 149,700 | 79,000 | 22,300 |
| 5 to 9 | 46,500 |  | 57.8\% | 0.7\% | 22.8\% | 9.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 10 to 14 | 46,700 |  | 48.5\% | 10.7\% | 20.5\% | 8.1\% | 0.1\% |
| 15 to 19 | 45,100 | 18.7\% | 31.1\% | 23.5\% | 15.1\% | 5.5\% | 0.4\% |
| 20 to 24 | 56,800 | 43.5\% | 12.0\% | 20.1\% | 16.9\% | 6.4\% | 0.9\% |
| 25 to 34 | 134,900 | 52.9\% | 10.0\% | 8.8\% | 17.6\% | 9.5\% | 1.2\% |
| 35 to 44 | 168,300 | 59.6\% | 6.3\% | 4.0\% | 16.8\% | 12.3\% | 0.9\% |
| 45 to 54 | 145,400 | 69.7\% | 7.1\% | 3.4\% | 10.7\% | 8.0\% | 1.1\% |
| 55 to 64 | 150,200 | 67.6\% | 9.4\% | 3.3\% | 11.2\% | 7.1\% | 1.3\% |
| 65 to 74 | 129,500 | 63.0\% | 12.9\% | 2.4\% | 15.1\% | 5.5\% | 1.1\% |
| 75 to 84 | 62,400 | 65.7\% | 15.7\% | 3.0\% | 12.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.6\% |
| 85+ | 10,200 | 55.5\% | 21.4\% | 5.8\% | 14.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% |

Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

Figure 50 summarizes the shares for sustainable modes (all non-auto modes combined) and for active modes (walk and bicycle):

- Almost one-third of all trips (30.4\%) are made by sustainable modes.
- The share of sustainable modes is highest among children, teens and young adults those who either are too young to have a licence or do not have access to a vehicle. The share peaks at 51.4\% in the 10-14 population. The share drops steadily among adults, corresponding to people joining the workforce and, in some cases, starting families. Even so, the shares all remain in the range of $21 \%$ to $23 \%$ (apart from the 75-84 population, whose share is $18.0 \%$ ).


## - Almost one-quarter of all trips (23.0\%) are made on foot or by bicycle.

- Active transportation shares are highest through the 35-44 cohort, ranging from $20.7 \%$ to $31.9 \%$. The active transportation shares then steadily drop, with the $75+$ population having shares of the order of $15 \%$.

Figure 50. Sustainable and active mode shares by age range, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

### 4.2.4 Mode shares by gender

The survey results reveal differences in mode use by gender, as depicted in Figure 51:

- Females drive slightly less than males ( $52.0 \%$ compared with $55.8 \%$ ) and are more likely to be passengers ( $18.1 \%$ compared with $11.3 \%$ ). This is the most significant difference in mode share between the genders. As a result, females have a larger share of auto trips, at $70.1 \%$ compared with $67.0 \%$ for males.
- Females and males make nearly equivalent numbers of walking trips, although females have only about two-thirds as many cycling trips as males. Overall, almost one-quarter of males' trips are via active modes (24.6\%), compared with $21.4 \%$ of females' trips.
- Females have a slightly higher share of transit use than males (6.7\% compared with 5.7\%).

Figure 51. Mode shares by gender, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

### 4.2.5 Mode shares by household characteristics

This section presents mode shares according to three household characteristics: household structure (Figure 52), household income (Figure 53) and dwelling type (Figure 54). While these characteristics may be related (e.g., dwelling type and household income), it is useful to summarize the findings for each one separately:
By household structure:

- Auto shares generally increase with the number of adults in the household, ${ }^{31}$ within which auto driver shares are highest in households without children and auto passenger shares are highest in households with children.
- Sustainable mode shares are highest among single-person households (37\%), though the share decreases gradually for larger households. ${ }^{32}$ Walking is the largest component for all households, though it also diminishes for larger households. Cycling shares are highest for households that have two adults, both with and without children.

[^28]Figure 52. Mode shares by household structure, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.
Figure 53. Mode shares by dwelling type, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

Figure 54. Mode shares by income, 2022


Includes data only from those households that responded to the income question. Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

By dwelling type:

- Auto trips make up almost three-quarters of all travel for people living in houses ( $76 \%$ ) and other ground-oriented dwellings ( $72 \%$ ).
- Trips by sustainable modes make up almost half of all travel for people living in $5+$ storey apartments ( $47 \%$ ) and $<5$ storey apartments ( $45 \%$ ). Walk trips make up more than one-third ( $38 \%$ ) of trips made by people living in $5+$ storey apartments and transit adds another $9 \%$, while cycling trips are only $0.1 \%$ of their trips. For people living in <5 storey apartments, the walking share is $24 \%$ and $10 \%$ for cycling. The transit share is highest for people living in <5 storey apartments, at 11\%.
By household income:
- Households with incomes less than $\$ 25,000$ have the lowest auto shares ( $43 \%$ ), the highest walk shares ( $26 \%$ ) and the highest transit shares ( $22 \%$ ). For households with incomes $\$ 25,000$ or more, the auto shares rise gradually from $64 \%$ while the walk and transit shares steadily diminish. Households in the $\$ 125,000-\$ 200,000$ range have the highest cycling share, at $11 \%{ }^{33}$

[^29]
### 4.2.6 Mode shares by employment and student status

Figure 55 and Figure 56 profile how mode shares vary by employment status and student status, respectively:

- Auto shares are highest among retirees (78\% share) and full-time workers (71\%), with retirees having the highest auto passenger shares (15\%) and the lowest active transportation shares ( $19 \%$ ).
- Transit shares are highest among full-time post-secondary students (26\%). The transit share is lowest among retirees ( $2 \%$ ) and those who are unemployed and looking for work (4\%).
- Walking shares are highest among the unemployed and looking (23\%) and among primary and secondary students ( $21 \%$ ). It is also high among those whose employment status is 'other' ( $19 \%$ ). Otherwise, the walk share is generally equivalent for all other statuses, at 13\%-15\% shares.
- The bicycling and micromobility shares are highest among full-time and part-time workers ( $9 \%-10 \%$ ). Comparable shares are noted for all students, regardless of school level. Retirees have the lowest share (4\%).

Figure 55. Mode shares by employment status, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

Figure 56. Mode shares by student status, 2022


Based on all trips in the RPA, including those made by Salt Spring Island residents.

### 4.2.7 Mode shares by trip start hour

Figure 57 shows the hourly variation of mode shares. The auto driver share is highest at all times of day, with auto drivers comprising at least half of all trips throughout most of the day - a notable exception is the hour beginning at 8 am at $44 \%$ (the lowest share for driving), when overall trip volumes are their peak, at 110,300 trips by all modes.

The highest absolute auto driver volumes correspond to the morning and afternoon peak periods (7-9 am and 2-6 pm), which are also the times of day when auto passenger volumes are greatest and are likely associated with commutes to and from work and school. As a proportion, however, auto passenger trips are greatest during the evening hours, reaching a maximum of $24 \%$ during the hour beginning at 8 pm : these shares are consistent with evening recreational, social and other after-hours activities.

Transit volumes are highest during the two commuter peaks, with volumes reaching 7,2007,400 riders between 7 and 9 am and again between 3 and 5 pm. Transit's highest share occurs in the hour beginning at 7 am , at $11 \%$.

Walking and cycling achieve their greatest numbers during the morning peak hour, at 33,000 trips in the hour beginning at 8 am . Volumes are also high during the afternoon peaks, rising to 26,100 trips in the hour beginning at 4 pm . Walking trips peak at 20,800 trips in the hour
beginning at 8 am and have a sustained peak of 14,800 trips in each of the hours beginning at 3 pm and 4 pm , and almost as many $(14,200)$ in the hour beginning at 5 pm . The profile is the similar for cycling in the morning, at 12,300 in the hour beginning at 8 am , but with a distinct peak 11,200 trips in the hour beginning at 4 pm that stands apart from the hours before and after this (which have considerably fewer trips, at 8,100 and 7,800 respectively).

Figure 57. Mode shares by trip start hour, 2022


### 4.2.8 AM and PM Peak mode shares

The survey data have been grouped into five time periods, to provide a different view of travel patterns including the aggregation of trips in the AM and PM commuter peak periods:

- Night 0000 to 0559
- AM Peak 0600 to 0859
trip depart times from 12 AM to just before 6 AM
- Midday 0900 to 1459
- PM Peak 1500 to 1759
- Evening 1800 to 1159

6 AM to just before 9 AM
9 AM to just before 3 PM
3 PM to just before 6 PM
6 PM to just before midnight

Table 27 provides an overview of the total number of trips for each period in both 2017 and 2022. As has been discussed earlier, the estimated total daily volume of trips in the RPA has dropped $10 \%$ overall. However, this decrease has not been uniform by time of day. Most
notably, there appear to be $19 \%$ fewer trips reported in the evening hours (or 35,100 fewer trips), compared to only a $6 \%$ drop in the PM Peak period, with the AM Peak and Midday both with $8 \%$ drops. This suggests shifts in activity patterns that go beyond simple changes to commuting. In terms of each period's share of total daily trips, there appears to be some slight shifting, with the Midday and PM Peak periods increasing their share of daily trips and the evening accounting for a lower proportion than previously.

Table 27. Trip volumes by time period (including AM Peak, PM Peak), 2017-2022

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Night } \\ & 0000-0559 \\ & (6 \text { hours }) \end{aligned}$ | AM Peak $\begin{gathered} 0600-0859 \\ (3 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Midday } \\ 0900-1459 \\ (6 \text { hrs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM Peak } \\ 1500-1759 \\ (3 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | Evening $\begin{gathered} 1800-2359 \\ \text { (6 hrs) } \end{gathered}$ | 24-Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 14,300 | 220,600 | 367,800 | 314,900 | 186,700 | 1,104,300 |
| 2022 | 12,400 | 200,000 | 337,100 | 294,700 | 151,600 | 995,900 |
| difference | -1,900 | -20,600 | -30,700 | -20,200 | -35,100 | -108,400 |
| \% difference | -13\% | -8\% | -8\% | -6\% | -19\% | -10\% |
| 2017 | 1.3\% | 20.0\% | 33.3\% | 28.5\% | 16.9\% | 100.0\% |
| 2022 | 1.2\% | 20.1\% | 33.9\% | 29.6\% | 15.2\% | 100.0\% |
| \%-pt difference | -0.1\% | +0.1\% | +0.6\% | +1.1\% | -1.7\% |  |

Table 28 examines how mode shares have changed in the same period. Compared to 2017, there are notable drops in auto driver mode shares in both the AM and PM Peak periods (drops of -3.6 and -3.0 percentage-points respectively), with the same being true for transit (drops of -1.9 percentage-points in both peak periods). Conversely, in these same time periods, there has been increase in both bicycle/micromobility ( $+3.0,+3.4 \%$-pts) and walk mode shares ( $+2.6,+1.6 \%$-pts) in these same time periods. Bicycling also sees an increase at other times of day, except for overnight.
Several factors may have influenced the shifts in trip volumes and mode shares observed in these surveys. These factors include the impact of increased work-/study-from-home and reduced commuting, possible shifts in where workers work and live, the impact of the pandemic on daily commerce (retail shopping and services), the social impacts of the pandemic and/or other factors not considered here.

Table 28. Mode shares by time period (including AM Peak, PM Peak), 2017-2022

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Night } \\ 0000- \\ 0559 \\ \text { (6 hours) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM Peak } \\ & 0600-0859 \\ & (3 \text { hrs }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Midday } \\ & 0900-1459 \\ & \text { (6 hrs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM Peak } \\ & 1500-1759 \\ & \text { (3 hrs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evening } \\ & 1800-2359 \\ & \text { (6 hrs) } \end{aligned}$ | 24-Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017: Total Trips | 14,300 | 220,600 | 367,800 | 314,900 | 186,700 | 1,104,300 |
| Auto Driver | 64.9\% | 53.6\% | 58.9\% | 54.5\% | 55.1\% | 56.0\% |
| Auto Passenger | 10.4\% | 14.4\% | 12.5\% | 16.2\% | 22.0\% | 15.5\% |
| Transit | 6.7\% | 10.3\% | 6.0\% | 8.5\% | 5.4\% | 7.5\% |
| Bicycle | 7.0\% | 7.3\% | 4.0\% | 5.8\% | 2.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Walk | 6.9\% | 11.5\% | 17.2\% | 13.3\% | 13.4\% | 14.2\% |
| Other | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | 1.8\% |
| 2022: Total Trips | 12,400 | 200,000 | 337,100 | 294,700 | 151,600 | 995,900 |
| Auto Driver | 66.2\% | 50.0\% | 57.8\% | 51.5\% | 53.5\% | 53.8\% |
| Auto Passenger | 10.5\% | 13.3\% | 12.6\% | 15.3\% | 21.2\% | 14.8\% |
| Transit | 3.4\% | 8.4\% | 5.5\% | 6.6\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 4.7\% | 10.3\% | 6.4\% | 9.2\% | 6.0\% | 7.9\% |
| Walk | 12.2\% | 14.1\% | 16.3\% | 14.9\% | 13.9\% | 15.0\% |
| Other | 2.9\% | 3.9\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% |
| Auto Driver | +1.3\% | -3.6\% | -1.1\% | -3.0\% | -1.6\% | -2.2\% |
| Auto Passenger | +0.1\% | -1.1\% | +0.1\% | -0.9\% | -0.8\% | -0.7\% |
| Transit | -3.3\% | -1.9\% | -0.5\% | -1.9\% | -1.3\% | -1.3\% |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | -2.3\% | +3.0\% | +2.4\% | +3.4\% | +3.2\% | +2.9\% |
| Walk | 5.3\% | +2.6\% | -0.9\% | +1.6\% | +0.5\% | +0.8\% |
| Other | -1.3\% | +1.0\% | 0.0\% | +0.8\% | 0.0\% | +0.4\% |

4.2.9 Mode shares by employment and student status for AM and PM peak period Table 29 provides mode shares at different time periods of the day for three commuter groups: students between five and 17 years of age (most of whom would be in the K-12 education system), adult students (most of whom would be in post-secondary school) and workers.
Figure 58, Figure 59 and Figure 60 illustrate the volumes of their trips by different modes in the five time periods. For example, children's commutes to and from school appear to account for much of their daily travel, with the majority of their trips during the AM and PM peak periods being via sustainable modes (with the Other mode category including school bus trips) and three-quarters of their trips in the evening being made as auto passengers.
Students 18 years and older reported fewer trips in the AM Peak than they make after 9 AM in the Midday period or during the PM Peak period. Around half their travel is via sustainable modes in the AM Peak, Midday and PM Peak periods, with the balance made as auto drivers or auto passengers.
In contrast, the auto is the primary mode for workers at all times of day. Around $60 \%$ of trips are made as auto driver during most times of day ( $68 \%$ at night) and $5 \%-8 \%$ of their trips
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

2022 CRD Origin-Destination Survey
are made as auto passengers ( $14.7 \%$ in the evening, consistent with non-work evening activities). Transit is highest during the AM Peak. Travel by bicycle and micromobility modes is highest during the PM Peak. Walking is pervasive at all times of day, though is highest in the Midday, PM Peak and evening.

Table 29. Mode Shares for Students and Workers by Time Period, 2022

| Population Group | Mode | $\begin{gathered} \text { Night } \\ 0000- \\ 0559 \\ \text { (6 hours) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM Peak } \\ 0600- \\ 0859 \\ (3 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | Midday <br> 0900 1459 <br> (6 hrs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM Peak } \\ 1500- \\ 1759 \\ (3 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evening } \\ 1800- \\ 2359 \\ (6 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | 24-Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students 0-17 yrs | Total Trips | 400 | 42,700 | 17,700 | 47,200 | 15,500 | 123,500 |
|  | Auto Driver | 7.9\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.1\% |
|  | Auto Passenger | 78.6\% | 42.3\% | 39.2\% | 50.4\% | 75.9\% | 49.3\% |
|  | Transit | 0.0\% | 9.6\% | 8.5\% | 11.2\% | 5.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Bicycle \& Micromobility | 0.0\% | 9.2\% | 9.1\% | 7.7\% | 3.6\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Walk | 13.5\% | 23.1\% | 32.2\% | 18.1\% | 11.5\% | 21.0\% |
|  | Other | 0.0\% | 14.2\% | 9.5\% | 10.3\% | 0.4\% | 10.2\% |
| Students 18+ yrs | Total Trips | 900 | 51,100 | 33,600 | 60,400 | 22,800 | 168,700 |
|  | Auto Driver | 57.2\% | 46.0\% | 36.1\% | 42.5\% | 54.3\% | 43.7\% |
|  | Auto Passenger | 2.2\% | 7.7\% | 14.2\% | 9.8\% | 13.9\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Transit | 11.9\% | 26.7\% | 26.0\% | 20.6\% | 10.9\% | 21.4\% |
|  | Bicycle \& Micromobility | 4.2\% | 8.0\% | 10.2\% | 9.7\% | 6.6\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Walk | 21.4\% | 11.2\% | 12.8\% | 16.9\% | 12.7\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Other | 3.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.8\% |
| Workers | Total Trips | 11,100 | 136,200 | 153,300 | 188,300 | 109,600 | 598,600 |
|  | Auto Driver | 67.6\% | 62.3\% | 61.7\% | 60.6\% | 58.8\% | 61.1\% |
|  | Auto Passenger | 8.4\% | 5.3\% | 7.8\% | 7.3\% | 14.7\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Transit | 3.8\% | 8.7\% | 5.7\% | 6.6\% | 4.1\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Bicycle \& Micromobility | 5.1\% | 11.4\% | 7.9\% | 10.7\% | 6.9\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Walk | 12.3\% | 10.7\% | 15.7\% | 13.3\% | 14.2\% | 13.5\% |
|  | Other | 2.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.4\% |

Figure 58. Students 5-17 years of age, trips by mode by time period 2022


Figure 59. Students 18+ years of age, trips by mode by time period, 2022


Figure 60. Workers, trips by mode by time period, 2022


### 4.3 Trip purpose

Trip purpose, or the reason for making a trip, is another important indicator of travel patterns and choices. The following discussions explore trip purpose in more detail, including by time of day, start hour and travel mode.

### 4.3.1 Trip purpose breakdown



Trip purposes based on the activity at the trip destination are broken out in Figure 61 and Table 30, for the $5+$ population. For context, $59 \%$ of all trips are to destinations outside the home, and $41 \%$ return home.

- Commuting trips to work and school comprise $20 \%$ of daily trips. Stated another way, these commuting trips represent one-third (34\%) of all trip destinations outside the home. Including trips to pick up or drop off passengers (which are mostly associated with commuting to and from work or school) brings the total commuting and commuting-related trips to just under half the total ( $46 \%$ ) of the non-return-home trips.
- Trips for shopping, household maintenance and personal business comprise $17 \%$ of all trips, or $29 \%$ of all trips other than return home.
- Trips for recreational, dining (restaurant) and social activities make up 14\% of all trips, or $24 \%$ of all trips other than return home.

Figure 61. Daily trip purpose, population 5+, 2022


All trips made in the RPA by persons $5+$, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

Table 30. Details of trip purpose, population 5+, 2017-2022

| Trip Purpose | 2017 | 2022 | change | difference |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 178,200 | 141,200 | $-37,000$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 18,700 | 16,800 | $-1,900$ | $-10 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 38,400 | 40,900 | 2,500 | $+7 \%$ |
| Shopping / household maintenance | 126,800 | 112,200 | $-14,700$ | $-12 \%$ |
| Personal business | 63,800 | 58,800 | $-5,000$ | $-8 \%$ |
| Restaurant | 45,700 | 31,200 | $-14,600$ | $-32 \%$ |
| Recreation | 68,300 | 70,400 | 2,100 | $+3 \%$ |
| Social | 49,600 | 39,700 | $-9,900$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Pick-up or drop-off passenger | 89,000 | 73,200 | $-15,700$ | $-18 \%$ |
| Other | 6,000 | 3,900 | $-2,100$ | $-35 \%$ |
| Return Home | 419,700 | 407,500 | $-12,300$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Total | $1,104,300$ | 995,900 | $-108,500$ | $-10 \%$ |

All trips made in the RPA by persons 5+, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Table 30 provides a comparison with the expanded 2017 survey. Almost all trip purposes recorded reductions in volume, commensurate with the lingering pandemic-induced shifts in people's activities. The greatest reductions occurred in commuting and commuting-related trips to work and post-secondary school, likely reflecting the ongoing shifts in remote / onsite working and schooling. Shopping / household maintenance and restaurant trips also experienced significant reductions, consistent with a lingering use of online purchases. Trips to elementary and secondary schools increased by 7\%. This is close to though less than the $8.7 \%$ increase in the 5-17 population since 2017 (see Table 6). The increase in these trips may reflect government policies that re-opened these schools as the pandemic eased, recognizing also that some parents may elect to keep their children home if the children or others were ill. ${ }^{34}$ Recreational trips also increased.
Figure 62 and Table 31 provide a longer-term context for these trends back to 2001, for persons 11+. Trips for some purposes have fluctuated over time (e.g., work and work-related trips), some have increased (e.g., dining / restaurant) and others have declined (e.g., personal business and pick-up / drop-off passenger). However, except for K-12 school trips, the number of trips for all purposes declined between 2017 and 2022, in some cases profoundly: work or work-related, shopping and dining / restaurant. Though some of these reflect changes pandemic-induced prohibitions on in-person work or on socializing, the contractions in shopping and picking-up / dropping-off passengers appear to be part of a longer-term trends since 2011, perhaps accelerated by the pandemic. The ongoing reduction

[^30]in trips for personal business, more gradual following a steep decline between 2006 and 2011, may reflect the advent of more online services, rising inflation and interest rates and affordability in general, which also may have contributed to the steep recent decline in shopping.

Figure 62. Daily volume of trips by trip purpose, population 11+, 2001-2022

"In 2001 and 2006, this category was 'Other school' and would have included adults attending adult basic education, GEDs, etc. as well as K-12 students.

Table 31. Details of trip purposes, persons 11+ years, 2001-2022

|  | 2001 |  | 2006 |  | 2011 |  | 2017 |  | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Purpose | Daily <br> Trips | \% | Daily <br> Trips | \% | Daily Trips | \% | Daily <br> Trips | \% | Daily <br> Trips | \% |
| Work | 154,000 | 16.4\% | 172,400 | 17.0\% | 158,000 | 15.7\% | 178,000 | 17.0\% | 141,000 | 15.0\% |
| Post-secondary school | 18,500 | 2.0\% | 18,800 | 1.9\% | 17,600 | 1.7\% | 18,700 | 1.8\% | 16,800 | 1.8\% |
| Other school in 2001,2006 K-12 school in 2011 onward | 21,400 | 2.3\% | 27,600 | 2.7\% | 22,000 | 2.2\% | 20,800 | 2.0\% | 22,400 | 2.4\% |
| Personal business | 76,900 | 8.2\% | 92,100 | 9.1\% | 63,800 | 6.3\% | 59,800 | 5.7\% | 57,200 | 6.1\% |
| Recreation / social | 82,600 | 8.8\% | 100,300 | 9.9\% | 96,400 | 9.6\% | 112,000 | 10.7\% | 104,200 | 11.1\% |
| Dining / restaurant | 34,900 | 3.7\% | 36,900 | 3.6\% | 36,000 | 3.6\% | 45,100 | 4.3\% | 30,500 | 3.3\% |
| Shopping | 104,100 | 11.1\% | 117,300 | 11.6\% | 127,200 | 12.6\% | 124,900 | 11.9\% | 110,500 | 11.8\% |
| Pick-up / drop-off psgr. | 77,100 | 8.2\% | 94,100 | 9.3\% | 95,400 | 9.5\% | 85,400 | 8.1\% | 70,600 | 7.5\% |
| Return home | 339,500 | 36.1\% | 355,400 | 35.0\% | 382,500 | 37.9\% | 397,300 | 37.9\% | 384,000 | 40.9\% |
| Other | 32,200 | 3.4\% | 1,000 | 0.1\% | 10,200 | 1.0\% | 6,700 | 0.6\% | 2,000 | 0.2\% |
| Total (all trips combined) | 941,100 | 100.0\% | 1,015,900 | 100.0\% | 1,009,000 | 100.0\% | 1,048,700 | 100.0\% | 939,100 | 100.0\% |

Includes only trips for residents of the RPA 11+ years of age. Excludes trips made by survey respondents outside the RPA (e.g., Salt Spring Island, Cowichan Valley) to allow comparisons to be made on the same basis. 2001, 2006 and 2011 expansion factors have been recalibrated for the purpose of comparison. The 2017 and 2022 figures in this table may differ
slightly from the figures reported elsewhere in this report, as the figures in this table exclude trips in the RPA made by residents of Salt Spring Island to facilitate comparisons.

### 4.3.2 AM and PM Peak trip purpose breakdown

The following charts and table provide a very disaggregated view of trip purposes by time of day. Work trips have been broken out into travel to usual workplace separately from workrelated travel / work on the road, to better understand these two aspects of work travel by time of day, including any changes from 2017. Serve-passenger trips have been broken out into pick-up and drop-off trips as time of day is relevant to these types of trips.
Figure 63 shows the percentage distribution of trip purposes in each time period, with generally expected patterns, with high proportions of trips to work, trips to K-12 school and drop-off trips in the AM Peak, and shopping / household maintenance trips representing the plurality of non-home destinations in the Midday and PM Peak periods.
Figure 64 and Table 31 show a different view, looking at the volumes of trip purposes by period, with comparison to 2017. Notable observations include:

- K-12 school trips show an $8 \%$ increase in the AM Peak period, which is consistent with population growth.
- Travel to a usual workplace work shows a $20 \%$ decrease in the AM Peak Period (a drop of 17,100 trips) and a $30 \%$ drop in the Midday period (somewhat lesser in magnitude, at a drop of 9,100 trips). Work-related travel / work on the road also shows drops, which could be explained in part by fewer workers travelling to a usual workplace and fewer meetings or possible changes to the work travel for workers who do not have a usual workplace.
- Travel to post-secondary school shows a modest drop in the AM Peak Period ( $-8 \%$, 700 trips) and a larger drop in the PM Peak period ( $-72 \%$ or -900 trips).
- Examining the Evening period, which had the greatest drop in total trips, the types of trips with the greatest drops in magnitude are social trips ( $-5,800$ ), shopping / household maintenance $(-5,200)$ and trips to restaurants, coffee shops and bars ($3,100){ }^{35}$
- Interestingly, the AM Peak, Midday and PM Peak periods saw an increase in recreational trips, even as recreational trips in the evening have declined.
- Return-home trips also saw increases in the AM Peak and Midday periods.

[^31]Figure 63. Distribution of trips by trip purpose by time period, 2022


Figure 64. Trip volumes by trip purpose by time period, 2017-2022


Table 32. Trip volumes by trip purpose by time period, 2022, with change from 2017

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Night } \\ & 0000-0559 \\ & (6 \text { hours }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AM Peak } \\ 0600-0859 \\ (3 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Midday } \\ & 0900-1459 \\ & (6 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM Peak } \\ 1500-1759 \\ (3 \text { hrs }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evening } \\ 1800-2359 \\ (6 \mathrm{hrs}) \end{gathered}$ | 24-Hour Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 12,400 | 200,000 | 337,100 | 294,700 | 151,600 | 995,900 |
| Travel to usual work | 4,600 | 70,100 | 21,400 | 4,700 | 2,000 | 102,700 |
| Work-related/work on the road | 700 | 14,100 | 18,200 | 4,200 | 1,200 | 38,400 |
| Post-secondary school | 100 | 8,300 | 7,900 | 300 | 200 | 16,800 |
| K-12 school | 0 | 39,300 | 1,400 | 100 | 100 | 40,900 |
| Shopping/hhld maintenance | 0 | 5,300 | 62,500 | 32,300 | 11,900 | 112,200 |
| Personal business | 700 | 7,600 | 35,100 | 12,400 | 3,100 | 58,800 |
| Restaurant/bar | 100 | 3,800 | 11,500 | 8,400 | 7,200 | 31,200 |
| Recreational | 1,300 | 8,200 | 27,800 | 20,000 | 13,100 | 70,400 |
| Social | 300 | 1,800 | 15,900 | 12,600 | 9,200 | 39,700 |
| Drop off passenger | 200 | 24,900 | 5,400 | 5,900 | 3,800 | 40,100 |
| Pick up passenger | 100 | 1,400 | 12,300 | 15,100 | 4,300 | 33,100 |
| Other | 100 | 700 | 2,100 | 800 | 300 | 3,900 |
| Return home | 4,300 | 14,400 | 115,700 | 177,800 | 95,300 | 407,500 |
| Difference from 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trips | -1,900 | -20,600 | -30,600 | -20,200 | -35,000 | -108,500 |
| Travel to usual work | -1,000 | -16,500 | -9,000 | -1,000 | 0 | -27,500 |
| Work-related/work on the road | 0 | -3,200 | -3,800 | -2,100 | -400 | -9,500 |
| Post-secondary school | 100 | -700 | -100 | -900 | -200 | -1,900 |
| K-12 school | -100 | 3,000 | -500 | 0 | 100 | 2,500 |
| Shopping/hhld maintenance | -100 | 200 | -5,900 | -3,700 | $-5,100$ | $-14,700$ |
| Personal business | 600 | -500 | -4,400 | 0 | -800 | -5,000 |
| Restaurant/bar | -100 | -1,400 | -7,800 | -2,100 | -3,100 | -14,600 |
| Recreational | 0 | 500 | 1,400 | 2,000 | -1,800 | 2,100 |
| Social | 200 | -700 | -3,300 | -400 | -5,700 | -9,900 |
| Drop off passenger | -500 | -3,900 | -1,800 | -600 | -1,300 | -8,200 |
| Pick up passenger | 0 | -100 | -2,600 | -2,800 | -2,000 | -7,500 |
| Other | -100 | -400 | -500 | -500 | -500 | -2,100 |
| Return home | -1,000 | 3,200 | 7,800 | $-8,000$ | -14,300 | -12,300 |
| \% difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Trips | -14\% | -9\% | -8\% | -6\% | -19\% | -10\% |
| Travel to usual work | -17\% | -19\% | -30\% | -18\% | +3\% | -21\% |
| Work-related/work on the road | +3\% | -19\% | -17\% | -33\% | -24\% | -20\% |
| Post-secondary school | * | -8\% | -2\% | -73\% | -44\% | -10\% |
| K-12 school | * | +8\% | -27\% | * | * | +7\% |
| Shopping/hhld maintenance | * | +4\% | -9\% | -10\% | -30\% | -12\% |
| Personal business | * | -6\% | -11\% | 0\% | -20\% | -8\% |
| Restaurant/bar | -47\% | -27\% | -40\% | -20\% | -30\% | -32\% |
| Recreational | 0\% | +6\% | +5\% | +11\% | -12\% | +3\% |
| Social | * | -27\% | -17\% | -3\% | -38\% | -20\% |
| Drop off passenger | -70\% | -14\% | -26\% | -10\% | -26\% | -17\% |
| Pick up passenger | * | -4\% | -18\% | -16\% | -32\% | -19\% |
| Other | -60\% | -38\% | -20\% | -39\% | -64\% | -35\% |
| Return home | -19\% | +29\% | +7\% | -4\% | -13\% | -3\% |

* Comparison suppressed due to very small sample size in cell in at least one survey year


### 4.3.3 Trip purpose by start hour

Figure 65 looks at the distribution of trip purposes by time of day (by hour according to the time the trip started). Some of the trip purposes have been grouped together in the chart for clarity.
The results show a concentration of work, to school and pick-up and drop-off trips that dominates the AM peak period. After the AM peak period, shopping and personal business begin to increase, peaking in the hour beginning at 2 pm . Recreation, social and restaurant trips also increase, peaking in the hour beginning at 5 pm . All these purposes taper off significantly by the early evening. In the meantime, the return home trip builds after the AM peak period and dominates and peaks during the PM peak period.

Figure 65. Trip purpose by start hour, 2022


All trips made in the RPA by persons 5+, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

### 4.3.4 Trip purpose by travel mode

Figure 66 and Table 33 break down trip purpose by travel mode and modal share:

- Auto driver dominates all trip purposes except those for going to K-12 (secondary) or post-secondary schools. The greatest auto driver volumes occur for shopping trips ( 70,400 daily trips) and to the usual workplace ( 60,100 trips; this volume rises to 89,800 trips if combined with work-related trips).
- The very low proportions of auto passengers for work and work-related trips indicate that most trips for these purposes are made as single-occupant auto commutes. Auto passengers feature more prominently in other purposes, notably a $39 \%$ share for $\mathrm{K}-12$ commutes. Auto passengers also are important for discretionary activities that are done with others - for example, $21 \%$ for restaurant trips.
- The transit share is highest for post-secondary students, at $42 \%$ of their commutes. For work and $\mathrm{K}-12$ school commutes, the transit share is $10 \%$.
- The shares of walk trips are highest for $\mathrm{K}-12$ school commutes ( $24 \%$ or 9,700 trips), recreation ( $22 \%$ or 15,900 trips), restaurant ( $22 \%$ or 6,800 trips), shopping ( $16 \%$ or 18,000 trips) and the work commute ( $12 \%$, or 12,100 trips).
- The shares of trips by bicycle and micromobility modes are highest for the work commute, at $14 \%$ or 14,200 trips. Ten percent of K - 12 and post-secondary school commutes are also by these modes.

Figure 66. Trip purpose by travel mode, 2022


All trips made in the RPA by persons 5+, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

Table 33. Details of trip purpose by travel mode, 2022

|  | Trips | Auto <br> Driver | Auto <br> Passenger | Transit | Bicycle and <br> micromobility | Walk | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To usual work | 102,700 | $59 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Work related | 38,400 | $77 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| To post-secondary school | 16,800 | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| To K-12 school | 40,900 | $2 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Shopping | 112,200 | $62 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Personal Business | 58,800 | $59 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Restaurant | 31,200 | $48 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Recreation | 70,400 | $46 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Social | 39,700 | $53 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Serve passenger | 73,200 | $74 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Other | 3,940 | $15 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Return home | 407,460 | $52 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| RPA Total | 995,900 | $54 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

All trips made in the RPA by persons 5+, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

### 4.4 Vehicle use

Vehicle occupancy is an indicator of the efficiency of vehicle use - that is, whether vehicles are occupied by the driver alone or safely carrying passengers as well. Figure 67 and Table 34 report the number of
 occupants in personal vehicles. The single-occupant (drive alone) trip dominates. Threequarters of vehicle trips are occupied by the driver alone (73.2\%). Another one-fifth have two occupants (20.7\%), with the remaining 6.1\% carrying three or more occupants. Combined, these multi-person trips represent just under half of all vehicle trips ( $45.6 \%$ ). Even with the overall reduction in total trips, the 2022 distributions are largely unchanged from those of 2017, except that the $4+$ category has dropped from $6.0 \%$ to $4.3 \%$ when measured in terms of person-trips and from $1.9 \%$ to $1.4 \%$ in terms of vehicle-trips.
The average vehicle occupancy is 1.35 persons per vehicle, which is a modest decline from the 2017 average of 1.37 persons per vehicle. It should be noted that these rates are derived from the survey responses. These occupancies may include very young children in the respondent's household, whose trips were not surveyed. They may also include carpools made with people from other households, whose trips were surveyed only if that household was also sampled.

Figure 67. Weekday daily average report vehicle occupancy, 2022

Occupancy by vehicle-trips, 2022


- SOV (1 occupant)
- HOV-2 (2 occupants)
- HOV-3 (3 occupants)
- HOV-4+ (4 or more occupants)

Occupancy by person-trips, 2022


- SOV (1 occupant)
- HOV-2 (2 occupants)
- HOV-3 (3 occupants)
- HOV-4+ (4 or more occupants)

Table 34. Details of weekday daily average reported vehicle occupancy, 2022 and 2017

| Vehicle Occupancy | Vehicle- <br> Trips | $\%$ | \% in 2017 | Person- <br> Trips | $\%$ | \% in 2017 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SOV (1 occupant) | 389,400 | $73.2 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ | 389,800 | $54.4 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ |
| HOV-2 (2 occupants) | 110,100 | $20.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | 220,100 | $30.7 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ |
| HOV-3 (3 occupants) | 25,100 | $4.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | 75,400 | $10.5 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| HOV-4+ (4 or more occupants) | 7,400 | $1.4 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | 31,100 | $4.3 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Total | 532,400 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 716,400 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

All values are from the 2022 survey, except for the values in italics, which are included from the 2017 survey for comparison. Vehicle trips includes trips with primary mode of auto driver as well as transit trips with an auto driver access mode. Person trips indicates the total number of people conveyed by the vehicle trips, including the vehicle drivers.
SOV = single occupant vehicle, HOV = high-occupancy vehicle.
Respondents who used sustainable modes were asked if a vehicle was available for their trip. To reduce survey response burden, this question was only asked for trips leaving home via a sustainable mode. ${ }^{36}$ Table 35 summarizes the findings. Vehicles were available for $87 \%$ and more of walking and cycling trips and for $81 \%$ of 'other' trips. This is consistent for the generally shorter trips made on foot and on bicycle, compared with those that can be made in a vehicle (i.e., the vehicle is not a competitor for many of these trips). However, vehicles were available only for two-thirds (65\%) of transit trips - meaning one-third of riders do not have access to a vehicle for their trip, which may be of a distance that is not practical for many pedestrians and cyclists. The proportions of vehicle availability were greater than those observed in 2017, which were $62 \%$ of transit users, $81 \%$ of cyclists, $86 \%$ of walkers, and $75 \%$ of others. These differences suggest that more travellers may be selecting an alternative to driving as a deliberate, sustainable choice - further research would be needed to ascertain the factors behind their choices.

Table 35. Weekday daily vehicle availability for this trip, 2022

| Was a vehicle available for this trip? | Primary Mode |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transit | Bicycle | E-Bike or EMicromobility | Walk | Other* |  |
| Yes | 65\% | 87\% | 90\% | 87\% | 81\% | 84\% |
| No | 35\% | 13\% | 10\% | 13\% | 19\% | 16\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Expanded trips for which question was asked | 11,800 | 17,500 | 7,800 | 37,700 | 500 | 75,300 |
| Expanded trips for which question was not asked | 47,000 | 36,500 | 16,900 | 111,900 | 2,100 | 217,100 |

In this table, Other includes harbour ferry, other marine, taxi, personal micromobility device (e.g., skateboard) and other modes not elsewhere classified. Other excludes BC Ferries, motorcycle, airplane, HandyDART and school bus, for which the question was not asked. Interpret other with extreme caution due to very small sample size. Excludes trips for which primary mode was ferry, airplane, HandyDART, or school bus.
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### 4.5 Transit trips

Table 36 summarizes the characteristics of transit use, including the number of transit routes used and the modes used to access transit. The survey results suggest that in the fall of 2022, about
 61,400 transit trips were made each weekday by residents living in private dwellings and, when considering transfers, these trips involved around 75,400 bus boardings. It may be noted that these figures are somewhat less than BC Transit boarding counts for the same period. ${ }^{37}$ As the survey sample frame comprised occupied private dwellings, the survey does not include the transit trips made by students living in residence on campus, visitors to the area or unhoused people. Accordingly, it may be possible that the survey data underrepresent transit users.
One in five (21\%) of all transit trips required a transfer. The need to transfer was highest in the Saanich Peninsula at $29 \%$ of all transit trips and in West Shore at $24 \%$ of all transit trips, though it can be noted that these residents generated 17\% of all transit rides. The transfer rate was lowest among City of Victoria residents, at $12 \%$ of their transit trips: Victoria residents made $36 \%$ of all transit trips.
Most (95\%) transit users accessed their bus on foot. Another 3.3\% accessed by automobile, whether as a driver ( $1.8 \%$ ) or as a passenger ( $1.5 \%$ ). A further $1.5 \%$ used their bicycle to access transit. Non-foot access proportions were significantly higher in the suburban communities, especially in West Shore: Driver-access proportions increased to $8.3 \%$ in the Saanich Peninsula and $5.8 \%$ in West Shore, with passenger access in West Shore reaching another $6.5 \%$ of transit trips. Another $3.6 \%$ of West Shore riders accessed their bus on bicycle. Combined, the non-foot access trips represent 400 transit trips made by Saanich Peninsula residents and 1,150 transit trips made by West Shore residents.
Survey respondents who accessed transit via automobile (whether as a passenger or a driver) were asked whether they used an official Park \& Ride location. Of those with valid responses (excluding those who answered "don't know"), only $26 \%$ indicated that they used one of the four official Park \& Ride locations in the CRD, with this percentage being $20 \%$ for passenger-access transit trips and 30\% for drive-access transit trips. This suggests
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considerable informal parking arrangements for those who drive to transit (such as parking on the street in residential areas, malls, other public facilities or other kinds of parking lots). Some caution should be exercised when considering the results for Park \& Ride responses, given the small number of trips for which answers were given ( $n<100$ ).

Table 36. Characteristics of transit use, 2022

|  | RPA | Saanich <br> Peninsula | Core | West <br> Shore | City of <br> Victoria | District of <br> Saanich | City of <br> Langford |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transit Trips | 61,400 | 3,100 | 51,100 | 7,200 | 21,800 | 19,800 | 4,300 |
| Boardings * | 75,400 | 4,000 | 61,600 | 9,700 | 24,800 | 24,400 | 5,700 |
| Avg. Boardings per Transit Trip | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.21 | 1.35 | 1.13 | 1.23 | 1.30 |
| \# of buses taken (\% of trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 route (no transfers) | $79.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| 2 routes (1 transfer) | $19.7 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| 3 or more routes (2 or more transfers) | $1.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Transit Access (\% of trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk-Access Transit | $95.3 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $97.4 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Drive-Access Transit | $1.8 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Drive-Access Transit - Passenger | $1.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle-Access Transit | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other Access Mode | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
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### 4.6 Inter-district flows

Table 37 summarizes the total 24 -hour flows form and to the 18 districts within the RPA. Figure 68 and Table 38 present the prominent 'desire lines' (origin-destination flows) among the RPA districts. The origin-destination matrices in Chapter 5 provide a complete breakdown of these flows.
Saanich East is the top generator and receiver of trips to and from other districts, at 180,800 person-trips (daily two-way total) or $14.7 \%$ of all inter-district trips. Victoria South, Victoria North and Downtown are also prominent, at $11.4 \%, 10.4 \%$ and $9.4 \%$ of all trips. Overall, the rankings and relative importance of the districts are consistent with those of 2017, although most inter-district trip volumes have contracted significantly (e.g., -34\% between Downtown and Saanich East). However, trips to and from Langford and Colwood have increased, with Langford now capturing $8.8 \%$ of all inter-district trips and Colwood's share now at $5.1 \%$.

Table 37. Overview of 24-hour inter-district trips (trips generated or received by districts)

| District |  | Internalized | Inter-District Flows |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Trips <br> Entirely <br> Within <br> District) | From District to Other RPA Districts | To District From Other RPA Districts | Two-Way Total | \% of Total <br> Two-Way InterDistrict Trips |
| 2 | Sidney | 12,900 | 15,800 | 15,600 | 31,400 | 2.6\% |
| 3 | North Saanich \& FNs | 7,600 | 22,200 | 21,500 | 43,700 | 3.6\% |
| 4 | Central Saanich \& FNs | 18,300 | 23,700 | 23,900 | 47,600 | 3.9\% |
| 5 | Downtown | 21,000 | 57,200 | 57,600 | 114,800 | 9.4\% |
| 6 | Victoria North | 21,800 | 63,700 | 63,300 | 127,100 | 10.4\% |
| 7 | Victoria South | 46,200 | 69,800 | 69,800 | 139,500 | 11.4\% |
| 8 | Saanich North | 13,500 | 28,200 | 28,200 | 56,400 | 4.6\% |
| 9 | Saanich East | 82,700 | 90,500 | 90,300 | 180,800 | 14.7\% |
| 10 | Saanich West | 19,900 | 50,000 | 50,300 | 100,200 | 8.2\% |
| 11 | Oak Bay | 16,900 | 29,200 | 29,400 | 58,600 | 4.8\% |
| 12 | Esquimalt | 13,500 | 29,400 | 29,800 | 59,200 | 4.8\% |
| 13 | View Royal \& FNs | 7,000 | 24,000 | 23,800 | 47,800 | 3.9\% |
| 14 | Highlands | 200 | 2,800 | 2,700 | 5,500 | 0.4\% |
| 15 | Langford | 54,800 | 54,000 | 54,200 | 108,300 | 8.8\% |
| 16 | Colwood | 14,900 | 31,600 | 31,300 | 62,800 | 5.1\% |
| 17 | Metchosin \& FN | 1,500 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 12,600 | 1.0\% |
| 18 | Sooke District \& FNs | 17,400 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 19,900 | 1.6\% |
| 19 | Juan de Fuca Electoral Area \& FNs | 900 | 5,200 | 5,100 | 10,300 | 0.8\% |
|  | Total Trips | 371,200 | 613,300 | 613,200 | 613,300 | 100.0\% |

Includes only trips entirely within the RPA made by residents of the RPA and Salt Spring Island. Excludes approximately 12,900 trips to/from Salt Spring Island and external areas (i.e., excludes districts 1, 20, 21).

Figure 68. Prominent desire lines in the Regional Planning Area - top 24 two-Way interdistrict flows, 2022


Only inter-district flows of at least 7,000 estimated daily trips are depicted.

Table 38. Top 25 inter-district flows

|  | Districts | 24-hour two-way flows |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017 | 2022 | diff (\#) | diff (\%) |
| 5 <-> 7 | Downtown <-> Victoria South | 45,100 | 35,100 | -10,000 | -22\% |
| 6 <-> 9 | Victoria North <-> Saanich East | 37,400 | 32,100 | -5,300 | -14\% |
| 15 <-> 16 | Langford <-> Colwood | 27,100 | 30,100 | +3,000 | +11\% |
| 7 <-> 9 | Victoria South <-> Saanich East | 30,500 | 26,700 | -3,800 | -12\% |
| $9<->10$ | Saanich East <-> Saanich West | 25,800 | 21,900 | -3,900 | -15\% |
| 6 <-> 7 | Victoria North <-> Victoria South | 25,500 | 21,800 | -3,700 | -15\% |
| 5 <-> 6 | Downtown <-> Victoria North | 23,400 | 20,400 | -3,000 | -13\% |
| 7 <-> 11 | Victoria South <-> Oak Bay | 21,100 | 18,600 | -2,500 | -12\% |
| 5 <-> 9 | Downtown <-> Saanich East | 27,900 | 18,400 | -9,500 | -34\% |
| 9 <-> 11 | Saanich East <-> Oak Bay | 22,500 | 18,400 | -4,100 | -18\% |
| 8 <-> 9 | Saanich North <-> Saanich East | 19,800 | 16,700 | -3,100 | -16\% |
| 2 <-> 3 | Sidney <-> North Saanich \& FNs | 15,200 | 13,400 | -1,800 | -12\% |
| 9 <-> 15 | Saanich East <-> Langford | 10,600 | 11,800 | +1,200 | +11\% |
| 10 <-> 15 | Saanich West <-> Langford | 7,500 | 10,800 | +3,300 | +44\% |
| 6 <-> 10 | Victoria North <-> Saanich West | 12,900 | 10,500 | -2,400 | -19\% |
| 7 <-> 10 | Victoria South <-> Saanich West | 7,600 | 9,500 | +1,900 | +25\% |
| 3 <-> 4 | North Saanich \& FNs <-> Central Saanich \& FNs | 13,200 | 9,400 | -3,800 | -29\% |
| 6 <-> 12 | Victoria North <-> Esquimalt | 11,900 | 9,200 | -2,700 | -23\% |
| 10 <-> 12 | Saanich West <-> Esquimalt | 8,400 | 8,600 | +200 | +2\% |
| 8 <-> 10 | Saanich North <-> Saanich West | 11,000 | 8,500 | -2,500 | -23\% |
| $5<->10$ | Downtown <-> Saanich West | 12,800 | 8,400 | -4,400 | -34\% |
| 10 <-> 13 | Saanich West <-> View Royal \& FNs | 7,600 | 8,000 | +400 | +5\% |
| $5<->12$ | Downtown <-> Esquimalt | 7,600 | 7,700 | +100 | +1\% |
| $4<->8$ | Central Saanich \& FNs <-> Saanich North | 7,600 | 7,200 | -400 | -5\% |
| $7<->12$ | Victoria South <-> Esquimalt | 7,600 | 7,300 | -300 | -4\% |

Figure 69 and Table 39 examine internalized travel - that is, trips made within the same district as a traveller's residence. This is a measure of the accessibility of activities, such as work, school and shopping, relative to a travellers' place of residence. A closer proximity of these activities to one's home can be more conducive to sustainable transportation alternatives to driving alone, especially walking and cycling. Almost half the trips generated by residents of Sooke District and First Nations and Saanich East remain in the same district, at $48 \%$ and $46 \%$ respectively. Highlands, Juan de Fuca Electoral Area and First Nations and Metchosin and First Nation have the lowest internalization rates, at $3 \%, 7 \%$ and $12 \%$ respectively.
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2022 CRD Origin-Destination Survey

Figure 69. Internalization of trips by home district, 2022


Table 39 breaks down the internalization of trips by purpose: home-based work (HBW), home-based school (HBS) ${ }^{38}$ and home-based other (HBO, e.g., including shopping trips). Because these trips start or end at home, they do not capture all activity. For example, a trip to or from school that has been interrupted by a stop along the way (non-home-based) is not included in this analysis. Nonetheless, the table provides a good indicator of the extent of internalization:

- Proximity to the workplace is greatest for Downtown residents, at $36 \%$ of all HBW trips. However, in absolute terms, Saanich East, Langford and Victoria South have the

[^35]greatest numbers of internalized HBW trips, at 8,800, 5,900 and 5,700 HBW trips each. ${ }^{39}$

- Most districts have a high proximity rate to elementary and secondary schools, which is consistent with these schools being constructed close to where students live. There are some notable exceptions, such as Sidney, Downtown, Highlands and Juan de Fuca Electoral Area and First Nations, whose internalized HBS rates are at or are approaching 0\%. Saanich East has the greatest number of internal HBS trips, at 20,000 trips.
- Most districts have good proximity rates to HBO activities, which similarly reflects the availability of shopping, restaurants, recreational activities and other activities close to where people live. Saanich East and, Victoria South, and Langford have the highest numbers of internalized HBO trips, at about 41,400, 30,700 and 29,700 internal HBO trips.

Table 39. Details of top 25 internalized (within) district flows, 2022

|  | Total Trips Made by Residents of Area |  | HBW Trips Made by Residents of Area |  | HBS Trips Made by Residents of Area |  | HBO Trips Made by Residents of Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District of Residence | Trips <br> Made by Residents | \% <br> Internal to Home District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HBW } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> Internal to Home District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HBS } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> Internal to Home District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HBO } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> Internal to Home District |
| Sidney | 28,070 | 41\% | 4,450 | 32\% | 1,440 | 0\% | 17,500 | 50\% |
| North Saanich \& FNs | 27,940 | 25\% | 4,540 | 19\% | 2,300 | 32\% | 15,720 | 30\% |
| Central Saanich \& FNs | 46,990 | 36\% | 10,190 | 21\% | 4,640 | 70\% | 24,480 | 41\% |
| Downtown | 35,020 | 36\% | 9,340 | 35\% | 1,220 | 4\% | 17,420 | 44\% |
| Victoria North | 78,820 | 24\% | 17,970 | 15\% | 6,510 | 19\% | 40,540 | 34\% |
| Victoria South | 127,160 | 34\% | 23,620 | 24\% | 9,660 | 32\% | 72,060 | 43\% |
| Saanich North | 47,340 | 26\% | 7,940 | 17\% | 5,110 | 47\% | 25,750 | 32\% |
| Saanich East | 168,460 | 46\% | 32,400 | 27\% | 23,500 | 85\% | 85,460 | 48\% |
| Saanich West | 77,790 | 24\% | 16,230 | 12\% | 7,990 | 55\% | 39,440 | 28\% |
| Oak Bay | 47,990 | 33\% | 5,290 | 10\% | 6,420 | 40\% | 28,960 | 40\% |
| Esquimalt | 43,920 | 28\% | 10,680 | 23\% | 3,550 | 38\% | 22,050 | 33\% |
| View Royal \& FNs | 35,810 | 18\% | 6,910 | 9\% | 2,990 | 30\% | 18,860 | 24\% |
| Highlands | 6,260 | 3\% | 1,160 | 5\% | 670 | 0\% | 2,760 | 5\% |
| Langford | 117,720 | 41\% | 26,890 | 22\% | 12,250 | 47\% | 54,560 | 54\% |
| Colwood | 47,340 | 26\% | 10,600 | 13\% | 4,890 | 69\% | 22,550 | 30\% |
| Metchosin \& FN | 11,050 | 12\% | 2,340 | 3\% | 1,110 | 33\% | 5,130 | 17\% |
| Sooke District \& FNs | 35,430 | 48\% | 7,880 | 26\% | 3,310 | 80\% | 16,470 | 64\% |
| Juan de Fuca EA and FNs | 11,260 | 7\% | 2,370 | 7\% | 1,110 | 0\% | 5,190 | 12\% |

HBS, HBW and HBO trips include trips from home or returning to home. NHB trips are included in the total trips. However, NHB trips are not broken out separately. 'Internal' = both origin and destination are in the same district as the traveller's home. Interpret with caution due to low n: Sidney HBS trips, Downtown HBS trips.
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### 4.7 Inter-regional flows

Figure 70 and Table 40 profile inter-regional and internalized daily flows. Compared with 2017, internalized and inter-regional volumes have contracted, except for trips between the West Shore and the Core, which have increased by 8\%, and a $5 \%$ increase in trips that are internal to West Shore. As shown in the previous section on inter-district flows (see Figure 68 and Table 38) the increase in travel to/from and within the West Shore is mainly driven by increases in trips to/from and within Langford.

Figure 70. 24-hour inter-regional flows and internalized trips, 2022


2017 volumes are shown in smaller font. Not depicted: 900 trips between Salt Spring Island and the Core (650), the West Shore (100) and the South CVRD (150); 500 trips between Saanich Peninsula and the South CVRD; a total of 6,900 trips between the study area sub-regions and external locations north of the South CVRD or on the Lower Mainland.

Table 40. Inter-regional and Internalized flows, 2022

| Internalized Flows | 2017 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24-Hour | AM Peak | PM Peak | 24-Hour | AM Peak | PM Peak | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { Peak } \end{aligned}$ |
| Salt Spring Island Internal | 24,500 | 3,900 | 6,900 | 20,800 | 3,200 | 6,300 | -15\% | -19\% | -8\% |
| Saanich Peninsula Internal | 78,300 | 13,300 | 21,700 | 68,400 | 11,800 | 19,600 | -13\% | -11\% | -10\% |
| Core Internal | 714,200 | 137,800 | 203,900 | 606,800 | 118,600 | 180,800 | -15\% | -14\% | -11\% |
| West Shore Internal | 137,600 | 29,000 | 38,300 | 144,400 | 30,400 | 42,000 | +5\% | +5\% | +10\% |
| Inter-Regional Flows | 2017 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | Change |  |  |
|  | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | PM Peak | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PM } \\ & \text { Peak } \end{aligned}$ |
| Salt Spring Island $\rightarrow$ Saanich Peninsula | 500 | 100 | 100 | 600 | 200 | 100 | +35\% | +43\% | +53\% |
| Saanich Peninsula $\rightarrow$ Salt Spring Island | 600 | 100 | 300 | 700 | 100 | 200 | +27\% | -38\% | -29\% |
| Two-way Total | 1,100 | 200 | 400 | 1,300 | 300 | 300 | +31\% | +12\% | -12\% |
| Saanich Peninsula $\rightarrow$ Core | 31,000 | 8,000 | 8,200 | 27,500 | 6,000 | 7,900 | -11\% | -25\% | -3\% |
| Core $\rightarrow$ Saanich Peninsula | 30,900 | 5,600 | 9,500 | 27,400 | 5,000 | 8,200 | -11\% | -12\% | -14\% |
| Two-way Total | 61,900 | 13,600 | 17,700 | 54,900 | 10,900 | 16,100 | +8\% | +4\% | +12\% |
| West Shore $\rightarrow$ Core | 47,400 | 18,200 | 8,000 | 50,900 | 18,100 | 10,600 | +8\% | -1\% | +33\% |
| Core $\rightarrow$ West Shore | 46,500 | 5,100 | 19,800 | 50,300 | 6,100 | 20,400 | +8\% | +19\% | +3\% |
| Two-way Total | 93,900 | 23,300 | 27,800 | 101,300 | 24,300 | 31,000 | -2\% | +41\% | -6\% |
| West Shore $\rightarrow$ Saanich Peninsula | 4,600 | 1,400 | 900 | 4,200 | 1,600 | 1,000 | -8\% | +10\% | +1\% |
| Saanich Peninsula $\rightarrow$ West Shore | 4,400 | 300 | 2,000 | 4,600 | 800 | 1,800 | +5\% | +197\% | -10\% |
| Two-way Total | 9,000 | 1,700 | 2,900 | 8,800 | 2,400 | 2,700 | +26\% | 33\% | +18\% |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | Change |  |  |
| External Flows | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | PM Peak | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ | 24-Hour | AM <br> Peak | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ |
| RPA $\rightarrow$ South CVRD | 1,800 | 700 | 100 | 2,100 | 900 | 300 | +18\% | +32\% | +167\% |
| South CVRD $\rightarrow$ RPA | 1,700 | 0 | 1,000 | 2,300 | 0 | 1,000 | +35\% | n/a | +2\% |
| Two-way Total | 3,500 | 700 | 1,100 | 4,400 | 900 | 1,200 | +9\% | -34\% | -31\% |
| Trips to/from RPA and other external locations (whether elsewhere on Vancouver Island, other Gulf Islands, or the mainland), two-way total. | 5,700 | 1,100 | 1,500 | 6,400 | 700 | 1,100 | +13\% | -37\% | -26\% |
| Trips to/from Salt Spring Island and other external locations, two-way total* | 600 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 100 | 100 | -22\% | -5\% | -67\% |
| Total RPA trips (to/from/within RPA) | 1,104,300 | 220,700 | 314,900 | 995,900 | 200,000 | 294,700 | -10\% | -9\% | -6\% |
| Total Study Area Trips | 1,129,400 | 224,700 | 322,000 | 1,017,200 | 203,300 | 301,100 | -10\% | -10\% | -6\% |

Interpret flows with low numbers of trips with caution, as sample sizes may be very small.
Individual flows may add to more than the total trips. Trips between Core and Salt Spring and between West Shore and Salt Spring are counted twice since they contribute to flows between the Saanich Peninsula and Salt Spring Island as well as to flows between these sub-regions and the Saanich Peninsula. Trips between the Saanich Peninsula and the West Shore have not been counted twice, even if they might briefly pass through the eastern portion of the Core subregion.

* Includes trips external to the RPA (i.e., do not have either trip end within the RPA).
** It should be noted that the flows between the RPA and the southern CVRD are trips made by RPA residents. The flows do not include the daily trip flows associated with residents of the southern CVRD. In the 2017 survey, residents of the southern CVRD were not surveyed.

Table 41 and Figure 71 break down the internalized and inter-regional flows by mode. Auto driver makes up almost three-quarters of inter-regional flows, though its share drops to under two-thirds for internalized flows (and under half in the Core, where sustainable modes have a $39.7 \%$ share). Inter-regional auto passenger shares are highest for Salt Spring Island residents, at $23.8 \%$, while West Shore's internalized rate of $20 \%$ is greater than its interregional rate of $16.3 \%$.

Among sustainable modes, transit has the highest inter-regional shares, at up to $6.3 \%$ in the West Shore. Walking has the highest shares of internalized travel for all regions, reaching a high of $20.7 \%$ in the Core. The bicycle and micromobility shares are similar higher for internalized flows than for inter-regional flows and is greater than the internalized transit shares for all regions.

Internalized travel within the Core represents a significant portion of the region's daily travel, at 606,700 trips. As a result, it is important to note that mode shares have shifted slightly since 2017: auto driver and transit shares have dropped ( $50 \%$ to $46 \%$ and $9 \%$ to $8 \%$, respectively), while walk and cycling shares have gone up ( $19 \%$ to $21 \%$ and $7 \%$ to $11 \%$, respectively). The shifts may reflect the pandemic lockdowns and the ensuing adjustments in transit service and shifts in economic and other activity. Among inter-regional flows, the transit share to and from West Shore has dropped from 10\% in 2017 to 6\% in 2022.

Table 41. Internalized trips and inter-regional flows by sub-area - mode shares, 2022

| Salt Spring Island | Daily Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit | Bicycle/Micromob | Walk | Other |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Internalized | 20,800 | $63.0 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Inter-regional | 1,300 | $74.3 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Saanich Peninsula | Daily Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit | Bicycle/Micromob | Walk | Other |
| Internalized | 68,400 | $61.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Inter-regional | 63,500 | $75.0 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Core | Daily Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit | Bicycle/Micromob | Walk | 0ther |
| Internalized | 606,800 | $46.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Inter-regional | 156,200 | $72.4 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| West Shore | Daily Trips | Auto Driver | Auto Passenger | Transit | Bicycle/Micromob | Walk | 0ther |
| Internalized | 144,400 | $59.8 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Inter-regional | 110,100 | $72.2 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |  | $3.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

Figure 71. 24-hour inter-regional flows and internalized trips by sub-area - mode shares 2022


Figure 72 presents a series of heat maps that show the home and non-home locations (i.e., trip ends, whether origins or destinations) for auto, transit and cycling trips. The maps are based on the density of trip origins/destinations ('trip ends') per square kilometre. The heat scales are different for each mode, although they are the same for the home and non-home versions of each mode:

- For auto trips, the home-end concentration of trip origins and destinations is relatively diffused, compared with transit and cycling and compared also with nonhome trip ends. The latter are concentrated in the commercial cores of Victoria, Langford and the major suburban communities across the region, as well as at the University of Victoria and other major activity generators.
- Transit riders' homes are generally more concentrated in the core, which is associated with higher densities of housing and a higher level of transit service, with some mode modest concentrations also in Langford and Colwood. There are significant concentrations of non-home transit trip ends at the University of Victoria and at the Camosun College Interurban campus - reflecting the importance of transit access to these generators. The other concentrations are at commercial areas, especially for non-home trip ends.
- Cyclists' homes are also generally more concentrated in the core, with some quite focused trip end concentrations at the University of Victoria, downtown and in the commercial areas in the core. Lesser concentrations can be seen in the more urbanized areas outside the core.

Figure 72. Heat maps of trip ends by mode, 2022

## Auto trips - home trip ends



Auto trips - non-home trip ends


## Transit trips - home trip ends



## Cycling trips - home trip ends



Transit trips - non-home trip ends


Cycling trips - non-home trip ends


Heat maps for different modes use different maximum scales (number at which colour is bright red, collecting trip ends within a $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ radius) in order to highlight the relative 'hot spots' for each mode type. Auto trips: maximum scale $=$ 10,000 trip origins/destinations (or about 5,000 unique locations, considering most destinations are origins of subsequent trips and so are usually counted twice). Transit trips; maximum scale $=2,000$ trip origins/destinations (about unique 1,000 trip locations). Bicycle trips: maximum scale $=2,500$ trip origins/destinations (about 1,250 unique locations).

### 4.8 Walkable and bikeable trips

This section examines the extent to which trips made by auto or transit could feasibility have been made on foot or by bicycle instead. The analysis uses distance to assess 'walkability' and 'bikeability.' The distance was based on the trip length for each mode. Bikeable trips were determined to those within a 4.6 km range, based on the finding that $90 \%$ of reported cycling trips had an estimated cycling trip length within this range. The distance threshold for walkable trips was set 1.6 km range, based on the same $90 \%$ criterion. For trips made via auto or transit the trip origin, destination and time of day were processed via the Google API
 to determine the auto trips whose lengths fell within the eligible cycling and walk thresholds.

Figure 73 presents the findings and Table 42 details the potential shifts in auto driver trips. The analysis indicates that more than half of auto driver trips (54\%) could be made by bicycle ( $43 \%$ ) or on foot ( $10 \%$ ), with the combined mode shift potential representing a $23 \%$ share of all trips. These proportions drop in the suburban areas, like the Saanich Peninsula $(33 \%+9 \%)$ and West Shore $(35 \%+9 \%)$ and are highest in the Core $(49 \%+11 \%)$.

For auto passenger trips, 46\% are bikeable, with the mode shift potential representing a 5\% share of overall mode shares, while another $11 \%$ ( $2 \%$ mode share) are walkable only.

Just over one third (35\%) of transit trips are bikeable (2\% mode share), although none is walkable (very few transit trips are made for very short distances).
This analysis of trip distance suggests that across all motorized modes mode shifts of up to $30 \%$ potentially could be achieved.

Results should be caveated in that this examines only distance. Many of the auto or transit trips that are of walkable or bikeable distance may be impractical. For example, these trips may be part of a trip chain that requires a vehicle, an auto is needed to carry heavy items not easily carried walking or biking, the traveller might have disability or health condition that limits ability to walk or bike, some cyclists will use only separated pathways rather than travelling on the road and so on. There may also be a need to ensure that the 'supply' of bicycle and pedestrian paths is available to meet traveller needs and itineraries.

Figure 73. Auto driver, auto passenger and transit trips that are walkable or bikeable, 2022


Table 42. Auto driver trips that are walkable or bikeable, 2022

|  | RPA <br> Residents | Saanich <br> Peninsula | Core | West <br> Shore | City of <br> Victoria | District of <br> Saanich | City of <br> Langford |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver Trips | 534,800 | 67,600 | 320,900 | 146,300 | 87,900 | 165,800 | 73,500 |
| Auto Driver Mode Share | $54 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Bikeable Trips | 228,200 | 22,000 | 155,700 | 50,600 | 51,700 | 72,600 | 27,700 |
| $\%$ of Auto Driver Trips | $43 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Mode shift potential | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Walkable Trips | 55,500 | 6,200 | 36,700 | 12,700 | 12,500 | 15,300 | 7,100 |
| \% of Auto Driver Trips | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Mode shift potential | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

### 4.9 Summary: key takeaways

This chapter reviews the travel characteristics from the 2022 survey and, where appropriate, compares the findings with previous surveys. Key takeaways are presented below:

1. 2022 marked a significant drop in both total trips and the average trip rates per person and per household, reflecting the lingering effects of the pandemic-induced changes in people's daily activities. Average daily trip rates in the RPA fell to 2.63 trips per person and 5.23 trips per household, though trip rates vary by sub-area.
2. Trip rates are highest for people in the 35-54 age cohort. These are people who are generally in the midst of their work careers and have established households. The lowest trip rates are among people 85+. Trip rates also vary by gender, occupation status, household structure, household size, dwelling type and household income.
3. Even with pandemic-driven shifts in travel behaviour across the day, the two commuter peak periods still generate the greatest volumes of trips. This is driven by the work and school commutes. The AM peak period is sharper than the PM peak period, which begins early in the afternoon and has a lengthy tail.
4. More than two-thirds of daily trips are made by auto. $6 \%$ of daily trips are made by transit. Almost one-quarter of trips are made by active transportation modes, with $15 \%$ made on foot, $8 \%$ by bicycle or e-bike and $0.16 \%$ by micromobility modes.
5. $30 \%$ of bicycle trips are made by e-bikes, even though they make up only $10 \%$ of the stock of bicycles. This suggests a more regular use of e-bikes than of other bicycles.
6. Auto trips dominate the suburban areas, while the auto share drops in the Core. The highest transit share is in the Core. Victoria's active transportation share ( $43.6 \%$ ) is almost the same as the city's auto share (46.1\%).
7. Almost all trip purposes recorded a drop in numbers after 2017, especially in commuting and commuting-related trips to work and post-secondary school. Shopping, household maintenance and restaurant trips also recorded a drop in numbers. All these trip reductions are consistent with pandemic-induced contractions in these activities, although some of these reductions continued trends that began prior to 2017 (albeit at accelerated rates). Trips to elementary and secondary school trips increased.
8. The average vehicle occupancy is 1.35 persons per vehicle, a slight reduction from 2017. Three-quarters of all vehicle trips are occupied by the driver alone.
9. For those who travelled by sustainable modes, vehicles were available for most trips - less so for transit users, of whom one-third are 'captives' to taking transit.
10. One in five transit trips requires a transfer, with the transfer rate highest in suburban areas. Almost all transit riders accessed their bus on foot. Of those who accessed transit via auto, one-quarter used one of the four official Park \& Ride locations.
11. Saanich East is the top generator and receiver of trips to and from other districts. Victoria South, Victoria North and Downtown are also prominent. Most inter-district
travel volumes have dropped since 2017, although those to and from Langford and Colwood increased.
12. Inter- regional and internalized flows have contracted since 2017, except for trips between Langford and the Core and trips internal to Langford. Auto driver trips make up almost three-quarters of inter-regional trips and also dominate internalized shares. Sustainable modal shares are strongest in the Core.
13. Auto trips have a more diffused origin-destination pattern across the region, while transit riders' and cyclists' origins and destinations are more concentrated in the Core.
14. About half of auto driver trips are within the distances travelled by most cyclists and pedestrians. This means that these trips potentially could be made by bicycle or on foot rather than by auto, all else being equal.

## 5 ORIGIN-DESTINATION MATRICES

The following tables or "origin-destination matrices" tally total person-trips within the RPA and to or from the RPA at the district level. The matrices include external trips and Salt Spring Island trips; hence they have a dimension of $21 \times 21$.

Four matrices are presented:

- 24-hour
- AM peak period (3 hours, 06:00-08:59)
- Mid-day (inter-peak, 09:00-14:59)
- PM peak period (3 hours, 15:00-18:59)
- 24-hour transit

Table 43. 24-Hour Origin-Destination Matrix

| Origin/Destination | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Salt Spring Island |  | 80 | 100 | 90 | 70 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 610 |
| 2 Sidney | 140 | 12,870 | 6,710 | 3,510 | 450 | 560 | 290 | 1,240 | 1,140 | 590 | 200 | 160 | 50 | 0 | 370 | 80 | 110 | 100 | 40 | 10 | 100 | 28,740 |
| 3 North Saanich | 70 | 6,680 | 7,580 | 4,510 | 820 | 1,180 | 680 | 1,370 | 3,030 | 1,080 | 390 | 450 | 250 | 10 | 1,140 | 330 | 90 | 50 | 50 | 80 | 940 | 30,760 |
| 4 Central Saanich | 80 | 3,270 | 4,910 | 18,330 | 1,240 | 1,320 | 1,170 | 3,750 | 3,330 | 1,410 | 230 | 370 | 440 | 190 | 1,310 | 380 | 60 | 160 | 70 | 150 | 50 | 42,220 |
| 5 Downtown | 10 | 660 | 640 | 1,300 | 20,990 | 10,080 | 17,500 | 1,530 | 9,050 | 4,320 | 2,130 | 3,830 | 1,390 | 30 | 2,910 | 1,170 | 140 | 340 | 130 | 30 | 80 | 78,260 |
| 6 Victoria North | 70 | 650 | 1,070 | 1,520 | 10,350 | 21,800 | 10,890 | 2,040 | 16,390 | 5,090 | 3,120 | 4,700 | 2,070 | 60 | 3,370 | 1,120 | 430 | 460 | 330 | 130 | 150 | 85,820 |
| 7 Victoria South | 60 | 310 | 630 | 1,290 | 17,600 | 10,940 | 46,240 | 1,490 | 13,630 | 4,580 | 9,470 | 3,600 | 1,420 | 90 | 2,330 | 1,500 | 310 | 200 | 320 | 180 | 290 | 116,470 |
| 8 Saanich North | 0 | 1,320 | 1,390 | 3,440 | 1,440 | 1,900 | 1,840 | 13,530 | 8,320 | 4,050 | 910 | 430 | 450 | 180 | 1,440 | 740 | 130 | 20 | 220 | 60 | 10 | 41,800 |
| 9 Saanich East | 80 | 1,300 | 2,790 | 3,540 | 9,340 | 15,750 | 13,110 | 8,390 | 82,690 | 10,950 | 9,350 | 3,260 | 3,040 | 160 | 6,070 | 2,300 | 300 | 610 | 150 | 130 | 610 | 173,910 |
| 10 Saanich West | 20 | 500 | 930 | 1,480 | 4,030 | 5,390 | 4,930 | 4,450 | 10,990 | 19,890 | 1,180 | 4,120 | 4,020 | 150 | 5,140 | 1,710 | 340 | 430 | 150 | 210 | 90 | 70,140 |
| 11 Oak Bay | 30 | 130 | 320 | 280 | 2,100 | 3,670 | 9,120 | 810 | 9,030 | 1,290 | 16,940 | 580 | 350 | 180 | 890 | 180 | 50 | 110 | 60 | 80 | 60 | 46,250 |
| 12 Esquimalt | 100 | 100 | 410 | 320 | 3,870 | 4,520 | 3,700 | 490 | 2,950 | 4,450 | 570 | 13,550 | 2,330 | 30 | 3,590 | 1,210 | 170 | 430 | 150 | 100 | 50 | 43,080 |
| 13 View Royal | 0 | 40 | 70 | 630 | 1,700 | 2,330 | 1,450 | 540 | 2,630 | 4,030 | 290 | 2,500 | 7,020 | 380 | 4,320 | 2,290 | 220 | 460 | 110 | 40 | 240 | 31,290 |
| 14 Highlands | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 | 40 | 130 | 70 | 170 | 150 | 240 | 190 | 60 | 340 | 210 | 1,000 | 150 | 20 | 50 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 3,020 |
| 15 Langford | 40 | 320 | 920 | 1,350 | 2,840 | 3,050 | 2,730 | 1,080 | 5,740 | 5,670 | 1,010 | 3,220 | 4,130 | 880 | 54,840 | 15,230 | 1,520 | 3,370 | 930 | 650 | 290 | 109,810 |
| 16 Colwood | 10 | 100 | 380 | 250 | 1,240 | 1,150 | 1,440 | 460 | 2,460 | 1,690 | 140 | 1,590 | 2,870 | 230 | 14,840 | 14,930 | 1,740 | 770 | 200 | 120 | 30 | 46,630 |
| 17 Metchosin | 0 | 100 | 120 | 50 | 130 | 460 | 330 | 140 | 480 | 300 | 50 | 160 | 170 | 20 | 1,720 | 1,510 | 1,460 | 340 | 170 | 30 | 120 | 7,860 |
| 18 Sooke | 0 | 70 | 70 | 130 | 300 | 630 | 140 | 60 | 820 | 390 | 110 | 620 | 270 | 50 | 2,850 | 1,050 | 400 | 17,390 | 2,010 | 50 | 90 | 27,480 |
| 19 Juan de Fuca EA | 0 | 10 | 60 | 90 | 100 | 240 | 310 | 200 | 130 | 150 | 60 | 100 | 220 | 30 | 910 | 310 | 250 | 2,090 | 930 | 70 | 40 | 6,280 |
| 20 External S. CVRD |  | 20 | 70 | 140 | 10 | 70 | 210 | 60 | 220 | 220 | 80 | 70 | 100 | 20 | 640 | 120 | 30 | 130 | 50 |  |  | 2,260 |
| 21 External Other |  | 100 | 1,030 | 60 | 110 | 160 | 270 | 70 | 380 | 170 | 240 | 20 | 150 | 40 | 180 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 80 |  |  | 3,170 |
| Total | 710 | 28,620 | 30,220 | 42,410 | 78,760 | 85,350 | 116,470 | 41,870 | 173,610 | 70,570 | 46,660 | 43,440 | 31,060 | 2,950 | 109,890 | 46,310 | 7,810 | 27,570 | 6,170 | 2,190 | 3,460 | 995,870 |

Table 44. AM Peak Origin-Destination Matrix

|  | Origin/Destination | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Salt Spring Island |  | 0 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 210 |
| 2 | Sidney | 60 | 1,100 | 1,530 | 420 | 160 | 130 | 10 | 200 | 270 | 130 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4,150 |
| 3 | North Saanich | 0 | 820 | 1,530 | 870 | 130 | 180 | 150 | 110 | 710 | 320 | 30 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 80 | 5,180 |
| 4 | Central Saanich | 0 | 390 | 1,050 | 4,070 | 370 | 380 | 290 | 410 | 860 | 510 | 70 | 120 | 80 | 0 | 400 | 200 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 70 | 40 | 9,370 |
| 5 | Downtown | 0 | 40 | 90 | 110 | 2,320 | 990 | 2,060 | 240 | 930 | 190 | 270 | 470 | 40 | 0 | 180 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 8,030 |
| 6 | Victoria North | 0 | 150 | 290 | 100 | 2,440 | 3,310 | 2,440 | 200 | 2,440 | 800 | 500 | 1,340 | 200 | 20 | 200 | 100 | 170 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 0 | 14,800 |
| 7 | Victoria South | 0 | 60 | 60 | 380 | 3,920 | 1,850 | 8,030 | 90 | 3,250 | 1,050 | 1,990 | 740 | 440 | 30 | 300 | 270 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 40 | 22,620 |
| 8 | Saanich North | 0 | 280 | 370 | 570 | 590 | 190 | 490 | 3,000 | 2,180 | 600 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 200 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 8,770 |
| 9 | Saanich East | 0 | 310 | 450 | 600 | 2,400 | 2,340 | 2,960 | 1,000 | 17,130 | 1,900 | 1,590 | 890 | 800 | 0 | 730 | 320 | 80 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 160 | 33,720 |
| 10 | Saanich West | 0 | 130 | 140 | 340 | 1,220 | 1,280 | 1,820 | 540 | 2,640 | 5,020 | 110 | 970 | 580 | 0 | 580 | 470 | 70 | 110 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 16,080 |
| 11 | Oak Bay | 0 | 30 | 90 | 30 | 610 | 560 | 1,600 | 120 | 1,780 | 530 | 3,170 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,760 |
| 12 | Esquimalt | 0 | 50 | 30 | 100 | 1,170 | 1,160 | 1,420 | 90 | 580 | 610 | 180 | 3,540 | 370 | 0 | 450 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 9,980 |
| 13 | View Royal | 0 | 30 | 40 | 90 | 780 | 600 | 540 | 140 | 690 | 1,080 | 70 | 620 | 1,540 | 80 | 650 | 280 | 70 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7,430 |
| 14 | Highlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 0 | 90 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 130 | 30 | 450 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 980 |
| 15 | Langford | 0 | 160 | 300 | 500 | 1,600 | 980 | 960 | 250 | 2,080 | 2,090 | 340 | 1,680 | 640 | 20 | 10,410 | 3,830 | 190 | 160 | 30 | 350 | 20 | 26,590 |
| 16 | 16 Colwood | 0 | 20 | 210 | 50 | 500 | 480 | 470 | 80 | 1,080 | 350 | 30 | 650 | 700 | 0 | 1,720 | 4,590 | 190 | 110 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 11,270 |
| 17 | Metchosin | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 70 | 100 | 170 | 50 | 130 | 50 | 40 | 100 | 30 | 0 | 370 | 500 | 450 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 2,220 |
| 18 | Sooke | 0 | 70 | 50 | 100 | 240 | 150 | 20 | 20 | 470 | 170 | 70 | 340 | 80 | 50 | 910 | 590 | 90 | 4,180 | 120 | 10 | 0 | 7,720 |
| 19 | Juan de Fuca EA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 70 | 70 | 20 | 90 | 70 | 30 | 70 | 80 | 10 | 200 | 170 | 140 | 680 | 90 | 20 | 0 | 1,880 |
| 20 | External South CVRD |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 21 | 1 External Other |  | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  | 260 |
|  | Total | 80 | 3,670 | 6,370 | 8,370 | 18,580 | 14,810 | 23,540 | 6,580 | 37,480 | 15,570 | 8,690 | 11,770 | 5,810 | 340 | 17,920 | 11,840 | 1,530 | 5,440 | 350 | 900 |  | 200,020 |

Table 45. Mid-day Origin-Destination Matrix

|  | Origin/Destination | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Salt Spring Island |  | 30 | 0 | 80 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 260 |
| 2 | Sidney | 50 | 6,950 | 2,810 | 1,150 | 30 | 270 | 110 | 440 | 380 | 190 | 90 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 120 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 100 | 12,810 |
| 3 | North Saanich | 0 | 3,130 | 2,870 | 1,330 | 320 | 370 | 210 | 500 | 730 | 300 | 210 | 190 | 170 | 0 | 290 | 60 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 400 | 11,190 |
| 4 | Central Saanich | 40 | 1,390 | 1,560 | 7,140 | 300 | 350 | 360 | 1,360 | 1,060 | 340 | 80 | 110 | 220 | 100 | 270 | 100 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 14,830 |
| 5 | Downtown | 0 | 160 | 150 | 270 | 8,390 | 3,260 | 4,920 | 180 | 2,070 | 580 | 540 | 580 | 310 | 10 | 550 | 300 | 30 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 22,430 |
| 6 | Victoria North | 50 | 280 | 210 | 360 | 3,440 | 8,370 | 3,330 | 1,000 | 6,620 | 1,410 | 1,320 | 980 | 840 | 0 | 910 | 160 | 140 | 170 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 29,800 |
| 7 | Victoria South | 50 | 160 | 310 | 380 | 6,630 | 3,770 | 16,940 | 750 | 4,180 | 1,310 | 3,410 | 1,240 | 370 | 0 | 510 | 440 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 50 | 160 | 40,920 |
| 8 | Saanich North | 0 | 640 | 550 | 1,540 | 480 | 1,010 | 590 | 4,370 | 2,880 | 1,280 | 330 | 180 | 180 | 50 | 830 | 200 | 20 | 0 | 90 | 30 | 0 | 15,250 |
| 9 | Saanich East | 60 | 590 | 1,080 | 1,020 | 2,960 | 6,370 | 3,610 | 3,060 | 28,570 | 3,520 | 2,830 | 1,080 | 1,070 | 40 | 2,140 | 700 | 80 | 30 | 70 | 50 | 230 | 59,150 |
| 10 | Saanich West | 20 | 190 | 110 | 280 | 1,040 | 1,670 | 1,580 | 1,520 | 3,650 | 6,110 | 350 | 1,010 | 1,110 | 50 | 1,440 | 350 | 30 | 80 | 70 | 50 | 90 | 20,790 |
| 11 | Oak Bay | 10 | 50 | 130 | 60 | 550 | 1,680 | 3,160 | 280 | 2,980 | 370 | 6,790 | 170 | 140 | 140 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 16,870 |
| 12 | Esquimalt | 70 | 30 | 70 | 60 | 1,030 | 1,240 | 880 | 290 | 1,210 | 1,530 | 200 | 5,150 | 790 | 10 | 830 | 300 | 20 | 190 | 40 | 70 | 20 | 14,020 |
| 13 | 3 View Royal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 430 | 800 | 490 | 320 | 860 | 1,350 | 90 | 750 | 2,580 | 40 | 1,660 | 600 | 90 | 150 | 40 | 0 | 90 | 10,460 |
| 14 | Highlands | 0 | 0 | 30 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 110 | 60 | 130 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 270 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 970 |
| 15 | 15 Langford | 20 | 120 | 170 | 310 | 560 | 980 | 640 | 480 | 2,020 | 1,720 | 290 | 600 | 1,430 | 330 | 20,060 | 5,080 | 600 | 750 | 450 | 190 | 190 | 36,970 |
| 16 | 16 Colwood | 0 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 340 | 200 | 330 | 180 | 580 | 560 | 20 | 250 | 600 | 110 | 5,160 | 3,470 | 340 | 170 | 50 | 70 | 30 | 12,590 |
| 17 | Metchosin | 0 | 60 | 90 | 40 | 30 | 280 | 60 | 70 | 200 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 760 | 510 | 340 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 100 | 2,800 |
| 18 | Sooke | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 230 | 70 | 10 | 270 | 100 | 30 | 50 | 130 | 0 | 1,230 | 330 | 140 | 6,600 | 860 | 40 | 90 | 10,270 |
| 19 | Juan de Fuca EA | 0 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 70 | 30 | 130 | 100 | 20 | 70 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 310 | 90 | 80 | 890 | 440 | 50 | 40 | 2,490 |
| 20 | External South CVRD |  | 10 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 90 | 30 | 180 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |  | 640 |
| 21 | 1 External Other |  | 70 | 660 | 40 | 20 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 160 | 120 | 110 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 50 |  |  | 1,620 |
|  | Total | 480 | 13,860 | 10,920 | 14,440 | 26,720 | 30,980 | 37,630 | 15,080 | 58,680 | 21,050 | 16,790 | 12,490 | 10,110 | 970 | 37,750 | 12,820 | 2,100 | 9,330 | 2,540 | 780 | 1,890 | 337,110 |

Table 46. PM Peak Origin-Destination Matrix

|  | Origin/Destination | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Salt Spring Island |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 120 |
| 2 | Sidney | 20 | 3,130 | 1,530 | 1,150 | 90 | 90 | 120 | 530 | 370 | 270 | 80 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 160 | 20 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,790 |
| 3 | North Saanich | 30 | 2,060 | 2,250 | 1,130 | 280 | 460 | 130 | 330 | 710 | 80 | 50 | 10 | 80 | 0 | 390 | 240 | 40 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 8,440 |
| 4 | Central Saanich | 40 | 720 | 1,640 | 5,980 | 340 | 440 | 390 | 1,250 | 1,150 | 360 | 70 | 90 | 80 | 60 | 470 | 40 | 10 | 100 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 13,250 |
| 5 | Downtown | 10 | 250 | 220 | 420 | 6,060 | 3,520 | 6,390 | 690 | 3,220 | 2,130 | 760 | 1,390 | 630 | 10 | 1,560 | 400 | 60 | 250 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 28,040 |
| 6 | Victoria North | 20 | 110 | 290 | 740 | 2,530 | 6,700 | 3,250 | 570 | 4,750 | 1,680 | 850 | 1,720 | 540 | 30 | 1,670 | 700 | 60 | 160 | 220 | 20 | 90 | 26,670 |
| 7 | Victoria South | 10 | 90 | 160 | 310 | 4,540 | 3,620 | 13,460 | 440 | 3,510 | 1,660 | 2,650 | 1,190 | 470 | 40 | 1,090 | 290 | 110 | 50 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 33,880 |
| 8 | Saanich North | 0 | 310 | 370 | 470 | 310 | 530 | 530 | 4,330 | 2,380 | 1,310 | 400 | 170 | 140 | 40 | 280 | 470 | 70 | 20 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 12,250 |
| 9 | Saanich East | 10 | 300 | 830 | 1,270 | 1,810 | 4,690 | 4,380 | 3,590 | 24,410 | 3,850 | 2,790 | 840 | 770 | 120 | 1,890 | 820 | 80 | 410 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 52,960 |
| 10 | Saanich West | 0 | 180 | 310 | 530 | 720 | 1,570 | 1,010 | 1,370 | 2,910 | 5,600 | 500 | 1,320 | 1,330 | 80 | 2,560 | 470 | 110 | 220 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 20,850 |
| 11 | Oak Bay | 20 | 30 | 70 | 120 | 450 | 1,030 | 2,860 | 290 | 2,680 | 270 | 4,450 | 200 | 70 | 50 | 320 | 70 | 40 | 70 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 13,150 |
| 12 | Esquimalt | 30 | 20 | 230 | 130 | 940 | 1,510 | 1,130 | 70 | 720 | 1,420 | 170 | 3,310 | 930 | 10 | 1,490 | 610 | 150 | 240 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 13,150 |
| 13 | View Royal | 0 | 10 | 0 | 290 | 230 | 480 | 290 | 70 | 690 | 1,200 | 80 | 860 | 1,940 | 80 | 1,280 | 1,000 | 60 | 120 | 60 | 20 | 130 | 8,870 |
| 14 | Highlands | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 70 | 140 | 20 | 180 | 70 | 120 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 690 |
| 15 | Langford | 10 | 40 | 250 | 420 | 410 | 690 | 610 | 140 | 910 | 960 | 170 | 670 | 1,350 | 340 | 14,950 | 3,560 | 440 | 1,800 | 330 | 70 | 80 | 28,220 |
| 16 | Colwood | 10 | 30 | 70 | 100 | 180 | 130 | 310 | 80 | 560 | 420 | 70 | 440 | 1,080 | 70 | 5,350 | 5,500 | 730 | 490 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 15,720 |
| 17 | Metchosin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 10 | 130 | 160 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0 | 340 | 160 | 450 | 170 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 1,690 |
| 18 | Sooke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 50 | 0 | 60 | 50 | 0 | 410 | 60 | 60 | 4,730 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 6,210 |
| 19 | Juan de Fuca EA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 260 | 50 | 20 | 330 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 1,160 |
| 20 | External South CVRD |  | 10 | 30 | 110 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 380 | 50 | 20 | 40 | 20 |  |  | 970 |
| 21 | External Other |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 90 | 70 | 40 | 10 | 110 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 10 |  |  | 650 |
|  | Total | 270 | 7,370 | 8,400 | 13,190 | 19,000 | 25,730 | 35,050 | 13,850 | 49,290 | 21,500 | 13,310 | 12,410 | 9,910 | 1,020 | 35,050 | 14,540 | 2,580 | 9,340 | 2,220 | 280 | 480 | 294,730 |

Table 47. 24-Hour Transit Origin-Destination Matrix

|  | Origin/Destination | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salt Spring Island |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | Sidney | 0 | 70 | 110 | 90 | 80 | 60 | 20 | 110 | 140 | 60 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 860 |
| 3 | North Saanich | 0 | 160 | 90 | 60 | 190 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 100 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 940 | 1,660 |
| 4 | Central Saanich | 0 | 90 | 30 | 220 | 300 | 60 | 40 | 0 | 180 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1,040 |
| 5 | Downtown | 0 | 130 | 160 | 110 | 420 | 1,360 | 1,060 | 140 | 2,580 | 340 | 390 | 900 | 200 | 400 | 190 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 8,530 |
| 6 | Victoria North | 0 | 40 | 0 | 110 | 1,470 | 620 | 960 | 30 | 1,940 | 330 | 300 | 300 | 60 | 320 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 6,680 |
| 7 | Victoria South | 0 | 30 | 50 | 90 | 1,330 | 900 | 1,890 | 70 | 2,560 | 370 | 490 | 140 | 270 | 180 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 20 | 290 | 8,920 |
| 8 | Saanich North | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 110 | 120 | 230 | 220 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1,170 |
| 9 | Saanich East | 0 | 140 | 40 | 240 | 2,640 | 2,150 | 2,170 | 380 | 6,150 | 1,100 | 870 | 350 | 230 | 540 | 310 | 0 | 80 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 18,020 |
| 10 | Saanich West | 0 | 0 | 40 | 70 | 350 | 400 | 270 | 200 | 1,180 | 820 | 150 | 360 | 180 | 150 | 40 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 4,350 |
| 11 | Oak Bay | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 240 | 500 | 0 | 770 | 150 | 140 | 160 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 2,560 |
| 12 | Esquimalt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 890 | 170 | 140 | 0 | 380 | 320 | 160 | 340 | 220 | 200 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2,940 |
| 13 | View Royal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 80 | 280 | 20 | 90 | 260 | 0 | 180 | 160 | 280 | 10 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 1,910 |
| 14 | Highlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Langford | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 550 | 370 | 180 | 20 | 460 | 170 | 100 | 230 | 200 | 800 | 220 | 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3,420 |
| 16 | Colwood | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 80 | 100 | 0 | 370 | 60 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 310 | 250 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 1,740 |
| 17 | Metchosin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 120 |
| 18 | Sooke | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 70 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 500 |
| 19 | Juan de Fuca EA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 210 |
| 20 | External South CVRD |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 21 | External Other |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 60 |
|  | Total | 0 | 810 | 500 | 1,000 | 9,340 | 6,610 | 7,820 | 1,210 | 17,240 | 4,250 | 2,670 | 3,060 | 1,530 | 3,360 | 1,370 | 100 | 400 | 150 | 0 | 20 | 3,460 | 64,700 |

## 6 SUB-DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS AND TRAVEL SUMMARIES

Detailed demographic and travel characteristics are presented on the following pages for four levels of geographies:

- 19 districts to summarize the trip origins and destinations. These are the basic analytical units that were used for the survey sampling and for this report. The 19 districts are typically aggregations of entire municipalities and adjacent First Nations, with the exception of the City of Victoria and the District of Saanich, which are split into three sub-municipal districts each.
- 3 sub-regions (Saanich Peninsula, Core, West Shore).
- 2 municipal aggregations, corresponding to the municipal boundaries of the City of Victoria (districts 5-7) and the District of Saanich (districts 8-10).
- 2 regional aggregations, comprising the entire study area (districts 1-20 / sub-regions $1-5$ ) and the Regional Planning Area (districts 2-19 / sub-regions 2-4).
The 19 districts in the study area can be grouped into the sub-regions, the municipal aggregations and the regional aggregations. Table 48 shows the equivalencies among the four levels. Note that there is no summary for the external areas (districts 20 and 21 / subregion 6), although internal-external and external-internal trips between the study area and these areas are included in each of the summaries.
One pair of pages is provided for each summary. Each pair of pages has the same format, so as to provide detailed characteristics while enabling a quick comparison among different geographies. The exceptions is that the study area, RPA, and sub-region summaries do not include inter-district flows, as they are aggregations at a higher level than district.

Each pair of pages presents:
Page 1: Demographics. All statistics are for residents of the area.

- A map of the relevant district, sub-region, municipality or region.
- Demographic characteristics of the district's residents.
- Jobs in each district also are noted: this refers to the number of workplaces within the district reported by respondents from all districts. This should not be confused with the number of residents who live in the district who are employed.
- Occupational status (primary activity), by gender.
- Workplace locations of workers living in the district.
- Average weekday commuting and telecommuting patterns of full-time workers with a usual place of work outside the home.
- Traveller characteristics, by gender.
- Selected travel and demographic indicators, including trip rates. For most summaries, the count of trips made by residents counts trips to, from or within the RPA - except District 1 and Study Area, for which all trips in the entire study area are counted.
- Household size.
- Households by vehicle availability.
- Household vehicles by fuel type.
- Access to EV charging for households in the area.
- Charts illustrating population distribution by gender and age cohort.
- Mode shares for trips made by residents of the given district.

Page 2: Travel Patterns. All statistics are for trips made to/from/within the district by residents of the entire study area aged 5+ years.

- A map showing the five greatest origins or the five greatest destinations to/from the district during the AM peak period (06:00 to 08:59). Either origins only or destinations only are shown, depending on whether the district's total origins or the total destinations were greatest during the AM peak period. This is provided only for the district summaries.
- A table of the magnitude of the origins and destinations to and from the full 21 districts. This is provided only for the district summaries.
- Breakdown of trips by purpose, for the 24 hours, AM peak period (06:00 to 08:59) and PM peak period (15:00 to 17:59). The breakdown distinguishes among trips originating from and destined to the district; trips made entirely within the district are categorized as well.
- Breakdown of trips by mode of travel, for the 24 hours, AM peak period and PM peak period, categorized from, to and within the district. Trips are categorized according to the primary mode of use. The shares of each mode are calculated for each category.

The statistics reported in the two-page summaries are based on the survey results, and not external sources. For household and population counts, the survey statistics match the 2021 Census counts of dwelling occupied by usual residents and 2021 population counts, projected forward to 2022. While other controls were also included in the data weighting (dwelling size, general dwelling type, age, gender), given the number of controls, the survey results do not necessarily match all controls used in the weighting. Also, the survey results may not always match other external benchmark statistics from other sources such as the Labour Force Survey, although often they may be close.

Some respondents refused to answer certain questions; some statistics are based only on those who provided valid answers, and for the different measures reported there may be slight variations in totals.

Table 48. Key to District, Sub-Regional, Municipal and Regional Summaries

| Districts * | Sub-Regions | Municipalities | Regions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | 1. Salt Spring (see summary for District 1) |  | 1. Study <br> Area (D1 <br> - D19) <br> 2. Regional |
| 2. Town of Sidney | 2. Saanich |  | Planning |
| 3. District of North Saanich with Tsyecum First Nation, Pauquachin First Nation | Peninsula |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area (D2 } \\ & \text { - D19) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. District of Central Saanich with Tsartlip First Nation, Tsawout First Nation |  |  |  |
| 5. Downtown Victoria | 3. Core | 1. City of |  |
| 6. Victoria North |  | Victoria |  |
| 7. Victoria South |  | (D5-D7) |  |
| 8. Saanich North |  | 2. District of |  |
| 9. Saanich East |  | Saanic |  |
| 10. Saanich West |  | (D8-D10) |  |
| 11. District of Oak Bay |  |  |  |
| 12. Township of Esquimalt |  |  |  |
| 13. Town of View Royal with Esquimalt Nation, Songhees First Nation |  |  |  |
| 14. District of Highlands | 4. West Shore |  |  |
| 15. City of Langford |  |  |  |
| 16. City of Colwood |  |  |  |
| 17. District of Metchosin with Scia'new First Nation |  |  |  |
| 18. District of Sooke with T'souke First Nation |  |  |  |
| 19. Juan de Fuca Electoral Area with Pacheedaht First Nation |  |  |  |
| 20. South CVRD (Cowichan Valley A, B, C, E (south of Cowichan Valley Highway), Duncan, North Cowichan (south of Herd Road), Malahat First Nation, Cowichan Tribes)* | 5. CVRD |  |  |
| 21. External (Vancouver Island north of study area, Gulf Islands, mainland, etc.)* | 6. External |  |  |

* The external areas, South CVRD (District 20) and External (District 21 / Sub-Region 6) do not have a separate summary. However, external trips to/from the other districts, sub-regions and regions are included in the respective summaries. The geographic boundaries for the South CVRD district was defined in the 2011 survey based on proximity to the CRD, not on standard administrative boundaries

The two-page summaries follow on the next page.

## MALATEST

Study Area - Districts 1-19

## Demographic Characteristics



## Explanatory Notes

Information on this page is specific to the households/residents of this district. Expanded survey counts are rounded to the nearest 10 . Individual counts (or \%'s) may not always add up to the total (or to $100 \%$ ) due to rounding. All figures are expanded estimates. These results are based on a survey sample of $4.6 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 1.3 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or dectine to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Ment or Woment.
occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).
Telecommute: work from home rather than travelling to or for work. Avg. \% commute/telecommute is across 5 weekdays (Mon-Fri) in the week previous to the survey. Mondays, Fridays typically have fewer commutes, more telecommutes, more not working.
2022 trip-level data are for persons aged $5+$ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on persons $11+$ years. Please see analytical sections of this report for historical comparisons for persons $11+$ years.

## Travel Patterns - Persons 5+

Study Area - Districts 1-19
$\left.\begin{array}{lcrcrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5 }+ \\ \text { From District }\end{array} & & & \text { To District }\end{array}\right]$

| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 800 | $59 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 84,400 | $42 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 20 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 8,320 | $4 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 30 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40,300 | $20 \%$ |
| Personal business | 60 | $4 \%$ | - | $1 \%$ | 7,600 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 380 | $28 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 9,940 | $5 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 3,910 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 40 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 5,420 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 26,770 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | 200 | $71 \%$ | 14,370 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $28 \%$ | 620 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,350 | $100 \%$ | 290 | $100 \%$ | 201,650 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 120 | $16 \%$ | 60 | $4 \%$ | 8,940 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 340 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 100 | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 240 | $31 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 12,610 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 390 | $51 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ | 32,720 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 8,640 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | - | $0 \%$ | 100 | $6 \%$ | 33,030 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 21,300 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | 1,410 | $84 \%$ | 180,200 | $60 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $2 \%$ | 70 | $4 \%$ | 770 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 760 | $100 \%$ | 1,680 | $100 \%$ | 298,660 | $100 \%$ |


| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours | Within District (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | $1,017,200$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 203,300 | $20 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 301,100 | $30 \%$ | $99 \%$ |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged $5+$ years. They may be
compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons
aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for
persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 280 | $37 \%$ | 1,290 | $77 \%$ | 153,850 | $52 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 360 | $48 \%$ | 360 | $21 \%$ | 45,980 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 20 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 19,750 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 27,320 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 44,250 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 100 | $13 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ | 7,510 | $3 \%$ |
| Total: | 760 | $100 \%$ | 1,680 | $100 \%$ | 298,660 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.49 | $0 \%$ | 1.37 | $0 \%$ | 1.27 | $6 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.12 | $0 \%$ | 1.16 | $0 \%$ | 1.27 | $8 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 2.31 | $3 \%$ | 1.28 | $0 \%$ | 1.30 | $7 \%$ |

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,440 | $61 \%$ | 3,700 | $66 \%$ | 542,150 | $54 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,690 | $30 \%$ | 1,360 | $24 \%$ | 148,840 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 30 | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 61,660 | $6 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ | 79,660 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 151,380 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 490 | $9 \%$ | 510 | $9 \%$ | 22,210 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,640 | $100 \%$ | 5,640 | $100 \%$ | $1,005,910$ | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,100 | $82 \%$ | 180 | $64 \%$ | 100,390 | $50 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 140 | $10 \%$ | 30 | $10 \%$ | 27,180 | $13 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 16,780 | $8 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20,810 | $10 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 28,300 | $14 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $8 \%$ | 70 | $25 \%$ | 8,200 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,350 | $100 \%$ | 290 | $100 \%$ | 201,650 | $100 \%$ |

## Regional Planning Area - Districts 2-19

## Demographic Characteristics




|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 149,010 | 156,380 | 305,390 |
| Licensed drivers | 7,960 | 6,770 | 14,740 |
| Car share members | 479,520 | 514,850 | 994,370 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 452,370 | 486,750 | 939,120 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.63 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.71 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.20 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.23 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.56 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.48 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.19 |
| Workers per Household | 0.53 |
| Jobs per Person | 200 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 110 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |



## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.5 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 1.3 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).



## Travel Patterns - Persons 5+

Regional Planning Area - Districts 2-19

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 1,710 | 28\% | 290 | 5\% | 139,190 | 14\% |
| Post-secondary school | - | 0\% | - | 0\% | 16,820 | 2\% |
| K-12 school | 30 | 0\% | - | 0\% | 40,910 | 4\% |
| Personal business | 520 | 9\% | 270 | 5\% | 58,040 | 6\% |
| Recreation / social | 2,850 | 47\% | 150 | 3\% | 107,130 | 11\% |
| Dining / restaurant | 100 | 2\% | 10 | 0\% | 31,060 | 3\% |
| Shopping | 100 | 2\% | 340 | 6\% | 111,730 | 11\% |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 200 | 3\% | 50 | 1\% | 72,990 | 7\% |
| Return Home | 520 | 9\% | 4,040 | 67\% | 402,890 | 41\% |
| Other | 70 | 1\% | 890 | 15\% | 2,980 | 0\% |
| Total: | 6,100 | 100\% | 6,040 | 100\% | 983,740 | 100\% |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 860 | $64 \%$ | 40 | $8 \%$ | 83,320 | $42 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 8,320 | $4 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 30 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 39,280 | $20 \%$ |
| Personal business | 60 | $4 \%$ | 40 | $9 \%$ | 7,450 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 350 | $26 \%$ | 40 | $9 \%$ | 9,660 | $5 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 3,840 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 30 | $2 \%$ | 90 | $19 \%$ | 5,230 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 26,280 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | 200 | $43 \%$ | 14,220 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $13 \%$ | 590 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,350 | $100 \%$ | 470 | $100 \%$ | 198,200 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 120 | $12 \%$ | 90 | $5 \%$ | 8,670 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 340 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 100 | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 230 | $24 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 12,180 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 390 | $40 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ | 32,140 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 8,450 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 10 | $1 \%$ | 100 | $6 \%$ | 32,240 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20,930 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 200 | $21 \%$ | 1,440 | $83 \%$ | 176,190 | $60 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $4 \%$ | 750 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 970 | $100 \%$ | 1,740 | $100 \%$ | 292,010 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 995,900 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $99 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 200,000 |  | $20 \%$ |  | $99 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 294,700 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $99 \%$ |  |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 440 | $46 \%$ | 1,350 | $78 \%$ | 150,050 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 400 | $41 \%$ | 340 | $20 \%$ | 44,290 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 20 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 19,560 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 27,100 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 43,780 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 100 | $11 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ | 7,230 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 970 | $100 \%$ | 1,740 | $100 \%$ | 292,010 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | From District | To District | Within District |  |  |  |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.46 | $0 \%$ | 1.37 | $0 \%$ | 1.27 | $6 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.11 | $0 \%$ | 1.18 | $0 \%$ | 1.27 | $8 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.90 | $2 \%$ | 1.25 | $0 \%$ | 1.30 | $7 \%$ |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be
compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons
aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for
persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

## MALATEST

Sub Area 1-Saanich Peninsula - Districts 2-4

## Demographic Characteristics




|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 17,380 | 18,600 | 35,980 |
| Licensed drivers | 450 | 230 | 680 |
| Car share members | 48,420 | 54,590 | 103,010 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 46,350 | 51,500 | 97,850 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.41 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.86 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.27 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 4.99 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.95 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.56 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.04 |
| Workers per Household | 0.47 |
| Jobs per Person | 490 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 230 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.9 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 3.8 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).



## Travel Patterns - Persons 5+

Sub Area 1-Saanich Peninsula - Districts 2-4
$\left.\begin{array}{lcrcrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+ } \\ \text { From District }\end{array} & & \text { To District }\end{array}\right]$

| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 4,080 | $59 \%$ | 5,060 | $76 \%$ | 3,440 | $29 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 530 | $8 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 430 | $6 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ | 3,480 | $30 \%$ |
| Personal business | 480 | $7 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ | 500 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 370 | $5 \%$ | 280 | $4 \%$ | 920 | $8 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 230 | $3 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ | 470 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 150 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $2 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 450 | $7 \%$ | 460 | $7 \%$ | 1,530 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | 190 | $3 \%$ | 250 | $4 \%$ | 1,210 | $10 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | 120 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,920 | $100 \%$ | 6,640 | $100 \%$ | 11,780 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 190 | $2 \%$ | 410 | $4 \%$ | 550 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 330 | $3 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ | 920 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 910 | $9 \%$ | 930 | $10 \%$ | 2,130 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 590 | $6 \%$ | 160 | $2 \%$ | 300 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 920 | $9 \%$ | 500 | $5 \%$ | 2,130 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 650 | $7 \%$ | 720 | $8 \%$ | 1,130 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 6,270 | $63 \%$ | 6,270 | $67 \%$ | 12,260 | $63 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 180 | $2 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 9,890 | $100 \%$ | 9,360 | $100 \%$ | 19,600 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 134,600 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 25,300 |  | $19 \%$ |  | $46 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 38,800 |  | $29 \%$ |  | $50 \%$ |  |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  |  |  |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 7,620 | $77 \%$ | 6,880 | $73 \%$ | 11,330 | $58 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Passenger | 1,280 | $13 \%$ | 1,360 | $15 \%$ | 3,480 | $18 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit | 430 | $4 \%$ | 710 | $8 \%$ | 260 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 190 | $2 \%$ | 220 | $2 \%$ | 1,310 | $7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk | 30 | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 2,050 | $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 330 | $3 \%$ | 170 | $2 \%$ | 1,170 | $6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 9,890 | $100 \%$ | 9,360 | $100 \%$ | 19,600 | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 1.23 | $5 \%$ | 1.22 | $4 \%$ | 1.27 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Period | 1.14 | $10 \%$ | 1.07 | $4 \%$ | 1.37 | $3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 1.17 | $4 \%$ | 1.20 | $8 \%$ | 1.31 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be
compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons
aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for
persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

| Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+ <br> From District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 Hours | To District |  |  | Within District |  |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 5,220 | $75 \%$ | 5,590 | $84 \%$ | 6,020 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 710 | $10 \%$ | 390 | $6 \%$ | 2,220 | $19 \%$ |
| Transit | 700 | $10 \%$ | 270 | $4 \%$ | 300 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 180 | $3 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ | 780 | $7 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 1,280 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $2 \%$ | 250 | $4 \%$ | 1,170 | $10 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,920 | $100 \%$ | 6,640 | $100 \%$ | 11,780 | $100 \%$ |

Sub Area 2 - Core - Districts 5-13

## Demographic Characteristics




|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 95,160 | 100,570 | 195,740 |
| Licensed drivers | 7,230 | 6,180 | 13,400 |
| Car share members | 318,540 | 343,770 | 662,310 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 302,080 | 327,740 | 629,810 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.71 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.66 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.10 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.22 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.38 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.49 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.15 |
| Workers per Household | 0.62 |
| Jobs per Person | 1,620 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 1,010 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.2 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 1.6 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).



## Travel Patterns - Persons 5+

Sub Area 2-Core - Districts 5-13

| Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+ <br> From District |  | To District |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 7,360 | 63\% | 15,950 | 66\% | 47,990 | 40\% |
| Post-secondary school | 80 | 1\% | 2,010 | 8\% | 5,900 | 5\% |
| K-12 school | 290 | 2\% | 1,450 | 6\% | 23,740 | 20\% |
| Personal business | 190 | 2\% | 1,320 | 5\% | 4,530 | 4\% |
| Recreation / social | 1,100 | 9\% | 720 | 3\% | 6,080 | 5\% |
| Dining / restaurant | 220 | 2\% | 440 | 2\% | 1,940 | 2\% |
| Shopping | 490 | 4\% | 210 | 1\% | 3,240 | 3\% |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 730 | 6\% | 1,810 | 7\% | 15,660 | 13\% |
| Return Home | 1,100 | 9\% | 360 | 1\% | 9,290 | 8\% |
| Other | 70 | 1\% | - | 0\% | 190 | 0\% |
| Total: | 11,620 | 100\% | 24,260 | 100\% | 118,570 | 100\% |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 920 | $3 \%$ | 740 | $4 \%$ | 5,220 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | 310 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 920 | $3 \%$ | 1,210 | $6 \%$ | 7,760 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,180 | $8 \%$ | 2,380 | $12 \%$ | 21,350 | $12 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 560 | $2 \%$ | 950 | $5 \%$ | 5,340 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,590 | $9 \%$ | 1,540 | $8 \%$ | 20,780 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,720 | $6 \%$ | 1,920 | $10 \%$ | 12,780 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 20,050 | $69 \%$ | 10,460 | $54 \%$ | 107,030 | $59 \%$ |
| Other | 120 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 110 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 29,050 | $100 \%$ | 19,280 | $100 \%$ | 180,760 | $100 \%$ |


| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours | Within District (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 768,100 | $100 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 154,400 | $20 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 229,100 | $30 \%$ | $79 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  |  |  |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 20,860 | $72 \%$ | 13,530 | $70 \%$ | 78,310 | $43 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Passenger | 4,290 | $15 \%$ | 3,650 | $19 \%$ | 24,340 | $13 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Transit | 2,340 | $8 \%$ | 1,080 | $6 \%$ | 15,150 | $8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,080 | $4 \%$ | 590 | $3 \%$ | 22,450 | $12 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Walk | 50 | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | 38,050 | $21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 430 | $1 \%$ | 400 | $2 \%$ | 2,470 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 29,050 | $100 \%$ | 19,280 | $100 \%$ | 180,760 | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | From District | To District | Within District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |  |  |  |  |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 1.23 | $6 \%$ | 1.23 | $6 \%$ | 1.28 | $8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Period | 1.11 | $7 \%$ | 1.16 | $11 \%$ | 1.28 | $11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 1.21 | $8 \%$ | 1.27 | $6 \%$ | 1.31 | $8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be
compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons
aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for
persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

## MALATEST

Sub Area 3-West Shore - Districts 14-19

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 96,440 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 91,410 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 53,500 |  |  |  |
| Households | 39,340 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 32,410 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 74,310 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 74,650 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 48,370 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 7,110 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 14,770 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 1,890 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 1,716.80 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 24,210 19,030 | 43,240 | 45\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 3,640 6,610 | 10,260 | 11\% |  |  |
| Student 9,330 10,060 | 19,400 | 20\% |  |  |
| Retiree 8,150 10,070 | 18,220 | 19\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 190 1,360 | 1,550 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler 2,500 2,530 | 5,030 | 5\% |  |  |
| Other status 1,610 2,570 | 4,170 | 4\% |  |  |
| Total 47,480 48,960 | 96,440 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 980 | 6,690 | 7,660 | 14\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 2,160 | 4,130 | 6,300 | 12\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 7,120 | 32,420 | 39,530 | 74\% |
| Total | 10,260 | 43,240 | 53,500 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 48\% | 75\% | 70\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 5\% | 18\% | 15\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 12\% | 31\% | 28\% |  |



| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday |  | 12\% |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| Licensed drivers | 36,470 | 37,200 | 73,670 |
| Car share members | 280 | 370 | 650 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 112,560 | 116,490 | 229,060 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 103,930 | 107,510 | 211,450 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.51 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.77 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.45 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.38 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.90 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.41 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.36 |
| Workers per Household | 0.34 |
| Jobs per Person | 60 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 20 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $5.3 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 2.7 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).



## Travel Patterns - Persons 5+

Sub Area 3-West Shore - Districts 14-19

| Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+ <br> From District |  | To District |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 14,400 | $71 \%$ | 4,030 | $58 \%$ | 6,900 | $23 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 1,530 | $8 \%$ | 130 | $2 \%$ | 240 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,140 | $6 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 10,240 | $34 \%$ |
| Personal business | 880 | $4 \%$ | 70 | $1 \%$ | 940 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 460 | $2 \%$ | 620 | $9 \%$ | 1,090 | $4 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 230 | $1 \%$ | 190 | $3 \%$ | 750 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 90 | $0 \%$ | 440 | $6 \%$ | 1,100 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,420 | $7 \%$ | 340 | $5 \%$ | 6,490 | $21 \%$ |
| Return Home | 60 | $0 \%$ | 940 | $13 \%$ | 2,370 | $8 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 330 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 20,220 | $100 \%$ | 6,980 | $100 \%$ | 30,440 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 610 | $5 \%$ | 530 | $2 \%$ | 1,300 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 30 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 930 | $8 \%$ | 560 | $2 \%$ | 1,560 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,910 | $16 \%$ | 1,340 | $6 \%$ | 4,040 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 360 | $3 \%$ | 400 | $2 \%$ | 1,300 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 810 | $7 \%$ | 2,360 | $10 \%$ | 5,020 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,330 | $11 \%$ | 1,050 | $5 \%$ | 3,330 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 5,710 | $49 \%$ | 16,540 | $73 \%$ | 25,080 | $60 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 340 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,720 | $100 \%$ | 22,800 | $100 \%$ | 41,960 | $100 \%$ |


| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours | Within District (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 257,400 | $100 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 57,600 | $22 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 76,500 | $30 \%$ | $55 \%$ |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be
compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons
aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for
persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  |  |  |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 7,810 | $67 \%$ | 16,800 | $74 \%$ | 24,560 | $59 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Passenger | 2,630 | $22 \%$ | 3,130 | $14 \%$ | 8,670 | $21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit | 680 | $6 \%$ | 1,640 | $7 \%$ | 720 | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 390 | $3 \%$ | 860 | $4 \%$ | 1,680 | $4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | 3,600 | $9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 210 | $2 \%$ | 350 | $2 \%$ | 2,720 | $6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 11,720 | $100 \%$ | 22,800 | $100 \%$ | 41,960 | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | From District | To District | Within District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 1.23 | $6 \%$ | 1.23 | $6 \%$ | 1.34 | $1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Period | 1.15 | $10 \%$ | 1.13 | $8 \%$ | 1.42 | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 1.34 | $6 \%$ | 1.19 | $7 \%$ | 1.35 | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 40,870 | $72 \%$ | 40,820 | $72 \%$ | 86,350 | $60 \%$ |  |
| Auto Driver | 9,410 | $17 \%$ | 9,230 | $16 \%$ | 28,930 | $20 \%$ |  |
| Auto Passenger | 3,520 | $6 \%$ | 3,460 | $6 \%$ | 1,920 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Transit | 1,760 | $3 \%$ | 1,780 | $3 \%$ | 4,340 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 140 | $0 \%$ | 150 | $0 \%$ | 15,400 | $11 \%$ |  |
| Walk | 1,000 | $2 \%$ | 890 | $2 \%$ | 7,460 | $5 \%$ |  |
| Other | 56,700 | $100 \%$ | 56,330 | $100 \%$ | 144,390 | $100 \%$ |  |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 14,640 | $72 \%$ | 5,300 | $76 \%$ | 15,450 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,160 | $11 \%$ | 660 | $9 \%$ | 6,500 | $21 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,950 | $10 \%$ | 590 | $8 \%$ | 500 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 940 | $5 \%$ | 340 | $5 \%$ | 970 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ | 3,610 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | 530 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 3,420 | $11 \%$ |
| Total: | 20,220 | $100 \%$ | 6,980 | $100 \%$ | 30,440 | $100 \%$ |

## District 1 - Salt Spring Island Electoral Area

## Demographic Characteristics



## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $10.1 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 5.4 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers)





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 100 | $12 \%$ | 60 | $6 \%$ | 2,460 | $12 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 20 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 1,020 | $5 \%$ |
| Personal business | 210 | $24 \%$ | 30 | $4 \%$ | 1,780 | $9 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 130 | $15 \%$ | 70 | $8 \%$ | 1,950 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 10 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | 530 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 300 | $34 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ | 3,390 | $16 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ | 1,140 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 80 | $9 \%$ | 640 | $70 \%$ | 8,440 | $40 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $2 \%$ | 70 | $8 \%$ | 130 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 870 | $100 \%$ | 920 | $100 \%$ | 20,850 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 40 | $14 \%$ | 60 | $74 \%$ | 990 | $31 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 20 | $9 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 1,020 | $32 \%$ |
| Personal business | 40 | $14 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 110 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 70 | $26 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 230 | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 100 | $37 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 100 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 490 | $15 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 150 | $5 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $26 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 270 | $100 \%$ | 80 | $100 \%$ | 3,190 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 30 | $21 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 240 | $4 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 10 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 420 | $7 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $3 \%$ | 570 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 190 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $3 \%$ | 780 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 370 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 80 | $60 \%$ | 250 | $91 \%$ | 3,720 | $59 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $14 \%$ | 10 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 130 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ | 6,310 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 22,600 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $92 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 3,500 | $16 \%$ |  | $90 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 6,700 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $94 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 1 - Salt Spring Island Elector Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | 3,190 |  | 92\% | 3,190 |  | 98\% |
| Sidney | - | I | 0\% | 60 | I | 2\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 40 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 10 | I | 0\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| Downtown | 30 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria North | 40 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria South | 10 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich North | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich East | 40 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich West | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Oak Bay | 10 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | - | I | 0\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 30 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Colwood | - | I | 0\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 30 | - | 1\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 30 | - | 1\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Total | 3,450 |  | 100\% | 3,260 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 570 | $65 \%$ | 690 | $75 \%$ | 13,130 | $63 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 240 | $27 \%$ | 190 | $21 \%$ | 4,140 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 270 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 750 | $4 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 1,710 | $8 \%$ |
| Other | 60 | $7 \%$ | 40 | $5 \%$ | 850 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 870 | $100 \%$ | 920 | $100 \%$ | 20,850 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 190 | $73 \%$ | 60 | $84 \%$ | 1,600 | $50 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 40 | $17 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 720 | $23 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 140 | $5 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 150 | $5 \%$ |
| Other | 30 | $11 \%$ | 10 | $16 \%$ | 530 | $17 \%$ |
| Total: | 270 | $100 \%$ | 80 | $100 \%$ | 3,190 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 110 | $83 \%$ | 210 | $79 \%$ | 3,520 | $56 \%$ |  |
| Auto Passenger | - | $3 \%$ | 50 | $19 \%$ | 1,650 | $26 \%$ |  |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 180 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 220 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 470 | $7 \%$ |  |
| Other | 20 | $14 \%$ | 10 | $2 \%$ | 260 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Total: | 130 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ | 6,310 | $100 \%$ |  |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.42 | $0 \%$ | 1.28 | $0 \%$ | 1.32 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.23 | $0 \%$ | 1.00 | $0 \%$ | 1.45 | $1 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.03 | $0 \%$ | 1.24 | $0 \%$ | 1.47 | $3 \%$ |

## District 2 - Town of Sidney

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 11,980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 11,600 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 5,120 |  |  |  |
| Households | 6,040 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 6,040 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 8,920 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 8,420 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 7,590 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 710 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 1,040 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 60 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 5.11 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 2,170 1,250 | 3,420 | 29\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 550 1,150 | 1,700 | 14\% |  |  |
| Student 800 790 | 1,590 | 13\% |  |  |
| Retiree $\quad 2,100$ 3,190 | 5,290 | 44\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 70 | 70 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 200190 | 380 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 10 | 290 | 2\% |  |  |
| Total 5,490 6,490 | 11,980 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 320 | 410 | 730 | 14\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 180 | 350 | 530 | 10\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 1,190 | 2,660 | 3,850 | 75\% |
| Total | 1,700 | 3,420 | 5,120 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 43\% | 77\% | 67\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 0\% | 18\% | 13\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 0\% | 32\% | 22\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 4,400 | 5,020 | 9,420 |
| Car share members | 350 | 120 | 470 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 12,150 | 15,930 | 28,070 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 12,150 | 14,500 | 26,640 |

Selected Indicators

| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 2.42 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vehicles per Person | 0.70 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 1.98 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 4.41 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.39 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.37 |
| Workers per Household | 0.85 |
| Jobs per Person | 0.50 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 2,350 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 0}$ |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.9 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 7.2 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 2,050 | $13 \%$ | 2,780 | $18 \%$ | 1,080 | $8 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 170 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 860 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,040 | $7 \%$ | 1,530 | $10 \%$ | 1,390 | $11 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,690 | $17 \%$ | 1,630 | $10 \%$ | 1,360 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 290 | $2 \%$ | 700 | $4 \%$ | 710 | $6 \%$ |
| Shopping | 870 | $5 \%$ | 1,930 | $12 \%$ | 2,580 | $20 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,010 | $6 \%$ | 430 | $3 \%$ | 650 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 6,880 | $43 \%$ | 6,580 | $42 \%$ | 5,050 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 170 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 15,870 | $100 \%$ | 15,750 | $100 \%$ | 12,870 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 1,080 | $36 \%$ | 1,830 | $71 \%$ | 450 | $41 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 170 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 860 | $28 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 50 | $4 \%$ |
| Personal business | 140 | $5 \%$ | 70 | $3 \%$ | 150 | $13 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 310 | $10 \%$ | 90 | $3 \%$ | 200 | $18 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 50 | $2 \%$ | 230 | $9 \%$ | 70 | $6 \%$ |
| Shopping | 50 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $5 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 370 | $12 \%$ | 60 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Return Home | 20 | $1 \%$ | 210 | $8 \%$ | 130 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,040 | $100 \%$ | 2,570 | $100 \%$ | 1,100 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 40 | $1 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 180 | $4 \%$ | 260 | $6 \%$ | 280 | $9 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 460 | $10 \%$ | 250 | $6 \%$ | 260 | $8 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 50 | $1 \%$ | 100 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $5 \%$ |
| Shopping | 360 | $8 \%$ | 330 | $8 \%$ | 540 | $17 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 90 | $2 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ | 220 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 3,490 | $75 \%$ | 2,900 | $68 \%$ | 1,540 | $49 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 4,660 | $100 \%$ | 4,230 | $100 \%$ | 3,130 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 44,500 | , 700 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $29 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 12,000 |  | $15 \%$ |  | $16 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  | $26 \%$ |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 2 - Town of Sidney <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of Trips From District |  |  | Origins of <br> Trips To <br> District |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | 60 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 1,100 |  | 27\% | 1,100 |  | 30\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 1,530 |  | 37\% | 820 |  | 22\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 420 | - | 10\% | 390 | - | 10\% |
| Downtown | 160 | ■ | 4\% | 40 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 130 | - | 3\% | 150 | E | 4\% |
| Victoria South | 10 | I | 0\% | 60 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich North | 200 | E | 5\% | 280 | ■ | 8\% |
| Saanich East | 280 | ■ | 7\% | 310 | ■ | 9\% |
| Saanich West | 130 | - | 3\% | 130 | ■ | 4\% |
| Oak Bay | 10 | - | 0\% | 30 | - | 1\% |
| Esquimalt | 70 | - | 2\% | 50 | - | 1\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | - | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 1\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 10 | I | 0\% | 160 | E | 4\% |
| Colwood | 30 | $\square$ | 1\% | 20 | - | 0\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | 40 | - | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | 70 | - | 2\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 10 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 4,150 |  | 100\% | 3,670 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 10,850 | $68 \%$ | 10,860 | $69 \%$ | 6,410 | $50 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,710 | $17 \%$ | 2,620 | $17 \%$ | 1,510 | $12 \%$ |
| Transit | 690 | $4 \%$ | 740 | $5 \%$ | 70 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 860 | $5 \%$ | 870 | $6 \%$ | 780 | $6 \%$ |
| Walk | 440 | $3 \%$ | 340 | $2 \%$ | 4,100 | $32 \%$ |
| Other | 320 | $2 \%$ | 320 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 15,870 | $100 \%$ | 15,750 | $100 \%$ | 12,870 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,490 | $49 \%$ | 1,950 | $76 \%$ | 530 | $48 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 530 | $17 \%$ | 190 | $7 \%$ | 110 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 410 | $14 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 260 | $8 \%$ | 190 | $8 \%$ | 90 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | 240 | $8 \%$ | 80 | $3 \%$ | 360 | $33 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $4 \%$ | 120 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,040 | $100 \%$ | 2,570 | $100 \%$ | 1,100 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,630 | $78 \%$ | 2,540 | $60 \%$ | 1,540 | $49 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 570 | $12 \%$ | 660 | $16 \%$ | 440 | $14 \%$ |
| Transit | 60 | $1 \%$ | 520 | $12 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 210 | $5 \%$ | 260 | $6 \%$ | 250 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | 40 | $1 \%$ | 200 | $5 \%$ | 910 | $29 \%$ |
| Other | 150 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 4,660 | $100 \%$ | 4,230 | $100 \%$ | 3,130 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.25 | $4 \%$ | 1.24 | $5 \%$ | 1.24 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.36 | $14 \%$ | 1.10 | $1 \%$ | 1.20 | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.16 | $1 \%$ | 1.26 | $12 \%$ | 1.28 | $0 \%$ |

## District 3 - District of North Saanich with Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 12,730 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 12,310 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 5,240 |  |  |  |
| Households | 5,210 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 6,310 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 9,290 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 11,640 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 8,780 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 1,190 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 1,380 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 70 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 40.27 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 2,000 1,710 | 3,720 | 29\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 610 | 1,530 | 12\% |  |  |
| Student 1,120 1,050 | 2,170 | 17\% |  |  |
| Retiree 2,380 2,460 | 4,830 | 38\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 40 250 | 290 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 2200 | 420 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status $80 \quad 210$ | 290 | 2\% |  |  |
| Total 6,220 6,510 | 12,730 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 390 | 540 | 930 | 18\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 130 | 440 | 570 | 11\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 1,000 | 2,740 | 3,740 | 71\% |
| Total | 1,530 | 3,720 | 5,240 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 43\% | 66\% | 60\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 10\% | 18\% | 16\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 22\% | 33\% | 31\% |  |



|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 5,300 | 5,560 | 10,860 |
| Licensed drivers | 40 | 10 | 50 |
| Car share members | 13,670 | 14,270 | 27,940 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 12,890 | 13,850 | 26,750 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.27 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.91 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.44 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.13 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 2.23 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.91 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.01 |
| Workers per Household | 0.50 |
| Jobs per Person | 320 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 160 |





| Mode Shares for Residents of Area | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Esimated Total Daily Trips | 34,520 | 27,940 |
| Auto Driver | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Transit | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other (school bus, taxi, ferry, etc) | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |


| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 4,150 | $79 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 940 | $18 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 130 | $3 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,210 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 1,010 | $19 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 2,470 | $47 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 710 | $14 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 670 | $13 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | 350 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,210 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 1,240 | $24 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 2,150 | $41 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 1,810 | $35 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,210 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type | Total | $\%$ |
| Gas | 9,500 | $82 \%$ |
| Hybrid | 360 | $3 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 50 | $0 \%$ |
| Electric | 1,020 | $9 \%$ |
| Diesel | 700 | $6 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | - | $0 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,640 | $100 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging |  |  |
| Yes, in my building |  | $26 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby | $5 \%$ |  |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $60 \%$ |
| Don't know | $9 \%$ |  |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $6.2 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.4 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).




MALATEST


Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 2,560 | $11 \%$ | 3,750 | $17 \%$ | 660 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 400 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 480 | $2 \%$ | 830 | $4 \%$ | 460 | $6 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,330 | $6 \%$ | 900 | $4 \%$ | 380 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,420 | $10 \%$ | 3,890 | $17 \%$ | 1,640 | $22 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 860 | $4 \%$ | 250 | $1 \%$ | 110 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,620 | $11 \%$ | 530 | $2 \%$ | 290 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,350 | $6 \%$ | 2,960 | $13 \%$ | 940 | $12 \%$ |
| Return Home | 11,010 | $48 \%$ | 8,190 | $36 \%$ | 3,070 | $40 \%$ |
| Other | 140 | $1 \%$ | 1,330 | $6 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 23,180 | $100 \%$ | 22,640 | $100 \%$ | 7,580 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 1,250 | $34 \%$ | 2,140 | $44 \%$ | 350 | $23 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 190 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 480 | $13 \%$ | 830 | $17 \%$ | 430 | $28 \%$ |
| Personal business | 190 | $5 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ | 70 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 220 | $6 \%$ | 540 | $11 \%$ | 150 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 390 | $11 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 160 | $4 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 420 | $11 \%$ | 660 | $14 \%$ | 340 | $22 \%$ |
| Return Home | 350 | $9 \%$ | 310 | $6 \%$ | 150 | $10 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | 120 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,640 | $100 \%$ | 4,840 | $100 \%$ | 1,530 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 220 | $4 \%$ | 260 | $4 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 110 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $2 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 500 | $8 \%$ | 830 | $13 \%$ | 450 | $20 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 120 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 770 | $12 \%$ | 130 | $2 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 400 | $6 \%$ | 620 | $10 \%$ | 280 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | 4,020 | $65 \%$ | 3,790 | $62 \%$ | 1,380 | $61 \%$ |
| Other | 30 | $1 \%$ | 310 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,190 | $100 \%$ | 6,150 | $100 \%$ | 2,250 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 53,400 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 10,000 | $19 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 14,600 |  | $27 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 3 - District of North Saanich Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Trips From |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 1\% |
| Sidney | 820 | - | 16\% | 1,530 |  | 24\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 1,530 |  | 30\% | 1,530 |  | 24\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 870 | - | 17\% | 1,060 | - | 17\% |
| Downtown | 140 | - | 3\% | 90 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 180 | ■ | 3\% | 290 | E | 5\% |
| Victoria South | 150 | - | 3\% | 60 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich North | 110 | - | 2\% | 370 | - | 6\% |
| Saanich East | 710 | $\square$ | 14\% | 460 | - | 7\% |
| Saanich West | 320 | E | 6\% | 140 | - | 2\% |
| Oak Bay | 30 | - | 1\% | 90 | - | 1\% |
| Esquimalt | 100 | $\square$ | 2\% | 30 | - | 0\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | - | I | 0\% | 40 | - | 1\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 80 | $\underline{1}$ | 1\% | 300 | E | 5\% |
| Colwood | 30 | I | 1\% | 210 | E | 3\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | 50 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 40 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 80 | $\square$ | 1\% | 60 | - | 1\% |
| Total | 5,180 |  | 100\% | 6,380 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,640 | $67 \%$ | 15,420 | $68 \%$ | 4,550 | $60 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 4,820 | $21 \%$ | 4,540 | $20 \%$ | 1,270 | $17 \%$ |
| Transit | 630 | $3 \%$ | 410 | $2 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 590 | $3 \%$ | 610 | $3 \%$ | 220 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | 350 | $2 \%$ | 390 | $2 \%$ | 1,090 | $14 \%$ |
| Other | 1,140 | $5 \%$ | 1,260 | $6 \%$ | 370 | $5 \%$ |
| Total: | 23,180 | $100 \%$ | 22,640 | $100 \%$ | 7,580 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 2,370 | $65 \%$ | 3,220 | $66 \%$ | 840 | $54 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 690 | $19 \%$ | 720 | $15 \%$ | 310 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | 100 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 140 | $4 \%$ | 230 | $5 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Walk | 80 | $2 \%$ | 240 | $5 \%$ | 160 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 260 | $7 \%$ | 370 | $8 \%$ | 170 | $11 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,640 | $100 \%$ | 4,840 | $100 \%$ | 1,530 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,180 | $67 \%$ | 4,400 | $72 \%$ | 1,290 | $57 \%$ |  |
| Auto Passenger | 1,010 | $16 \%$ | 1,140 | $18 \%$ | 310 | $14 \%$ |  |
| Transit | 250 | $4 \%$ | 130 | $2 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 180 | $3 \%$ | 150 | $3 \%$ | 140 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Walk | 210 | $3 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 270 | $12 \%$ |  |
| Other | 360 | $6 \%$ | 310 | $5 \%$ | 200 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Total: | 6,190 | $100 \%$ | 6,150 | $100 \%$ | 2,250 | $100 \%$ |  |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.31 | $3 \%$ | 1.29 | $2 \%$ | 1.28 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.29 | $3 \%$ | 1.22 | $1 \%$ | 1.37 | $3 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.24 | $4 \%$ | 1.26 | $2 \%$ | 1.24 | $2 \%$ |

## District 4 - District of Central Saanich with Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 19,670 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 18,900 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 9,980 |  |  |  |
| Households | 8,340 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 8,500 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 15,660 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 18,120 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 11,090 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 1,160 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 2,940 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 380 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 45.90 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 4,260 3,810 | 8,070 | 41\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 700 1,210 | 1,900 | 10\% |  |  |
| Student 1,710 1,720 | 3,430 | 17\% |  |  |
| Retiree 2,720 2,950 | 5,670 | 29\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 100 | 370 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 400 | 770 | 4\% |  |  |
| Other status 140 | 400 | 2\% |  |  |
| Total 9,590 10,080 | 19,670 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 210 | 1,070 | 1,280 | 13\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 150 | 990 | 1,150 | 12\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 1,540 | 6,010 | 7,560 | 76\% |
| Total | 1,900 | 8,070 | 9,980 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 37\% | 75\% | 68\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 3\% | 17\% | 14\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 8\% | 31\% | 27\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 7,680 | 8,020 | 15,700 |
| Car share members | 60 | 100 | 160 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 22,600 | 24,390 | 46,990 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 21,310 | 23,150 | 44,460 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.49 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.92 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.36 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.33 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 2.17 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.47 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.20 |
| Workers per Household | 0.43 |
| Jobs per Person | 430 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 190 |



| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 4,490 | $54 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 3,020 | $36 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 810 | $10 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,340 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household Size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 2,240 | $27 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 3,310 | $40 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 1,120 | $13 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 1,060 | $13 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | 610 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,340 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 90 | $1 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 2,450 | $29 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 3,180 | $38 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 2,610 | $31 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,340 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type | Total | $\%$ |
| Gas | 16,620 | $92 \%$ |
| Hybrid | 270 | $1 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 70 | $0 \%$ |
| Electric | 460 | $3 \%$ |
| Diesel | 710 | $4 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | - | $0 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 18,120 | $100 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging |  |  |
| Yes, in my building |  | $10 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby | $17 \%$ |  |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $64 \%$ |
| Don't know |  | $9 \%$ |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.1 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.1 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 4,960 | $21 \%$ | 4,060 | $17 \%$ | 1,570 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 370 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 440 | $2 \%$ | 620 | $3 \%$ | 1,800 | $10 \%$ |
| Personal business | 2,000 | $8 \%$ | 900 | $4 \%$ | 900 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 3,280 | $14 \%$ | 2,700 | $11 \%$ | 1,360 | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 840 | $4 \%$ | 450 | $2 \%$ | 480 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,260 | $9 \%$ | 2,060 | $9 \%$ | 2,870 | $16 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,330 | $6 \%$ | 1,430 | $6 \%$ | 1,310 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 8,260 | $35 \%$ | 11,870 | $49 \%$ | 7,820 | $43 \%$ |
| Other | 150 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 150 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 23,890 | $100 \%$ | 24,090 | $100 \%$ | 18,330 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 3,410 | $64 \%$ | 2,740 | $64 \%$ | 980 | $24 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 180 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 410 | $8 \%$ | 620 | $14 \%$ | 1,690 | $41 \%$ |
| Personal business | 310 | $6 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ | 120 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 350 | $7 \%$ | 160 | $4 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 60 | $1 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ | 130 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 30 | $1 \%$ | 130 | $3 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 270 | $5 \%$ | 340 | $8 \%$ | 580 | $14 \%$ |
| Return Home | 280 | $5 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ | 480 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,300 | $100 \%$ | 4,300 | $100 \%$ | 4,070 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 190 | $3 \%$ | 240 | $3 \%$ | 100 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 350 | $5 \%$ | 110 | $1 \%$ | 260 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 790 | $11 \%$ | 690 | $10 \%$ | 580 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 510 | $7 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 590 | $8 \%$ | 840 | $12 \%$ | 760 | $13 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 480 | $7 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 310 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 4,190 | $58 \%$ | 5,010 | $70 \%$ | 3,900 | $65 \%$ |
| Other | 120 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 7,270 | $100 \%$ | 7,210 | $100 \%$ | 5,980 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 66,300 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $28 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 13,700 | $21 \%$ |  | $30 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 20,500 |  | $31 \%$ |  | $29 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 4 - District of Central Saanic Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) (Trips made by persons 5+) | Trips From |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 390 | - | 4\% | 420 | - | 5\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 1,060 | - | 11\% | 870 | ■ | 10\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 4,070 | - | 43\% | 4,070 |  | 49\% |
| Downtown | 370 | ■ | 4\% | 110 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 380 | ■ | 4\% | 100 | I | 1\% |
| Victoria South | 290 | - | 3\% | 380 | - | 5\% |
| Saanich North | 410 | - | 4\% | 570 | - | 7\% |
| Saanich East | 860 | - | 9\% | 600 | - | 7\% |
| Saanich West | 510 | - | 5\% | 350 | - | 4\% |
| Oak Bay | 70 | - | 1\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 130 | - | 1\% | 100 | I | 1\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 80 | - | 1\% | 90 | I | 1\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 400 | - | 4\% | 510 | - | 6\% |
| Colwood | 200 | - | 2\% | 50 | - | 1\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 20 | I | 0\% | 100 | I | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 20 | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 70 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 40 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 9,370 |  | 100\% | 8,370 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 17,670 | $74 \%$ | 17,670 | $73 \%$ | 11,080 | $60 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 4,180 | $17 \%$ | 4,220 | $18 \%$ | 2,590 | $14 \%$ |
| Transit | 770 | $3 \%$ | 780 | $3 \%$ | 220 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 610 | $3 \%$ | 560 | $2 \%$ | 940 | $5 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 2,100 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 650 | $3 \%$ | 820 | $3 \%$ | 1,410 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 23,890 | $100 \%$ | 24,090 | $100 \%$ | 18,330 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,190 | $79 \%$ | 3,260 | $76 \%$ | 1,830 | $45 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 530 | $10 \%$ | 530 | $12 \%$ | 760 | $19 \%$ |
| Transit | 240 | $5 \%$ | 230 | $5 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 150 | $3 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ | 310 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 440 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 190 | $4 \%$ | 200 | $5 \%$ | 560 | $14 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,300 | $100 \%$ | 4,300 | $100 \%$ | 4,070 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 5,040 | $69 \%$ | 5,160 | $72 \%$ | 3,280 | $55 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,370 | $19 \%$ | 1,240 | $17 \%$ | 1,060 | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | 330 | $5 \%$ | 270 | $4 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 190 | $3 \%$ | 200 | $3 \%$ | 540 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | 650 | $11 \%$ |
| Other | 350 | $5 \%$ | 320 | $4 \%$ | 440 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 7,270 | $100 \%$ | 7,210 | $100 \%$ | 5,980 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.24 | $3 \%$ | 1.24 | $3 \%$ | 1.23 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.13 | $5 \%$ | 1.16 | $5 \%$ | 1.42 | $4 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.27 | $5 \%$ | 1.24 | $4 \%$ | 1.32 | $0 \%$ |

## City of Victoria - Districts 5-7

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 88,810 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 85,870 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 53,560 |  |  |  |
| Households | 49,870 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 81,730 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 74,240 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 50,770 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 56,920 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 6,790 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 7,630 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 1,200 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 19.45 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 21,680 20,120 | 41,800 | 47\% |  |  |
| Employed part time $\quad 4,700$ 7,060 | 11,760 | 13\% |  |  |
| Student 7,660 8,230 | 15,890 | 18\% |  |  |
| Retiree 8,040 10,900 | 18,940 | 21\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 30 | 970 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 1,500 1,430 | 2,930 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 1,550 1,740 | 3,280 | 4\% |  |  |
| Total 42,370 46,440 | 88,810 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 2,390 | 7,230 | 9,610 | 18\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 1,820 | 2,500 | 4,320 | 8\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 7,550 | 32,070 | 39,620 | 74\% |
| Total | 11,760 | 41,800 | 53,560 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 42\% | 73\% | 67\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 8\% | 19\% | 17\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 16\% | 37\% | 33\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 32,640 | 35,610 | 68,260 |
| Car share members | 4,680 | 4,130 | 8,810 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 116,040 | 124,960 | 241,010 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 111,020 | 121,330 | 232,340 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.81 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.57 |
| Vehicles per Person | 1.78 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 4.66 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.02 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.28 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.07 |
| Workers per Household | 0.92 |
| Jobs per Person | 4,560 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 4,200 |




Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.2 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 2.4 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$,
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 13,780 | $12 \%$ | 30,310 | $27 \%$ | 24,440 | $15 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 4,150 | $4 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ | 220 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 2,580 | $2 \%$ | 2,240 | $2 \%$ | 3,660 | $2 \%$ |
| Personal business | 4,630 | $4 \%$ | 10,340 | $9 \%$ | 11,770 | $7 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 12,880 | $11 \%$ | 12,610 | $11 \%$ | 20,000 | $12 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 1,910 | $2 \%$ | 4,710 | $4 \%$ | 8,540 | $5 \%$ |
| Shopping | 8,710 | $8 \%$ | 11,510 | $10 \%$ | 24,350 | $15 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 6,440 | $6 \%$ | 7,680 | $7 \%$ | 8,510 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 58,970 | $52 \%$ | 34,590 | $30 \%$ | 64,390 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | 120 | $0 \%$ | 130 | $0 \%$ | 520 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 114,160 | $100 \%$ | 114,190 | $100 \%$ | 166,390 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 8,700 | $48 \%$ | 19,750 | $67 \%$ | 13,400 | $49 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 1,720 | $10 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 2,490 | $14 \%$ | 2,150 | $7 \%$ | 3,530 | $13 \%$ |
| Personal business | 750 | $4 \%$ | 1,860 | $6 \%$ | 980 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,160 | $6 \%$ | 740 | $2 \%$ | 1,630 | $6 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 350 | $2 \%$ | 390 | $1 \%$ | 820 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 400 | $2 \%$ | 710 | $2 \%$ | 950 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,280 | $7 \%$ | 2,520 | $9 \%$ | 3,230 | $12 \%$ |
| Return Home | 1,220 | $7 \%$ | 1,360 | $5 \%$ | 2,500 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 18,080 | $100 \%$ | 29,560 | $100 \%$ | 27,370 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 820 | $2 \%$ | 1,370 | $5 \%$ | 1,520 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 100 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,150 | $3 \%$ | 1,820 | $6 \%$ | 2,760 | $6 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 4,090 | $11 \%$ | 3,710 | $12 \%$ | 6,210 | $12 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 500 | $1 \%$ | 1,370 | $5 \%$ | 2,430 | $5 \%$ |
| Shopping | 3,030 | $8 \%$ | 3,190 | $11 \%$ | 7,670 | $15 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 2,340 | $6 \%$ | 2,480 | $8 \%$ | 2,910 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 26,430 | $69 \%$ | 15,720 | $53 \%$ | 26,510 | $53 \%$ |
| Other | 60 | $0 \%$ | 60 | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 38,530 | $100 \%$ | 29,730 | $100 \%$ | 50,060 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 394,700 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $42 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 75,000 | 19,300 |  | $19 \%$ |  | $36 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period |  | $30 \%$ |  | $42 \%$ |  |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Victoria - Districts 5-7 Destinations of Origins of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 250 | I | 1\% | 300 | I | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 440 | I | 1\% | 460 | - | 1\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 580 | I | 1\% | 1,040 | - | 2\% |
| City of Victoria | 27,370 |  | 60\% | 27,370 |  | 48\% |
| Saanich North | 530 | I | 1\% | 1,270 | - | 2\% |
| Saanich East | 6,630 | E | 15\% | 7,700 | - | 14\% |
| Saanich West | 2,040 | - | 4\% | 4,320 | ■ | 8\% |
| Oak Bay | 2,760 | ■ | 6\% | 2,780 | - | 5\% |
| Esquimalt | 2,550 | - | 6\% | 3,740 | ■ | 7\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 670 | - | 1\% | 1,920 | - | 3\% |
| Highlands | 50 | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 690 | - | 2\% | 3,530 | ■ | 6\% |
| Colwood | 460 | I | 1\% | 1,450 | - | 3\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 200 | - | 0\% | 340 | I | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 30 | I | 0\% | 410 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 10 | I | 0\% | 160 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 130 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 60 | - | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 45,440 |  | 100\% | 56,930 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 66,070 | $58 \%$ | 65,760 | $58 \%$ | 48,340 | $29 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 16,350 | $14 \%$ | 16,360 | $14 \%$ | 13,860 | $8 \%$ |
| Transit | 13,600 | $12 \%$ | 13,750 | $12 \%$ | 10,020 | $6 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 11,800 | $10 \%$ | 11,900 | $10 \%$ | 22,180 | $13 \%$ |
| Walk | 4,470 | $4 \%$ | 4,790 | $4 \%$ | 70,610 | $42 \%$ |
| Other | 1,860 | $2 \%$ | 1,630 | $1 \%$ | 1,380 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 114,160 | $100 \%$ | 114,190 | $100 \%$ | 166,390 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 9,000 | $50 \%$ | 16,920 | $57 \%$ | 6,910 | $25 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,440 | $8 \%$ | 3,060 | $10 \%$ | 1,840 | $7 \%$ |
| Transit | 3,350 | $19 \%$ | 4,020 | $14 \%$ | 2,410 | $9 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,730 | $15 \%$ | 4,300 | $15 \%$ | 4,940 | $18 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,220 | $7 \%$ | 820 | $3 \%$ | 11,020 | $40 \%$ |
| Other | 320 | $2 \%$ | 450 | $2 \%$ | 250 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 18,080 | $100 \%$ | 29,560 | $100 \%$ | 27,370 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 23,020 | $60 \%$ | 14,810 | $50 \%$ | 12,980 | $26 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 5,160 | $13 \%$ | 4,740 | $16 \%$ | 4,360 | $9 \%$ |
| Transit | 4,250 | $11 \%$ | 4,260 | $14 \%$ | 3,280 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 4,220 | $11 \%$ | 3,590 | $12 \%$ | 7,640 | $15 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,370 | $4 \%$ | 1,830 | $6 \%$ | 21,450 | $43 \%$ |
| Other | 510 | $1 \%$ | 490 | $2 \%$ | 340 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 38,530 | $100 \%$ | 29,730 | $100 \%$ | 50,060 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.25 | $12 \%$ | 1.25 | $12 \%$ | 1.29 | $6 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.16 | $19 \%$ | 1.18 | $14 \%$ | 1.27 | $9 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.22 | $11 \%$ | 1.32 | $14 \%$ | 1.34 | $7 \%$ |

## District 5 - Downtown

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 12,110 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 11,760 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 8,860 |  |  |  |
| Households | 8,150 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 31,990 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 10,400 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 5,860 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 6,500 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 830 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 570 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 230 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 2.00 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 4,050 3,390 | 7,440 | 61\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 730 | 1,420 | 12\% |  |  |
| Student 880820 | 1,700 | 14\% |  |  |
| Retiree 700850 | 1,550 | 13\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 70 | 70 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 120 | 350 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 240 210 | 450 | 4\% |  |  |
| Total 6,350 5,770 | 12,110 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 400 | 1,330 | 1,730 | 20\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 230 | 520 | 750 | 8\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 790 | 5,580 | 6,370 | 72\% |
| Total | 1,420 | 7,440 | 8,860 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 47\% | 73\% | 70\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 12\% | 21\% | 20\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 27\% | 41\% | 40\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 4,850 | 4,340 | 9,200 |
| Car share members | 1,040 | 820 | 1,870 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 19,170 | 15,850 | 35,020 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 18,730 | 15,550 | 34,270 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.98 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.48 |
| Vehicles per Person | 1.49 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 4.21 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 0.72 |
| Vehicles per Household | 0.90 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.09 |
| Workers per Household | 2.64 |
| Jobs per Person | 6,060 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 16,000 |





| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 100 | $1 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 310 | $4 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 2,310 | $28 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | 5,420 | $67 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,150 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 4,980 | $61 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 2,560 | $31 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 400 | $5 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 200 | $3 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,150 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 3,320 | $41 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 4,100 | $50 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 450 | $6 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 280 | $3 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,150 | $100 \%$ |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type |  |  |
| Gas | Total | $\%$ |
| Hybrid | 5,210 | $89 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 310 | $5 \%$ |
| Electric | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Diesel | 110 | $2 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | 140 | $2 \%$ |
| Other | 30 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | - | $0 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging |  | $\%$ |
| Yes, in my building |  | $200 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby |  | $11 \%$ |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $52 \%$ |
| Don't know | $17 \%$ |  |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.2 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.1 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wh Hours | 5,420 | $9 \%$ | 19,420 | $34 \%$ | 3,720 | $18 \%$ |
| Work | 530 | $1 \%$ | 60 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-st-secondary school | 380 | $1 \%$ | 250 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ |
| K-2 school | 2,050 | $4 \%$ | 6,170 | $11 \%$ | 1,670 | $8 \%$ |
| Personal business | 4,190 | $7 \%$ | 9,510 | $16 \%$ | 2,350 | $11 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 990 | $2 \%$ | 4,170 | $7 \%$ | 2,350 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 4,460 | $8 \%$ | 6,140 | $11 \%$ | 4,550 | $22 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,370 | $4 \%$ | 3,510 | $6 \%$ | 660 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 36,680 | $64 \%$ | 8,470 | $15 \%$ | 5,600 | $27 \%$ |
| Return Home | 200 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ |
| Other | 57,270 | $100 \%$ | 57,770 | $100 \%$ | 20,990 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 3,310 | $58 \%$ | 12,610 | $78 \%$ | 1,500 | $64 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 150 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 360 | $6 \%$ | 210 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 60 | $1 \%$ | 690 | $4 \%$ | 60 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 190 | $3 \%$ | 750 | $5 \%$ | 70 | $3 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 200 | $4 \%$ | 430 | $3 \%$ | 90 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 70 | $1 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ | 150 | $6 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 480 | $8 \%$ | 1,040 | $6 \%$ | 250 | $11 \%$ |
| Return Home | 790 | $14 \%$ | 280 | $2 \%$ | 220 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 90 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,710 | $100 \%$ | 16,250 | $100 \%$ | 2,320 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 390 | $2 \%$ | 820 | $6 \%$ | 190 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 540 | $2 \%$ | 1,280 | $10 \%$ | 520 | $9 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,600 | $7 \%$ | 2,540 | $20 \%$ | 880 | $14 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 230 | $1 \%$ | 1,350 | $10 \%$ | 460 | $8 \%$ |
| Shopping | 1,840 | $8 \%$ | 1,620 | $13 \%$ | 1,500 | $25 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 980 | $4 \%$ | 1,670 | $13 \%$ | 340 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 16,350 | $74 \%$ | 3,620 | $28 \%$ | 2,180 | $36 \%$ |
| Other | 60 | $0 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 21,980 | $100 \%$ | 12,950 | $100 \%$ | 6,060 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District | $(\%)$ |
| 24 Hours | 136,000 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 24,300 |  | $18 \%$ |  | $10 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 41,000 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 5 - Downtown <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of Trips From District |  |  | Origins of <br> Trips To <br> District |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 40 | I | 1\% | 160 | I | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 90 | - | 1\% | 140 | I | 1\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 110 | - | 1\% | 370 | - | 2\% |
| Downtown | 2,320 |  | 29\% | 2,320 | - | 13\% |
| Victoria North | 990 | E | 12\% | 2,440 | - | 13\% |
| Victoria South | 2,060 | - | 26\% | 3,920 | - | 21\% |
| Saanich North | 240 | - | 3\% | 590 | E | 3\% |
| Saanich East | 930 | - | 12\% | 2,400 |  | 13\% |
| Saanich West | 190 | ■ | 2\% | 1,220 | E | 7\% |
| Oak Bay | 270 | ■ | 3\% | 610 | ■ | 3\% |
| Esquimalt | 470 | E | 6\% | 1,170 | E | 6\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 40 | I | 0\% | 780 | E | 4\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 180 | - | 2\% | 1,600 | - | 9\% |
| colwood | 90 | - | 1\% | 500 | E | 3\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, ${ }^{\text {T'Sou-ke }}$ FN |  | I | 0\% | 240 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN |  | 1 | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD |  | I | 0\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| External Other | 208,030 |  | 100\% | - | 1 | 0\% |
| Total |  |  | 18,580 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged $5+$ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

|  | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 Hours | 23,870 | $42 \%$ | 23,320 | $40 \%$ | 2,000 | $10 \%$ |
| Auto Driver | 6,330 | $11 \%$ | 6,650 | $12 \%$ | 740 | $4 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 8,030 | $14 \%$ | 8,920 | $15 \%$ | 420 | $2 \%$ |
| Transit | 7,690 | $13 \%$ | 7,990 | $14 \%$ | 1,370 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 10,530 | $18 \%$ | 10,260 | $18 \%$ | 16,470 | $78 \%$ |
| Walk | 830 | $1 \%$ | 630 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Other | 57,270 | $100 \%$ | 57,770 | $100 \%$ | 20,990 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 2,430 | $43 \%$ | 6,090 | $37 \%$ | 340 | $15 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 230 | $4 \%$ | 1,050 | $6 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Transit | 760 | $13 \%$ | 3,620 | $22 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,000 | $18 \%$ | 3,000 | $18 \%$ | 220 | $10 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,150 | $20 \%$ | 2,430 | $15 \%$ | 1,690 | $73 \%$ |
| Other | 140 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,710 | $100 \%$ | 16,250 | $100 \%$ | 2,320 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 8,630 | $39 \%$ | 4,990 | $39 \%$ | 580 | $10 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,780 | $8 \%$ | 1,550 | $12 \%$ | 330 | $5 \%$ |
| Transit | 3,740 | $17 \%$ | 1,710 | $13 \%$ | 70 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 3,580 | $16 \%$ | 1,680 | $13 \%$ | 610 | $10 \%$ |
| Walk | 4,050 | $18 \%$ | 2,830 | $22 \%$ | 4,470 | $74 \%$ |
| Other | 200 | $1 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 21,980 | $100 \%$ | 12,950 | $100 \%$ | 6,060 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.27 | $14 \%$ | 1.29 | $15 \%$ | 1.37 | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.09 | $13 \%$ | 1.17 | $22 \%$ | 1.07 | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.21 | $17 \%$ | 1.31 | $13 \%$ | 1.56 | $1 \%$ |

## District 6 - Victoria North

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 30,980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 29,670 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 18,710 |  |  |  |
| Households | 15,730 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 21,650 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 25,070 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 19,030 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 19,710 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 2,350 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 3,200 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 480 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 7.58 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 7,660 6,710 | 14,370 | 46\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 1,770 2,580 | 4,340 | 14\% |  |  |
| Student $\quad 2,920 \quad 2,980$ | 5,900 | 19\% |  |  |
| Retiree $\quad 2,410$ 3,320 | 5,730 | 18\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 30 | 430 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 720 ) 600 | 1,310 | 4\% |  |  |
| Other status 600800 | 1,410 | 5\% |  |  |
| Total 14,990 15,990 | 30,980 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 750 | 1,930 | 2,680 | 14\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 650 | 930 | 1,580 | 8\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 2,940 | 11,510 | 14,450 | 77\% |
| Total | 4,340 | 14,370 | 18,710 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 40\% | 72\% | 66\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 6\% | 20\% | 18\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 15\% | 39\% | 35\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 11,130 | 12,290 | 23,420 |
| Car share members | 1,120 | 940 | 2,050 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 38,490 | 40,330 | 78,820 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 36,340 | 39,170 | 75,520 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.66 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.61 |
| Vehicles per Person | 1.97 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 4.80 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.21 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.40 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.19 |
| Workers per Household | 0.70 |
| Jobs per Person | 4,090 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 2,860 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.1 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 4.3 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women + .
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.


MALATEST

Travel Patterns - Persons 5+


Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 11,120 | $17 \%$ | 12,520 | $20 \%$ | 2,030 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 1,500 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,490 | $2 \%$ | 780 | $1 \%$ | 770 | $4 \%$ |
| Personal business | 3,700 | $6 \%$ | 5,230 | $8 \%$ | 940 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 7,020 | $11 \%$ | 5,150 | $8 \%$ | 2,090 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 1,690 | $3 \%$ | 2,180 | $3 \%$ | 1,200 | $5 \%$ |
| Shopping | 6,080 | $9 \%$ | 10,600 | $17 \%$ | 4,520 | $21 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 3,640 | $6 \%$ | 3,710 | $6 \%$ | 1,160 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 27,760 | $43 \%$ | 23,330 | $37 \%$ | 8,940 | $41 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 64,020 | $100 \%$ | 63,550 | $100 \%$ | 21,800 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 6,360 | $55 \%$ | 7,110 | $62 \%$ | 920 | $28 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 770 | $7 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,460 | $13 \%$ | 750 | $7 \%$ | 770 | $23 \%$ |
| Personal business | 450 | $4 \%$ | 680 | $6 \%$ | 90 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 560 | $5 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ | 250 | $8 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 150 | $1 \%$ | 220 | $2 \%$ | 100 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 310 | $3 \%$ | 610 | $5 \%$ | 160 | $5 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,040 | $9 \%$ | 950 | $8 \%$ | 670 | $20 \%$ |
| Return Home | 390 | $3 \%$ | 970 | $8 \%$ | 340 | $10 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,490 | $100 \%$ | 11,500 | $100 \%$ | 3,310 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 640 | $3 \%$ | 780 | $4 \%$ | 190 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 30 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 890 | $4 \%$ | 1,040 | $5 \%$ | 250 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,120 | $11 \%$ | 1,590 | $8 \%$ | 790 | $12 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 590 | $3 \%$ | 660 | $3 \%$ | 520 | $8 \%$ |
| Shopping | 1,980 | $10 \%$ | 3,350 | $18 \%$ | 1,150 | $17 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,390 | $7 \%$ | 1,210 | $6 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ |
| Return Home | 12,320 | $62 \%$ | 10,400 | $55 \%$ | 3,540 | $53 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 19,970 | $100 \%$ | 19,030 | $100 \%$ | 6,700 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 149,400 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 26,300 | $18 \%$ |  | $13 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 45,700 |  | $31 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 6 - Victoria North <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of Trips From District |  |  | Origins of <br> Trips To <br> District |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 150 | - | 1\% | 130 | - | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 290 | - | 2\% | 180 | - | 1\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 100 | - | 1\% | 380 | - | 3\% |
| Downtown | 2,440 |  | 17\% | 990 | - | 7\% |
| Victoria North | 3,310 |  | 22\% | 3,310 |  | 22\% |
| Victoria South | 2,440 |  | 16\% | 1,850 |  | 13\% |
| Saanich North | 200 | - | 1\% | 190 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich East | 2,440 |  | 17\% | 2,340 |  | 16\% |
| Saanich West | 800 | E | 5\% | 1,280 | - | 9\% |
| Oak Bay | 500 | E | 3\% | 560 | E | 4\% |
| Esquimalt | 1,340 | $\square$ | 9\% | 1,160 | $\square$ | 8\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 200 | - | 1\% | 600 | E | 4\% |
| Highlands | 20 | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 200 | - | 1\% | 980 | - | 7\% |
| Colwood | 100 | - | 1\% | 480 | ■ | 3\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 170 | - | 1\% | 100 | - | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 20 | I | 0\% | 150 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 10 | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 60 | - | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 14,800 |  | 100\% | 14,820 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 36,060 | $56 \%$ | 35,900 | $56 \%$ | 6,810 | $31 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 8,720 | $14 \%$ | 8,380 | $13 \%$ | 2,240 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 5,910 | $9 \%$ | 5,990 | $9 \%$ | 620 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 7,140 | $11 \%$ | 7,030 | $11 \%$ | 2,460 | $11 \%$ |
| Walk | 5,510 | $9 \%$ | 5,540 | $9 \%$ | 9,640 | $44 \%$ |
| Other | 670 | $1 \%$ | 710 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 64,020 | $100 \%$ | 63,550 | $100 \%$ | 21,800 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,870 | $42 \%$ | 7,260 | $63 \%$ | 980 | $30 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,260 | $11 \%$ | 1,220 | $11 \%$ | 330 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,970 | $17 \%$ | 950 | $8 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,900 | $17 \%$ | 1,180 | $10 \%$ | 590 | $18 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,360 | $12 \%$ | 770 | $7 \%$ | 1,370 | $41 \%$ |
| Other | 130 | $1 \%$ | 130 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,490 | $100 \%$ | 11,500 | $100 \%$ | 3,310 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 11,690 | $59 \%$ | 8,880 | $47 \%$ | 1,900 | $28 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,710 | $14 \%$ | 3,070 | $16 \%$ | 800 | $12 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,340 | $7 \%$ | 2,110 | $11 \%$ | 210 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,380 | $12 \%$ | 2,780 | $15 \%$ | 840 | $13 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,680 | $8 \%$ | 2,050 | $11 \%$ | 2,950 | $44 \%$ |
| Other | 160 | $1 \%$ | 130 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 19,970 | $100 \%$ | 19,030 | $100 \%$ | 6,700 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.24 | $9 \%$ | 1.23 | $9 \%$ | 1.33 | $3 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.26 | $17 \%$ | 1.17 | $8 \%$ | 1.33 | $1 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.23 | $7 \%$ | 1.35 | $11 \%$ | 1.42 | $3 \%$ |

## District 7 - Victoria South

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 45,710 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 44,440 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 25,990 |  |  |  |
| Households | 25,990 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 28,090 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 38,760 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 25,880 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 30,710 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 3,610 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 3,860 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 490 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 9.88 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 9,970 10,020 | 19,990 | 44\% |  |  |
| Employed part time $\quad 2,200$ 3,790 | 6,000 | 13\% |  |  |
| Student 3,860 4,430 | 8,280 | 18\% |  |  |
| Retiree $\quad 4,930$ 6,730 | 11,660 | 26\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 480 | 480 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 670 | 1,270 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 700 | 1,430 | 3\% |  |  |
| Total 21,030 24,680 | 45,710 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 1,230 | 3,960 | 5,190 | 20\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 940 | 1,050 | 1,990 | 8\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 3,820 | 14,980 | 18,800 | 72\% |
| Total | 6,000 | 19,990 | 25,990 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 42\% | 73\% | 67\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 8\% | 17\% | 16\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 15\% | 33\% | 29\% |  |



|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 16,660 | 18,980 | 35,640 |
| Licensed drivers | 2,530 | 2,360 | 4,890 |
| Car share members | 58,380 | 68,780 | 127,160 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 55,950 | 66,600 | 122,550 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.86 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.57 |
| Vehicles per Person | 1.76 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 4.71 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.00 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.32 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.00 |
| Workers per Household | 0.61 |
| Jobs per Person | 4,630 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 2,840 |




Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.3 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 3.2 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$,
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).




MALATEST


Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 11,890 | $17 \%$ | 13,010 | $19 \%$ | 4,040 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 2,230 | $3 \%$ | 100 | $0 \%$ | 80 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,710 | $2 \%$ | 2,220 | $3 \%$ | 1,840 | $4 \%$ |
| Personal business | 5,200 | $7 \%$ | 5,260 | $7 \%$ | 2,850 | $6 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 10,300 | $15 \%$ | 6,580 | $9 \%$ | 6,930 | $15 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 2,360 | $3 \%$ | 1,500 | $2 \%$ | 1,850 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 7,740 | $11 \%$ | 4,340 | $6 \%$ | 5,710 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 4,350 | $6 \%$ | 4,380 | $6 \%$ | 2,780 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 24,430 | $35 \%$ | 32,690 | $47 \%$ | 19,950 | $43 \%$ |
| Other | 40 | $0 \%$ | 150 | $0 \%$ | 220 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 70,240 | $100 \%$ | 70,240 | $100 \%$ | 46,240 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 7,760 | $53 \%$ | 8,760 | $57 \%$ | 2,260 | $28 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 910 | $6 \%$ | 100 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,630 | $11 \%$ | 2,140 | $14 \%$ | 1,800 | $22 \%$ |
| Personal business | 690 | $5 \%$ | 950 | $6 \%$ | 390 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,050 | $7 \%$ | 440 | $3 \%$ | 680 | $8 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 390 | $3 \%$ | 120 | $1 \%$ | 250 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 330 | $2 \%$ | 230 | $1 \%$ | 330 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 870 | $6 \%$ | 1,640 | $11 \%$ | 1,190 | $15 \%$ |
| Return Home | 950 | $7 \%$ | 1,020 | $7 \%$ | 1,030 | $13 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $0 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 14,580 | $100 \%$ | 15,500 | $100 \%$ | 8,030 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 700 | $3 \%$ | 680 | $3 \%$ | 240 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 70 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,110 | $5 \%$ | 890 | $4 \%$ | 600 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,940 | $14 \%$ | 2,150 | $10 \%$ | 1,990 | $15 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 660 | $3 \%$ | 340 | $2 \%$ | 470 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,420 | $12 \%$ | 1,430 | $7 \%$ | 1,810 | $13 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,610 | $8 \%$ | 1,230 | $6 \%$ | 710 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 10,900 | $53 \%$ | 14,860 | $69 \%$ | 7,640 | $57 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 20,420 | $100 \%$ | 21,600 | $100 \%$ | 13,460 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 186,700 |  | $100 \%$ |  | 24 Hours |  |
| AM Peak Period | 38,100 |  | $20 \%$ |  | $21 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 55,500 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $24 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 7 - Victoria South <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of Trips From District |  |  | Origins of <br> Trips To District |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 60 | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 60 | I | 0\% | 150 | I | 1\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 380 | - | 2\% | 290 | I | 1\% |
| Downtown | 3,920 | - | 17\% | 2,060 | E. | 9\% |
| Victoria North | 1,850 | - | 8\% | 2,440 | - | 10\% |
| Victoria South | 8,030 |  | 36\% | 8,030 |  | 34\% |
| Saanich North | 90 | - | 0\% | 490 | - | 2\% |
| Saanich East | 3,250 | $\square$ | 14\% | 2,960 | - | 13\% |
| Saanich West | 1,050 | [ | 5\% | 1,820 | E | 8\% |
| Oak Bay | 1,990 | - | 9\% | 1,600 | E | 7\% |
| Esquimalt | 740 | - | 3\% | 1,420 | E | 6\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 440 | - | 2\% | 540 | - | 2\% |
| Highlands | 30 | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 300 | I | 1\% | 960 | ■ | 4\% |
| Colwood | 270 | - | 1\% | 480 | - | 2\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 30 | I | 0\% | 170 | - | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 10 | I | 0\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 70 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 40 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 22,620 |  | 100\% | 23,540 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 33,060 | $47 \%$ | 33,460 | $48 \%$ | 12,620 | $27 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 8,530 | $12 \%$ | 8,550 | $12 \%$ | 3,660 | $8 \%$ |
| Transit | 6,750 | $10 \%$ | 5,930 | $8 \%$ | 1,890 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 10,230 | $15 \%$ | 10,140 | $14 \%$ | 5,080 | $11 \%$ |
| Walk | 10,490 | $15 \%$ | 11,040 | $16 \%$ | 22,460 | $49 \%$ |
| Other | 1,180 | $2 \%$ | 1,120 | $2 \%$ | 540 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 70,240 | $100 \%$ | 70,240 | $100 \%$ | 46,240 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 5,520 | $38 \%$ | 7,390 | $48 \%$ | 1,770 | $22 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 900 | $6 \%$ | 1,740 | $11 \%$ | 550 | $7 \%$ |
| Transit | 2,370 | $16 \%$ | 1,200 | $8 \%$ | 580 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,700 | $18 \%$ | 2,980 | $19 \%$ | 1,270 | $16 \%$ |
| Walk | 2,890 | $20 \%$ | 1,800 | $12 \%$ | 3,770 | $47 \%$ |
| Other | 210 | $1 \%$ | 400 | $3 \%$ | 100 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 14,580 | $100 \%$ | 15,500 | $100 \%$ | 8,030 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 10,070 | $49 \%$ | 8,320 | $39 \%$ | 3,130 | $23 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,790 | $14 \%$ | 2,240 | $10 \%$ | 1,110 | $8 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,560 | $8 \%$ | 2,820 | $13 \%$ | 620 | $5 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,710 | $13 \%$ | 3,580 | $17 \%$ | 1,730 | $13 \%$ |
| Walk | 2,930 | $14 \%$ | 4,250 | $20 \%$ | 6,740 | $50 \%$ |
| Other | 360 | $2 \%$ | 400 | $2 \%$ | 120 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 20,420 | $100 \%$ | 21,600 | $100 \%$ | 13,460 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.26 | $10 \%$ | 1.26 | $8 \%$ | 1.29 | $4 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.16 | $16 \%$ | 1.24 | $8 \%$ | 1.31 | $7 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.28 | $8 \%$ | 1.27 | $13 \%$ | 1.36 | $5 \%$ |

## District of Saanich - Districts 8-10

## Demographic Characteristics



## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.1 \%$ of households in this area, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 2.7 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  |  |  |  |  | Within District |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 24,830 | $20 \%$ | 18,300 | $15 \%$ | 14,780 | $9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-secondary school | 50 | $0 \%$ | 8,350 | $7 \%$ | 7,340 | $4 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 school | 2,020 | $2 \%$ | 3,480 | $3 \%$ | 11,230 | $7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal business | 8,170 | $7 \%$ | 7,080 | $6 \%$ | 8,220 | $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recreation / social | 14,150 | $12 \%$ | 11,780 | $10 \%$ | 15,620 | $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dining / restaurant | 3,580 | $3 \%$ | 1,860 | $2 \%$ | 3,920 | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shopping | 12,620 | $10 \%$ | 11,440 | $9 \%$ | 18,160 | $11 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 8,870 | $7 \%$ | 8,230 | $7 \%$ | 14,250 | $9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return Home | 47,880 | $39 \%$ | 52,280 | $43 \%$ | 69,550 | $43 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 440 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 190 | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 122,600 | $100 \%$ | 122,790 | $100 \%$ | 163,260 | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From | District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 1,500 | $4 \%$ | 940 | $3 \%$ | 1,400 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | 130 | $0 \%$ | 220 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 10 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 60 | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,730 | $5 \%$ | 1,330 | $4 \%$ | 1,720 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 3,580 | $10 \%$ | 3,960 | $11 \%$ | 4,540 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 860 | $2 \%$ | 510 | $1 \%$ | 1,260 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 3,850 | $11 \%$ | 3,920 | $11 \%$ | 5,340 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 2,880 | $8 \%$ | 2,670 | $8 \%$ | 3,480 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 21,800 | $60 \%$ | 21,390 | $61 \%$ | 31,710 | $64 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 36,310 | $100 \%$ | 34,890 | $100 \%$ | 49,740 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 408,700 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $40 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 84,200 |  | $21 \%$ |  | $40 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 120,900 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $41 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District of Saanich - Districts 8-10 | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 720 | I | 1\% | 610 | - | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 970 | - | 2\% | 1,140 | - | 2\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 1,520 | - | 3\% | 1,790 | I | 3\% |
| Downtown | 4,210 | E | 7\% | 1,360 | - | 2\% |
| Victoria North | 3,810 | E | 7\% | 3,440 | - | 6\% |
| Victoria South | 5,270 | E | 9\% | 4,390 | - | 7\% |
| District of Saanich | 34,010 |  | 58\% | 34,010 |  | 57\% |
| Oak Bay | 1,810 | - | 3\% | 2,430 | ■ | 4\% |
| Esquimalt | 1,870 | - | 3\% | 1,280 | - | 2\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 1,430 | - | 2\% | 1,910 | - | 3\% |
| Highlands | 60 | I | 0\% | 130 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 1,510 | - | 3\% | 4,420 | - | 7\% |
| Colwood | 790 | I | 1\% | 1,510 | - | 3\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 200 | I | 0\% | 230 | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 130 | - | 0\% | 660 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 180 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 120 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 170 | I | 0\% | 90 | I | 0\% |
| Total | 58,570 |  | 100\% | 59,630 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 76,140 | $62 \%$ | 76,180 | $62 \%$ | 85,920 | $53 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 19,340 | $16 \%$ | 19,470 | $16 \%$ | 22,860 | $14 \%$ |
| Transit | 12,360 | $10 \%$ | 12,220 | $10 \%$ | 10,470 | $6 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 9,960 | $8 \%$ | 10,010 | $8 \%$ | 12,120 | $7 \%$ |
| Walk | 2,780 | $2 \%$ | 2,650 | $2 \%$ | 29,790 | $18 \%$ |
| Other | 2,020 | $2 \%$ | 2,260 | $2 \%$ | 2,100 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 122,600 | $100 \%$ | 122,790 | $100 \%$ | 163,260 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,580 | $63 \%$ | 14,610 | $57 \%$ | 15,060 | $44 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,950 | $12 \%$ | 3,160 | $12 \%$ | 5,540 | $16 \%$ |
| Transit | 2,070 | $8 \%$ | 4,420 | $17 \%$ | 2,870 | $8 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,940 | $12 \%$ | 2,050 | $8 \%$ | 3,220 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | 390 | $2 \%$ | 590 | $2 \%$ | 6,660 | $20 \%$ |
| Other | 630 | $3 \%$ | 770 | $3 \%$ | 660 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 24,560 | $100 \%$ | 25,620 | $100 \%$ | 34,010 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 20,820 | $57 \%$ | 21,840 | $63 \%$ | 24,900 | $50 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 5,900 | $16 \%$ | 5,420 | $16 \%$ | 7,520 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 4,990 | $14 \%$ | 2,270 | $6 \%$ | 3,530 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,980 | $8 \%$ | 3,860 | $11 \%$ | 4,170 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,010 | $3 \%$ | 890 | $3 \%$ | 8,840 | $18 \%$ |
| Other | 600 | $2 \%$ | 620 | $2 \%$ | 790 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 36,310 | $100 \%$ | 34,890 | $100 \%$ | 49,740 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.25 | $10 \%$ | 1.26 | $10 \%$ | 1.27 | $6 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.19 | $8 \%$ | 1.22 | $17 \%$ | 1.37 | $8 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.28 | $14 \%$ | 1.25 | $6 \%$ | 1.30 | $7 \%$ |

## District 8 - Saanich North

## Demographic Characteristics




| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 7,390 | 8,210 | 15,600 |
| Car share members | 50 | 20 | 70 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 21,640 | 25,690 | 47,340 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 20,290 | 24,190 | 44,470 |


| Selected Indicators |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 2.61 |
| Vehicles per Person | 0.84 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 2.40 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 5.73 |
| Vehicles per Household | 2.01 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 2.02 |
| Workers per Household | 1.11 |
| Jobs per Person | 0.38 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 420 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) | 160 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.1 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 7.2 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| From District | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 2,520 | $44 \%$ | 1,620 | $45 \%$ | 280 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 610 | $11 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 560 | $10 \%$ | 380 | $11 \%$ | 1,420 | $47 \%$ |
| Personal business | 370 | $6 \%$ | 220 | $6 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 370 | $6 \%$ | 560 | $16 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 180 | $3 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 180 | $3 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ | 120 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 640 | $11 \%$ | 360 | $10 \%$ | 550 | $18 \%$ |
| Return Home | 330 | $6 \%$ | 270 | $8 \%$ | 420 | $14 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,770 | $100 \%$ | 3,580 | $100 \%$ | 3,000 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 300 | $4 \%$ | 320 | $3 \%$ | 300 | $7 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 20 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 460 | $6 \%$ | 200 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,170 | $15 \%$ | 880 | $9 \%$ | 550 | $13 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 220 | $3 \%$ | 300 | $3 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 660 | $8 \%$ | 630 | $7 \%$ | 440 | $10 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 850 | $11 \%$ | 380 | $4 \%$ | 360 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 4,240 | $53 \%$ | 6,780 | $71 \%$ | 2,580 | $60 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 7,920 | $100 \%$ | 9,520 | $100 \%$ | 4,330 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 70,100 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $19 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 12,300 |  | $18 \%$ |  | $24 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 21,800 |  | $31 \%$ |  | $20 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 8 - Saanich North | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 280 | - | 3\% | 200 | - | 3\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 370 | - | 4\% | 110 | - | 2\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout fN | 570 | - | 7\% | 410 | ■ | 6\% |
| Downtown | 590 | E | 7\% | 240 | - | 4\% |
| Victoria North | 190 | - | 2\% | 200 | ■ | 3\% |
| Victoria South | 490 | E | 6\% | 90 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich North | 3,000 | - | 34\% | 3,000 |  | 46\% |
| Saanich East | 2,180 |  | 25\% | 1,000 | E | 15\% |
| Saanich West | 600 | E | 7\% | 540 | E | 8\% |
| Oak Bay | 110 | - | 1\% | 120 | - | 2\% |
| Esquimalt | 10 | I | 0\% | 90 | I | 1\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 50 | I | 1\% | 140 | - | 2\% |
| Highlands | 60 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 200 | - | 2\% | 250 | - | 4\% |
| Colwood | - | I | 0\% | 80 | - | 1\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 40 | I | 0\% | 50 | I | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 20 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 10 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 8,770 |  | 100\% | 6,580 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 20,880 | $74 \%$ | 21,040 | $74 \%$ | 8,100 | $60 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 4,440 | $16 \%$ | 4,300 | $15 \%$ | 2,070 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 930 | $3 \%$ | 970 | $3 \%$ | 230 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 970 | $3 \%$ | 1,000 | $4 \%$ | 160 | $1 \%$ |
| Walk | 250 | $1 \%$ | 270 | $1 \%$ | 2,120 | $16 \%$ |
| Other | 800 | $3 \%$ | 760 | $3 \%$ | 850 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 28,270 | $100 \%$ | 28,340 | $100 \%$ | 13,530 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,080 | $71 \%$ | 2,650 | $74 \%$ | 1,460 | $49 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 810 | $14 \%$ | 240 | $7 \%$ | 580 | $19 \%$ |
| Transit | 500 | $9 \%$ | 260 | $7 \%$ | 60 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 210 | $4 \%$ | 170 | $5 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 390 | $13 \%$ |
| Other | 160 | $3 \%$ | 260 | $7 \%$ | 500 | $17 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,770 | $100 \%$ | 3,580 | $100 \%$ | 3,000 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 5,440 | $69 \%$ | 6,670 | $70 \%$ | 2,360 | $54 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,530 | $19 \%$ | 1,700 | $18 \%$ | 760 | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | 220 | $3 \%$ | 530 | $6 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 310 | $4 \%$ | 230 | $2 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | 80 | $1 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ | 650 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 330 | $4 \%$ | 190 | $2 \%$ | 310 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 7,920 | $100 \%$ | 9,520 | $100 \%$ | 4,330 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.21 | $3 \%$ | 1.20 | $3 \%$ | 1.26 | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.20 | $9 \%$ | 1.09 | $7 \%$ | 1.40 | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.28 | $3 \%$ | 1.26 | $6 \%$ | 1.32 | $4 \%$ |

District 9 - Saanich East

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 67,180 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 64,900 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 34,930 |  |  |  |
| Households | 28,270 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 30,660 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 55,450 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 45,230 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 40,580 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 5,460 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 8,700 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 850 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 35.11 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 14,070 11,510 | 25,590 | 38\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 3,820 5,530 | 9,340 | 14\% |  |  |
| Student 8,170 8,960 | 17,140 | 26\% |  |  |
| Retiree $\quad 6,560$ 8,580 | 15,140 | 23\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 170 | 1,140 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 1,150 1,140 | 2,290 | 3\% |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Other status } & 1,070 & 1,060\end{array}$ | 2,130 | 3\% |  |  |
| Total 32,660 34,520 | 67,180 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 1,470 | 3,720 | 5,190 | 15\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 1,100 | 1,820 | 2,920 | 8\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 6,780 | 20,040 | 26,820 | 77\% |
| Total | 9,340 | 25,590 | 34,930 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 47\% | 72\% | 66\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 9\% | 21\% | 18\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 17\% | 39\% | 33\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 25,390 | 25,320 | 50,710 |
| Car share members | 1,190 | 970 | 2,160 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 81,020 | 87,440 | 168,460 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 76,600 | 83,030 | 159,620 |


| Selected Indicators |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 2.60 |
| Vehicles per Person | 0.67 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 2.38 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 5.65 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.60 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.63 |
| Workers per Household | 1.24 |
| Jobs per Person | 0.46 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 1,910 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) | 870 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.1 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 3.3 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 16,470 | $18 \%$ | 12,770 | $14 \%$ | 6,730 | $8 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 400 | $0 \%$ | 8,250 | $9 \%$ | 4,680 | $6 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,620 | $2 \%$ | 2,730 | $3 \%$ | 6,280 | $8 \%$ |
| Personal business | 5,880 | $6 \%$ | 6,090 | $7 \%$ | 4,200 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 10,350 | $11 \%$ | 8,260 | 99 | 7,170 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 2,230 | $2 \%$ | 1,730 | $2 \%$ | 2,030 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 8,730 | $10 \%$ | 10,100 | $11 \%$ | 9,050 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 6,170 | $7 \%$ | 6,030 | $7 \%$ | 6,970 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 38,990 | $43 \%$ | 34,940 | $38 \%$ | 35,540 | $43 \%$ |
| Other | 390 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 91,230 | $100 \%$ | 90,930 | $100 \%$ | 82,690 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 9,820 | $59 \%$ | 8,490 | $42 \%$ | 3,530 | $21 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 270 | $2 \%$ | 4,000 | $20 \%$ | 1,790 | $10 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,490 | $9 \%$ | 2,580 | $13 \%$ | 5,850 | $34 \%$ |
| Personal business | 830 | $5 \%$ | 1,130 | $6 \%$ | 390 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,160 | $7 \%$ | 730 | $4 \%$ | 580 | $3 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 120 | $1 \%$ | 660 | $3 \%$ | 200 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 320 | $2 \%$ | 360 | $2 \%$ | 400 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,480 | $9 \%$ | 1,900 | $9 \%$ | 3,090 | $18 \%$ |
| Return Home | 1,070 | $6 \%$ | 500 | $2 \%$ | 1,300 | $8 \%$ |
| Other | 30 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 16,590 | $100 \%$ | 20,350 | $100 \%$ | 17,130 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 930 | $3 \%$ | 330 | $1 \%$ | 610 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | 150 | $1 \%$ | 170 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 30 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,210 | $4 \%$ | 1,110 | $4 \%$ | 1,010 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 2,560 | $9 \%$ | 3,020 | $12 \%$ | 1,720 | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 590 | $2 \%$ | 410 | $2 \%$ | 590 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,560 | $9 \%$ | 3,380 | $14 \%$ | 2,810 | $11 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,990 | $7 \%$ | 2,020 | $8 \%$ | 1,500 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 18,580 | $65 \%$ | 14,430 | $58 \%$ | 15,990 | $66 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 28,550 | $100 \%$ | 24,880 | $100 \%$ | 24,410 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | $\%$ | $\%$ | 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |
| 24 Hours | 264,800 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $31 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 54,100 | $20 \%$ |  | $32 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 77,800 |  | $29 \%$ |  | $31 \%$ |  |



Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 54,880 | $60 \%$ | 54,400 | $60 \%$ | 37,030 | $45 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 13,890 | $15 \%$ | 14,270 | $16 \%$ | 10,240 | $12 \%$ |
| Transit | 11,270 | $12 \%$ | 11,090 | $12 \%$ | 6,150 | $7 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 7,650 | $8 \%$ | 7,580 | $8 \%$ | 8,750 | $11 \%$ |
| Walk | 2,560 | $3 \%$ | 2,580 | $3 \%$ | 20,020 | $24 \%$ |
| Other | 970 | $1 \%$ | 1,000 | $1 \%$ | 510 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 91,230 | $100 \%$ | 90,930 | $100 \%$ | 82,690 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 10,180 | $61 \%$ | 11,010 | $54 \%$ | 6,290 | $37 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,860 | $11 \%$ | 2,760 | $14 \%$ | 2,460 | $14 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,660 | $10 \%$ | 4,020 | $20 \%$ | 1,500 | $9 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,270 | $14 \%$ | 1,700 | $8 \%$ | 2,410 | $14 \%$ |
| Walk | 300 | $2 \%$ | 630 | $3 \%$ | 4,400 | $26 \%$ |
| Other | 330 | $2 \%$ | 220 | $1 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 16,590 | $100 \%$ | 20,350 | $100 \%$ | 17,130 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,760 | $55 \%$ | 15,320 | $62 \%$ | 10,180 | $42 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 4,660 | $16 \%$ | 4,000 | $16 \%$ | 2,870 | $12 \%$ |
| Transit | 4,370 | $15 \%$ | 1,750 | $7 \%$ | 2,260 | $9 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 2,560 | $9 \%$ | 2,700 | $11 \%$ | 3,010 | $12 \%$ |
| Walk | 960 | $3 \%$ | 750 | $3 \%$ | 5,930 | $24 \%$ |
| Other | 240 | $1 \%$ | 360 | $1 \%$ | 160 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 28,550 | $100 \%$ | 24,880 | $100 \%$ | 24,410 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.25 | $12 \%$ | 1.26 | $12 \%$ | 1.28 | $7 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.18 | $10 \%$ | 1.25 | $20 \%$ | 1.39 | $9 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.30 | $15 \%$ | 1.26 | $7 \%$ | 1.28 | $9 \%$ |

## MALATEST

## District 10 - Saanich West

Demographic Characteristics



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 11,540 | 11,550 | 23,080 |
| Car share members | 570 | 470 | 1,040 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 40,180 | 37,600 | 77,790 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 37,720 | 35,440 | 73,160 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.70 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.73 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.45 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.94 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.79 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.61 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.38 |
| Workers per Household | 0.44 |
| Jobs per Person | 1,230 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 540 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |




Explanatory Notes
 these results are based on a survey sample of $4.2 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 5.4 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women + .
occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 9,700 | $19 \%$ | 7,800 | $15 \%$ | 1,240 | $6 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 940 | $2 \%$ | 2,270 | $4 \%$ | 450 | $2 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,190 | $2 \%$ | 1,760 | $3 \%$ | 2,050 | $10 \%$ |
| Personal business | 3,180 | $6 \%$ | 2,210 | $4 \%$ | 560 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 5,010 | $10 \%$ | 4,570 | $9 \%$ | 2,200 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 1,930 | $4 \%$ | 560 | $1 \%$ | 430 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 5,820 | $12 \%$ | 3,410 | $7 \%$ | 2,040 | $10 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 3,970 | $8 \%$ | 4,530 | $9 \%$ | 2,420 | $12 \%$ |
| Return Home | 18,450 | $37 \%$ | 23,510 | $46 \%$ | 8,420 | $42 \%$ |
| Other | 60 | $0 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ | 90 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 50,250 | $100 \%$ | 50,680 | $100 \%$ | 19,890 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 5,960 | $54 \%$ | 4,560 | $43 \%$ | 750 | $15 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 510 | $5 \%$ | 1,430 | $14 \%$ | 170 | $3 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,180 | $11 \%$ | 1,670 | $16 \%$ | 2,020 | $40 \%$ |
| Personal business | 270 | $2 \%$ | 440 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 420 | $4 \%$ | 410 | $4 \%$ | 290 | $6 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 260 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 320 | $3 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,390 | $13 \%$ | 1,290 | $12 \%$ | 1,100 | $22 \%$ |
| Return Home | 760 | $7 \%$ | 700 | $7 \%$ | 470 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,060 | $100 \%$ | 10,550 | $100 \%$ | 5,020 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 620 | $4 \%$ | 650 | $4 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 30 | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| Personal business | 670 | $4 \%$ | 620 | $4 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,490 | $10 \%$ | 1,710 | $11 \%$ | 620 | $11 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 610 | $4 \%$ | 370 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,070 | $14 \%$ | 1,350 | $9 \%$ | 660 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,350 | $9 \%$ | 1,580 | $10 \%$ | 320 | $6 \%$ |
| Return Home | 8,410 | $55 \%$ | 9,600 | $60 \%$ | 3,720 | $66 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 15,250 | $100 \%$ | 15,900 | $100 \%$ | 5,600 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 120,800 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $16 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 26,600 | $22 \%$ |  | $19 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 36,700 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 10 - Saanich West | Destinations of |  |  | Ori |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 130 | I | 1\% | 130 | I | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 140 | - | 1\% | 320 | - | 2\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 350 | - | 2\% | 510 | - | 3\% |
| Downtown | 1,220 | E | 8\% | 190 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 1,280 | ■ | 8\% | 800 | E | 5\% |
| Victoria South | 1,820 | ■ | 11\% | 1,050 | E | 7\% |
| Saanich North | 540 | ■ | 3\% | 600 | ■ | 4\% |
| Saanich East | 2,640 |  | 16\% | 1,900 | 巨 | 12\% |
| Saanich West | 5,020 |  | 31\% | 5,020 |  | 32\% |
| Oak Bay | 110 | - | 1\% | 530 | ■ | 3\% |
| Esquimalt | 970 | E | 6\% | 610 | - | 4\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 580 | - | 4\% | 1,080 | E | 7\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | 50 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 580 | - | 4\% | 2,090 | - | 13\% |
| Colwood | 470 | ■ | 3\% | 350 | - | 2\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 70 | I | 0\% | 50 | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 110 | I | 1\% | 170 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | 50 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | 50 | I | 0\% |
| Total | 16,080 |  | 100\% | 15,570 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 33,100 | $66 \%$ | 33,470 | $66 \%$ | 8,060 | $41 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 8,470 | $17 \%$ | 8,360 | $17 \%$ | 3,090 | $16 \%$ |
| Transit | 3,440 | $7 \%$ | 3,430 | $7 \%$ | 820 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 3,300 | $7 \%$ | 3,400 | $7 \%$ | 1,250 | $6 \%$ |
| Walk | 990 | $2 \%$ | 820 | $2 \%$ | 6,640 | $33 \%$ |
| Other | 940 | $2 \%$ | 1,190 | $2 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 50,250 | $100 \%$ | 50,680 | $100 \%$ | 19,890 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 7,000 | $63 \%$ | 6,620 | $63 \%$ | 1,630 | $33 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,620 | $15 \%$ | 1,490 | $14 \%$ | 1,160 | $23 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,080 | $10 \%$ | 1,300 | $12 \%$ | 150 | $3 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 900 | $8 \%$ | 630 | $6 \%$ | 360 | $7 \%$ |
| Walk | 230 | $2 \%$ | 110 | $1 \%$ | 1,710 | $34 \%$ |
| Other | 230 | $2 \%$ | 390 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 11,060 | $100 \%$ | 10,550 | $100 \%$ | 5,020 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 9,830 | $64 \%$ | 10,050 | $63 \%$ | 2,150 | $38 \%$ |  |
| Auto Passenger | 2,810 | $18 \%$ | 2,810 | $18 \%$ | 790 | $14 \%$ |  |
| Transit | 1,190 | $8 \%$ | 780 | $5 \%$ | 310 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 830 | $5 \%$ | 1,660 | $10 \%$ | 360 | $6 \%$ |  |
| Walk | 280 | $2 \%$ | 260 | $2 \%$ | 1,960 | $35 \%$ |  |
| Other | 310 | $2 \%$ | 350 | $2 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ |  |
| Total: | 15,250 | $100 \%$ | 15,900 | $100 \%$ | 5,600 | $100 \%$ |  |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.26 | $7 \%$ | 1.25 | $7 \%$ | 1.38 | $4 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.23 | $10 \%$ | 1.23 | $12 \%$ | 1.71 | $3 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.29 | $8 \%$ | 1.28 | $5 \%$ | 1.37 | $6 \%$ |

## District 11 - District of Oak Bay

## Demographic Characteristics




| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 6,500 | 7,520 | 14,010 |
| Car share members | 200 | 200 | 400 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 21,650 | 26,330 | 47,990 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 20,160 | 24,940 | 45,110 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.79 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.67 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.26 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.78 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.51 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.63 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 0.93 |
| Workers per Household | 0.35 |
| Jobs per Person | 1,680 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 580 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.0 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.6 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.


MALATEST


Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wh Hours | 3,010 | $10 \%$ | 3,490 | $12 \%$ |  | $2 \%$ |
| Work | 1,170 | $4 \%$ | 50 | $0 \%$ | 180 | $1 \%$ |
| K-st-secondary school | 1,030 | $4 \%$ | 1,730 | $6 \%$ | 1,210 | $7 \%$ |
| Kersonaol business | 1,960 | $7 \%$ | 1,200 | $4 \%$ | 900 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 3,850 | $13 \%$ | 5,870 | $20 \%$ | 3,480 | $21 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 800 | $3 \%$ | 690 | $2 \%$ | 450 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 3,370 | $11 \%$ | 1,420 | $5 \%$ | 1,570 | $9 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 2,120 | $7 \%$ | 2,040 | $7 \%$ | 1,390 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 11,990 | $41 \%$ | 13,240 | $45 \%$ | 7,220 | $43 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 29,310 | $100 \%$ | 29,720 | $100 \%$ | 16,940 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 2,070 | $37 \%$ | 2,200 | $40 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 390 | $7 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 180 | $6 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,020 | $18 \%$ | 1,650 | $30 \%$ | 1,170 | $37 \%$ |
| Personal business | 370 | $7 \%$ | 110 | $2 \%$ | 100 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 330 | $6 \%$ | 540 | $10 \%$ | 430 | $14 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 40 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 220 | $4 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 240 | $7 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 710 | $13 \%$ | 520 | $9 \%$ | 520 | $16 \%$ |
| Return Home | 440 | $8 \%$ | 370 | $7 \%$ | 390 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,590 | $100 \%$ | 5,530 | $100 \%$ | 3,170 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 170 | $2 \%$ | 120 | $1 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 210 | $2 \%$ | 320 | $4 \%$ | 130 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,250 | $14 \%$ | 1,790 | $20 \%$ | 1,020 | $23 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 100 | $1 \%$ | 210 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 1,100 | $13 \%$ | 460 | $5 \%$ | 270 | $6 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 520 | $6 \%$ | 480 | $5 \%$ | 360 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 5,360 | $62 \%$ | 5,470 | $62 \%$ | 2,530 | $57 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,700 | $100 \%$ | 8,860 | $100 \%$ | 4,450 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 76,000 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 14,300 | $19 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 22,000 |  | $29 \%$ |  | $20 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 11- District of Oak Bay Destinations of Origins of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 30 | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 90 | - | 1\% | 30 | - | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 30 | 1 | 0\% | 70 | I | 1\% |
| Downtown | 610 | E | 7\% | 270 | ■ | 3\% |
| Victoria North | 560 | 튼 | 6\% | 500 | E | 6\% |
| Victoria South | 1,600 | - | 18\% | 1,990 |  | 23\% |
| Saanich North | 120 | - | 1\% | 110 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich East | 1,780 | - | 20\% | 1,590 | - | 18\% |
| Saanich West | 530 | ■ | 6\% | 110 | - | 1\% |
| Oak Bay | 3,170 |  | 36\% | 3,170 |  | 36\% |
| Esquimalt | 30 | I | 0\% | 180 | - | 2\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 50 | - | 1\% | 70 | I | 1\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 50 | I | 1\% | 340 | - | 4\% |
| Colwood | 110 | - | 1\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | 40 | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| External South CVRD | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | 60 | I | 1\% |
| Total | 8,760 |  | 100\% | 8,700 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,310 | $52 \%$ | 15,590 | $52 \%$ | 6,000 | $35 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 5,490 | $19 \%$ | 5,660 | $19 \%$ | 1,700 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 2,370 | $8 \%$ | 2,530 | $9 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 3,230 | $11 \%$ | 3,160 | $11 \%$ | 2,040 | $12 \%$ |
| Walk | 2,500 | $9 \%$ | 2,380 | $8 \%$ | 6,920 | $41 \%$ |
| Other | 420 | $1 \%$ | 400 | $1 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 29,310 | $100 \%$ | 29,720 | $100 \%$ | 16,940 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 2,560 | $46 \%$ | 2,380 | $43 \%$ | 900 | $28 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 890 | $16 \%$ | 790 | $14 \%$ | 310 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 550 | $10 \%$ | 540 | $10 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 950 | $17 \%$ | 870 | $16 \%$ | 520 | $16 \%$ |
| Walk | 520 | $9 \%$ | 800 | $14 \%$ | 1,380 | $44 \%$ |
| Other | 110 | $2 \%$ | 140 | $3 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,590 | $100 \%$ | 5,530 | $100 \%$ | 3,170 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,170 | $48 \%$ | 4,690 | $53 \%$ | 1,430 | $32 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,580 | $18 \%$ | 1,710 | $19 \%$ | 570 | $13 \%$ |
| Transit | 610 | $7 \%$ | 910 | $10 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,140 | $13 \%$ | 810 | $9 \%$ | 660 | $15 \%$ |
| Walk | 980 | $11 \%$ | 620 | $7 \%$ | 1,740 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | 220 | $3 \%$ | 110 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 8,700 | $100 \%$ | 8,860 | $100 \%$ | 4,450 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.36 | $8 \%$ | 1.36 | $9 \%$ | 1.28 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.35 | $10 \%$ | 1.33 | $10 \%$ | 1.35 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.38 | $7 \%$ | 1.36 | $10 \%$ | 1.40 | $1 \%$ |

## District 12 - Township of Esquimalt

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 17,250 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 16,520 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 9,970 |  |  |  |
| Households | 8,550 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 11,970 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 14,050 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 10,970 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 10,690 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 1,640 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 1,400 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 290 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 7.08 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time $4,870 \quad 3,990$ | 8,860 | 51\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 470 | 1,110 | 6\% |  |  |
| Student 1,350 1,280 | 2,630 | 15\% |  |  |
| Retiree 1,500 1,930 | 3,430 | 20\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 220 | 220 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 380 | 730 | 4\% |  |  |
| Other status 430 490 | 920 | 5\% |  |  |
| Total 8,610 8,630 | 17,250 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 220 | 1,330 | 1,550 | 16\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 130 | 750 | 880 | 9\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 760 | 6,780 | 7,540 | 76\% |
| Total | 1,110 | 8,860 | 9,970 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 37\% | 72\% | 69\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 12\% | 19\% | 18\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 30\% | 37\% | 36\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 6,690 | 6,750 | 13,440 |
| Car share members | 510 | 290 | 800 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 21,940 | 21,990 | 43,920 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 20,880 | 20,190 | 41,070 |


| Selected Indicators |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 2.66 |
| Vehicles per Person | 0.64 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 2.02 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 4.80 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.28 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.44 |
| Workers per Household | 1.17 |
| Jobs per Person | 0.69 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 2,440 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) | 1,690 |



## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.0 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.6 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level (19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 3,610 | $56 \%$ | 5,150 | $63 \%$ | 1,320 | $37 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 100 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,040 | $16 \%$ | 1,400 | $17 \%$ | 680 | $19 \%$ |
| Personal business | 150 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $1 \%$ | 60 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 220 | $3 \%$ | 410 | $5 \%$ | 180 | $5 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 60 | $1 \%$ | 100 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 170 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 770 | $12 \%$ | 710 | $9 \%$ | 640 | $18 \%$ |
| Return Home | 320 | $5 \%$ | 320 | $4 \%$ | 490 | $14 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,440 | $100 \%$ | 8,230 | $100 \%$ | 3,540 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 260 | $3 \%$ | 430 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 530 | $5 \%$ | 200 | $2 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,160 | $12 \%$ | 1,170 | $13 \%$ | 430 | $13 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 330 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 100 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 800 | $8 \%$ | 440 | $5 \%$ | 250 | $8 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,020 | $10 \%$ | 1,040 | $11 \%$ | 290 | $9 \%$ |
| Return Home | 5,740 | $58 \%$ | 5,840 | $64 \%$ | 2,160 | $65 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 9,850 | $100 \%$ | 9,110 | $100 \%$ | 3,310 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 73,000 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $19 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 18,200 | $25 \%$ |  | $19 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 22,300 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $15 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 12 - Township of Esquimalt <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of Trips From District |  |  | Origins of <br> Trips To <br> District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% |  | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 50 | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 1\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 30 | I | 0\% | 100 | - | 1\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 100 | I | 1\% | 130 | - | 1\% |
| Downtown | 1,170 | - | 12\% | 470 | ■ | 4\% |
| Victoria North | 1,160 | $\square$ | 12\% | 1,340 | - | 11\% |
| Victoria South | 1,420 | - | 14\% | 740 | E | 6\% |
| Saanich North | 90 | - | 1\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Saanich East | 580 | ■ | 6\% | 890 | - | 8\% |
| Saanich West | 610 | ■ | 6\% | 970 | E | 8\% |
| Oak Bay | 180 | - | 2\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 3,540 | - | 35\% | 3,540 |  | 30\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 370 | - | 4\% | 620 | E | 5\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 450 | - | 4\% | 1,690 | $\square$ | 14\% |
| Colwood | 190 | - | 2\% | 650 | E | 6\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | 100 | - | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | I | 0\% | 350 | ■ | 3\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 30 | I | 0\% | 70 | I | 1\% |
| External South CVRD | 30 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 9,990 |  | 100\% | 11,770 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 17,690 | $60 \%$ | 17,810 | $60 \%$ | 3,890 | $29 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 3,900 | $13 \%$ | 3,970 | $13 \%$ | 1,320 | $10 \%$ |
| Transit | 2,560 | $9 \%$ | 2,730 | $9 \%$ | 340 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 3,630 | $12 \%$ | 3,630 | $12 \%$ | 1,790 | $13 \%$ |
| Walk | 920 | $3 \%$ | 900 | $3 \%$ | 6,090 | $45 \%$ |
| Other | 830 | $3 \%$ | 850 | $3 \%$ | 130 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 29,530 | $100 \%$ | 29,890 | $100 \%$ | 13,550 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,310 | $51 \%$ | 5,110 | $62 \%$ | 1,070 | $30 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 520 | $8 \%$ | 800 | $10 \%$ | 460 | $13 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,110 | $17 \%$ | 400 | $5 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,190 | $19 \%$ | 1,320 | $16 \%$ | 560 | $16 \%$ |
| Walk | 190 | $3 \%$ | 110 | $1 \%$ | 1,380 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | 130 | $2 \%$ | 480 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,440 | $100 \%$ | 8,230 | $100 \%$ | 3,540 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 6,000 | $61 \%$ | 4,940 | $54 \%$ | 540 | $16 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,230 | $12 \%$ | 1,540 | $17 \%$ | 120 | $4 \%$ |
| Transit | 340 | $3 \%$ | 1,140 | $13 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,530 | $16 \%$ | 880 | $10 \%$ | 530 | $16 \%$ |
| Walk | 390 | $4 \%$ | 380 | $4 \%$ | 1,950 | $59 \%$ |
| Other | 360 | $4 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 9,850 | $100 \%$ | 9,110 | $100 \%$ | 3,310 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.22 | $9 \%$ | 1.22 | $9 \%$ | 1.34 | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.16 | $17 \%$ | 1.16 | $5 \%$ | 1.43 | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.20 | $3 \%$ | 1.31 | $13 \%$ | 1.22 | $4 \%$ |

## District 13 - Town of View Royal with Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 13,560 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 12,980 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 7,520 |  |  |  |
| Households | 6,000 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 6,360 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 10,830 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 10,540 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 8,450 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 1,170 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 1,660 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 140 |  |  |  |
| Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 15.26 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women+ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 3,140 2,760 | 5,900 | 44\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 570 1,050 | 1,620 | 12\% |  |  |
| Student 1,370 1,450 | 2,820 | 21\% |  |  |
| Retiree 1,370 1,810 | 3,180 | 23\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 70 | 200 | 1\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 280 | 570 | 4\% |  |  |
| Other status 220 400 | 620 | 5\% |  |  |
| Total 6,360 7,190 | 13,560 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 330 | 890 | 1,220 | 16\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 220 | 220 | 440 | 6\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 1,070 | 4,790 | 5,860 | 78\% |
| Total | 1,620 | 5,900 | 7,520 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 39\% | 74\% | 68\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 3\% | 14\% | 12\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 11\% | 28\% | 25\% |  |



|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics + | Women + | Total |  |
| Licensed drivers | 5,020 | 5,610 | 10,630 |
| Car share members | 30 | 100 | 130 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 16,050 | 19,760 | 35,810 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 15,410 | 18,620 | 34,040 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.76 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.78 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.26 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.68 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.76 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.60 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.25 |
| Workers per Household | 0.47 |
| Jobs per Person | 890 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 420 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |





| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 2,230 | $37 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 2,590 | $43 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 930 | $15 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | 250 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,000 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household Size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 1,820 | $30 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 2,380 | $40 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 830 | $14 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 630 | $11 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | 340 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,000 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 330 | $5 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 2,290 | $38 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 2,370 | $40 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 1,010 | $17 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,000 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type | Total | $\%$ |
| Gas | 9,220 | $87 \%$ |
| Hybrid | 330 | $3 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 70 | $1 \%$ |
| Electric | 620 | $6 \%$ |
| Diesel | 300 | $3 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | - | $0 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 10,540 | $100 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging |  |  |
| Yes, in my building |  | $16 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby | $14 \%$ |  |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $61 \%$ |
| Don't know |  | $9 \%$ |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.9 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.7 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers),
 Gender balances for 11-17 and 18-24 age groups may due to unequal distributions by individual year within the 10-14, 15-19, and 20-24 age groups used for data weighting controls.



Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 4,720 | $19 \%$ | 3,830 | $16 \%$ | 350 | $5 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 240 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,120 | $5 \%$ | 220 | $1 \%$ | 470 | $7 \%$ |
| Personal business | 1,540 | $6 \%$ | 2,100 | $9 \%$ | 260 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 3,270 | $13 \%$ | 1,550 | $6 \%$ | 720 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 670 | $3 \%$ | 710 | $3 \%$ | 270 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,870 | $12 \%$ | 2,210 | $9 \%$ | 910 | $13 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,620 | $7 \%$ | 2,130 | $9 \%$ | 930 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | 8,220 | $34 \%$ | 11,210 | $47 \%$ | 3,110 | $44 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 24,280 | $100 \%$ | 24,040 | $100 \%$ | 7,020 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 3,050 | $52 \%$ | 2,550 | $60 \%$ | 220 | $14 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 70 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 1,110 | $19 \%$ | 220 | $5 \%$ | 470 | $30 \%$ |
| Personal business | 340 | $6 \%$ | 370 | $9 \%$ | 50 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 250 | $4 \%$ | 160 | $4 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 30 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 80 | $1 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 500 | $8 \%$ | 550 | $13 \%$ | 490 | $32 \%$ |
| Return Home | 440 | $7 \%$ | 310 | $7 \%$ | 260 | $17 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,880 | $100 \%$ | 4,270 | $100 \%$ | 1,540 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 380 | $5 \%$ | 100 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 360 | $5 \%$ | 600 | $7 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 920 | $13 \%$ | 570 | $7 \%$ | 330 | $17 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 250 | $4 \%$ | 350 | $4 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 850 | $12 \%$ | 570 | $7 \%$ | 220 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 540 | $8 \%$ | 840 | $11 \%$ | 160 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 3,630 | $52 \%$ | 4,960 | $62 \%$ | 1,200 | $62 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,930 | $100 \%$ | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 1,940 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 55,300 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $13 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 11,700 | $21 \%$ |  | $13 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 16,800 |  | $30 \%$ |  | $12 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 13 - Town of View Royal wi Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 30 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 40 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 90 | - | 1\% | 80 | - | 1\% |
| Downtown | 780 | - | 10\% | 40 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 600 | - | 8\% | 200 | E | 3\% |
| Victoria South | 540 | - | 7\% | 440 | ■ | 7\% |
| Saanich North | 140 | - | 2\% | 50 | - | 1\% |
| Saanich East | 690 | $\square$ | 9\% | 810 | - | 14\% |
| Saanich West | 1,080 | - | 15\% | 580 | - | 10\% |
| Oak Bay | 70 | - | 1\% | 50 | - | 1\% |
| Esquimalt | 620 | - | 8\% | 370 | - | 6\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 1,540 |  | 21\% | 1,540 | - | 27\% |
| Highlands | 80 | - | 1\% | 130 | [ | 2\% |
| Langford | 650 | $\square$ | 9\% | 640 | - | 11\% |
| Colwood | 280 | E | 4\% | 700 | - | 12\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 70 | - | 1\% | 30 | I | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 110 | - | 1\% | 80 | - | 1\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | - | I | 0\% | 80 | - | 1\% |
| External South CVRD | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 20 | - | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Total | 7,430 |  | 100\% | 5,810 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 15,580 | $64 \%$ | 15,850 | $66 \%$ | 3,550 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 4,570 | $19 \%$ | 4,430 | $18 \%$ | 1,340 | $19 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,510 | $6 \%$ | 1,360 | $6 \%$ | 160 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,770 | $7 \%$ | 1,720 | $7 \%$ | 300 | $4 \%$ |
| Walk | 320 | $1 \%$ | 290 | $1 \%$ | 1,640 | $23 \%$ |
| Other | 520 | $2 \%$ | 390 | $2 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 24,280 | $100 \%$ | 24,040 | $100 \%$ | 7,020 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,340 | $57 \%$ | 2,950 | $69 \%$ | 650 | $42 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 690 | $12 \%$ | 430 | $10 \%$ | 310 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | 780 | $13 \%$ | 270 | $6 \%$ | 70 | $5 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 660 | $11 \%$ | 580 | $14 \%$ | 60 | $4 \%$ |
| Walk | 70 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 430 | $28 \%$ |
| Other | 350 | $6 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,880 | $100 \%$ | 4,270 | $100 \%$ | 1,540 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,320 | $62 \%$ | 4,720 | $59 \%$ | 980 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,720 | $25 \%$ | 1,550 | $19 \%$ | 460 | $24 \%$ |
| Transit | 280 | $4 \%$ | 640 | $8 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 490 | $7 \%$ | 730 | $9 \%$ | 170 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | 70 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 290 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 50 | $1 \%$ | 250 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,930 | $100 \%$ | 7,970 | $100 \%$ | 1,940 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.29 | $6 \%$ | 1.28 | $6 \%$ | 1.38 | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.21 | $13 \%$ | 1.14 | $6 \%$ | 1.47 | $5 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.40 | $4 \%$ | 1.33 | $8 \%$ | 1.47 | $2 \%$ |

## District 14 - District of Highlands

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 2,550 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 2,440 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 1,570 |  |  |  |
| Households | 930 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 530 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 1,890 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 2,350 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 1,720 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 470 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 480 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 410 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 38.01 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 660 | 1,150 | 45\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 160260 | 420 | 16\% |  |  |
| Student 230300 | 520 | 20\% |  |  |
| Retiree 210220 | 430 | 17\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 40 | 40 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 50 | 110 | 4\% |  |  |
| Other status 30 | 50 | 2\% |  |  |
| Total 1,250 1,310 | 2,550 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 90 | 160 | 250 | 16\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 80 | 140 | 230 | 15\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 250 | 840 | 1,090 | 69\% |
| Total | 420 | 1,150 | 1,570 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 53\% | 70\% | 66\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 12\% | 24\% | 21\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 26\% | 34\% | 32\% |  |



| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 1,000 | 990 | 1,990 |
| Car share members | - | 10 | 10 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 3,510 | 2,740 | 6,260 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 3,400 | 2,470 | 5,870 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.56 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.92 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.76 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 6.35 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 2.55 |
| Vehicles per Household | 2.37 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.69 |
| Workers per Household | 0.21 |
| Jobs per Person | 70 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 10 |





| Mode Shares for Residents of Area | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Esimated Total Daily Trips | 6,050 | 6,260 |
| Auto Driver | $72 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Transit | $2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Walk | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Other (school bus, taxi, ferry, etc) | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |


| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 890 | $96 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 40 | $4 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | - | $0 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 930 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household Size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 150 | $16 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 380 | $41 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 140 | $15 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 160 | $17 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | 90 | $10 \%$ |
| Total: | 930 | $99 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 10 | $1 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 140 | $15 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 490 | $53 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 290 | $31 \%$ |
| Total: | 930 | $100 \%$ |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type |  |  |
| Gas | Total | $\%$ |
| Hybrid | 1,860 | $79 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 80 | $3 \%$ |
| Electric | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Diesel | 160 | $7 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | 240 | $10 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | - | $0 \%$ |
| Access to EV charging | 2,350 | $100 \%$ |
| Yes, in my building |  | $\%$ |
| Yes, nearby |  | $14 \%$ |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $71 \%$ |
| Don't know |  | $8 \%$ |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $11.6 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 13.8 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+.
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Wh Hours | 640 | $23 \%$ | 130 | $5 \%$ | 40 | $18 \%$ |
| Work | 60 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 290 | $10 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 110 | $4 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | 50 | $25 \%$ |
| Personal business | 290 | $10 \%$ | 440 | $16 \%$ | 10 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 160 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 300 | $11 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 500 | $18 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 460 | $16 \%$ | 2,150 | $78 \%$ | 110 | $52 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Other | 2,820 | $100 \%$ | 2,750 | $100 \%$ | 210 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 250 | $26 \%$ | 80 | $25 \%$ | 30 | $73 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 10 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 290 | $31 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 10 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 10 | $1 \%$ | 80 | $28 \%$ | - | $14 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 10 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 90 | $9 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 270 | $28 \%$ | 10 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Return Home | 10 | $2 \%$ | 120 | $38 \%$ | - | $14 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 950 | $100 \%$ | 300 | $100 \%$ | 30 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $11 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 160 | $26 \%$ | 50 | $5 \%$ | - | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | - | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 80 | $14 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 150 | $25 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Return Home | 210 | $35 \%$ | 900 | $95 \%$ | 60 | $83 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 620 | $100 \%$ | 950 | $100 \%$ | 70 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 5,800 | $100 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ |  |  |
| AM Peak Period | 1,300 |  | $22 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 1,600 |  | $28 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 14 - District of Highlands | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Downtown | 10 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria North | 30 | ■ | 3\% | 20 | - | 6\% |
| Victoria South | 40 | - | 4\% | 30 | $\square$ | 8\% |
| Saanich North | - | I | 0\% | 60 |  | 17\% |
| Saanich East | 90 | ■ | 9\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich West | 50 | - | 5\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Oak Bay | 10 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 20 | - | 2\% | - | I | 0\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 130 | - | 13\% | 80 |  | 24\% |
| Highlands | 30 | - | 3\% | 30 | - | 10\% |
| Langford | 450 |  | 46\% | 30 | E | 7\% |
| Colwood | 90 | E | 9\% | - | - | 0\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | - | I | 0\% | - | $\underline{1}$ | 1\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | - | 1 | 0\% | 50 |  | 15\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 20 | $\square$ | 2\% | 10 | E | 4\% |
| External South CVRD | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 20 | - | 2\% | 20 | $\square$ | 7\% |
| Total | 980 |  | 100\% | 340 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,980 | $70 \%$ | 1,850 | $67 \%$ | 160 | $78 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 570 | $20 \%$ | 560 | $21 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 80 | $3 \%$ | 140 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 50 | $22 \%$ |
| Other | 170 | $6 \%$ | 160 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 2,820 | $100 \%$ | 2,750 | $100 \%$ | 210 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 500 | $53 \%$ | 220 | $72 \%$ | 30 | $87 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 240 | $25 \%$ | 70 | $23 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 40 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $5 \%$ | - | $13 \%$ |
| Other | 150 | $16 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 950 | $100 \%$ | 300 | $100 \%$ | 30 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 360 | $58 \%$ | 640 | $67 \%$ | 30 | $44 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 240 | $39 \%$ | 140 | $14 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 40 | $56 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $3 \%$ | 140 | $15 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 620 | $100 \%$ | 950 | $100 \%$ | 70 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.29 | $0 \%$ | 1.31 | $0 \%$ | 1.00 | $0 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.48 | $0 \%$ | 1.32 | $0 \%$ | 1.00 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.67 | $0 \%$ | 1.21 | $0 \%$ | 1.00 | $0 \%$ |

## MALATEST

## District 15 - City of Langford

## Demographic Characteristics




| Traveller Characteristics | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Licensed drivers | 17,950 | 18,540 | 36,490 |
| Car share members | 220 | 230 | 450 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 58,630 | 59,090 | 117,720 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 53,820 | 54,420 | 108,240 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.58 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.73 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.42 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.42 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.78 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.32 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.43 |
| Workers per Household | 0.37 |
| Jobs per Person | 1,170 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 430 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |



| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 7,010 | $35 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 7,660 | $38 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 3,900 | $20 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | 1,390 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 19,970 | $100 \%$ |


| Household Size | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 person | 5,230 | $26 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 7,190 | $36 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 3,310 | $17 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 2,760 | $14 \%$ |
| $5+$ persons | 1,480 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 19,970 | $100 \%$ |



| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No vehicles | 540 | $3 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 8,820 | $44 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 6,910 | $35 \%$ |
| $3+$ vehicles | 3,700 | $19 \%$ |
| Total. | 19,970 | $100 \%$ |


| Mode Shares for Residents of Area | 2017 | 2022 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Esimated Total Daily Trips | 97,940 | 117,720 |
| Auto Driver | $64 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Other (school bus, taxi, ferry, etc) | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |


| Vehicles by Fuel Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Gas | 32,300 | $91 \%$ |
| Hybrid | 800 | $2 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 310 | $1 \%$ |
| Electric | 1,310 | $4 \%$ |
| Diesel | 800 | $2 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: |  | 0,540 |
|  |  | $100 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging |  | $\%$ |
| Yes, in my building |  | $13 \%$ |
| Yes, nearby | $9 \%$ |  |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby |  | $64 \%$ |
| Don't know |  | $14 \%$ |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |

Daily mode shares for residents of this geography


## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.0 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 4 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 13,550 | $25 \%$ | 6,900 | $13 \%$ |  | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 1,100 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 2,480 | $5 \%$ | 650 | $1 \%$ | 3,510 | $6 \%$ |
| Personal business | 3,370 | $6 \%$ | 3,350 | $6 \%$ | 2,450 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 6,010 | $11 \%$ | 3,550 | $6 \%$ | 4,180 | $8 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 1,100 | $2 \%$ | 1,750 | $3 \%$ | 2,410 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 2,690 | $5 \%$ | 10,370 | $19 \%$ | 11,420 | $21 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 4,800 | $9 \%$ | 2,780 | $5 \%$ | 4,760 | $9 \%$ |
| Return Home | 19,740 | $36 \%$ | 25,640 | $47 \%$ | 21,260 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | 130 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ | 170 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 54,970 | $100 \%$ | 55,060 | $100 \%$ | 54,840 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 9,560 | $59 \%$ | 3,740 | $50 \%$ | 2,190 | $21 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 830 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 2,340 | $14 \%$ | 580 | $8 \%$ | 3,420 | $33 \%$ |
| Personal business | 760 | $5 \%$ | 210 | $3 \%$ | 220 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 580 | $4 \%$ | 280 | $4 \%$ | 330 | $3 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 210 | $1 \%$ | 380 | $5 \%$ | 290 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 80 | $0 \%$ | 910 | $12 \%$ | 380 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,670 | $10 \%$ | 580 | $8 \%$ | 2,230 | $21 \%$ |
| Return Home | 160 | $1 \%$ | 820 | $11 \%$ | 1,280 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 16,180 | $100 \%$ | 7,510 | $100 \%$ | 10,410 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 520 | $4 \%$ | 630 | $3 \%$ | 500 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 750 | $6 \%$ | 620 | $3 \%$ | 660 | $4 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,850 | $14 \%$ | 1,180 | $6 \%$ | 1,470 | $10 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 280 | $2 \%$ | 460 | $2 \%$ | 600 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 730 | $6 \%$ | 2,850 | $14 \%$ | 2,670 | $18 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,100 | $8 \%$ | 1,170 | $6 \%$ | 1,070 | $7 \%$ |
| Return Home | 7,970 | $60 \%$ | 13,180 | $66 \%$ | 7,980 | $53 \%$ |
| Other | 50 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 13,260 | $100 \%$ | 20,100 | $100 \%$ | 14,950 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 164,900 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $33 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 34,100 |  | $21 \%$ |  | $31 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period | 48,300 |  | $29 \%$ |  | $31 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 15 - City of Langford <br> AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
|  | Trips From |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 160 | I | 1\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 300 | - | 1\% | 80 | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 510 | - | 2\% | 400 | - | 2\% |
| Downtown | 1,600 | ■ | 6\% | 180 | I | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 980 | - | 4\% | 200 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria South | 960 | - | 4\% | 300 | - | 2\% |
| Saanich North | 250 | - | 1\% | 200 | I | 1\% |
| Saanich East | 2,080 | - | 8\% | 730 | - | 4\% |
| Saanich West | 2,090 | E | 8\% | 580 | - | 3\% |
| Oak Bay | 340 | - | 1\% | 50 | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 1,690 | ■ | 6\% | 450 | I | 3\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 640 | - | 2\% | 650 | - | 4\% |
| Highlands | 30 | I | 0\% | 450 | - | 3\% |
| Langford | 10,410 | - | 39\% | 10,410 |  | 58\% |
| Colwood | 3,830 | ■ | 14\% | 1,720 | - | 10\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 190 | - | 1\% | 370 | I | 2\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 160 | I | 1\% | 910 | - | 5\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 30 | I | 0\% | 200 | I | 1\% |
| External South CVRD | 350 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 20 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 26,590 |  | 100\% | 17,920 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 38,100 | $69 \%$ | 38,180 | $69 \%$ | 31,950 | $58 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 10,540 | $19 \%$ | 10,780 | $20 \%$ | 9,410 | $17 \%$ |
| Transit | 2,600 | $5 \%$ | 2,550 | $5 \%$ | 800 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,570 | $3 \%$ | 1,460 | $3 \%$ | 1,630 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | 580 | $1 \%$ | 600 | $1 \%$ | 9,220 | $17 \%$ |
| Other | 1,580 | $3 \%$ | 1,470 | $3 \%$ | 1,830 | $3 \%$ |
| Total: | 54,970 | $100 \%$ | 55,060 | $100 \%$ | 54,840 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 10,690 | $66 \%$ | 5,730 | $76 \%$ | 5,040 | $48 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 2,420 | $15 \%$ | 720 | $10 \%$ | 1,860 | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,160 | $7 \%$ | 560 | $7 \%$ | 230 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 680 | $4 \%$ | 160 | $2 \%$ | 340 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | 160 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 2,080 | $20 \%$ |
| Other | 1,060 | $7 \%$ | 260 | $3 \%$ | 850 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 16,180 | $100 \%$ | 7,510 | $100 \%$ | 10,410 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Auto Driver | 9,170 | $69 \%$ | 13,650 | $68 \%$ | 9,280 | $62 \%$ |  |
| Auto Passenger | 2,740 | $21 \%$ | 3,730 | $19 \%$ | 2,740 | $18 \%$ |  |
| Transit | 620 | $5 \%$ | 1,020 | $5 \%$ | 430 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 300 | $2 \%$ | 810 | $4 \%$ | 520 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Walk | 100 | $1 \%$ | 120 | $1 \%$ | 1,440 | $10 \%$ |  |
| Other | 340 | $3 \%$ | 770 | $4 \%$ | 550 | $4 \%$ |  |
| Total: | 13,260 | $100 \%$ | 20,100 | $100 \%$ | 14,950 | $100 \%$ |  |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.28 | $5 \%$ | 1.28 | $5 \%$ | 1.29 | $1 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.23 | $7 \%$ | 1.13 | $7 \%$ | 1.37 | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.30 | $5 \%$ | 1.27 | $5 \%$ | 1.30 | $3 \%$ |

## MALATEST

## District 16 - City of Colwood

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 19,150 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 18,150 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 10,030 |  |  |  |
| Households | 7,590 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 6,710 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 15,040 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 14,360 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 9,420 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 1,880 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 3,160 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 350 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 17.66 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men+ Women ${ }^{+}$ | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 4,700 3,430 | 8,130 | 42\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 710 1,200 | 1,900 | 10\% |  |  |
| Student 1,850 1,940 | 3,790 | 20\% |  |  |
| Retiree 1,740 2,360 | 4,100 | 21\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 70 | 310 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 500 | 990 | 5\% |  |  |
| Other status 400660 | 1,070 | 6\% |  |  |
| Total 9,430 9,720 | 19,150 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 110 | 750 | 860 | 9\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 560 | 730 | 1,300 | 13\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 1,230 | 6,650 | 7,880 | 79\% |
| Total | 1,900 | 8,130 | 10,030 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 51\% | 76\% | 73\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 5\% | 15\% | 14\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 13\% | 27\% | 25\% |  |



|  |  |  | Men + |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | Women + | Total |  |
| Licensed drivers | 7,240 | 7,320 | 14,560 |
| Car share members | 20 | 50 | 70 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 23,390 | 23,950 | 47,340 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ | 21,480 | 22,300 | 43,780 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.61 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.75 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.52 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.77 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.89 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.49 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.32 |
| Workers per Household | 0.35 |
| Jobs per Person | 1,080 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 380 |



## Explanatory Notes

 These results are based on a survey sample of $3.9 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.9 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
the survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women + .
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers)





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 6,510 | $21 \%$ | 3,860 | $12 \%$ | 1,270 | $9 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 600 | $2 \%$ | 330 | $1 \%$ | 120 | $1 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 380 | $1 \%$ | 2,220 | $7 \%$ | 2,000 | $13 \%$ |
| Personal business | 2,470 | $8 \%$ | 1,170 | $4 \%$ | 520 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 3,040 | $10 \%$ | 4,310 | $14 \%$ | 1,060 | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 700 | $2 \%$ | 880 | $3 \%$ | 390 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 3,520 | $11 \%$ | 1,870 | $6 \%$ | 870 | $6 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 2,450 | $8 \%$ | 3,640 | $12 \%$ | 2,340 | $16 \%$ |
| Return Home | 11,990 | $38 \%$ | 13,030 | $42 \%$ | 5,930 | $40 \%$ |
| Other | 50 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ | 420 | $3 \%$ |
| Total: | 31,710 | $100 \%$ | 31,390 | $100 \%$ | 14,930 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 4,130 | $62 \%$ | 2,310 | $32 \%$ | 680 | $15 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 520 | $8 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 380 | $6 \%$ | 2,170 | $30 \%$ | 1,980 | $43 \%$ |
| Personal business | 60 | $1 \%$ | 150 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $2 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 90 | $1 \%$ | 380 | $5 \%$ | 170 | $4 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 160 | $2 \%$ | 140 | $2 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Shopping | 180 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 750 | $11 \%$ | 1,500 | $21 \%$ | 1,170 | $25 \%$ |
| Return Home | 390 | $6 \%$ | 310 | $4 \%$ | 170 | $4 \%$ |
| Other | 20 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,690 | $100 \%$ | 7,260 | $100 \%$ | 4,590 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 340 | $3 \%$ | 280 | $3 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 30 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 670 | $7 \%$ | 440 | $5 \%$ | 170 | $3 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,190 | $12 \%$ | 1,390 | $15 \%$ | 260 | $5 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 300 | $3 \%$ | 200 | $2 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 920 | $9 \%$ | 610 | $7 \%$ | 510 | $9 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,070 | $10 \%$ | 830 | $9 \%$ | 720 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | 5,700 | $56 \%$ | 5,280 | $58 \%$ | 3,390 | $62 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 80 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 10,220 | $100 \%$ | 9,040 | $100 \%$ | 5,500 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 78,000 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $19 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 18,500 | $24 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 24,800 |  | $32 \%$ |  | $22 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 16 - City of Colwood | Destinations of |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 20 | I | 0\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 210 | - | 2\% | 30 | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 50 | I | 0\% | 200 | I | 2\% |
| Downtown | 500 | ■ | 4\% | 90 | - | 1\% |
| Victoria North | 480 | ■ | 4\% | 100 | I | 1\% |
| Victoria South | 480 | ■ | 4\% | 270 | - | 2\% |
| Saanich North | 80 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich East | 1,080 | ■ | 10\% | 320 | I | 3\% |
| Saanich West | 350 | - | 3\% | 470 | - | 4\% |
| Oak Bay | 30 | - | 0\% | 110 | - | 1\% |
| Esquimalt | 650 | ■ | 6\% | 190 | I | 2\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 700 | ■ | 6\% | 280 | - | 2\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | 90 | I | 1\% |
| Langford | 1,720 | $\square$ | 15\% | 3,830 |  | 32\% |
| Colwood | 4,590 |  | 41\% | 4,590 | - | 39\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 190 | - | 2\% | 500 | E | 4\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 110 | I | 1\% | 590 | - | 5\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 10 | I | 0\% | 170 | I | 1\% |
| External South CVRD | 50 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 11,270 |  | 100\% | 11,840 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 20,760 | $65 \%$ | 20,540 | $65 \%$ | 6,670 | $45 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 7,000 | $22 \%$ | 6,900 | $22 \%$ | 2,460 | $16 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,200 | $4 \%$ | 1,120 | $4 \%$ | 250 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 1,030 | $3 \%$ | 1,080 | $3 \%$ | 1,240 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | 580 | $2 \%$ | 560 | $2 \%$ | 3,170 | $21 \%$ |
| Other | 1,130 | $4 \%$ | 1,180 | $4 \%$ | 1,140 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 31,710 | $100 \%$ | 31,390 | $100 \%$ | 14,930 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,740 | $71 \%$ | 3,940 | $54 \%$ | 1,670 | $36 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 730 | $11 \%$ | 1,910 | $26 \%$ | 980 | $21 \%$ |
| Transit | 670 | $10 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 280 | $4 \%$ | 230 | $3 \%$ | 470 | $10 \%$ |
| Walk | 90 | $1 \%$ | 200 | $3 \%$ | 910 | $20 \%$ |
| Other | 170 | $3 \%$ | 740 | $10 \%$ | 540 | $12 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,690 | $100 \%$ | 7,260 | $100 \%$ | 4,590 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 5,560 | $54 \%$ | 6,110 | $68 \%$ | 2,430 | $44 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 3,010 | $29 \%$ | 1,750 | $19 \%$ | 760 | $14 \%$ |
| Transit | 320 | $3 \%$ | 510 | $6 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 520 | $5 \%$ | 370 | $4 \%$ | 520 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | 110 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 1,310 | $24 \%$ |
| Other | 710 | $7 \%$ | 210 | $2 \%$ | 460 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 10,220 | $100 \%$ | 9,040 | $100 \%$ | 5,500 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.34 | $4 \%$ | 1.34 | $4 \%$ | 1.37 | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.15 | $10 \%$ | 1.48 | $3 \%$ | 1.58 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.54 | $3 \%$ | 1.29 | $6 \%$ | 1.31 | $0 \%$ |

District 17 - District of Metchosin with Scia'new FN

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 4,990 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 4,820 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 2,580 |  |  |  |
| Households | 1,970 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 1,810 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 3,790 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 4,530 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 3,210 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 350 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 790 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 80 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 72.20 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 1,170 800 | 1,970 | 39\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 170 | 610 | 12\% |  |  |
| Student 340 | 740 | 15\% |  |  |
| Retiree 660 | 1,450 | 29\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver 40 | 140 | 3\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 90 | 170 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 60 | 80 | 2\% |  |  |
| Total 2,510 2,480 | 4,990 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 100 | 320 | 420 | 16\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 190 | 380 | 580 | 22\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 320 | 1,260 | 1,580 | 61\% |
| Total | 610 | 1,970 | 2,580 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 41\% | 74\% | 67\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 10\% | 18\% | 16\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 41\% | 38\% | 39\% |  |



|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 2,050 | 2,040 | 4,080 |
| Licensed drivers | - | 10 | 10 |
| Car share members | 5,250 | 5,800 | 11,050 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 4,810 | 5,290 | 10,100 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.29 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.91 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.53 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.13 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 2.30 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.81 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.31 |
| Workers per Household | 0.36 |
| Jobs per Person | 70 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 30 |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $13.9 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 8.1 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

|  | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 Hours | 1,450 | $23 \%$ | 940 | $15 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ |
| Work | 110 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 270 | $4 \%$ | 120 | $2 \%$ | 230 | $16 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 440 | $7 \%$ | 200 | $3 \%$ | 80 | $5 \%$ |
| Personal business | 800 | $13 \%$ | 840 | $13 \%$ | 170 | $11 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 160 | $2 \%$ | 110 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $5 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 650 | $10 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 740 | $12 \%$ | 390 | $6 \%$ | 200 | $14 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 1,780 | $28 \%$ | 3,670 | $58 \%$ | 600 | $41 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ |
| Other | 6,410 | $100 \%$ | 6,360 | $100 \%$ | 1,460 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 890 | $51 \%$ | 570 | $53 \%$ | 20 | $5 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 80 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 270 | $15 \%$ | 120 | $11 \%$ | 230 | $51 \%$ |
| Personal business | 50 | $3 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 90 | $5 \%$ | 130 | $12 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 70 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 270 | $15 \%$ | 160 | $15 \%$ | 120 | $26 \%$ |
| Return Home | 30 | $2 \%$ | 90 | $8 \%$ | 50 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,770 | $100 \%$ | 1,080 | $100 \%$ | 450 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 40 | $4 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $2 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 40 | $3 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $5 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 200 | $16 \%$ | 140 | $7 \%$ | 30 | $7 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 50 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 110 | $9 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 110 | $9 \%$ | 60 | $3 \%$ | 20 | $4 \%$ |
| Return Home | 680 | $55 \%$ | 1,810 | $85 \%$ | 360 | $80 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,240 | $100 \%$ | 2,130 | $100 \%$ | 450 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 14,200 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $10 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 3,300 | $23 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 3,800 |  | $27 \%$ |  | $12 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 17 - District of Metchosin w Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 40 | ■ | 2\% | - | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 40 | - | 2\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Downtown | 70 | ■ | 3\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria North | 100 | - | 4\% | 170 | ■ | 11\% |
| Victoria South | 170 | ■ | 8\% | 30 | - | 2\% |
| Saanich North | 50 | - | 2\% | 40 | - | 3\% |
| Saanich East | 130 | ■ | 6\% | 80 | E | 5\% |
| Saanich West | 50 | - | 2\% | 70 | ■ | 5\% |
| Oak Bay | 40 | - | 2\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 100 | ■ | 4\% | - | I | 0\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 30 | - | 1\% | 70 | E | 5\% |
| Highlands | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 370 |  | 17\% | 190 | E | 12\% |
| Colwood | 500 |  | 22\% | 190 | E | 12\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 450 |  | 20\% | 450 |  | 30\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 20 | - | 1\% | 90 | E | 6\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 10 | - | 1\% | 140 | E | 9\% |
| External South CVRD | 30 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | 10 | - | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 2,220 |  | 100\% | 1,530 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons $11+$ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,610 | $72 \%$ | 4,700 | $74 \%$ | 710 | $49 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,480 | $23 \%$ | 1,330 | $21 \%$ | 370 | $26 \%$ |
| Transit | 90 | $1 \%$ | 100 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 100 | $2 \%$ | 90 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 130 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 120 | $2 \%$ | 130 | $2 \%$ | 220 | $15 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,410 | $100 \%$ | 6,360 | $100 \%$ | 1,460 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,340 | $76 \%$ | 860 | $79 \%$ | 160 | $36 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 300 | $17 \%$ | 190 | $17 \%$ | 130 | $29 \%$ |
| Transit | 70 | $4 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 50 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 130 | $28 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,770 | $100 \%$ | 1,080 | $100 \%$ | 450 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 900 | $73 \%$ | 1,470 | $69 \%$ | 220 | $49 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 290 | $23 \%$ | 490 | $23 \%$ | 80 | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | 10 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 10 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ | 20 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 70 | $16 \%$ |
| Other | 30 | $2 \%$ | 60 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $13 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,240 | $100 \%$ | 2,130 | $100 \%$ | 450 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.32 | $1 \%$ | 1.28 | $2 \%$ | 1.52 | $0 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.23 | $4 \%$ | 1.22 | $2 \%$ | 1.81 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.32 | $0 \%$ | 1.33 | $3 \%$ | 1.37 | $0 \%$ |

## District 18 - District of Sooke with T'Sou-ke FN

## Demographic Characteristics




|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 5,760 | 6,010 | 11,770 |
| Licensed drivers | 20 | 70 | 90 |
| Car share members | 16,310 | 19,120 | 35,430 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 15,330 | 17,500 | 32,840 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators | 2.38 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 0.80 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.45 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.14 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.96 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.33 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.26 |
| Workers per Household | 0.27 |
| Jobs per Person | 270 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | 70 |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) |  |



| Households by Dwelling Type | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached house | 4,030 | $63 \%$ |
| Other ground-oriented | 1,920 | $30 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 1-4 floor | 420 | $7 \%$ |
| Apartment/condominium 5+ floor: | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,390 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Household size | Total | $\%$ |
| 1 person | 1,570 | $25 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 2,500 | $39 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 1,000 | $16 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 840 | $13 \%$ |
| 5+ persons | 480 | $8 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,390 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Vehicle Availability | Total | $\%$ |
| No vehicles | 250 | $4 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 1,960 | $31 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 2,730 | $43 \%$ |
| 3+ vehicles | 1,450 | $23 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,390 | $100 \%$ |
| Vehicles by Fuel Type |  |  |
| Gas | Total | $\%$ |
| Hybrid | 11,160 | $89 \%$ |
| Plug-in Hybrid | 270 | $2 \%$ |
| Electric | 130 | $1 \%$ |
| Diesel | 430 | $3 \%$ |
| Biodiesel | 510 | $4 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | - | $0 \%$ |
| Access to EV Charging | 12,500 | $100 \%$ |
| Yes, in my building |  |  |
| Yes, nearby |  | $14 \%$ |
| Not available, not conveniently nearby | $23 \%$ |  |
| Don't know | $55 \%$ |  |
| Note: as self-reported by respondents; asked of a two-thirds sample. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Daily mode shares for residents of this geography


Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $4.6 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 6.7 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women +
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  |  |  | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 3,470 | $34 \%$ | 1,040 | $10 \%$ | 1,310 | $8 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 120 | $1 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 310 | $3 \%$ | 360 | $3 \%$ | 1,610 | $9 \%$ |
| Personal business | 600 | $6 \%$ | 330 | $3 \%$ | 1,010 | $6 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 1,110 | $11 \%$ | 730 | $7 \%$ | 1,530 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 340 | $3 \%$ | 140 | $1 \%$ | 680 | $4 \%$ |
| Shopping | 1,000 | $10 \%$ | 540 | $5 \%$ | 2,030 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 550 | $5 \%$ | 440 | $4 \%$ | 1,610 | $9 \%$ |
| Return Home | 2,580 | $26 \%$ | 6,570 | $65 \%$ | 7,310 | $42 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 310 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 10,090 | $100 \%$ | 10,180 | $100 \%$ | 17,390 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 2,190 | $62 \%$ | 570 | $45 \%$ | 630 | $15 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 120 | $3 \%$ | 40 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 310 | $9 \%$ | 360 | $28 \%$ | 1,610 | $38 \%$ |
| Personal business | 180 | $5 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ | 310 | $7 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 60 | $2 \%$ | 90 | $7 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 140 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 70 | $2 \%$ |
| Shopping | 160 | $5 \%$ | 10 | $1 \%$ | 170 | $4 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 340 | $10 \%$ | 120 | $10 \%$ | 870 | $21 \%$ |
| Return Home | 40 | $1 \%$ | 60 | $5 \%$ | 290 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,550 | $100 \%$ | 1,260 | $100 \%$ | 4,180 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Work | 120 | $8 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ | 150 | $3 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 70 | $4 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 170 | $12 \%$ | 180 | $4 \%$ | 430 | $9 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 40 | $3 \%$ | 90 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $3 \%$ |
| Shopping | 150 | $10 \%$ | 120 | $3 \%$ | 570 | $12 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 40 | $3 \%$ | 220 | $5 \%$ | 240 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 900 | $61 \%$ | 3,960 | $86 \%$ | 2,920 | $62 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 230 | $5 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,480 | $100 \%$ | 4,610 | $100 \%$ | 4,730 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 37,700 | , 000 | $100 \%$ |  | $46 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 10,800 |  | $24 \%$ |  | $46 \%$ |  |
| PM Peak Period |  |  | $29 \%$ |  | $44 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 18 - District of Sooke with T Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) | Trips From |  |  | Trips To |  |  |
| (Trips made by persons 5+) | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | 70 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | 50 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 100 | I | 1\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Downtown | 240 | - | 3\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria North | 150 | - | 2\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Victoria South | 20 | I | 0\% | 10 | I | 0\% |
| Saanich North | 20 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich East | 470 | ■ | 6\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Saanich West | 170 | - | 2\% | 110 | I | 2\% |
| Oak Bay | 70 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 350 | - | 4\% | - | I | 0\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 80 | - | 1\% | 110 | - | 2\% |
| Highlands | 50 | I | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Langford | 910 | ■ | 12\% | 160 | - | 3\% |
| Colwood | 590 | E | 8\% | 110 | - | 2\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 90 | I | 1\% | 20 | I | 0\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 4,180 |  | 54\% | 4,180 |  | 77\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 120 | - | 2\% | 680 | E | 13\% |
| External South CVRD | 10 | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Total | 7,720 |  | 100\% | 5,440 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 7,610 | $75 \%$ | 7,770 | $76 \%$ | 10,290 | $59 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,850 | $18 \%$ | 1,740 | $17 \%$ | 3,510 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | 310 | $3 \%$ | 330 | $3 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $0 \%$ | 320 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 1,570 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 310 | $3 \%$ | 310 | $3 \%$ | 1,640 | $9 \%$ |
| Total: | 10,090 | $100 \%$ | 10,180 | $100 \%$ | 17,390 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 2,790 | $79 \%$ | 800 | $63 \%$ | 2,020 | $48 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 530 | $15 \%$ | 180 | $14 \%$ | 1,080 | $26 \%$ |
| Transit | 170 | $5 \%$ | 20 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 90 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ | 280 | $7 \%$ |
| Other | 50 | $1 \%$ | 250 | $20 \%$ | 710 | $17 \%$ |
| Total: | 3,550 | $100 \%$ | 1,260 | $100 \%$ | 4,180 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,100 | $74 \%$ | 3,490 | $76 \%$ | 2,490 | $53 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 290 | $20 \%$ | 840 | $18 \%$ | 960 | $20 \%$ |
| Transit | 10 | $1 \%$ | 190 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 160 | $3 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 440 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 80 | $5 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 680 | $14 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,480 | $100 \%$ | 4,610 | $100 \%$ | 4,730 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.24 | $3 \%$ | 1.22 | $3 \%$ | 1.34 | $0 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.19 | $5 \%$ | 1.23 | $2 \%$ | 1.54 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.27 | $1 \%$ | 1.24 | $4 \%$ | 1.39 | $0 \%$ |

## District 19 - Juan de Fuca Electoral Area with Pacheedaht FN

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 5,710 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population 5+ (trips reported for survey sample) | 5,530 |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Population | 2,780 |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,490 |  |  |  |
| Jobs in District (places of work) | 1,360 |  |  |  |
| Actively Travelled | 3,990 |  |  |  |
| Number of Vehicles | 5,370 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult Bicycles (non-motorized) | 3,260 |  |  |  |
| Number of Adult E-Bikes | 360 |  |  |  |
| Number of Child Bicycles | 640 |  |  |  |
| Number of E-micromobility devices | 30 |  |  |  |
| Area (km²) | 1,490.15 |  |  |  |
| Occupation Status Men + Women + | Total | \% |  |  |
| Employed full time 1,270 900 | 2,170 | 38\% |  |  |
| Employed part time 310300 | 610 | 11\% |  |  |
| Student 440 440 | 880 | 15\% |  |  |
| Retiree 850850 | 1,700 | 30\% |  |  |
| Stay-at-home parent / caregiver - 120 | 120 | 2\% |  |  |
| Pre-schooler (0-4 years) 90 90 | 180 | 3\% |  |  |
| Other status 130 | 280 | 5\% |  |  |
| Total 2,950 2,760 | 5,710 |  |  |  |
| Workplace locations of residents of this geography | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Work exclusively from home | 130 | 490 | 620 | 22\% |
| No fixed workplace / on the road | 170 | 390 | 560 | 20\% |
| Usual workplace outside the home | 310 | 1,290 | 1,610 | 58\% |
| Total | 610 | 2,170 | 2,780 | 100\% |
| Workers with usual workplace, pattern in week previous | Part-time | Full-time | Total |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who commuted to work/travel for work | 52\% | 76\% | 72\% |  |
| Avg. weekday, \% who telecommuted | 2\% | 17\% | 14\% |  |
| \% who telecommuted on at least one weekday | 4\% | 30\% | 25\% |  |



|  | Men + | Women + | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Traveller Characteristics | 2,480 | 2,300 | 4,780 |
| Licensed drivers | 20 | - | 20 |
| Car share members | 5,470 | 5,790 | 11,260 |
| Trips made by residents 5+ | 5,090 | 5,520 | 10,610 |
| Trips made by residents 11+ |  |  |  |


| Selected Indicators |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Daily Trips per Person 5+ | 2.04 |
| Vehicles per Person | 0.94 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 2.29 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 4.26 |
| Vehicles per Household | 2.15 |
| Adult Bicycles per Household (non-motorized \& e-bikes combined) | 1.45 |
| Workers per Household | 1.12 |
| Jobs per Person | 0.24 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) | - |
| Employment Density (Jobs/km2) | - |



Explanatory Notes
 These results are based on a survey sample of $11.2 \%$ of households in this district, and are subject to a margin of sampling error of approximately $\pm 7.2 \%$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level ( 19 times out of 20 ), adjusted for data weighting.
The survey allowed survey respondents to indicate their gender as non-binary, other, or decline to answer. For the purpose of analysis, such responses have been randomly grouped with either Men+ or Women+
Occupational Status reports on multiple responses (e.g., a student may also be employed), therefore the results for individual categories may sum to greater than $100 \%$.
The Total Employed Population bar chart includes all workers with either a primary or secondary status of employed (e.g., includes full-time students who are part-time workers).





Trips by Trip Purpose - Persons 5+

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Work | 1,170 | $22 \%$ | 140 | $3 \%$ | 120 | $13 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 110 | $2 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 580 | $11 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 540 | $10 \%$ | 60 | $1 \%$ | 140 | $15 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 510 | $10 \%$ | 930 | $18 \%$ | 260 | $27 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 230 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 750 | $14 \%$ | 70 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 490 | $9 \%$ | 110 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $2 \%$ |
| Return Home | 970 | $18 \%$ | 3,930 | $75 \%$ | 380 | $41 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,350 | $100 \%$ | 5,240 | $100 \%$ | 930 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Work | 650 | $37 \%$ | 40 | $15 \%$ | 70 | $74 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | 100 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | 540 | $30 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 150 | $8 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 30 | $2 \%$ | 40 | $16 \%$ | 10 | $16 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 20 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 50 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 230 | $13 \%$ | 60 | $23 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Return Home | - | $0 \%$ | 120 | $46 \%$ | 10 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,790 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ | 90 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 30 | $4 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Post-secondary school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| K-12 school | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Personal business | 10 | $1 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ | 40 | $14 \%$ |
| Recreation / social | 130 | $14 \%$ | 190 | $10 \%$ | 70 | $25 \%$ |
| Dining / restaurant | 30 | $4 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 80 | $9 \%$ | 30 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drop-off passenger | 120 | $14 \%$ | 40 | $2 \%$ | 10 | $5 \%$ |
| Return Home | 490 | $55 \%$ | 1,640 | $84 \%$ | 140 | $52 \%$ |
| Other | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 890 | $100 \%$ | 1,950 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: |  | $\%$ of 24 Hours |  | Within District (\%) |  |
| 24 Hours | 11,500 |  | $100 \%$ |  | $8 \%$ |  |
| AM Peak Period | 2,100 | $19 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 3,100 |  | $27 \%$ |  | $9 \%$ |  |


| Summary of Trips to and from |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 19 - Juan de Fuca Electoral $/$ Destinations of |  |  |  | Origins of Trips To |  |  |
| AM Peak Period (06:00-08:59) <br> (Trips made by persons 5+) | Trips From |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | District |  |  | District |  |  |
| Salt Spring Island Electoral Area |  | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Sidney | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| North Saanich, Tsyecum FN, Pauquachin FN | - | I | 0\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Central Saanich, Tsartlip FN, Tsawout FN | 30 | - | 2\% | 20 | E | 6\% |
| Downtown | 30 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Victoria North | 70 | ■ | 4\% | 10 | E | 4\% |
| Victoria South | 70 | - | 4\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich North | 20 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich East | 90 | ■ | 5\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Saanich West | 70 | - | 4\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Oak Bay | 30 | - | 2\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Esquimalt | 70 | ■ | 4\% | 30 | $\square$ | 9\% |
| View Royal, Esquimalt Nation, Songhees FN | 80 | - | 4\% | - | I | 0\% |
| Highlands | 10 | I | 1\% | 20 | E | 7\% |
| Langford | 200 | - | 11\% | 30 | E | 7\% |
| Colwood | 170 | - | 9\% | 10 | - | 1\% |
| Metchosin, Scia'new FN | 140 | E | 8\% | 10 | ■ | 4\% |
| Sooke, T'Sou-ke FN | 680 |  | 36\% | 120 |  | 33\% |
| Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, Pacheedaht FN | 90 | ■ | 5\% | 90 |  | 25\% |
| External South CVRD | 20 | - | 1\% | - | I | 0\% |
| External Other | - | I | 0\% | 20 | ■ | 5\% |
| Total | 1,870 |  | 100\% | 360 |  | 100\% |

Note: 2022 trip-level data on this page are for trips made by persons aged 5+ years. They may be compared against the 2017 report but not the 2011 report, which was based on trips made by persons aged 11+ years. See analytical this report for comparisons of 2017, 2011, 2006, and 2001 trip data for persons 11+ and discussion of the results.

Trips by Travel Mode - Persons 5+

|  | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,830 | $72 \%$ | 3,810 | $73 \%$ | 530 | $57 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,000 | $19 \%$ | 940 | $18 \%$ | 150 | $16 \%$ |
| Transit | 120 | $2 \%$ | 150 | $3 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | 20 | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ | 80 | $8 \%$ |
| Walk | 50 | $1 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ | 170 | $18 \%$ |
| Other | 340 | $6 \%$ | 280 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 5,350 | $100 \%$ | 5,240 | $100 \%$ | 930 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:00-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 1,030 | $58 \%$ | 210 | $79 \%$ | 60 | $71 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 370 | $21 \%$ | 40 | $15 \%$ | - | $5 \%$ |
| Transit | 120 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 10 | $9 \%$ |
| Walk | 20 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $5 \%$ | 10 | $15 \%$ |
| Other | 250 | $14 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 1,790 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ | 90 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:00-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 710 | $80 \%$ | 1,420 | $73 \%$ | 140 | $52 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 170 | $19 \%$ | 290 | $15 \%$ | 20 | $8 \%$ |
| Transit | - | $0 \%$ | 120 | $6 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycle \& Micromobility | - | $0 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $10 \%$ |
| Walk | - | $0 \%$ | 30 | $2 \%$ | 80 | $30 \%$ |
| Other | 10 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $5 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ |
| Total: | 890 | $100 \%$ | 1,950 | $100 \%$ | 270 | $100 \%$ |


|  | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit | Avg | Transit |
|  | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode | Vehicle | Mode |
|  | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share | Occupancy | Share |
| 24 Hours | 1.26 | $2 \%$ | 1.25 | $3 \%$ | 1.28 | $0 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 1.36 | $6 \%$ | 1.19 | $0 \%$ | 1.07 | $0 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 1.24 | $0 \%$ | 1.20 | $6 \%$ | 1.15 | $0 \%$ |

APPENDICES

## Appendix 1: Survey invitation letter

| Capital Regional District | T: 250.360 .3160 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 625 Fisgard Street, PO Box 1000 | F: 250.360.3159 |  |
| Making a difference...together | Victoria, BC, V8W 2S6 | www.crd.bc.ca |

Resident


Address Line 1
Address Line 2

Dear Resident:
Your household has been randomly selected to participate in the Capital Regional District's 2022 Origin Destination Household Travel Survey.
Your participation will go a long way towards improving transportation in the region. This survey occurs every five years and provides the CRD, municipalities, First Nations, and provincial agencies with information critical for decisions on future transportation options.
All information your household provides for the survey is strictly confidential and is not shared with any other individual or organization, per the Freedorn of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.
You can complete the survey in two ways:

- Take the survey online at crdtravelsurvey.ca using the secure access code at the top of this letter.
- Complete the survey over the phone by calling the toll-free survey hotline at $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 8 . 4 3 0 . 2 1 1 5}$.
[IF ADDRESS MATCHED TO PHONE NUMBER, NOT INCLUDED FOR ADDRESS-ONLY LISTINGS: You may also receive a phone call requesting participation.] R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd., a Victoria based research firm, is surveying on behalf of the CRD.

See the enclosed brochure for details on participating and your eligibility for great prizes.
If you have questions about the survey, call the toll-free survey hotline at $\mathbf{1 . 8 8 8 . 4 3 0 . 2 1 1 5}$ or email info@crdtravelsurvey.ca. For further information, visit www.crdtravelsurvey.ca.

Thank you for participating in this vital survey that will benefit all regional residents.
Sincerely,

Colin Plant, Board Chair
Capital Regional District

For questions about CRD transportation research, contact:
CRD Regional and Strategic Planning ot 250.360.3160 and regionalplanning@crd.bc.co.

## Appendix 2: Survey instrument

## CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey
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Grey shading indicates scripts for the online version that differ from the telephone interview version.

Orange text indicates a key variable name associated with a given question.

The threshold for the 2022 cycle will be for household members less than 5 years of age. Those under 5 years of age usually travel with parents or older siblings whose trips will be captured, and only very rarely travel independent of other household members, so excluding them will reduce response burden. Those 5 to 10 will sometimes make trips unaccompanied by another household member, for school commutes, or for other reasons, so it is worthwhile to capture their information.

Trip definition: A trip is a journey from one place (origin) to another (destination) with a single purpose that may involve more than one mode of travel. Travel to work with a stop at a coffee shop is two separate trips: one with a purpose of restaurant/dining, another with a purpose of work. Travel to work which involved driving to a park \& ride location then taking transit the rest of the way is considered a single trip with a primary mode of transit and a transit access mode of driving.

## Household level

Note: survey weekday travel only
$\checkmark$ Confirm have reached appropriate person to complete the survey. (Online: also confirm at least 16 years of age).
$\checkmark$ Confirm phone number
$\checkmark$ Travel day surveyed (date and day of week)
$\checkmark$ Confirm address (Geocode home XY coordinates)
$\checkmark$ Dwelling type (2022: updated to differentiate between apartments with 1-4 vs 5+ storeys)
$\checkmark$ Number of household members
$\checkmark$ Number of vehicles available to household members (includes company vehicles, lease or own)
$\checkmark$ Number of vehicles of each fuel type (if has vehicles)
$\checkmark$ Number of working bicycles available to household members (adult, adult e-bike, child bike) (2022: expanded to capture type of bicycle)
$\checkmark$ Number of e-micromobility devices (new in 2022)
$\checkmark$ Whether any household member is a member of a car share program
$\checkmark$ Rent or own dwelling (new in 2022)
$\checkmark$ Access to EV charging at home or nearby (new in 2022)
$\checkmark$ Household income (new in 2022)

## Person level

$\checkmark$ Identifier (respondent's preference - first name, initial, relationship, or other identifier) for reference in survey questions
$\checkmark$ Gender (2022: added non-binary, prefer to self-describe)
$\checkmark$ Age
$\checkmark$ If age refused, Age Range within a 5-year range
$\checkmark$ Driver's license (yes/no)
$\checkmark$ Student status ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{t}$ )
$\checkmark$ School level (Elementary, High School, College, etc.)
$\checkmark$ School name / location (Geocode school XY coordinates)
$\checkmark$ Employed (yes, no, don't know)
$\checkmark$ Employment status ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{t}$, volunteer, homemaker, retired) (2022: refined categories)
$\checkmark$ Workplace location (employed or volunteer) (note if home) (Geocode workplace XY coordinates) (2022: refined definitions of workplace types (exclusively home/no fixed/usual))
$\checkmark$ Type of occupation (if employed, National Occupation Classification major groups)
$\checkmark$ Telecommuted on which days of the week in the last week (new in 2022)
$\checkmark$ Commuted on which days of the week in the last week (new in 2022)
$\checkmark \quad$ Whether member of car share program
$\checkmark$ Made any trips between 4:00 a.m. yesterday and 3:59 a.m. today

## Trip level

Note: survey trips for respondents 5+ years of age only
$\checkmark$ Trip departure time
$\checkmark \quad$ Trip purpose (or activity at destination location)
$\checkmark \quad$ Origin (Geocode origin XY coordinates)
$\checkmark$ Destination (Geocode destination XY coordinates)
$\checkmark$ Mode of travel (up to five modes) (2022: added new modes)
$\checkmark$ Access and egress modes if transit was chosen
$\checkmark$ Park and ride location (if multi-mode includes transit) (2022: added Helmken is as P\&R location as it is now listed on the official transit maps)
$\checkmark \quad$ Transit route(s) (if transit taken) (route name or number)
$\checkmark$ Number of vehicle occupants, and number from the same household (if auto driver)
$\checkmark \quad$ Car availability (if not by automobile)
$\checkmark$ Additional information about trip (open-ended response)

## Questions associated with data validation <br> For quality control purposes - not used for analysis

$\checkmark \quad$ If did not take any trips, confirm reason
$\checkmark$ If first origin of the day was not home, confirm \& confirm reason why not start from home
$\checkmark$ If last destination of the day was not home, confirm that were at last location until end of travel day (3:59 a.m.)
$\checkmark$ Ask whether stopped along the way in case respondent missed reporting a trip in order to collect information to use to split the trip (assuming classic definition of a trip being a journey for a single purpose is used, which means a trip to work with a stop for coffee becomes two trips)
$\checkmark$ If auto driver for a trip, and not have drivers license, confirm mode / clarify
$\checkmark$ If auto driver for a trip, and not have vehicle in household, confirm mode / clarify
$\checkmark$ If a worker, and primary work location outside the home, and did not take any trips with work as a purpose or a destination, confirm whether worked from home
$\checkmark \quad$ If a student, and school location outside the home, and did not take any trips with school as a purpose or a destination, confirm whether attended school

A number of the above clarification questions are included in the 2022 survey to ensure that online respondents accurately report their trips and for data validation purposes. The answers to these questions will not be used for analysis.

CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey
Online Survey / Telephone Interview Script

## APPENDICES

INTRODUCTION - ONLINE HOUSEHOLD TRAVEL SURVEY

To begin the survey, please enter the ID code found on the top of your notification letter. ID Code: $\qquad$ Begin Survey
Welcome to the Capital Regional District (CRD) Household Travel Survey. This survey is about the transportation choices people make and will help create transportation solutions across the region aimed at reducing congestion and improving the whole transportation system.

How long does it take to complete the survey? Approximately 10-25 minutes depending on the size of your household and number of trips. It is extremely important all your data is entered completely and accurately. You can also complete the survey by telephone with one of our professional interviewers by calling us toll-free at 1.888.430.2115 or 250.999.1022.

What kinds of questions are asked? The first two sections of the survey contain questions about your household and the people in your household. The final section asks questions about the trips taken by every member of your household 5 years of age or older on a particular weekday (your Travel Day).

Will my privacy be protected? Yes. Your survey responses will be combined with others' responses before they are analysed. Your contact information will be permanently deleted once the survey is concluded. Click here to view our Privacy Statement.

How was I selected for the survey? Your household was selected at random from households across the CRD. A limited number of households are invited to join the study, so the few minutes you take to participate will have a big impact. The survey is voluntary, but to truly represent the travel behaviour of all types of residents in your neighbourhood, we hope that you will choose to participate.

Who is conducting the survey? The Capital Regional District has contracted independent research firm R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd., based in Victoria.

Are there incentives for participation? Participants that complete the survey are eligible to enter a prize draw. You could win a cash prize of $\$ 500$, or be one of 50 eligible participants to win a cash prize of $\$ 25$. A total of $\$ 1,750$ in prizes will be awarded. The prize draw is administered by R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. and will be drawn once the survey administration period is completed.

What day of the week should I report on? We are interested in your travel on the most recent previous weekday. It is important that you provide a snapshot of what you actually did on that day, even if it was not a typical day, or even if you did not travel.

## Who do I contact for more information or for help?

- If you would prefer to complete the survey by telephone, please call 1.888.430.2115 (toll free) or 250.999.1022.
- You may also call the number above for assistance with the on-line survey, or e-mail us at info@CRDtravelsurvey.ca.
- If you wish to validate the authenticity of this survey you may contact John Hicks, Senior Transportation Planner with the CRD, at 250.360 .3305 or via e-mail at jhicks@crd.bc.ca
- For more information about this study, please visit https://www.crd.bc.ca/project/regional-transportation/origin-destination-household-travel or http://CRDtravelsurvey.ca
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

2022 CRD Origin-Destination Survey

Please note that your answers to the survey are saved each time you click on the Previous or Next Buttons.

A1. The survey should be completed by a person in your household 16 years of age or older who is familiar with your household's weekday travel. Are you that person?

1. Yes
2. No
[IF NO]
A1X. This survey must be completed by someone 16 years of age or older who is familiar with your household's weekday travel.
If you are 16 years of age or older, click the Previous button to change your answer.
If you are under the age of 16 , please have an older person in your household fill out the survey.

INTRODUCTION - TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Hello, my name is $\qquad$ , and I am calling on behalf of the Capital Regional District to follow up on an invitation we recently sent you to participate in a major study of household trip patterns in the CRD and surrounding area.

As reported in the media, we are conducting a study of household travel patterns in the CRD and surrounding area. This will help create transportation solutions across the region aimed at reducing congestion and improving the whole transportation system. I would like to ask you some questions about the trips made by members of your household yesterday.
(Interviewer: If sounds young, verify over the age of 16. If no, ask to talk to appropriate person and restart intro)

## USE FOLLOWING SCRIPTS AS NECESSARY:

- The survey will be about the transportation choices people make. It will help create transportation solutions across the region aimed at reducing congestion and improving the whole transportation system.
- Your household has been randomly selected to participate in this study. This major transportation research study is conducted only once every 5 to 6 years. The survey is voluntary, but to truly represent the travel behaviour of residents in your area, it is important that you participate in the study.
- The survey takes about 10-25 minutes depending on the size of your household and your answers.
- The survey contains questions about your household and the people in your household. It also asks about the trips people in your household make.
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.
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- Even if you did not make any trips yesterday, it is important that we record that information as well. The survey will be shorter for you.
- I work for R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd, a Victoria-based professional research firm. The Capital Regional District has contracted our firm to conduct this survey on their behalf.
- If you wish to validate the authenticity of this survey you may call John Hicks, Senior Transportation Planner at 250.360.3305.
- I can send you an e-mail with information about the study, and a link to the CRD's web page about this study.
- Participants that complete the survey are eligible to enter a prize draw. You could win a cash prize of You could win a cash prize of $\$ 500$ or be one of 50 eligible participants to win a cash prize of $\$ 25$. A total of $\$ 1,750$ in prizes will be awarded. The prize draw is administered by R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. and will be drawn once the survey administration period is completed.

A1. I need to talk to the person, $\mathbf{1 6}$ or older, who is most familiar with your household's trips made yesterday. Are you that person? May I complete the survey with you now?

1. Yes (confirmed correct person)
2. No (ask to talk to that person and restart introduction)

INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT DID NOT RECEIVE LETTER AND WISHES MORE INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING:
I can send you an e-mail with information about the study, and a link to the CRD's web page about this study.
[IF NO]
A1X. This survey must be completed by someone 16 years of age or older who is familiar with your household's weekday travel. May I speak to someone in your household is over the age or sixteen and who is familiar with your household's travel?
INTERVIEWER: Click Previous to change the answer on previous page and proceed.

A2. [ONLY ASKED OF TELEPEHONE INTERVIEW RESPONDENTS. ASSUME ONLINE RESPONDENTS HAVE RECEIVED THE LETTER IN THE MAIL IN ORDER TO GET ACCESS CODE TO LOG ON] Have you received the letter in the mail describing this study?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know

INTERVIEWER NOTE: IF RESPONDENT DID NOT RECEIVE LETTER AND WISHES MORE INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING:
I can send you an e-mail with information about the study, and a link to the CRD's web page about this study.

SURVEY PRIVACY STATEMENT
[available anywhere there is a link to the Privacy Statement]

The survey team is dedicated to protecting the privacy of its participants.

Collection of information for the survey is being undertaken in accordance with Sections 26 through 36 of BC's Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FOIPPA). The confidentiality of any information collected is protected under the provisions of the Act.

Any information obtained from each household is processed, stored, and used in a form that does not permit any particular household to be identified. Names, addresses, and phone numbers are deleted from the data file at the conclusion of the survey's data collection phase.

Canadian-based research firm R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. is conducting the survey data collection under the direction of the Capital Regional District and with the highest standards of the protection of privacy and confidentiality. Click here for a link to the firm's Privacy Policy [URL:
http://www.malatest.com/Privacy.htm - launch in separate window].
The Capital Regional District (John Hicks, Senior Transportation Planner) can also be contacted via e-mail at jhicks@crd.bc.ca or by phone at 250.360.3305. For more information about this research study please visit https://www.crd.bc.ca/project/regional-transportation/origin-destination-household-travel or http://CRDtravelsurvey.ca

## HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION

Before we begin, I'd like to let you know that this survey is entirely confidential. This call may be recorded for quality assurance purposes.

WEB: This survey is entirely confidential and uses secure internet protocols.
Your survey responses will only be analyzed after all personal identifying information has been removed. Survey responses will be aggregated for analysis and will be used only for transportation and regional planning purposes.

I am now going to ask you some general questions concerning your household
WEB: This section contains questions about your household.

B1A. We would like to be able to contact you in the event we need to verify any of your responses. Please provide a phone number and email address you may be reached at by our staff.

Name: [NAME]
Phone Number: [PHONE NUMBER] [mandatory field] Extension: ____ [optional]
E-mail: $\qquad$ [optional field] This information will be kept confidential and will not be shared with anyone. We will contact you only in the event we need to verify your responses. Your contact information will be deleted once the survey is concluded.

Click here to view our Privacy Statement.

B2. [if address exists in sample file AND street address flag=1 (i.e., address is not a mailing address like a rural route or PO Box])]
The home address we have on file for you is listed below. Please verify the address and correct it if necessary. This information is required to identify the location of your trips. We are interested in the physical address of your home, not your mailing address.

STREET ADDRESS
CITY / TOWN
POSTAL CODE
Confirm address is correct, or edit the fields displayed

1. Yes
2. No
3. Decline to answer / don't know

## B2X. [IF DECLINE TO ANSWER IN B2]

Unfortunately, the survey cannot proceed without an answer to this question. Your participation is very important, and all personal information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. Click here to view our Privacy Statement.
If you are uncomfortable providing us your exact street address and you live in an urban area, you may provide your postal code. If you live in a rural area, please provide your street address, or at least the closest cross-streets.
Rather than terminating the survey, would you reconsider answering this question?
[if agree, go back to previous question]
[If still refuse:] Thank you for your time. Have a pleasant day / evening.
homelat, homelong, etc.
HOME_LOCATION
[Map the address provided using Google Maps]
[If no address in sample or if address flag indicates a mailing address such as PO Box and address page was skipped]: What is your home's physical address? Please provide where you live. Do not provide a rural route or a PO Box. This information is required to identify the location of your trips.
[If confirmed address on previous page:] [display confirmed address above Google Map] WEB: Does the map correctly show where your home address is located? If not, please move the marker to where it is located, or use the Search box to search for your correct address.
INTERVIEWER: CONFIRM WITH RESPONDENT WHAT THE MAP SHOWS: E.g., I am looking at the location on Google Maps. It looks like your home is near the intersection of [STREET] and [STREET]. Is that correct?
LOCATION CAPTURE [HOME COORDINATES]

## dwellingtype

B3. What type of dwelling is that?

1. single-detached house, laneway house, or detached garden suite
2. single-detached house with a secondary suite, such as a basement apartment
3. semi-detached house (side-by-side, duplex)
4. row house or townhouse (including condo townhouses)

4: Apartment or condo in a building with 1 to 4 floors
5: Apartment or condo in a building with 5 or more floors
8. other (e.g., trailer, cottage, etc.), please specify: $\qquad$
secsuitethreeunits
B3A. [if dwelling type = secondary suite in a house]ls the secondary suite in a building with $\mathbf{3}$ or more apartments or dwelling units?

1. Yes
2. No
numveh
B6. How many licensed (insured) motor vehicles (including cars, light trucks, vans and motorcycles) are available to the members of your household, including yourself? Please include personal and business vehicles.
(Do not count any motor vehicles which are not registered. Do not count any that are registered to an owner in the household but not insured to be on the road.)
(Do include all vehicles provided by employers and which household members use to go to work or for personal use.)
3. none
4. Don't know
havealtfuelveh, numvehhybrid, numvehpluginhybrid, numvehelectric, numvehdiesel, numvehbiodiesel
B7A. [if \# vehicles=1] Is the vehicle a hybrid, plug-in hybrid, electric, diesel, or biodiesel powered?
[if \# vehicles>1] Are any of these vehicles hybrid, electric, diesel, or biodiesel powered?
(i.e., an alternative fuel source than gasoline)
5. Yes
6. No [skip to B8]
7. decline / don't know

B7B. [if yes; \# vehicles=1] Is the vehicle...?

1. A hybrid
2. Plug-in hybrid
3. Electric-only
4. Diesel
5. Biodiesel
6. decline / don't know

B7C. [if yes; \# vehicles > 1] How many of the [B6 \#] vehicles are...
(Note: remainder of vehicles are assumed to be gasoline powered)

1. Hybrid? $\qquad$
2. Plug-in hybrid? $\qquad$
3. Electric-only? $\qquad$
4. Diesel? $\qquad$
5. Biodiesel? $\qquad$
6. decline / don't know
havebikes, numbikesadult, numbikesadulte, numbikeschild, haveemicromob, numemicromobility
B8. How many working children's and adult bicycles and electric bicycles (e-bikes) are available to members of your household, including yourself? And how many electric micromobility devices, such as e-scooters, e-skateboards, and hoverboards?
Adult bicycles: $\qquad$
Adult E-bikes: $\qquad$
Children's bicycles: $\qquad$
E-micromobility devices: ___(e-scooters, e-skateboards, and hoverboards)
7. decline / don't know

E-bikes are pedal-assisted electric bicycle with a top speed of $32 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The electric motor only operates when you pedal.

E-micromobility devices include e-scooters, e-skateboards, hoverboards and other lightweight low-speed electric-powered devices, including electric throttle-assisted bicycles that do not need to pedal in order to accelerate. Do not include heavier devices such as electric wheelchairs and mobility scooters.

## hhsize

B4. What is the total number of people living in your household, including yourself?
(Children in joint custody to be included if living in household on the day of the survey.
Web: (Include children in joint custody if living in household on your Travel Day.
Include roommates, housemates, live-in housekeepers, and lodgers if they share communal facilities. Exclude anyone living in a separate apartment within the building.
Do not include visitors, even if they are staying for an extended period of time.)
__ Total \# persons in household
(confirm with respondent)
99. decline / don't know [go to B5]

B5. [IF DECLINE TO ANSWER IN B4]
Unfortunately, the survey cannot proceed without an answer to this question. Your participation is very important, and all personal information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. Click here to view our Privacy Statement.

Rather than terminating the survey, would you reconsider providing this information?
Web: Click the Previous button to go back and provide a response, or click End Survey to quit]
[if agree, go back to previous question]
[If still refuse, record as refusal:] Thank you for your time. Have a pleasant day / evening

## APPENDICES

## LOCATION CAPTURE MODULE

The general format of the location capture screen is as follows, modified for each survey question as required.
Anywhere the survey indicates LOCATION CAPTURE in the survey instrument this format will be used.
LOC1 1. Home (display confirmed address, from sample or as captured in the survey)
21. [Your/PERSON's] main work location (display address captured in survey)
22. [PERSON 2]'s main work location (display address captured in survey)
...etc...
31. [Your/PERSON's] school (display address captured in survey)
32. [PERSON 2]'s main school location (display address captured in survey) ...etc...
5. On the road / no fixed location (no fixed place of work) [Work and school location capture only]
6. Other location [Google Geocode searches and Google Maps confirmation]

Example screen shots: First page allows respondent to pick from locations already given by the household, or indicate that it is another location:

Where did you go first? (What was the destination of this trip?)

Household Work Locations
your main work location (2400 Lucknow Dr, Mississauga, ON L5S 1T9, Canada)
2400 Lucknow Dr, Mississauga, ON L5S 1T9, Canada

Household School Locations
25 Peel Centre Dr, Brampton, ON L6T 3R5, Canada

Other Locations

- Other location
$\langle\ll$ Previous $\quad$ Continue $\ggg>$
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Example screen shot: If respondent selects 'Other location' they can provide their location by via Google search, double-clicking on the map, or dragging the marker.
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## DEMOGRAPHIC INTRO

The next section is about your demographics. You will be asked to provide some information about yourself before moving on to recording your trips in the next section of the survey.
[IF ONE PERSON HOUSEHOLD]
Please fill in your name or initials in the table below, then click on the 'enter demographic information' link to answer your demographic questions.

> Person \#1 ID

Before proceeding, please ensure that all information provided is accurate.
If the number of people in the household is not correct, use the Previous button to go back to the question about the number of people in the household.

Upon selecting 'Continue,' the information provided thus far will be saved and processed.

## [IF MORE THAN ONE PERSON]

The next section is about the demographics of your household. You will be asked to provide some information about yourself and the other members of your household.

Please fill in the following table with a way of identifying each person. This will make it easier to refer to them in questions later in the survey. You could use a name, nickname, initials, or familial relationship (husband, son 12 years old, etc.).

| Person \#1 ID |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Person \#2 ID |  |
| Person \#3 ID |  |
| Etc. |  |

[TABLE LISTING ALL HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS]
Before proceeding, please ensure that all information provided is accurate.
If the number of people in the household is not correct, use the Previous button to go back to the question about the number of people in the household.
Upon selecting 'Continue,' the information provided thus far will be saved and processed.

## [ONE PERSON HOUSEHOLD]

To edit your demographic information, please click on the Edit Demographics link in the above table. Once your demographic questions are complete, you can enter your trips.
[MORE THAN ONE PERSON HOUSEHOLD]
To edit the information for any household member, please click on the Edit Demographics links in the above table. Once demographic information is entered for all members of your household, you can start entering your trips.
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

## DEMOGRAPHICS

gender
C1. What is [your/PERSON's] gender?
Refers to current gender which may be different from sex assigned at birth and may be different from what is indicated on legal documents.

1. Male
2. Female
3. Non-binary
4. Prefer to self-describe: $\qquad$
5. Decline /don't know
age
C2. What is [your/PERSON's] age?
6. decline / don't know
agerangeoriginal
C2A. [if not provide specific age] What age range do [you /PERSON] belong to?
(INTERVIEWER: Read the age ranges, starting at a relevant one)
7. $0-4$ years
8. 5-10 years
9. 11- 15 years
10. 16-17 years
11. 18-24 years
12. $25-34$ years
13. $35-44$ years
14. $45-54$ years
15. $55-64$ years
16. $65+$ years
17. decline / don't know
agecategoryoriginal
C2B. [if 99 to C2A]
Unfortunately, the survey cannot proceed without an answer to this question. Demographic information such as age is crucial to transportation research. Your participation is very important, and all personal information you provide will be kept strictly confidential. Click here to view our Privacy Statement.

Rather than terminating the survey, would you reconsider answering this question?
If you are uncomfortable providing us [your / PERSON's] exact age, please select from the ranges below to continue the survey.
[if (PERSON = 1)]

1. 16+ years (eligible for driver's licence)
2. Decline / don't know
[if (PERSON $\neq 1$ )]
3. $0-4$ years (infant or toddler, trips will not be captured)
4. 5-15 years (child or youth not eligible for driver's license)
5. 16+ years (eligible for driver's license)

INTERVIEWER: Go back to previous question if precise range given or select from broad ranges above
[If still refuse:] Thank you for your time. Have a pleasant day / evening.

C2C. [if (PERSON \#1<16 IN C2 or PERSON\#1=C2A age range<3 or PERSON\#1=C2B age range <3) AND \# of people in household =1]
[Cul-de-sac page with only Previous and End Survey buttons]
You indicated that only 1 person lives in your household, and that you are [AGE years or AGE RANGE] old.
This survey must be completed by someone 16 years of age or older who is familiar with your household's weekday travel.
If you are 16 years of age or older, click the Previous button to change your answer.
If you are under the age of 16 , please have an older person in your household fill out the survey.

## driverslicence

C3. [if age $>=16$, or $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~A}<4 \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~B}<3$ ]
[Do you/does PERSON] have a valid driver's licence?
[mouseover for valid driver's licence: This includes any category of motor vehicle licence, including a temporary learner's permit. Answer 'No' if the licence has expired and has not been renewed or if it has been suspended.]

1. Yes
2. No
3. decline / don't know

## studentft, studentpt

C4. [ask if 5+ years of age (C2>=5 or C2A>=2 or C2B >=2)]
[Do you/does PERSON] currently attend school or another learning institution? (K-12 or postsecondary)

1. Yes, full-time student
2. Yes, part-time student
3. No
schooltype
C4A. What kind of school [do you/does PERSON] attend?
4. Elementary school
5. High school or junior high
6. College or university
7. Alternate, adult basic education, or other
8. Online / distance learning only, please specify level (high school, college, university, adult basic education: $\qquad$
schoolname
C4B. What is the name of [your/PERSON'S] school?
(you can choose from suggestions that appear as you type, or, if none of the suggestions applies, you can type the name exactly as you know it)
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

School Name: $\qquad$ [Auto-suggest as you type]
8. Home schooled (does not attend a school outside the home)
[List of K-12 schools in CRD provided by CRD, supplemented with public post-secondary, and larger private post-secondary]
[Include street address and municipality in description of school location]
schooladdress, schoollat, schoollong, etc.
C4D. [If not on list] What is the location of the school?
[If on list, map location:] Does this location appear to be correct? (If it is not correct, please drag the marker on the map, double-click, or use the search bar to find the correct location) LOCATION CAPTURE [SCHOOL CO-ORDINATES / TAZ]
workpt, workft
C5A. [If 12+ years of age (C2>=12 or C2A>=3 or C2B>=3)]
[Are you/Is PERSON] currently employed (i.e., a worker or self-employed)? Full-time or parttime?
(If you have more than one job, please respond with respect to the hours of work of your main job)
(Answer "Employed" if self-employed)
(Interviewer: If mentions volunteering, treat as a No and capture in the next question)
11. Employed Full-time ( 30 hours or more per week)
12. Employed Part-time (less than 30 hours per week)
20. Not employed (stay-at-home parent, retired, unemployed, unpaid volunteer, maternity leave, disability leave)

## ftworkftschool

C5X. [if respondent indicated both $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}$ student and $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{t}$ worker, provide message:]
From your answers, it appears that [you attend/PERSON attends] school full-time and also [work/works] full-time (more than 30 hours per week at [your/PERSON's] main job). Is this correct?

1. Yes, attend school full-time and work full-time (work more than 30 hours/week)
2. No, attend school part-time and work full-time (work more than 30 hours/week)
3. No, attend school full-time and work part-time (work less than 30 hours/week)
4. Decline / don't know
unemployed, notlooking, retired, caregiver, mainactother
C5B. [ask if (16+ years and not employed) or ( 5 to 15 years and not a student)]
Which best describes [your/PERSON's] main activity...?
5. Student
6. Stay-at-home parent or caregiver [only display if age 16+]
7. Unemployed (available for and actively seeking work) [only display if age 15+]
8. Not employed and not looking for work (disability, unpaid volunteer, parental leave) [only display if age 15+]
9. Retired [only display if age 40 +]
10. Other, please specify: $\qquad$

Add validation if $\mathrm{C} 4=$ no. not a student and $\mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{~B}=$ Student, then:
You indicated earlier that [YOU/PERSON] [are/is] not a student. Are [YOU/PERSON] a student?
if yes, then send back to C4; if no, then send back to C5B.
workplace, workaddress, worklat, worklong, etc.
C6A. [If worker:]
Q14D(1) Do [you/PERSON] have a usual place of work outside the home?
If [you/PERSON] are currently working from home due to the COVID pandemic, but would otherwise go in to work, select 'usual workplace'

6: Work at a usual workplace that [you go/PERSON goes] to regularly or occasionally (use Google Map on the next page to map [your/PERSON's] usual workplace)
3: No fixed workplace address / no usual place of work / work on the road / worksite changes daily
1: Work exclusively from home (and do not have a workplace [you/PERSON] would usually travel to)
[Google Map based location capture]
worktcmon, worktctue, etc.
C6B [if work at a usual workplace outside the home (i.e., exclude those who work exclusively from home and those with no fixed workplace address)]
In the last week, on which weekdays did [you/PERSON] telecommute (work from home) rather than travel to [your/PERSON's] usual workplace? Select all that apply

1. Monday
2. Tuesday
3. Wednesday
4. Thursday
5. Friday
6. Did not work from home at all in the last week [PROGRAMMER: mutually exclusive to 1 through 5]
7. Don't know
workcmon, workctue, etc.
C6C [if work at a usual workplace outside the home (i.e., exclude those who work exclusively from home and those with no fixed workplace address)]
In the last week, on which weekdays did [you/PERSON] travel to work or travel for workrelated purposes? Select all that apply
8. Monday
9. Tuesday
10. Wednesday
11. Thursday
12. Friday
13. Did not travel to work or for work purposes in the last week [PROGRAMMER: mutually exclusive to 1 through 5]
14. Don't know

## occupationtype

C7. Which of the following best fits the nature of [your/PERSON's] occupation?
77. Commercial driver (such as a courier, food delivery, taxi, or bus driver)
10. Industrial Employment (Manufacturing, Mining, Oil \& Gas Extraction, Construction, Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting, Transportation and Warehousing)
20. Office Employment (Professional, Scientific and Technical Services, Finance and Insurance, Management of Companies and Enterprises, Public Admin, Real Estate, Rental, Leasing, Information and Cultural)
31. Accommodation and Food Services
32. Other Main Services (Administration, Support, Waste Management and Remedial Services, Utilities, Other Services)
40. Retail and Wholesale Employment
50. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
60. Health Care and Social Assistance
70. School Employment
80. Other/unsure, please specify: $\qquad$
INTERVIEWER: Read main title, only read examples in brackets if necessary to clarify
[Cycle through above questions for as many people in household as were indicated. Some questions may not be applicable for children under the age of 5 for whom we will not ask about trips]

D1.
[Begin with primary respondent, section is tailored as appropriately for subsequent respondents] This section consists of questions about the trips taken by the members of your household during a single weekday (your Travel Day).
In order to ensure the most accurate recollection of your travel, please use [yesterday/TRAVELDAY] as your Travel Day.

## [CYCLE THROUGH TRIPS SECTION FOR ALL HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS >= age 5]

This section is about the trips you made on [TRAVEL DAY], that is any trip during the 24-hour period between 4:00 a.m. yesterday ([TRAVEL DAY]) and 4:00 a.m. this morning, whether for work, school, shopping or any other purpose.

This section will have a series of questions for each separate trip. [if any member of the household is under the age of 5 , i.e., $\mathrm{C} 2<5$ or $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~A}=1$ or $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~B}=1$ :] We will only ask for trip details for children 5 years of age or older.

What is a trip? A trip is a one-way journey from one location to a destination for a single purpose. A trip may include more than one mode of travel, such as car and transit.

- It is important to report all trips, even for a short distance, on foot for instance.
- If you stopped off on your way to somewhere else, such as to drop off a child at school or pick up a coffee, then that journey would be two trips. The return portion of a journey is also considered a separate trip.
- Report all trips, whether made by walking, car, truck, bicycle, transit or any other mode of travel.
- [if person is employed:] Report your trips for business meetings and work-related purposes.
- Do not report walking the dog around the block and returning to the same place.
- Do not report going for a jog around the neighbourhood and returning to the same place. (However, if you jog to work, please report jogging to work as a trip to work).

How precise do locations need to be? We will ask you where you travelled to. Please try to describe locations as precisely as possible, to the accuracy of street address. Use the Google Map provided to search for a specific business or place, or double click on the map to set a 'pushpin' marker. You can drag the marker to the exact location. If possible, try to avoid placing markers at intersections - drag them to the actual destination you travelled to.
[if person is employed:]
If [you/PERSON] are a commercial driver (bus driver, taxi driver, courier, food delivery driver, traveling salesman): You do not have to tell us about the all the work trips you made for commercial deliveries, or while driving a taxi or bus. But please report the following:

- Your first trip to where you started your work day (terminal, office) or your first delivery or stopping point if you started your delivery/work schedule directly from home.
- Your final work-related stopping point if it is different from the one above.
- A return trip to your home or other non-work related location at the end of your work day.
- All personal trips by any mode of travel.
(Interviewer Note: If the person was out of town yesterday, we can capture their travel if it passed through or ended up in the CRD).
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.
[CYCLE THROUGH TRIP SECTION FOR PRIMARY RESPONDENT]
[NEXT, CYCLE THROUGH INTRODUCTION AND TRIP FOR EACH HOUSEHOLD MEMBER]


## TRIP CAPTURE - START OF TRAVEL DAY

[if PERSON's age<=5 read following introduction]
As [PERSON] is under the age of $5, I$ do not have to ask you questions about the trips they took yesterday. However, I will ask whether he or she travelled at all yesterday.
(If asked why: It is assumed that most of the time we would capture their travel accompanied by an adult, in an adults' trips)

Web: As [PERSON] is under the age of 5, you do not need to provide details about the trips they took yesterday. However, we would like to know whether he or she travelled at all yesterday.

## anytrip

E1. Did [your/PERSON] first trip start from home yesterday?
(Note: Trips include those made via any mode of travel, including non-motorized modes of transportation such as walking, cycling, rollerblading, skateboarding, and so on)

1. Yes, my first trip started from home
2. No, my first trip started somewhere else
3. I did not make any trips yesterday [CYCLE TO NEXT PERSON]

## whynotrips

E1X. [If E1=2 (no trips):]
Why did [you/PERSON] not leave home or make any trips [yesterday/TRAVEL DAY]?

1. Out of town for entire day
2. Sick/ill or care for other sick/ill household member
3. Not scheduled for school classes or activities
4. Not scheduled for work or on extended leave from work (paternity/maternity, short-term disability)
5. Worked from home, and did not leave home for any reason
6. No need to leave home
7. Could not leave home, no transportation available
8. Other (specify): $\qquad$
9. Actually, [I/PERSON] did leave home to go to work or school or to make at least one other kind of trip [GO BACK TO E1]
[IF E1C OTHER THAN 100 (did make trips) CYCLE TO NEXT PERSON]
originlat, originlon, etc.
E4. What was the starting point of your first trip [yesterday/TRAVEL DAY]?
LOCATION CAPTURE [ORIGIN CO-ORDINATES]
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

## APPENDICES

## originnothomereason

E4A. [If E4 <> home]
You mentioned that [your/person's] first trip of the day started at a location other than your home. Is it that [you were/PERSON was]...?

1. Working a night shift (past 4 am , the start of the travel day)
2. Staying overnight at another household? (friend's, relative's, parent's, etc.)
3. Away from home on business travel?
4. Away from home on vacation (or other personal travel)?
5. Another reason, please specify: $\qquad$

TRIP CAPTURE
departtime
E2. [if first trip] At what time did [you/PERSON] leave to make [your/PERSON's] first trip? [subsequent trip] At what time did [you/PERSON] leave [your/their] previous destination...? (0400 to 2759)
Please enter a time between 4:00 a.m. the previous day [TRAVELDAY] and 3:59 a.m.
[TRAVELDAY+1]
Time: [Dropdown with hours and AM/PM] Minutes: $\qquad$ [0-59]
Please provide your best guess if you cannot give the exact time.
trippurpose
E3. What was the main purpose of this trip?
10. Travel to Work (usual place of work)
11. Work-related
[mouseover: Trips to attend meetings, and for other work-related purposes.
If job hunting or volunteering, please select 'Other'.]
12. Working on the road / itinerant workplace / no fixed work address
20. Post-Secondary School
30. Attend School (K-12)
[mouseover: Trips made for the purpose of attending school.
If driving someone to/from school, select 'Pick up a passenger' or 'drop off a passenger'.
If parent attending parent-teacher meeting, select 'Other'.
If work at the school, select Work.]
31. Attend Daycare
50. Recreational
51. Social (visiting friends, family, religious)
60. Restaurant (whether eat-in or take-out)
70. Shopping and household maintenance (grocery, shoe store, auto repair, gas station)
40. Personal business (e.g., bank, dentist, health appointments, personal care)
91. Pick up a passenger (e.g., pick up child at school, pick up someone at work, etc)
92. Drop off a passenger (e.g., drop off child at school, drop off someone at work, etc)
80. RETURN HOME ([recall address]) [DO NOT DISPLAY IF LOCATION SELECTED IS 'USUAL WORK' OR IF ORIGIN IS HOME OR (IF ORIGIN IS WORK AND USUAL WORK = HOME)]
888. Other, please specify: $\qquad$
destlat, destlong, etc.
E5. [always ask if first trip just to be sure this is clear
OR
ask if trip number>1 and purpose not Return Home (i.e., do not need to ask if subsequent trips and purpose of return home)
OR
ask if trip number>1 and E5A=No (circled back here from E5A)]
What was the destination of this trip?
(Note: For trips requiring air travel or ferry travel: please treat the trip to the airport or the ferry terminal as a separate trip from the trip on the airplane or ferry.)
LOCATION CAPTURE [DESTINATION CO-ORDINATES / TAZ]
[WORK LOCATIONS AND SCHOOL LOCATIONS FOR ALL HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS ARE INCLUDED IN LIST OF KNOWN LOCATIONS]

## desthomeconfirm

E5A. [If Trip purpose $=$ Return Home and E5 not asked]
To confirm, the destination of [your/PERSON's] trip was your home ([recall address for reference])?

1. Yes [continue]
2. No, another location [go back to E5]
mode1, mode2, mode3, mode4, mode5
E7. How did you get there? Please select up to 5 modes, in order of use.
INTERVIEWER NOTE: If Transit bus in first mode, probe: how did you get to the bus stop?
If only one mode, prompt: did you use another mode of transportation?
If answer of "carpooling": was that as a passenger or as a driver?
What was your first mode of transportation?
Mode 1: [select from drop down]
Mode 2: [select from drop down]
Mode 3: [select from drop down]
Mode 4: [select from drop down]
Mode 5: [select from drop down]
3. Auto driver
4. Auto passenger
5. Car share driver
6. Car share passenger
7. Transit
8. HandyDart
9. School bus (e.g., yellow bus)
10. Walked (incl. jogging, wheelchair, mobility scooter)
11. Bicycle
12. E-bike (pedal-assist electric bicycle)
13. Personal micromobility device (e.g., kick scooter, skateboard, inline skates, unicycle)
14. Personal electric micromobility device (e.g., e-kick scooter, e-skateboard, hoverboard, e-unicycle/mono-wheel)
15. Taxi
16. Harbour ferry / water taxi
17. BC Ferries
18. Other marine (e.g., personal boat, Seattle Clipper, Blackball ferry, etc.)
19. Motorcycle or scooter
20. Train
21. Airplane
22. Other (please specify): $\qquad$

TRIP CAPTURE - TRANSIT
transitaccessmodecheck
E7A. [if first mode recorded was 5. transit bus]
How did [you/PERSON] get to the bus stop?
19. Bus stop was right in front of my origin (the starting point of the trip: [previous destination])
[Same list of modes as above]

## transitegressmodecheck

E7B. [If last of the modes recorded was 5. transit bus (last mode could be in any of 2-5)]
How did [you/PERSON] get from the bus stop to your final destination ([destination of this trip])? Or did the bus drop you off right in front of your destination?
19. Bus stop was right at my destination ([recall current destination])
[Same list of modes as above]
parkandride
E8. [if motorbike/auto driver/auto passenger then bus, or bus then motorbike/auto driver/auto passenger (look at answers of all of main mode question and of access and egress mode questions)]
Did you transfer from [bus / automobile/motorbike] to [automobile/motorbike / bus] at a Park and Ride location?
77. No, did not transfer at a Park \& Ride location

1. Sooke Park \& Ride (Sooke Rd @ Sooke River Rd, by Edward Milne School)
2. Colwood Exchange Park \& Ride / WestShore Parks \& Rec Centre
3. Helmken Park \& Ride (Helmken Rd @ Hwy 1)
4. McTavish Park \& Ride (McTavish Rd @ Pat Bay Hwy, North Saanich)
5. Other (specify): $\qquad$
route1, route2, route3, route4, route5
E9. [if bus]
What bus routes did [you/PERSON] take? (in the order that they were taken)
(After capturing one bus route, prompt: Did you take another bus route?)
Web: Please list the bus routes that [you/PERSON] took? (in the order that they were taken)
First route: $\qquad$
Second route: $\qquad$
Third route: $\qquad$
Fourth route: $\qquad$
Fifth route: $\qquad$

TRIP CAPTURE - AUTO DRIVER OR PASSENGER

## drivernolicense

E19A. [if E7 mode = auto driver OR motorcycle AND not licensed to drive]
[if auto driver:] You reported that [you were/PERSON was] an automobile driver for this trip; however, you previously indicated that [you do/PERSON does] not have a driver's license. Which of the following best applies...?
[if motorcycle:] You reported that [you were/PERSON was] traveled by motorcycle on this trip; however, you previously indicated that [you do/PERSON does] not have a driver's license.
Which of the following best applies...?

1. [YOU actually have/Person actually has] a driver's license
2. [YOU were/Person was] a [if motorcycle: motorcycle] passenger, not the driver
3. Other, please specify: $\qquad$

## drivernohhvehicles

E19B. [E7= auto driver AND no vehicles available to the household ( $B 6=0$ )]
You reported that [YOU were/PERSON was] an automobile driver for this trip; however, you previously indicated that your household has no vehicles available for your use. Which of the following applies...?

1. I drove a work vehicle, rental, or borrowed vehicle
2. I drove a car share vehicle
3. My household actually has vehicles. Please specify how many: $\qquad$
4. No, [I/PERSON] was a actually a passenger, not the driver

## numvehoccupants

E10. [if by automobile (driver or passenger - look at answers of all of main mode question and of access and egress mode questions)]
How many people were in the car, including [yourself/PERSON]?

1. 1
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7-14
8. 15 or more
9. Don't know
hhmember01, hhmember02, etc
E10A. [if E10>1]
Were any of the other people in the car with [you/PERSON], members of your household? If yes, who? (select all that apply)
[List all household members including those less than 5 yrs of age]
10. Household member 1
11. Household member 1
... Etc. ...
12. Household member 11
13. Yes, but not sure who (family members not specified)
14. No, no other family members in vehicle
15. Don't know

TRIP CAPTURE - OTHER STOPS

## otherstop

E50. [ask this question if Age>14 and \{(Origin=Home and Destination=any household member's work or school) OR (Origin= any household member's work or school and Destination=Home)\}. Intent is to capture missed incidental trips without forcing respondent to go back and correct previous info.] In [your/PERSON's] trip from [ORIGIN] to [DESTINATION], did [you/PERSON] make any other stops along the way? (stopped for gas, went through drive-through, picked someone up, or dropped someone off)

1. Yes
2. No
otherstoplat, otherstoplong, etc.
E50B. [If E50=Yes]
Where did [you/PERSON] stop?
LOCATION CATPURE
otherstoppurpose
E50C. [If E50=Yes]
Why did [you/PERSON] stop there?
[Repeat list of trip purposes]
otherstoppickup
E50D. [If E50=Yes and E50C = picked someone up and Mode=Driver]
How many people did [you/PERSON] pick up there?
otherstopdropoff
E50E. [If E50=Yes and E50C = dropped someone off and Mode=Driver] How many people did [you/PERSON] drop off there?

## otherstopdepart

E50F. What time did [you/PERSON] leave [location in E50B] to go to [E5 DESTINATION]?
Please enter a time between 4:00 a.m. the previous day [TRAVELDAY] and 3:59 a.m. [TRAVELDAY+1]
Time: [Dropdown with hours and AM/PM] Minutes: $\qquad$ [0-59]

TRIP CAPTURE - OTHER INFORMATION
vehavailable
E11. [if trip made not driver or passenger and Origin=Home and Person has drivers licence and HH had vehicles]

Was a vehicle available to [you/PERSON] to make this trip?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know

## APPENDICES

## tripnotes

E11N. Interviewer Note: If there is anything unusual about a trip (e.g., round trip from home to home) or the individual trip chains, or if useful information for the location geo-coder, please make notes here, otherwise proceed to next question without delay. Use only when necessary. Web: Is there any additional information you would like to provide about this trip (e.g., clarification of location, purpose, etc.)]?

## othertrip

E12. Prompt: Did [you/PERSON] make another trip after that?

1. Yes
2. No

## TRIP CAPTURE - END OF TRAVEL DAY

## didnotreturnhome

E13. [if E12 = No AND (destination <> home OR trip purpose $<>$ home)
From your answers, it appears [you/PERSON] did not return home.
Just to confirm, [were you/was PERSON] at this final destination, [RECALL DESTINATION], until at least past 4 a.m. [today/TRAVEL DAY+1] (the end of the travel day)?

1. Did not return home, was at this final destination until past 4 a.m.
2. Returned home (more trips to record) [RETURN TO E12 AND CORRECT ANSWER]
3. Returned home but already entered the return home trip earlier in the survey [CONTINUE FORWARD]

## whynoreturnhome

E14. [if E14 = 1. yes]
Why did [you/PERSON] not return home before the end of the day?
(Note: for this survey, the end of the Travel Day extends past midnight to 4 am the next day)
(We are only asking as a check to ensure that we captured [your/PERSON's] entire travel)

1. Worked a night shift past 4 am
2. Stayed overnight at another household (whether friend, relative, parent)
3. Away from home on business travel or working on the road
4. Away from home for vacation travel
5. Other, please specify: $\qquad$

## whynowork

E16. [if employed (C5A=yes) AND did not make a work-related trip AND no trip destination of 'usual workplace' (E5<>main work location) AND E12=777 (No more trips)]
You did not report [going to work / that [PERSON] went to work] [yesterday/on TRAVEL DAY]. What was the reason you didn't make any trips to your usual place of employment yesterday?

1. Worked from home (telecommuted)
2. Away on business / working on the road
3. Did not work
4. Actually [I/PERSON] worked and did take work-related trips or travelled to work
5. Other, specify: $\qquad$

E17A. [if E16=4 actually did work)]
Please add your trips to and from work, on the Trips Overview page whether you walked or used another mode of travel.
Please also record any other trips by modes other than walking that you may have missed. Link to Trips Overview page.

## whynoschool

E16A. [if a full-time student ( $\mathrm{C} 4=1$ ) AND did not make a school-related trip AND no trip destination of 'school' (E5<>person's own school) AND E12=777 (No more trips)]
You did not report [going to school / that PERSON went to school]. Did [you/PERSON] attend school [yesterday/on TRAVELDAY]?

1. Did go to school
2. Did not have any scheduled classes, stayed home sick, or did not attend school for another reason
3. Away on a field trip or other travel
4. Other, specify: $\qquad$

E17B. [if went to school E16A=Yes and usual school location other than 'home']
Please add your trips to and from school, on the Trips Overview page whether you walked or used another mode of travel. Link to Trips Overview Page
Please also record any other trips by modes other than walking that you may have missed.

## [CYCLE THROUGH TRIPS FOR EACH PERSON]

E20. Your household trips can be reviewed and edited on this page before exiting the trip section of the survey. You can also add additional trips here that you may have missed. Can you think of any other trips you or other members of your household made [yesterday/TRAVEL DAY] either during the day or in the evening that we may have missed?
If so, click on Add Trips or use the Edit trip links to edit a trip you've already entered.
If you are done entering trips, click on Go to Household Summary where you can continue through the final questions of the survey once you've finished your trip entries for your household.

FINAL QUESTIONS
havecarshare
B9A. [Household size is greater than 1] Is any member of your household a member of a car-sharing service?
[Household size=1] Are you a member of a car-sharing service?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know
carsharemodo, carshareevo, carshareother, carshareotherspec
B9B. [IF B9A = 1]
Please indicate which members of your household are members of a car sharing service (select all that apply:
[only list household members >=16 years of age] [allow more than one response]
R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. with David Kriger Consultants Inc.

APPENDICES

|  |  | $\underline{\text { Modo }}$ | $\underline{\text { Evo }}$ | Other, please <br> specify | $\frac{\text { Not a car share }}{\text { member }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PERSON1 | $\underline{O}$ | $\underline{O}$ |  | $\underline{O}$ |  |
| PERSON2 | $\underline{O}$ | $\underline{O}$ |  | $\underline{O}$ |  |
| PERSON2 | $\underline{O}$ | $\underline{O}$ |  | $\underline{O}$ |  |
| $\ldots$ etc... | $\underline{O}$ | $\underline{O}$ |  | $\underline{O}$ |  |

## SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTIONS

[The next three questions are supplementary questions added later, and were answered by approximately two-thirds of survey respondents]
rentown
B10A. Do you rent or own your place of residence?

1. Rent
2. Own
3. Decline to answer

## evchargeavailable

B10B. Is electric vehicle charging available to you where you live?

Answer yes if you have access to an AC Household Charging station (wallbox), or a DC Fast Charge station, whether public or private. You may answer also answer yes if you have access to specialized equipment for safe AC trickle charging, but only if it is designed for regular/daily use rather than occasional/emergency use. Answer 'not available' if you do not have convenient access to EV charging.

1. Yes, in my building
2. Yes, nearby
3. Not available or not near enough to be convenient for me
4. Don't know / decline to answer
hhincome
B10C. Please indicate the range which corresponds to your household's total gross income last year (from all sources, before income taxes).
[Phone:] May I ask which of the following ranges corresponds to your household's total income last year? (Consider all sources of income, before income taxes)? (INTERVIEWER: read answers until confirmation)
5. Under \$25,000
6. \$25,000-\$49,999
7. \$50,000 - \$79,999
8. \$80,000 - \$124,999
9. \$125,000-\$199,000
10. \$200,000 and over
11. Decline to answer / don't know

## APPENDICES

Why do we ask this question? This question will help us understand whether we have surveyed a representative sample of the entire population. It will help us better understand the different transportation needs and travel patterns of all residents of our region, including how easy or difficult it is for households of different income levels to travel around our region. This question, like other questions on the survey, is entirely voluntary. Your answers will remain confidential.

## FINAL COMMENTS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

## finalcomments

B10A. [Online respondents] Did you have any difficulty reporting your trip information?

No

B10B. [Online respondents] Do you have any final comments about the information you provided on your survey?
[INTERVIEWER NOTE] Do not ask the respondent if they have any final comments to make. Do not record any information here unless it pertains to potential issues in the trip data collected (e.g., you think you made an error in capturing trips, or the system did not perform as expected).

## 99. No comments

## agreefutureresearch

B11. Would you be willing to be contacted to participate in future transportation-related research? Your contact information will only be used to contact you for future transportation-related research conducted by the Capital Regional District or one of the agencies or municipalities which have partnered on this survey. Your contact information will never be sold or shared with any other agency, or used for any other purpose other than to invite you to participate in research in the future. Click here to see our Privacy Statement.

1. Yes
2. No

PRIZE DRAW
prizedraw
F1. Participants in the survey are eligible to enter a prize draw. A total of $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 5 0}$ in prizes will be awarded. Would you like me to enter you into the draw?

Web: Would you like to enter into the draw?

INTERVIEWER: If more information requested

Prizes include:

- 1 cash prize of $\$ 500$
- 50 cash prizes of $\$ 25$

The prize draw is administered by R.A. Malatest \& Associates Ltd. and will be drawn once the survey administration period is completed.

1. Yes
2. No

## prizedrawname, prizedrawphone, prizedrawemail

F2. [If yes] May I confirm your name and phone number, so that we can contact you at this phone number to let you know that you have won?

Your name and phone number will be kept confidential and will be used only to contact you in the event your name is selected in the prize draw.

Web: Please confirm your name and phone number, so that the survey administrator can contact you at this phone number in the event your name is selected in the prize draw.

This personal information will not be used for any other purpose nor will it be shared with anyone else.

Name: $\qquad$ [prepopulate with first name, if respondent provided their name earlier]

Phone: $\qquad$ [prepopulated with household phone number. Allow edits in case respondent wants to be contacted at another number]

## CONCLUSION

Please click on the Submit button to submit your survey answers and conclude the survey. After you click Submit, you will no longer be able to edit your answers.

That concludes the Capital Regional District Origin-Destination Household Travel Survey.
Thank you very much for your participation!

Your survey answers have been saved. Click here to see our Privacy Statement.

If you wish to change any of your answers, or if you have any concerns about the survey, please contact info@CRDtravelsurvey.ca or 1.888.430.2115 (toll free) or 250.999.1022 (local number).

That concludes the survey. Thank you very much for your cooperation. Have a pleasant evening.

2022 CRD Origin Destinatien Household TravelSurvey

Final Results

## Why do we conduct the Origin Destination Household Travel Survey?

The Origin Destination Household Travel Survey provides a profile of travel patterns in the region with a snapshot of travel by CRD residents on an average fall day.

We need data to:

- guide additional research
- support transportation and land use planning decisions

Shaping the future of regional transportation


## Survey Areas

- Regional Planning Area (RPA)
- and Salt Spring Island


## New Questions

- E-bikes and e-micromobility devices

How many in household? (+regular adult, child bikes)

- Commuting / Telecommuting Patterns

On which weekdays last week did you travel to or for work? On which weekdays did you telecommute?

- If suite in house, clarify dwelling type Is it in a house with 3 or more apartments/dwelling units? (i.e., duplex / semi-detached vs. apartment building definition)
- Housing Tenure*

Do you rent or own your place of residence?

- Household Income*

Range corresponding to your household's total gross income last year (from all sources, before income taxes)

## - Access to electric charging stations at home*

 Is electric vehicle charging available at home or nearby?

[^37]
## Key Findings

## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Daily Trips in the Regional Planning Area (RPA)

Daily trip volumes and avg. daily trips/person



# 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Sub-Regional Flows and Internal Trips 

Estimated daily trips by residents of the RPA and Salt Spring Island

Not depicted:

- 900 trips between Salt Spring Island and the Core (700),the Westshore (100), and the South CVRD (100)
- 500 trips between Saanich Peninsula and the South CVRD
- A total of 6,900 trips between the study area sub-regions and external locations north of the South CVRD or on the Lower Mainland


## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey

 Final Results Mode Shares for Persons 11+ Years

## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Total Trips Walking, Cycling and Transit




2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Sub-Regional Mode Share and Inter-Regional Flows

Trips entirely within Core:

- $46 \%$ auto driver $\downarrow$ ( $50 \%$ in 2017)
- $8 \%$ transit $\quad \downarrow$ (9\% in 2017)
- 11\% bicycle $\uparrow(7 \%$ in 2017)
- $21 \%$ walk $\uparrow(19 \%$ in 2017 $)$

Inter-regional trips:

- Close to three-quarters auto driver
- 6\% transit for flows to/from Westshore has dropped from 10\% in 2017


## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final

 Results Trips by Hour 2017 vs 2022

## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Trips by Purpose

| Trip Purpose | 2017 | 2022 | change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work | 178,200 | 140,600 | $-37,600$ |
| Post-secondary school | 18,700 | 16,800 | $-1,900$ |
| K-12 school | 38,400 | 41,000 | 2,600 |
| Shopping / household maintenance | 126,800 | 112,700 | $-14,200$ |
| Personal business | 63,800 | 59,100 | $-4,700$ |
| Restaurant | 45,700 | 31,400 | $-14,300$ |
| Recreation | 68,300 | 70,600 | 2,300 |
| Social | 49,600 | 39,800 | $-9,800$ |
| Pick-up or drop-off passenger | 89,000 | 72,800 | $-16,100$ |
| Other | 6,000 | 3,800 | $-2,300$ |
| Return Home | 419,700 | 407,700 | $-12,100$ |
| Total | $1,104,300$ | 996,300 | $-108,000$ |



## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Trips by Hour and Purpose

## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Trend in \# of trips by purpose 2001-2022



## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Vehicle Fuel Types



## Insights

## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Trends in Commuting and Work from Home

workplace location


## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Trends in Commuting and Work from Home

Full time workers with usual place of work outside the home


## 2022 CRD Origin Destination Household Travel Survey Final Results Mode Shift Potential




## Applications

Information from the survey can contribute to the following corporate plan initiatives:

- 4b-2 Prepare policy positions to support advocacy for infrastructure investments and service improvements to achieve regional transportation priority items related to mass transit, general transit improvements, active transportation and multimodal and safe highways.
- 4b-3 Support local governments to implement consistent approaches to transportation demand management, active transportation and safety policy
- 4d-1 Shift travel behaviour through education, encouragement, and information


## Questions



Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Consent on Behalf for Bylaw 4551 - Amendment to Increase Annual Requisition for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To revise obtaining participating area approval in the electoral areas for Bylaw No. 4551 by way of electoral area director consent on behalf as per section 349 (2) of the Local Government Act.

## BACKGROUND

At its meeting held May 10, 2023, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board gave three readings to the following bylaw (Appendix A):

- Bylaw No. 4551 "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" to increase maximum requisition to be up to a maximum that is the greater of $\$ 11,500,000$ or a property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per $\$ 1,000$ on the net taxable value of land and improvements in the capital region.

As part of the legislative process, the bylaw was submitted to the Inspector of Municipalities for review. The Province recommended that the CRD consider making the approval process easier by separating the approval process to amend the service's establishment bylaw from the approval for long-term borrowing under Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

Initially, the CRD Board endorsed that elector approval be obtained by municipal participants via council consent on behalf of electors, and by alternative approval process in the three electoral areas. The Province has suggested that the CRD change its method of obtaining elector approval by way of electoral area director consent on behalf of each electoral participating area.

On August 10, 2023, the bylaw obtained statutory approval and the CRD may now proceed with obtaining two-thirds consent on behalf of the participating areas without further delay.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That participating area approval for Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" be obtained via two-thirds consent on behalf of municipal and electoral area participating areas;
2. That participating area approval in the electoral areas for Bylaw No. 4551 be provided by the Electoral Area Directors consenting on behalf, rather than alternative approval process.

## Alternative 2

That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

By proceeding with Electoral Area Director consent on behalf, rather than electoral area alternative approval process, the costs of undertaking an AAP will be avoided.

For additional information on the bylaw and the future planned use of the funds, please refer to the previous staff report dated May 3, 2023 (Appendix B).

## CONCLUSION

The CRD Board may seek elector approval for Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", by obtaining two-thirds consent on behalf of municipal and electoral area participating areas. Electoral area directors could consent on behalf of the electoral areas, rather than undertaking an alternative approval process in each electoral area. This approach is the result of Province's recommendation that the CRD separate the approval of Bylaw No. 4551 from the long-term borrowing Bylaw No. 4552, ""Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023."

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That participating area approval for Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" be obtained via two-thirds consent on behalf of municipal and electoral area participating areas.
2. That participating area approval in the electoral areas for Bylaw No. 4551 be provided by the Electoral Area Directors consenting on behalf, rather than alternative approval process.

| Submitted by: | Marlene Lagoa, Manager, Legislative Services \& Deputy Corporate Officer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4551 at Third Reading
Appendix B: Previous Staff Report dated May 3, 2023

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

BYLAW NO. 4551

## A BYLAW TO AMEND THE LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW NO. 1, 2010

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. The Board desires to increase the maximum requisition, in order to implement housing initiatives in the Capital Regional District, and Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", details anticipated borrowing to be supported by the increased requisition;
D. Under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval in the municipalities shall be obtained by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process; and
E. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" is hereby amended by replacing Section 6, Maximum Requisition, in its entirety as follows:

In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Eleven million five hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 11,500,000$ ); or
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in
the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | August, | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |

# REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, MAY 03, 2023 

## SUBJECT Future Housing Partnerships Alternative Approval Process and Bylaw Amendments

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To permit the borrowing of up to $\$ 85$ million (M) through the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking service in support of partnership opportunities related to increasing the supply of affordable, inclusive, and adequate housing in the region.

## BACKGROUND

In September 2019, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board authorized staff to amend Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" (Appendix A - showing track changes from proposed Bylaw No. 4551 amendment) to remove a borrowing maximum of $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ under the service as well as remove a 25 -year limit on the length of time a housing agreement could be entered into. At the same meeting, the CRD Board also authorized staff to proceed with obtaining elector assent for Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 4327 to permit the borrowing of $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ in support of the Regional Housing First Program (RHFP). The amendment bylaw and the loan authorization bylaw were adopted in March 2020.

As the RHFP was moving toward full program build out, staff advanced a white paper, Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships (FHPP), in July 2022. The white paper identified three areas of focus including:

- Acquisition fund to increase and preserve the supply of affordable rental and create future redevelopment opportunities, purchase of shovel-ready developments or acquire land for development of affordable housing;
- Rural Housing Strategy to increase, preserve and broaden the supply of affordable housing in small and rural communities, recognizing the need to approach housing solutions in rural communities with different assumptions than those used for conventional housing interventions in growing urban regions; and,
- Increase the supply of housing with supports for people with complex needs currently not adequately supported by the traditional model as a compliment to new provincial Complex Care Housing initiative.

The Board endorsed the FHPP and directed staff to develop a program framework and identification of funding partnership contributions to address unmet housing needs in the capital region based on the priorities identified in the white paper. The Board included a fourth area of focus which is to ensure the rapid and ongoing expansion of newly built publicly owned affordable housing in the CRD. Staff will report out on the program framework in Q4 2023.

On September 21, 2022, as part of provisional budget review, the Board directed staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for housing. This report was received at the Hospitals and Housing Committee (HHC) as an information report on March 1, 2023 (Appendix B). The HHC passed a referral motion directing staff to report back on the implications of amending Bylaw No. 3712, which was received by the Board on April 12, 2023, where the Board then passed the following motions:

1. That staff be directed to bring forward a report with an amendment to Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" to increase the allowable annual maximum requisition by $\$ 5$ million to $\$ 10$ million; and,
2. Create a loan authorization bylaw to enable the financing of up to $\$ 85$ million from the increase of the allowable annual maximum requisition of $\$ 5$ million.

Due to recent changes in interest rates as well as assessed property values, the estimated annual requisition to support $\$ 85$ million in borrowing now stands at $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$. As a result, the proposed amendment of $\$ 0.062$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed value equates approximately to a new maximum requisition of $\$ 11.5 \mathrm{M}$ instead of the $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$ referenced in the Board motion.

Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" is attached as Appendix C and the Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023" is attached as Appendix D to this staff report.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time; and,
3. That participating area approval for Bylaws No. 4551 and No. 4552 in the municipalities be obtained via council consent on behalf of electors, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, and if successful, that staff provide the bylaws to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

## Alternative 2

That the Future Housing Partnerships Alternative Approval Process and Bylaw Amendments report be referred to staff for additional information based on Hospitals and Housing Committee direction.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Intergovernmental Implications

On January 12, 2023, the Province announced the creation of a $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ fund administered by a newly created Rental Protection Fund Society (RPFS) to enable non-profits to purchase buildings and protect affordability for current and future tenants. Though there remain key details that have yet to be announced, staff have engaged BC Non-Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA), who is actively working with other stakeholders to develop the RPFS.

Preliminary discussions have identified a viable path toward a possible partnership between the CRD and the RPFS to acquire and protect existing Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH) within the capital region. However, as the RPFS is a newly formed society, more extensive discussions are on hold until it has a Chief Executive Officer (CEO) in place, which is
expected by the midway point of 2023 and the CRD has a demonstrated capacity to consider investment into a potential partnership.

Focusing more broadly on general land acquisition and potential partnerships, the province recently released its 2023 provincial budget, which included the following:

- $\quad \$ 394 \mathrm{M}$ over three years in new capital funds to acquire lands for future affordable and market housing development along main transit corridors. Full details on how these funds are to be deployed and the constraints/targets informing this investment have yet to be released. Forthcoming information will inform ongoing work related to FHPP.
- $\quad \$ 4.2$ billion (B) over three years with $\$ 2.2 B$ in operating and $\$ 2 B$ in capital funding to support Building $B C$ programs. It is important to note that this $\$ 4.2 B$ includes the previously mentioned $\$ 394 \mathrm{M}$ to support land acquisition along transit corridors as well as a range of other investments. Budget 2023 identifies $\$ 260 \mathrm{M}$ as new capital investment over three years to support Building BC and BC Housing programs, such as the Community Housing Fund (CHF).
- $\quad \$ 169 \mathrm{M}$ over three-years in capital funding to help create additional complex-care beds to support individuals with complex mental-health and substance-use challenges and whose needs are not being met through the current intervention landscape. Budget 2023 also includes $\$ 97 \mathrm{M}$ in operating funding to provide clients of this intervention with healthfocused supports and services.

Building on the funding commitments made through Budget 2023, the province released Homes for People: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster. A summary overview of the four pillars of the action plan, action items and potential connections to current or future CRD initiatives is attached as Appendix E.

On April 12, 2023, the province and the Metro Vancouver Regional District (MVRD) announced a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that will help to advance 2,000 units of rental housing over 10-years under the new Homes for People program. Phase 1 of this partnership includes an investment of $\$ 158 \mathrm{M}$ over three-years from the provincial government and is expected to support 660 new units at five sites identified by MVRD. Foundational to this partnership is a commitment from MVRD of land and cash equity totaling \$217M over 10-years.

Though still in the early stages, staff are actively engaging a range of key stakeholders to explore partnership opportunities in the following areas:

- Protect and preserve NOAH in partnership with the RPFS;
- Acquire lands for future affordable housing development along transit corridors;
- Working through the Capital Region Housing Corporation (CRHC), apply for any funding programs as available to advance the acquisition of lands and/or fund the capital costs associated with developing new affordable rental units;
- Develop scoping and feasibility for a Rural Housing Program, including advancing partnerships with other agencies and pursuing funding opportunities;
- Working in partnership with the Capital Regional Hospital District (CRHD), collaborate with Island Health (IH) and the Ministry of Mental Health and Addictions to explore partnerships related to complex-care housing; and,
- Look to the model of MVRD to consider a longer-term MOU that could leverage CRDinvestment, if available.


## Service Delivery Implications

As the current capacity under Bylaw No. 3712 is fully committed to already approved initiatives, consideration of any additional projects will require new resourcing. The recent announcements from the provincial government provide several areas of opportunity that staff are actively exploring. Should the CRD create the capacity to invest into potential partnerships, it will demonstrate an enhanced commitment to addressing key regional challenges and will also provide staff with the ability to signal to prospective partners the opportunity to leverage and layer funds to achieve a greater impact than would otherwise be possible.

It is also important to note that any efforts to advance work related to FHPP involved two related, but distinct steps. The first is to create the capacity, which involves an amendment to Bylaw No. 3712 and a new loan authorization bylaw. The second step will be to authorize debt on a project-by-project basis or as a part of the annual financial plan approval process.

## Alignment with Board \& Corporate Priorities

On April 12, 2023 the CRD Board approved the 2023-2026 Corporate Plan. The following initiatives under the Housing Priority are directly related to advancing the recommendations contained within this staff report:

5a-1 Increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing across the region;
5a-2 Pursue funding opportunities and matching funds to deliver more housing;
5b-1 Support continued investment into existing housing stock to preserve and enhance the quality of the buildings and units; and,
5b-2 Protect existing market rental housing to preserve affordability.

## Financial Implications

To access additional funds under this service, the amendment to Bylaw No. 3712 along with the new loan authorization bylaw require approval and adoption.

Upon adoption of both bylaws and before any funds can be expended, the financial plan will need to be updated to include revenue, expenses and borrowings. In addition, before any funds can be borrowed against the loan authorization, the board will be asked to approve a Security Issuing Bylaw.

Bylaw No. 3712 currently has a maximum requisition limit (based on property assessment values) and an existing loan authorization bylaw. The borrowing limit currently authorized is \$35M and is entirely committed in support of approved RHFP projects, after which the bylaws will no longer be active.

To establish the capacity to borrow up to an additional $\$ 85 \mathrm{M}$, the maximum requisition will need to increase to accommodate the estimated cost of the annual debt repayment. Given recent shifts in interest rates and assessed property values, the estimated annual requisition to support \$85 million in borrowing is $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$. Based on this estimate and for certainty, the amended maximum acquisition proposed is $\$ 11.5 \mathrm{M}$ or $\$ 0.062 / \$ 1,000$ of assessed value. The estimated debt servicing costs will be based on the specific future projects and related borrowings, as approved within future Financial Plan Bylaws. For example, if the full $\$ 85 \mathrm{M}$ were borrowed at the MFA's current indicative interest rate of $4.4 \%$ (as of April 24, 2023), the estimated annual debt servicing cost would be approximately $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$, with an amortization term of 30 years. Debt servicing costs are funded by requisition, and actual borrowings in each of the next five years will depend on that year's cash flow requirements.

## Legislative Implications

To borrow funds long-term (i.e., beyond five years), the approval of a loan authorization bylaw and subsequently, and separately, a security issuing bylaw are required under the Local Government Act. As part of the loan authorization bylaw approval process, the bylaw requires elector approval. Elector approval can be obtained through consent on behalf of municipal participants and electoral participating areas (by sub-regional AAP), a referendum, or by a regional alternative approval process (AAP) for the entire service area. Consent on behalf of municipal participants, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, is initiated when the loan authorization bylaw has received third reading by the Board and approval by the Inspector of Municipalities and are the preferred methods administratively in this instance. These processes will be undertaken concurrently, and are expected to take six months, including the eight weeks of waiting on provincial approval of the assent method and the approval of the service amendment and loan authorization bylaws.

To ensure optimization of interest and timing of long-term debt, issuance of a temporary borrowing will be proposed if Ministerial approval is obtained, and the elector approval process proves successful. The timing of the debt issuance will be based on the timing of expenditures and will be dependent on prevailing interest rates at the time. Before long-term debt issuance can be exercised, a security issuing bylaw will be brought forward for approval. The term of debt issuance under the loan authorization will be 30 years.

## CONCLUSION

The current requisition capacity of Bylaw No. 3712 is fully committed to approved initiatives. New initiatives and resourcing will require an establishing bylaw amendment and a new loan authorization bylaw. Both bylaws require Inspector of Municipalities approval and elector assent. If the recommendations within this staff report are approved, staff will seek consent on behalf of electors in the municipalities and will undertake an AAP for the electoral areas.

As part of continuing to advance efforts related to the FHPP, staff have been actively exploring a range of partnerships related to acquiring and preserving NOAH, acquiring lands on or near transit corridors, working through the CRHC to acquire and/or advance affordable housing projects, scoping a rural housing program, working in partnership with the CRHD on complex-care housing, and looking to longer-term partnership opportunities with senior levels of government.

The ability of the CRD to demonstrate a willingness to invest into prospective partnerships will significantly advance these exploratory discussions. Debt will not be incurred, nor requisition increased, until approved on a specific project or through the annual service planning and financial planning processes.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time; and,
3. That participating area approval for Bylaws No. 4551 and No. 4552 in the municipalities be obtained via council consent on behalf of electors, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, and if successful, that staff provide the bylaws to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

| Submitted by: | Don Elliott, MUP, BA, Senior Manager, Regional Housing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010"
Appendix B: Staff Report: Capital Regional District Land Banking and Housing Land Acquisition Financing, March 1, 2023
Appendix C: Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023"
Appendix D: Bylaw No. 4552, " Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023"
Appendix E: Summary: Homes for People: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT <br> BYLAW NO. 3712

## A BYLAW TO CONVERT THE AUTHORITY FOR LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING TO AN ESTABLISHING BYLAW FOR LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing, pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act of the Province of British Columbia, the Housing Act of British Columbia, and other legislations of the Province of British Columbia pertaining to land assembly and, public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. The Board of the Capital Regional District wished to exercise the function granted to it by the Letters Patent in accordance with Part 24 of the Local Government Act subject to all of the terms and conditions contained in the Letters Patent and including all the powers granted by the Letters Patent within all member municipalities and electoral areas.
C. The Board of the Capital Regional District wished to proceed under section 774.2 of the Local Government Act and convert the service to a service exercised under the authority of a bylaw for the Regional District by bylaw under sections 774.2(3) and 796 of the Local Government Act;
D. The Board of the Capital Regional District has obtained the consent on behalf of the electors under section 801.4 and 801.5 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

## 1. Service

a) The service established by this Bylaw is the conversion of the Authority for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking to an Establishment Bylaw for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking and includes:
i) The power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private, and public housing;
ii) Authorizing the Regional Board to create one or more corporations to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing;
iii) Authorizing the Regional Board to act as a guarantor for one or more corporations established under ii) above;
iv) Authorizing the Regional Board to borrow on behalf of the service or for corporations established under ii) above;
v) Authorizing the Regional Board to participate in any Provincial or Federal government or related agencies' housing program as if the Capital Regional District were a municipality;
vi) Authorizing the Regional Board to enter into housing-related agreements and housing agreements for any duration, pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Act;
2. Boundaries

The boundaries of the service are the boundaries of the Capital Regional District.
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## 3. Participating Area

The participating areas of the service include all municipalities and electoral areas of the Capital Regional District.

## 4. Cost Recovery and Apportionment of Costs

The annual costs for the service may be recovered by one or more of the following:
a) Property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 4.3 or Part 24 of the Local Government Act;
b) Fees and charges imposed under section 363 of the Local Government Act;
c) Revenues raised by other means authorized by the Local Government Act or another Act;
d) Revenues received by agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

## 5. Reporting

The Regional Board shall establish operating and financing reporting requirements for any and all corporations as established under Section 1 of this bylaw.

## 6. Maximum Requisition

In accordance with 800.1(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Two million four hundred twenty-three dollars $(\$ 2,423,000)$;
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ .031$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.

In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Eleven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$11,500,000); or
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.
(BL 4551)

## 7. Citation

This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010".

CONSENTED TO by two/thirds of the councils of the Municipalities of Victoria, Saanich, Esquimalt, Oak Bay, Sidney, North Saanich, Central Saanich, View Royal, Highlands, Colwood, Langford, Metchosin, Sooke, and the Directors of the Electoral Areas of Juan de Fuca, Salt Spring Island and the Southern Gulf Islands.

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNCIPALITIES | $27^{\text {th }}$ | day of | October | 2010 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | November | 2010 |

Bylaw No. 3712
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Original signed by Geoff Young CHAIR

Original signed by Sheila Norton CORPORATE OFFICER

FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2010

# REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, MARCH 01, 2023 

## SUBJECT Capital Regional District Land Banking and Housing Land Acquisition Financing

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To report on options to fund land acquisitions for affordable housing.

## BACKGROUND

On September 21, 2022, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board passed a motion directing staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for housing.

On July 13, 2022, the Board directed staff to proceed on priorities identified in the Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships report, which included land acquisition for affordable housing.

Land values in the capital region continue to appreciate annually, increasing economic barriers to develop affordable housing. Front loading land acquisition can mitigate downstream costs by leveraging the time value of land, enabling greater flexibility to deliver more affordable housing.

Since receiving Board direction, staff have begun exploring the possibility of establishing an acquisition fund with the intention of working collaboratively with other levels of government to preserve existing Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH). Staff are also seeking to acquire land that provide "shovel ready" development opportunities or could be held for future residential development.

Through the remainder of 2023, staff will continue to advance a range of activities including the exploration of funding alternatives, business cases, eligibility criteria, roles and responsibilities of potential funding partners and plans for monitoring and reporting as well as other related activities. Once complete, staff will report back to the Hospital and Housing Committee for direction.

This information report focuses on options to fund land acquisition for housing purposes and is intended to support continued exploration of a potential acquisition fund that is still under development.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Analysis

## Authority

Under Bylaw No. 3712, the Land Banking and Housing (LBH) Service (Service No. 1.310) has authority to acquire and assemble land for the purpose of housing. The existing service establishment bylaw currently has a maximum requisition limit (based on property assessment values) and has an existing loan authorization bylaw. The borrowing limit currently authorized is $\$ 35$ million and is entirely committed to the existing program approved in the service and financial
plans. Incremental commitments would require service establishment amendments and a new loan authorization bylaw. Regional cost apportionment for this service is based on property assessments.

Under Bylaw No. 3266, the Regional Housing and Trust (RHTF) Service (Service No. 1.311) has authority to raise up to $\$ 1$ million and contribute those funds to projects that include funds from other partners. The existing cost apportionment is $50 \%$ property assessments and $50 \%$ population. Additionally, there is an option for a participant to voluntarily increase their contribution. The service currently has sub-regional participation consisting of 11 municipalities and two electoral areas. The available capacity within this service is limited to the maximum requisition of $\$ 1$ million, has no borrowing authority and is not authorized to directly acquire or hold property. Its purpose is to act in the limited capacity as a contributor, assisting with the acquisition and pursuit of affordable housing projects.

The Capital Regional Housing Corporation (CRHC) is a wholly owned not-for-profit organization of the CRD providing affordable housing in the region. The CRHC is also able to finance land purchases for the purpose of developing and operating affordable housing, however, the CRHC has limited borrowing and revenue capacity based on the inherent operating model.

## Economic Indicators

While interest rates and the cost of borrowing are increasing and subsequently translating to higher costs, there has been an offsetting impact on real estate valuation in the region. Additionally, domestic interest rate forecasts are signaling stabilization in the coming year. With local demand continuing to show year-over-year increases in real estate values, analysis supports asset value growth net of financing costs.

## Capacity

The LBH service can increase requisition regionally to increase funding for land acquisition. Requisition funding can be used to pay cash or to service debt borrowed for acquisitions. Borrowing can increase the amount of purchases through leverage and is advantageous when appreciation exceeds the cost of debt.

Two alternatives (listed below) simulate scenarios to increase funding for acquisition where each is incremental to existing program commitments.

Alternative 1 considers requisition to fund acquisitions directly. Paying cash provides immediate funding for acquisitions or to be set aside in an acquisition fund. Table 1 below provides estimated impact of increasing requisition by $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 5$ million:

Table 1: Revenue Implications - Cash for Acquisitions

| Description | 2023 <br> Financial <br> Plan | Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  |
| LBH \$ <br> Requisition | $\$ 1.4$ million | $+\$ 0.5$ million | $+\$ 1.0$ million | $+\$ 5.0$ million |  |  |  |
| LBH Change <br> per Avg HH | $\$ 7$ | $+\$ 3$ | $+34 \%$ | $+\$ 5$ | $+68 \%$ | $+\$ 26$ | $+338 \%$ |
| Total CRD <br> Cost/Avg HH | $\$ 509$ | $\$ 512$ | $+0.6 \%$ | $\$ 514$ | $+1 \%$ | $\$ 535$ | $+5 \%$ |

Alternative 2 considers requisition to fund acquisitions by repaying debt over time. Table 2 shows total principal available with the same increased requisition funding as considered in Table 1.

Table 2: Revenue Implications - Borrowing for Acquisitions

| Description | $\begin{gathered} 2023 \\ \text { Financial } \end{gathered}$Plan | Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  |
| LBH Borrowing |  | \$8.5 million |  | \$20 million |  | \$85 million |  |
| LBH \$ <br> Requisition | \$1.4 million | +\$0.5 million |  | +\$1.0 million |  | +\$5.0 million |  |
| LBH Change per Avg HH | \$7 | +\$3 | +34\% | +\$5 | +68\% | +\$26 | +338\% |
| Total CRD Cost/Avg HH | \$509 | \$512 | +0.6\% | \$514 | +1\% | \$535 | +5\% |

Revenue implications in Table 1 and Table 2 indicate a general impact on revenue and borrowing capacity only, they do not represent acquisition cost estimates and do not reflect size and scale of an acquisition program. These estimates can be used by Committee in considering appropriate affordable housing strategies. A report addressing program development will be brought back to a future Committee meeting.

## CONCLUSION

On September 21, 2022, the CRD Board passed a motion directing staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for affordable housing. On July 13, 2022, the Board directed staff to proceed on priorities as identified in the Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships report, which included land acquisition for affordable housing. This information report focuses on options to fund land acquisition for housing purposes and is intended to support the acquisition fund currently under development. An increase to funding for land acquisition, beyond
the existing revenue and authorized capacity already committed, would require a service establishment bylaw amendment and potentially the approval of a new loan authorization.

## RECOMMENDATION

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Senior Manager, Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

BYLAW NO. 4551

## A BYLAW TO AMEND THE LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW NO. 1, 2010

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. The Board desires to increase the maximum requisition, in order to implement housing initiatives in the Capital Regional District, and Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", details anticipated borrowing to be supported by the increased requisition;
D. Under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval in the municipalities shall be obtained by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process; and
E. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" is hereby amended by replacing Section 6, Maximum Requisition, in its entirety as follows:

In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Eleven million five hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 11,500,000)$; or
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in
the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | $-^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS ( $\$ 85,000,000$ ) FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to Section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required and shall be obtained in the municipalities by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | $-^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |

## Summary Homes for People

HOMES FOR PEOPLE: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster
"A fast-growing population combined with the effects of the pandemic on the housing market means we have to do more - a lot more - to ensure every person and family in B.C. has a home that they can afford and meets their needs."

- Home for People (p. 4)

Homes for People is built on four priorities that provide a range of potential partnership opportunities that relate directly to the CRD's approved 2023-2026 Corporate Plan.

| PILLAR | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unlocking more homes faster | - More small scale, multi-unit housing (townhomes, duplexes, and triplexes) <br> - Make it easier and more affordable for people to rent out secondary/basement suites - CRD: Part of work related to SGI Housing Strategy \& Rural/Remote - Could be expanded to support broader regional efforts. Starting in early 2024, homeowners can access a 5 -year forgivable loan up to $50 \%$ of the cost of renovations to a max of $\$ 40 \mathrm{~K}$. CRD may be able to administer these though the Housing Agreement Program. <br> - Work with municipalities to make sure more homes are built in communities, faster <br> - Speed up permitting and approvals to get homes built faster <br> - Become a North American leader in digital permitting <br> - Deliver more homes and services near transit CRD/CRHC: Part of work related to acquisition stream of Housing Priorities \& Partnership. Province is targeting 10,000 units at or near transit over 10-15 years. <br> - Launch BC Builds - A new program dedicated to delivering homes for middle-income people - CRD/CHRC: Could apply and/or look to increase stock depending on program design/eligibility parameters. <br> - Expand B.C.'s construction workforce and spur innovation <br> - Explore new ways to get more rentals built - CRD/CRHC: Partnership opportunities under the Housing Priorities \& Partnerships. <br> - Build more homes with mass timber |
| Delivering better, more affordable homes | - Thousands more social housing units - CRD/CRHC: Can increase activity subject to available funds \& capacity. Province working to create 6,000 more subsidized rental home though expanded CHF. Province entered MOU with Metro Vancouver Housing Corp. <br> - Deliver 4,000 additional on-campus rooms for postsecondary students <br> - End discriminatory age and rental restrictions in stratas |


|  | -Protect affordable rental units through \$500 million fund - <br> CRD/CRHC: Look to partner under the acquisition stream <br> of the Housing Priorities \& Partnerships work. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -Create more housing through partnerships with Indigenous <br> communities - CRD/CRHC: Areas of opportunity include <br> RHI though CRD and housing operation support though <br> CRHC. |
|  | - Revitalize co-op housing |

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Regional District Wide AAP for Bylaw 4552 - Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To revise Bylaw No. 4552 to obtain participating area approval by alternative approval process for the entire service area.

## BACKGROUND

On May 10, 2023 Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023" was read three times. Then on June 14, 2023, the CRD Board rescinded third reading, amended the bylaw to include the statutory requirement for a maximum borrowing term of 30 years and gave third reading as amended. The bylaw authorizes borrowing of $\$ 85$ million for future housing partnerships for a term of 30 years. The borrowing will create new funding capacity to address the CRD Board priority of increasing the supply of affordable, inclusive, and adequate housing in the region.

As part of the legislative process, the bylaw was submitted to the Inspector of Municipalities for review. The Province recommended that the CRD consider making the approval process easier by separating the approval process for long-term borrowing (Bylaw No. 4552) from the approval process to amend the service's maximum annual requisition under Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023".

To adopt Bylaw No. 4551, and amend the service establishing bylaw annual maximum requisition, will require two-thirds approval of the combined municipal and electoral area participants in accordance with Section 349(1)(b) of the Local Government Act. To adopt Bylaw No. 4552, and authorize long-term borrowing, will require full approval of all participants in accordance with Section 180 of the Community Charter.

Staff recommend that the CRD Board rescind third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, amend it to obtain elector approval by alternative approval process for the entire service area (i.e. CRD). An updated copy of Bylaw No. 4552 is attached as Appendix A. A redlined copy showing track changes is attached at Appendix B.

For additional information on the bylaws and the future planned use of the funds, please refer to the two previous staff reports dated May 3, 2023 (Appendix C) and June 14, 2023 (Appendix D).

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That elector approval for long-term borrowing under Bylaw No. 4552 be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area, as per section 345 of the Local Government Act;
2. That third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023," be rescinded;
3. That Bylaw No. 4552 be amended by replacing in its entirety Whereas Clause $E$ with the following:
"E. Pursuant to section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required for this borrowing and shall be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area under section 345 of the Local Government Act; and,"
4. That Bylaw No. 4552 be read a third time as amended;
5. That Bylaw No. 4552 as amended be referred to the Inspector of Municipalities.

## Alternative 2

That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

Due to the need for $100 \%$ elector approval on the loan authorization bylaw, there is a risk that the amendment to the service establishing bylaw (No. 4551) will pass and that the loan authorization bylaw (No. 4552) will fail to proceed to adoption.

The priority is to adopt the service establishing bylaw amendment to enable a requisition of additional service funding in the 2024 budget should the Board choose to do so. Loan authorization bylaws can be advanced at any time of the year and reflected in quarterly bylaw amendments to the 5 -year financial plan. A region wide alternative approval process will be initiated immediately following the adoption of service establishment amending Bylaw No. 4551.

## Elector Approval Method - Past Practice

In recent years, the CRD has conducted successful regional district wide alternative approval processes for the following bylaws:

- Bylaw No. 4522, "Canada Goose Management Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2022"
- Bylaw No. 4515, "Solid Waste Disposal Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2022"
- Bylaw No. 4382, "Regional Water Supply Water Works Facilities Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 5, 2020"

In 2020, the CRD successfully passed a borrowing bylaw and increased the maximum annual requisition for the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service to fund the Regional Housing First Program using municipal consent and AAP in the electoral areas. However, there is no guarantee the same approach will be successful as borrowing bylaws require approval from all 16 participants.

## CONCLUSION

The CRD Board is being asked to consider obtaining approval for Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023," by conducting an alternative approval process for the entire service area (i.e. CRD). Before the proposed borrowing
bylaw can be adopted, the CRD must first amend the service establishment bylaw to increase the maximum requisition.

Participant approval for Bylaw No. 4551, ""Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" will be obtained with two-thirds consent on behalf of the municipal and electoral area participating areas this fall.

To adopt Bylaw No. 4552, and authorize long-term borrowing, will require full approval of all participants in accordance with Section 180 of the Community Charter. Staff recommend that the CRD Board rescind third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, amend it to obtain elector approval by alternative approval process for the entire service area (i.e. CRD), and refer the amended bylaw back to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That elector approval for long-term borrowing under Bylaw No. 4552 be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area, as per section 345 of the Local Government Act;
2. That third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023," be rescinded;
3. That Bylaw No. 4552 be amended by replacing in its entirety Whereas Clause E with the following:
"E. Pursuant to section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required for this borrowing and shall be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area under section 345 of the Local Government Act; and,"
4. That Bylaw No. 4552 be read a third time as amended;
5. That Bylaw No. 4552 as amended be referred to the Inspector of Municipalities.

| Submitted by: | Marlene Lagoa, Manager, Legislative Services \& Deputy Corporate Officer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4552
Appendix B: Bylaw No. 4552 Redlined
Appendix C: Previous Staff Report dated May 3, 2023
Appendix D: Previous Staff Report dated June 14, 2023

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## 

A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS ( $\$ 85,000,000$ ) FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

## 

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required for this borrowing and shall be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area under section 345 of the Local Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is 30 years.
3. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | -_th | day of |  | 2023 |
| APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $]^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## 

A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS ( $\$ 85,000,000$ ) FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

WHEREAS:
A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required for this borrowing and shall be obtained by alternative approval process for the entire service area under section 345 of the Local Government Act; and,
E. Pursuant to Section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required and shall be obtained in the municipalities by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process under Sections 345 and 346 of the Locat Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is 30 years.
3. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | May, | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS |  | day of |  | 2023 |
|  | 44 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |  | dune, |  |
| APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of |  | 2023 |

[^38]Appendix C

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, MAY 03, 2023

## SUBJECT Future Housing Partnerships Alternative Approval Process and Bylaw Amendments

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To permit the borrowing of up to $\$ 85$ million (M) through the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking service in support of partnership opportunities related to increasing the supply of affordable, inclusive, and adequate housing in the region.

## BACKGROUND

In September 2019, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board authorized staff to amend Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" (Appendix A - showing track changes from proposed Bylaw No. 4551 amendment) to remove a borrowing maximum of $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ under the service as well as remove a 25 -year limit on the length of time a housing agreement could be entered into. At the same meeting, the CRD Board also authorized staff to proceed with obtaining elector assent for Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 4327 to permit the borrowing of \$10M in support of the Regional Housing First Program (RHFP). The amendment bylaw and the loan authorization bylaw were adopted in March 2020.

As the RHFP was moving toward full program build out, staff advanced a white paper, Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships (FHPP), in July 2022. The white paper identified three areas of focus including:

- Acquisition fund to increase and preserve the supply of affordable rental and create future redevelopment opportunities, purchase of shovel-ready developments or acquire land for development of affordable housing;
- Rural Housing Strategy to increase, preserve and broaden the supply of affordable housing in small and rural communities, recognizing the need to approach housing solutions in rural communities with different assumptions than those used for conventional housing interventions in growing urban regions; and,
- Increase the supply of housing with supports for people with complex needs currently not adequately supported by the traditional model as a compliment to new provincial Complex Care Housing initiative.

The Board endorsed the FHPP and directed staff to develop a program framework and identification of funding partnership contributions to address unmet housing needs in the capital region based on the priorities identified in the white paper. The Board included a fourth area of focus which is to ensure the rapid and ongoing expansion of newly built publicly owned affordable housing in the CRD. Staff will report out on the program framework in Q4 2023.

On September 21, 2022, as part of provisional budget review, the Board directed staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for housing. This report was received at the Hospitals and Housing Committee (HHC) as an information report on March 1, 2023 (Appendix B). The HHC passed a referral motion directing staff to report back on the implications of amending Bylaw No. 3712, which was received by the Board on April 12, 2023, where the Board then passed the following motions:

1. That staff be directed to bring forward a report with an amendment to Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" to increase the allowable annual maximum requisition by $\$ 5$ million to $\$ 10$ million; and,
2. Create a loan authorization bylaw to enable the financing of up to $\$ 85$ million from the increase of the allowable annual maximum requisition of $\$ 5$ million.

Due to recent changes in interest rates as well as assessed property values, the estimated annual requisition to support $\$ 85$ million in borrowing now stands at $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$. As a result, the proposed amendment of $\$ 0.062$ per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed value equates approximately to a new maximum requisition of $\$ 11.5 \mathrm{M}$ instead of the $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$ referenced in the Board motion.

Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" is attached as Appendix C and the Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023" is attached as Appendix D to this staff report.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time; and,
3. That participating area approval for Bylaws No. 4551 and No. 4552 in the municipalities be obtained via council consent on behalf of electors, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, and if successful, that staff provide the bylaws to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

## Alternative 2

That the Future Housing Partnerships Alternative Approval Process and Bylaw Amendments report be referred to staff for additional information based on Hospitals and Housing Committee direction.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Intergovernmental Implications

On January 12, 2023, the Province announced the creation of a $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ fund administered by a newly created Rental Protection Fund Society (RPFS) to enable non-profits to purchase buildings and protect affordability for current and future tenants. Though there remain key details that have yet to be announced, staff have engaged BC Non-Profit Housing Association (BCNPHA), who is actively working with other stakeholders to develop the RPFS.

Preliminary discussions have identified a viable path toward a possible partnership between the CRD and the RPFS to acquire and protect existing Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH) within the capital region. However, as the RPFS is a newly formed society, more extensive discussions are on hold until it has a Chief Executive Officer (CEO) in place, which is
expected by the midway point of 2023 and the CRD has a demonstrated capacity to consider investment into a potential partnership.

Focusing more broadly on general land acquisition and potential partnerships, the province recently released its 2023 provincial budget, which included the following:

- $\quad \$ 394 \mathrm{M}$ over three years in new capital funds to acquire lands for future affordable and market housing development along main transit corridors. Full details on how these funds are to be deployed and the constraints/targets informing this investment have yet to be released. Forthcoming information will inform ongoing work related to FHPP.
- $\quad \$ 4.2$ billion (B) over three years with $\$ 2.2 B$ in operating and $\$ 2 B$ in capital funding to support Building $B C$ programs. It is important to note that this $\$ 4.2 B$ includes the previously mentioned $\$ 394 \mathrm{M}$ to support land acquisition along transit corridors as well as a range of other investments. Budget 2023 identifies $\$ 260 \mathrm{M}$ as new capital investment over three years to support Building BC and BC Housing programs, such as the Community Housing Fund (CHF).
- $\quad \$ 169 \mathrm{M}$ over three-years in capital funding to help create additional complex-care beds to support individuals with complex mental-health and substance-use challenges and whose needs are not being met through the current intervention landscape. Budget 2023 also includes $\$ 97 \mathrm{M}$ in operating funding to provide clients of this intervention with healthfocused supports and services.

Building on the funding commitments made through Budget 2023, the province released Homes for People: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster. A summary overview of the four pillars of the action plan, action items and potential connections to current or future CRD initiatives is attached as Appendix E.

On April 12, 2023, the province and the Metro Vancouver Regional District (MVRD) announced a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that will help to advance 2,000 units of rental housing over 10-years under the new Homes for People program. Phase 1 of this partnership includes an investment of $\$ 158 \mathrm{M}$ over three-years from the provincial government and is expected to support 660 new units at five sites identified by MVRD. Foundational to this partnership is a commitment from MVRD of land and cash equity totaling \$217M over 10-years.

Though still in the early stages, staff are actively engaging a range of key stakeholders to explore partnership opportunities in the following areas:

- Protect and preserve NOAH in partnership with the RPFS;
- Acquire lands for future affordable housing development along transit corridors;
- Working through the Capital Region Housing Corporation (CRHC), apply for any funding programs as available to advance the acquisition of lands and/or fund the capital costs associated with developing new affordable rental units;
- Develop scoping and feasibility for a Rural Housing Program, including advancing partnerships with other agencies and pursuing funding opportunities;
- Working in partnership with the Capital Regional Hospital District (CRHD), collaborate with Island Health (IH) and the Ministry of Mental Health and Addictions to explore partnerships related to complex-care housing; and,
- Look to the model of MVRD to consider a longer-term MOU that could leverage CRDinvestment, if available.


## Service Delivery Implications

As the current capacity under Bylaw No. 3712 is fully committed to already approved initiatives, consideration of any additional projects will require new resourcing. The recent announcements from the provincial government provide several areas of opportunity that staff are actively exploring. Should the CRD create the capacity to invest into potential partnerships, it will demonstrate an enhanced commitment to addressing key regional challenges and will also provide staff with the ability to signal to prospective partners the opportunity to leverage and layer funds to achieve a greater impact than would otherwise be possible.

It is also important to note that any efforts to advance work related to FHPP involved two related, but distinct steps. The first is to create the capacity, which involves an amendment to Bylaw No. 3712 and a new loan authorization bylaw. The second step will be to authorize debt on a project-by-project basis or as a part of the annual financial plan approval process.

## Alignment with Board \& Corporate Priorities

On April 12, 2023 the CRD Board approved the 2023-2026 Corporate Plan. The following initiatives under the Housing Priority are directly related to advancing the recommendations contained within this staff report:

5a-1 Increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing across the region;
5a-2 Pursue funding opportunities and matching funds to deliver more housing;
5b-1 Support continued investment into existing housing stock to preserve and enhance the quality of the buildings and units; and,
5b-2 Protect existing market rental housing to preserve affordability.

## Financial Implications

To access additional funds under this service, the amendment to Bylaw No. 3712 along with the new loan authorization bylaw require approval and adoption.

Upon adoption of both bylaws and before any funds can be expended, the financial plan will need to be updated to include revenue, expenses and borrowings. In addition, before any funds can be borrowed against the loan authorization, the board will be asked to approve a Security Issuing Bylaw.

Bylaw No. 3712 currently has a maximum requisition limit (based on property assessment values) and an existing loan authorization bylaw. The borrowing limit currently authorized is \$35M and is entirely committed in support of approved RHFP projects, after which the bylaws will no longer be active.

To establish the capacity to borrow up to an additional $\$ 85 \mathrm{M}$, the maximum requisition will need to increase to accommodate the estimated cost of the annual debt repayment. Given recent shifts in interest rates and assessed property values, the estimated annual requisition to support \$85 million in borrowing is $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$. Based on this estimate and for certainty, the amended maximum acquisition proposed is $\$ 11.5 \mathrm{M}$ or $\$ 0.062 / \$ 1,000$ of assessed value. The estimated debt servicing costs will be based on the specific future projects and related borrowings, as approved within future Financial Plan Bylaws. For example, if the full $\$ 85 \mathrm{M}$ were borrowed at the MFA's current indicative interest rate of $4.4 \%$ (as of April 24, 2023), the estimated annual debt servicing cost would be approximately $\$ 5.3 \mathrm{M}$, with an amortization term of 30 years. Debt servicing costs are funded by requisition, and actual borrowings in each of the next five years will depend on that year's cash flow requirements.

## Legislative Implications

To borrow funds long-term (i.e., beyond five years), the approval of a loan authorization bylaw and subsequently, and separately, a security issuing bylaw are required under the Local Government Act. As part of the loan authorization bylaw approval process, the bylaw requires elector approval. Elector approval can be obtained through consent on behalf of municipal participants and electoral participating areas (by sub-regional AAP), a referendum, or by a regional alternative approval process (AAP) for the entire service area. Consent on behalf of municipal participants, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, is initiated when the loan authorization bylaw has received third reading by the Board and approval by the Inspector of Municipalities and are the preferred methods administratively in this instance. These processes will be undertaken concurrently, and are expected to take six months, including the eight weeks of waiting on provincial approval of the assent method and the approval of the service amendment and loan authorization bylaws.

To ensure optimization of interest and timing of long-term debt, issuance of a temporary borrowing will be proposed if Ministerial approval is obtained, and the elector approval process proves successful. The timing of the debt issuance will be based on the timing of expenditures and will be dependent on prevailing interest rates at the time. Before long-term debt issuance can be exercised, a security issuing bylaw will be brought forward for approval. The term of debt issuance under the loan authorization will be 30 years.

## CONCLUSION

The current requisition capacity of Bylaw No. 3712 is fully committed to approved initiatives. New initiatives and resourcing will require an establishing bylaw amendment and a new loan authorization bylaw. Both bylaws require Inspector of Municipalities approval and elector assent. If the recommendations within this staff report are approved, staff will seek consent on behalf of electors in the municipalities and will undertake an AAP for the electoral areas.

As part of continuing to advance efforts related to the FHPP, staff have been actively exploring a range of partnerships related to acquiring and preserving NOAH, acquiring lands on or near transit corridors, working through the CRHC to acquire and/or advance affordable housing projects, scoping a rural housing program, working in partnership with the CRHD on complex-care housing, and looking to longer-term partnership opportunities with senior levels of government.

The ability of the CRD to demonstrate a willingness to invest into prospective partnerships will significantly advance these exploratory discussions. Debt will not be incurred, nor requisition increased, until approved on a specific project or through the annual service planning and financial planning processes.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Hospitals and Housing Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be introduced, read a first, second, and third time; and,
3. That participating area approval for Bylaws No. 4551 and No. 4552 in the municipalities be obtained via council consent on behalf of electors, and by alternative approval process in the electoral areas, and if successful, that staff provide the bylaws to the Inspector of Municipalities for approval.

| Submitted by: | Don Elliott, MUP, BA, Senior Manager, Regional Housing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS:

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010"
Appendix B: Staff Report: Capital Regional District Land Banking and Housing Land Acquisition Financing, March 1, 2023
Appendix C: Bylaw No. 4551, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023"
Appendix D: Bylaw No. 4552, " Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023"
Appendix E: Summary: Homes for People: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT <br> BYLAW NO. 3712

## A BYLAW TO CONVERT THE AUTHORITY FOR LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING TO AN ESTABLISHING BYLAW FOR LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing, pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act of the Province of British Columbia, the Housing Act of British Columbia, and other legislations of the Province of British Columbia pertaining to land assembly and, public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. The Board of the Capital Regional District wished to exercise the function granted to it by the Letters Patent in accordance with Part 24 of the Local Government Act subject to all of the terms and conditions contained in the Letters Patent and including all the powers granted by the Letters Patent within all member municipalities and electoral areas.
C. The Board of the Capital Regional District wished to proceed under section 774.2 of the Local Government Act and convert the service to a service exercised under the authority of a bylaw for the Regional District by bylaw under sections 774.2(3) and 796 of the Local Government Act;
D. The Board of the Capital Regional District has obtained the consent on behalf of the electors under section 801.4 and 801.5 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

## 1. Service

a) The service established by this Bylaw is the conversion of the Authority for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking to an Establishment Bylaw for Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking and includes:
i) The power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private, and public housing;
ii) Authorizing the Regional Board to create one or more corporations to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing;
iii) Authorizing the Regional Board to act as a guarantor for one or more corporations established under ii) above;
iv) Authorizing the Regional Board to borrow on behalf of the service or for corporations established under ii) above;
v) Authorizing the Regional Board to participate in any Provincial or Federal government or related agencies' housing program as if the Capital Regional District were a municipality;
vi) Authorizing the Regional Board to enter into housing-related agreements and housing agreements for any duration, pursuant to the provisions of the Local Government Act;
2. Boundaries

The boundaries of the service are the boundaries of the Capital Regional District.
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## 3. Participating Area

The participating areas of the service include all municipalities and electoral areas of the Capital Regional District.

## 4. Cost Recovery and Apportionment of Costs

The annual costs for the service may be recovered by one or more of the following:
a) Property value taxes imposed in accordance with Division 4.3 or Part 24 of the Local Government Act;
b) Fees and charges imposed under section 363 of the Local Government Act;
c) Revenues raised by other means authorized by the Local Government Act or another Act;
d) Revenues received by agreement, enterprise, gift, grant or otherwise.

## 5. Reporting

The Regional Board shall establish operating and financing reporting requirements for any and all corporations as established under Section 1 of this bylaw.

## 6. Maximum Requisition

In accordance with 800.1(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Two million four hundred twenty-three dollars $(\$ 2,423,000)$;
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ .031$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.

In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Eleven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$11,500,000); or
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.
(BL 4551)

## 7. Citation

This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010".

CONSENTED TO by two/thirds of the councils of the Municipalities of Victoria, Saanich, Esquimalt, Oak Bay, Sidney, North Saanich, Central Saanich, View Royal, Highlands, Colwood, Langford, Metchosin, Sooke, and the Directors of the Electoral Areas of Juan de Fuca, Salt Spring Island and the Southern Gulf Islands.

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | $14^{\text {th }}$ | day of | July | 2010 |
| APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNCIPALITIES | $27^{\text {th }}$ | day of | October | 2010 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $10^{\text {th }}$ | day of | November | 2010 |

Bylaw No. 3712
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Original signed by Geoff Young CHAIR

Original signed by Sheila Norton CORPORATE OFFICER

FILED WITH THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2010

# REPORT TO HOSPITALS AND HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, MARCH 01, 2023 

## SUBJECT Capital Regional District Land Banking and Housing Land Acquisition Financing

## ISSUE SUMMARY

To report on options to fund land acquisitions for affordable housing.

## BACKGROUND

On September 21, 2022, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board passed a motion directing staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for housing.

On July 13, 2022, the Board directed staff to proceed on priorities identified in the Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships report, which included land acquisition for affordable housing.

Land values in the capital region continue to appreciate annually, increasing economic barriers to develop affordable housing. Front loading land acquisition can mitigate downstream costs by leveraging the time value of land, enabling greater flexibility to deliver more affordable housing.

Since receiving Board direction, staff have begun exploring the possibility of establishing an acquisition fund with the intention of working collaboratively with other levels of government to preserve existing Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH). Staff are also seeking to acquire land that provide "shovel ready" development opportunities or could be held for future residential development.

Through the remainder of 2023, staff will continue to advance a range of activities including the exploration of funding alternatives, business cases, eligibility criteria, roles and responsibilities of potential funding partners and plans for monitoring and reporting as well as other related activities. Once complete, staff will report back to the Hospital and Housing Committee for direction.

This information report focuses on options to fund land acquisition for housing purposes and is intended to support continued exploration of a potential acquisition fund that is still under development.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Analysis

## Authority

Under Bylaw No. 3712, the Land Banking and Housing (LBH) Service (Service No. 1.310) has authority to acquire and assemble land for the purpose of housing. The existing service establishment bylaw currently has a maximum requisition limit (based on property assessment values) and has an existing loan authorization bylaw. The borrowing limit currently authorized is $\$ 35$ million and is entirely committed to the existing program approved in the service and financial
plans. Incremental commitments would require service establishment amendments and a new loan authorization bylaw. Regional cost apportionment for this service is based on property assessments.

Under Bylaw No. 3266, the Regional Housing and Trust (RHTF) Service (Service No. 1.311) has authority to raise up to $\$ 1$ million and contribute those funds to projects that include funds from other partners. The existing cost apportionment is $50 \%$ property assessments and $50 \%$ population. Additionally, there is an option for a participant to voluntarily increase their contribution. The service currently has sub-regional participation consisting of 11 municipalities and two electoral areas. The available capacity within this service is limited to the maximum requisition of $\$ 1$ million, has no borrowing authority and is not authorized to directly acquire or hold property. Its purpose is to act in the limited capacity as a contributor, assisting with the acquisition and pursuit of affordable housing projects.

The Capital Regional Housing Corporation (CRHC) is a wholly owned not-for-profit organization of the CRD providing affordable housing in the region. The CRHC is also able to finance land purchases for the purpose of developing and operating affordable housing, however, the CRHC has limited borrowing and revenue capacity based on the inherent operating model.

## Economic Indicators

While interest rates and the cost of borrowing are increasing and subsequently translating to higher costs, there has been an offsetting impact on real estate valuation in the region. Additionally, domestic interest rate forecasts are signaling stabilization in the coming year. With local demand continuing to show year-over-year increases in real estate values, analysis supports asset value growth net of financing costs.

## Capacity

The LBH service can increase requisition regionally to increase funding for land acquisition. Requisition funding can be used to pay cash or to service debt borrowed for acquisitions. Borrowing can increase the amount of purchases through leverage and is advantageous when appreciation exceeds the cost of debt.

Two alternatives (listed below) simulate scenarios to increase funding for acquisition where each is incremental to existing program commitments.

Alternative 1 considers requisition to fund acquisitions directly. Paying cash provides immediate funding for acquisitions or to be set aside in an acquisition fund. Table 1 below provides estimated impact of increasing requisition by $\$ 0.5$ million, $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 5$ million:

## Table 1: Revenue Implications - Cash for Acquisitions

| Description | 2023 <br> Financial <br> Plan | Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | C |  |  |
| LBH \$ <br> Requisition | $\$ 1.4$ million | $+\$ 0.5$ million | $+\$ 1.0$ million | $+\$ 5.0$ million |  |  |  |
| LBH Change <br> per Avg HH | $\$ 7$ | $+\$ 3$ | $+34 \%$ | $+\$ 5$ | $+68 \%$ | $+\$ 26$ | $+338 \%$ |
| Total CRD <br> Cost/Avg HH | $\$ 509$ | $\$ 512$ | $+0.6 \%$ | $\$ 514$ | $+1 \%$ | $\$ 535$ | $+5 \%$ |

Alternative 2 considers requisition to fund acquisitions by repaying debt over time. Table 2 shows total principal available with the same increased requisition funding as considered in Table 1.

Table 2: Revenue Implications - Borrowing for Acquisitions

| Description | 2023 <br> Financial <br> Plan | Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  |
| LBH Borrowing |  | \$8.5 million |  | \$20 million |  | \$85 million |  |
| LBH \$ <br> Requisition | \$1.4 million | +\$0.5 million |  | +\$1.0 million |  | +\$5.0 million |  |
| LBH Change per Avg HH | \$7 | +\$3 | +34\% | +\$5 | +68\% | +\$26 | +338\% |
| Total CRD Cost/Avg HH | \$509 | \$512 | +0.6\% | \$514 | +1\% | \$535 | +5\% |

Revenue implications in Table 1 and Table 2 indicate a general impact on revenue and borrowing capacity only, they do not represent acquisition cost estimates and do not reflect size and scale of an acquisition program. These estimates can be used by Committee in considering appropriate affordable housing strategies. A report addressing program development will be brought back to a future Committee meeting.

## CONCLUSION

On September 21, 2022, the CRD Board passed a motion directing staff to report back on options for increasing funding for land acquisition for affordable housing. On July 13, 2022, the Board directed staff to proceed on priorities as identified in the Future Housing Priorities and Partnerships report, which included land acquisition for affordable housing. This information report focuses on options to fund land acquisition for housing purposes and is intended to support the acquisition fund currently under development. An increase to funding for land acquisition, beyond
the existing revenue and authorized capacity already committed, would require a service establishment bylaw amendment and potentially the approval of a new loan authorization.

## RECOMMENDATION

There is no recommendation. This report is for information only.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Senior Manager, Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Kevin Lorette, P. Eng., MBA, General Manager, Planning \& Protective Services |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

BYLAW NO. 4551

## A BYLAW TO AMEND THE LAND ASSEMBLY, HOUSING AND LAND BANKING SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW NO. 1, 2010

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. The Board desires to increase the maximum requisition, in order to implement housing initiatives in the Capital Regional District, and Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", details anticipated borrowing to be supported by the increased requisition;
D. Under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval in the municipalities shall be obtained by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process; and
E. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 3712, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010" is hereby amended by replacing Section 6, Maximum Requisition, in its entirety as follows:

In accordance with Section 339(1)(e) of the Local Government Act, the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the cost of the Service is the greater of:
a) Eleven million five hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 11,500,000)$; or
b) A property value tax rate of $\$ 0.062$ per one thousand $(\$ 1,000)$ dollars that, when applied to the net taxable value of land and improvements in
the Service Area, will yield the maximum amount that may be requisitioned for the Service.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | $-^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS ( $\$ 85,000,000$ ) FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to Section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required and shall be obtained in the municipalities by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | $-^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |

## Summary Homes for People

HOMES FOR PEOPLE: An action plan to meet the challenges of today and deliver more homes for people, faster
"A fast-growing population combined with the effects of the pandemic on the housing market means we have to do more - a lot more - to ensure every person and family in B.C. has a home that they can afford and meets their needs."

- Home for People (p. 4)

Homes for People is built on four priorities that provide a range of potential partnership opportunities that relate directly to the CRD's approved 2023-2026 Corporate Plan.

| PILLAR | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unlocking more homes faster | - More small scale, multi-unit housing (townhomes, duplexes, and triplexes) <br> - Make it easier and more affordable for people to rent out secondary/basement suites - CRD: Part of work related to SGI Housing Strategy \& Rural/Remote - Could be expanded to support broader regional efforts. Starting in early 2024, homeowners can access a 5 -year forgivable loan up to $50 \%$ of the cost of renovations to a max of $\$ 40 \mathrm{~K}$. CRD may be able to administer these though the Housing Agreement Program. <br> - Work with municipalities to make sure more homes are built in communities, faster <br> - Speed up permitting and approvals to get homes built faster <br> - Become a North American leader in digital permitting <br> - Deliver more homes and services near transit CRD/CRHC: Part of work related to acquisition stream of Housing Priorities \& Partnership. Province is targeting 10,000 units at or near transit over 10-15 years. <br> - Launch BC Builds - A new program dedicated to delivering homes for middle-income people - CRD/CHRC: Could apply and/or look to increase stock depending on program design/eligibility parameters. <br> - Expand B.C.'s construction workforce and spur innovation <br> - Explore new ways to get more rentals built - CRD/CRHC: Partnership opportunities under the Housing Priorities \& Partnerships. <br> - Build more homes with mass timber |
| Delivering better, more affordable homes | - Thousands more social housing units - CRD/CRHC: Can increase activity subject to available funds \& capacity. Province working to create 6,000 more subsidized rental home though expanded CHF. Province entered MOU with Metro Vancouver Housing Corp. <br> - Deliver 4,000 additional on-campus rooms for postsecondary students <br> - End discriminatory age and rental restrictions in stratas |


|  | -Protect affordable rental units through \$500 million fund - <br> CRD/CRHC: Look to partner under the acquisition stream <br> of the Housing Priorities \& Partnerships work. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -Create more housing through partnerships with Indigenous <br> communities - CRD/CRHC: Areas of opportunity include <br> RHI though CRD and housing operation support though <br> CRHC. |
|  | - Revitalize co-op housing |

# REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 2023 

## SUBJECT Bylaw No. 4552: Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization-Revision <br> ISSUE SUMMARY

To revise Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3,2023 " to include a maximum term provision.

## BACKGROUND

On May 10, 2023, Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", was read three times. This Bylaw authorized an increased maximum requisition of $\$ 85$ million for supporting future housing partnership opportunities and to further the CRD Board priority of increasing the supply of affordable, inclusive, and adequate housing in the region.

As part of the legislative process, it was submitted to the Inspector of Municipalities for review. The Province indicated the maximum borrowing term was missing from the Bylaw. A loan authorization bylaw must contain the maximum term for which the debentures may be issued, and requested Bylaw No. 4552 be amended to include the maximum term.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be rescinded;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552 be amended as follows:
a. By renumbering section 2 to 3;
b. By inserting the following section in numerical order:
"2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is 30 years."
3. That Bylaw No. 4552 be read a third time as amended; and
4. That Bylaw No. 4552 as amended by referred to the Inspector of Municipalities.

## Alternative 2

That this matter be referred to staff for further information.

## IMPLICATIONS

The borrowing term is a legislative requirement for loan authorization bylaws. Without amending the bylaw to include the maximum borrowing term, the Inspector of Municipalities will not be able to approve the bylaw. A minor oversight in selecting the correct bylaw template caused the issue which can be easily fixed with the amendment noted above. This will not affect participant
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Bylaw No. 4552: Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization- Revision
approval timelines in any way.

## CONCLUSION

Bylaw No. 4552 must be amended to include the maximum term of the loan provision as required by legislation.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That third reading of Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023", be rescinded;
2. That Bylaw No. 4552 be amended as follows:
a. By renumbering section 2 to 3;
b. By inserting the following section in numerical order:
"2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is 30 years."
3. That Bylaw No. 4552 be read a third time as amended; and
4. That Bylaw No. 4552 as amended by referred to the Inspector of Municipalities.

| Submitted by: | Steven N. Carey, B.Sc, J.D., Senior Manager, Legal Services \& Risk <br> Management |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4552, "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization
Bylaw No. 3, 2023" (as amended)
Appendix B: Bylaw No. 4552 (Redlined)

# CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS (\$85,000,000) FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars ( $\$ 85,000,000$ ) for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to Section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required and shall be obtained in the municipalities by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to be created by this bylaw is 30 years.
3. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | $\underbrace{\text { th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT

## BYLAW NO. 4552

## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE THE BORROWING OF EIGHTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS

 $(\$ 85,000,000)$ FOR FUTURE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS
## WHEREAS:

A. By Supplementary Letters Patent, Division XII dated July 25, 1974, as amended by further Supplementary Letters Patent, the Capital Regional District was granted the function of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking which included the power to undertake land assembly for the purpose of housing, either public or private and, public housing pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act, the Municipal Act, the Housing Act, and other legislation pertaining to land assembly and public housing, as if the regional district were a municipality;
B. Under Bylaw No. 3712, Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, the Capital Regional District converted this to a service under the Local Government Act in all member municipalities and electoral areas (the "Service");
C. It is deemed desirable to borrow additional funds in the amount of Eighty-Five Million Dollars $(\$ 85,000,000)$ for the Service, which is the amount of debt intended to be authorized under this Bylaw, for use to support future housing partnership opportunities and advance the CRD Board priority of increasing supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region;
D. It is proposed that the financing is to be undertaken by the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia pursuant to proposed agreements between it and the CRD;
E. Pursuant to Section 407 of the Local Government Act, participating area approval is required and shall be obtained in the municipalities by consent on behalf and in the electoral areas by Alternative Approval Process under Sections 345 and 346 of the Local Government Act; and,
F. The approval of the Inspector of Municipalities is required under Section 403 of the Local Government Act.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby empowered and authorized to borrow upon the credit of the Capital Regional District a sum not exceeding Eighty-Five Million Dollars (\$85,000,000) for the purposes of Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking, including to support future housing partnership opportunities and to increase supply of affordable, inclusive and adequate housing in the region, and do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the forgoing, to acquire all such real property, easements,
rights-of-way, licenses, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in
connection with the Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Service.
2. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt intended to
2.3. This Bylaw may be crited as the "Land Assembly, Housing and Land Banking Loan
Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023". Authorization Bylaw No. 3, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | th | day of | 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES THIS | $\sim^{\text {th }}$ | day of | 2023 |
| RECEIVED THE ASSENT OF THE ELECTORS THIS | - | day of | 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | - | day of | 2023 |

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO THE CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

SUBJECT Bylaw No. 4570: 2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment No. 2, 2023

## ISSUE SUMMARY

The 2023 to 2027 Financial Plan was adopted on March 29, 2023. Amendments to the plan are required to authorize revised operating and capital expenditures.

## BACKGROUND

The Capital Regional District (CRD) Board approved Bylaw No. 4537, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023," on March 29, 2023, and subsequently approved Bylaw No. 4558, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2023" on July 12, 2023. Amendments are required in accordance with Section 374(2) of the Local Government Act (LGA), which states that the financial plan may be amended at any time by bylaw to incorporate changes in budget for certainty. As new information becomes available and pursuant with Section 374 of the LGA, the CRD Board may further revise the financial plan.

The following table highlights the 2023 impact of the required amendments to the five-year 2023-2027 Financial Plan since the last amendment.

| SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | BUDGET | FUNDING | NET IMPACT | COMMITTEE / COMMISSION APPROVAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Resource Management | Hartland North Scale Software and Hardware Installation | Capital | Capital Funds on Hand | \$400,000 | CRD Board <br> 12-Jul-2023 |
|  | Hartland Beneficial Use Processing Area Project | Capital | Capital Funds on Hand | \$300,000 |  |
|  | Hartland Biosolids Mixing Area Project | Capital | Capital Funds on Hand | \$200,000 |  |
| Regional Parks | Potential Land Acquisition | Capital | Capital <br> Reserve / <br> Debt | \$5,000,000 | CRD Board 07-Jun-2023 |
|  | Mayne Island Regional Trail | Capital | Capital <br> Reserve Fund / Grant | \$900,000 | Regional Parks Committee <br> 24-May-2023 |


| SERVICE | DESCRIPTION | BUDGET | FUNDING | NET <br> IMPACT | COMMITTEE / <br> COMMISSION <br> APPROVAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regional <br> Parks | Lochside Trail <br> Paving | Capital | Capital Funds <br> on Hand / <br> Donation | $\$ 232,000$ | Regional Parks <br> Committee <br> 24-May-2023 |
|  | Elk Lake <br> Oxygenation <br> Project | Capital | Capital <br> Reserve Fund | $\$ 150,000$ | Staff Recommended |
| Salt Spring <br> Island <br> Transportation | Merchant Mews <br> Pathway design <br> Project | Capital | Capital <br> Reserve Fund | $\$ 16,400$ | CRD Board <br> 09-Aug-2023 |
| Debt-Core <br> Area <br> Wastewater <br> Capital | Early Payout as <br> per Financing <br> Strategy | Operating | Debt Reserve <br> Fund | $\$ 4,341,430$ | CRD Board <br> 09-January-2019 |

The proposed Financial Plan amendment Bylaw No. 4570 incorporates these changes, and is attached as Appendix A, inclusive of an updated Schedule A and Schedule B.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That Bylaw No. 4570, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second, and third time; and
2. That Bylaw No. 4570 be adopted.

## Alternative 2

That Bylaw No. 4570 be deferred pending further analysis by Capital Regional District staff.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

## Environmental Resource Management

On July 12, 2023, the CRD Board approved three changes to the Environmental Resource Management (ERM) service and capital plan.

To accommodate the implementation of the updated Hartland Landfill tipping fee bylaw, \$300,000 is required to establish a Diversion Centre to accept and process mandatory recyclable materials and maximize solid waste diversion. There is currently no area available for accepting and processing mandatory recyclable materials. The Diversion Centre will be established at Phase 1 of the landfill, which will require the relocation of soil, aggregates and various gas wells/pipes. This project is funded from capital funds on hand.

A new capital project is required to provide a Biosolids Mixing Area at a cost of $\$ 200,000$, to support the CRD's short-term contingency plan for managing biosolids. This short-term management of biosolids requires mixing of the biosolids with one or more elements, such as sand and wood chips, to meet the design specifications. This will be funded from capital funds on hand.

An increase in budget is required from $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 600,000$ for the ERM New Scale Software. The original estimate for the project was prepared in 2020 and was based on assumptions that hardware requirements would be minimal. Inflationary pressures as well as the development of the detailed design have resulted in additional costs of up to $\$ 400,000$. This work will ensure the Hartland North Scale will be fully operational. This project is funded from the capital funds on hand.

## Regional Parks

On June 7, 2023, the CRD Board approved increasing funding for potential land acquisitions. Parkland acquisition is highly dependent on ability to secure desirable parcels as identified in Land Acquisition Strategy. The annual capital budget was set at \$5,000,000 per year as a base level, allowing the Board to pursue land acquisition opportunities as they arise. With this amendment, the CRD Board is now able to pursue new parkland acquisitions up to a total of $\$ 10,000,000$ in 2023 . These acquisitions would be funded by utilizing existing reserve money for land acquisition and securing debenture debt.

On May 24, 2023, the Regional Parks Committee endorsed additional funding for the Mayne Island Regional Trail, and Lochside Trail Paving projects. The CRD received a BC Active Transportation grant of $\$ 500,000$ in February 2023 for the continued work on the Mayne Island Regional trail. This funding along with an additional $\$ 400,000$ capital reserve funding is required to complete the unforeseen increased excavation of materials, to achieve the safe grading of the trail and the installation of retaining walls in certain areas.

On June 2, 2023, the CRD received a donation from The Emerald Foundation through the Victoria Foundation of $\$ 150,000$ to be used to continue the paving of the Lochside Trail from Mills Road to Beacon Avenue in Sidney. This phase is part of a long-term project to improve the surfacing and safety of the Lochside Trail.

Staff have identified an additional $\$ 150,000$ is required to fund cost overruns on the Elk Lake Oxygenation Project. These increased costs relate to labour market delays and inflationary pressures for the Oxygenator System. Completion of the overall project is still planned for 2023. This increase will be funded from the capital reserve.

## Salt Spring Island Transportation

On August 9, 2023, the CRD board approved a $\$ 16,400$ increase, from $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 36,400$, for the design and project management costs of Merchant Mews Pathway project. This will be funded by the capital reserve fund.

This project was initiated in 2022, and a local not-for-profit organization, Island Pathways Society (IPS) was contracted to investigate, design and construct the pathway. The additional funding is required to provide ongoing CRD project management support to IPS in completion of the design
and allow re-submission of the application to the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) for a Permit to Construct and License of Occupation.

## Debt-Core Area Wastewater

Outstanding debt borrowed under Bylaw No. 3887 on March 27, 2013, for works related to the Core Area Wastewater Treatment Plant (CAWTP) is due for repayment as specified in the CAWTP Financing Strategy approved by the CRD Board on January 9, 2019. The first debt issue of $\$ 6.1$ million, was issued in September 2013 with a 25 -year repayment term. This issue is now eligible for early payout, 10 years after the date of issue. As planned, the Core Area Wastewater Treatment Service Debt Repayment Reserve Fund will be used to fund this debt retirement.

This strategy minimized annual debt servicing and interest costs during the initial 10-year term and mitigates the risk of interest rate fluctuations upon refinancing. Staff now recommend an amendment to the financial plan for 2023. This amendment is to transfer the remaining outstanding balance of $\$ 4.3 \mathrm{M}$ from reserve, to be paid to Municipal Finance Authority in September 2023.

## CONCLUSION

In compliance with the Local Government Act, the proposed amending Bylaw No. 4570 authorizes the changes required to Bylaw No. 4537, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023," which the CRD Board approved on March 29, 2023 and subsequently amended on July 12, 2023.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That Bylaw No 4570, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second, and third time; and
2. That Bylaw No. 4570 be adopted.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Senior Manager, Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Steven Carey, B.Sc., J.D., Acting General Manager, Corporate Services |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4570, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023" with Schedule A and Schedule B

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT

BYLAW NO. 4570

## A BYLAW TO AMEND THE FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN FOR THE YEARS 2023-2027

The Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled enacts as follows:

1. Bylaw No. 4537, "2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023", is hereby amended by replacing Schedule A and Schedule B with the attached schedules hereto.
2. This Bylaw may be cited as " 2023 to 2027 Financial Plan Bylaw, 2023, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | day of | $20 \_$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | day of | $20 \_$ |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | day of | $20 \_$ |
| ADOPTED THIS | day of | $20 \_$ |

Attachments: Schedule A and Schedule B

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 FII \& IAL PLAN \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& chedule A \\
\hline \& \& \& \& Expenditures \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& venue \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Total } \\
\& 2023
\end{aligned}
\] \& Operations \& Interest \&
Principal \& Deficit \& Capital \& Transfers to
Reserves \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Total } \\
\& 2023
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Surplus } \\
\& 2022 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& Recovery from other services \& Transfers from
Reserves \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Other } \\
\& \text { revenue }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Fee \& } \\
\& \text { Charges } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Parcel } \\
\text { Tax } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Property
Value Tax \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Requisition } \\
\hline 2023 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1.010 \& Legislative \& General Govermment \& 36,520,973 \& 34,134,565 \& \& \& 473,320 \& 1,913,088 \& 36,520,973 \& 1,271,842 \& 18,860,321 \& 3,491,010 \& 1,437,187 \& 86,230 \& \& 11,374,383 \& 11,374,383 \\
\hline \({ }^{1.10 x}\) \& Facilities and Risk \& 4,231,807 \& 3,945,807 \& \& \& 50,000 \& 236,000 \& 4,231,807 \& 50,000 \& 3,948,870 \& 26,770 \& 41,491 \& \& \& 164,676 \& 164,676 \\
\hline 1.101 \& G.I.s. \& 638,822 \& 570,632 \& \& \& 18,190 \& 50,000 \& 638,822 \& \& 504,310 \& \& 5,827 \& \& \& 128,685 \& 128,685 \\
\hline 1.103 \& Elections \& 65,760 \& 380 \& \& \& \& 65,380 \& 65,760 \& \& \& \& 270 \& \& \& 65,490 \& 65,490 \\
\hline 1.104 \& U.B.C.m. \& 12,958 \& 12,958 \& \& \& \& \& 12,958 \& 792 \& \& \& 92 \& \& \& 12,074 \& 12,074 \\
\hline 1.109 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - JDF \& 66,492 \& 66,492 \& \& \& \& \& 66,492 \& 3,218 \& \& \& 151 \& \& \& 63,123 \& 63,123 \\
\hline 1.110 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SG| \& 479,701 \& 478,601 \& \& \& \& 1,100 \& 479,701 \& \& \& 61,113 \& 18.502 \& \& \& 400,086 \& 400,086 \\
\hline 1.111 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SSI \& 1,161,470 \& 1,137,352 \& \& \& 23,000 \& 1,118 \& 1,161,470 \& 64,245 \& 469,500 \& \& 5,714 \& \& \& 622,011 \& 622,011 \\
\hline 1.112 \& Regional Grant in Aid \& 1,532,621 \& 1,517,621 \& \& 15,000 \& \& \& 1,532,621 \& 1,497,621 \& \& \& 20,000 \& \& \& 15,000 \& 15,000 \\
\hline 1.114 \& Grantin-Aid - Juan de Fuca \& 33,865 \& 33,865 \& \& \& \& \& 33,865 \& 33,575 \& \& \& 290 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.116 \& Grant-in-Aid - Salt Spring Island \& 60,772 \& 60,772 \& \& \& \& \& 60,772 \& 33,964 \& \& \& 5,250 \& \& \& 21,558 \& 21,558 \\
\hline 1.117 \& Grant-in-Aid - Southern Guf Isiands \& 106,261 \& 106,224 \& \& 37 \& \& \& 106,261 \& \& \& \& 1,257 \& \& \& 105,004 \& 105,004 \\
\hline 1.119 \& Vancouver Island Regional Library \& 341,748 \& 341,748 \& \& \& \& \& 341,748 \& 72 \& \& \& 585 \& \& \& 341,091 \& 341,091 \\
\hline 1.121 \& Sooke Regional Museum \& 222,348 \& 222,348 \& \& \& \& \& 222,348
14,360 \& 47 \& \& \& 491 \& \& \& 221,810 \& 221,810 \\
\hline 1.123 \& Prov. Court of B.C. (Family Court) \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.124 \& SSI Economic Development Commission \& 96,581 \& 96,581 \& \& \& \& \& 96,581 \& \& \& \& 623 \& \& \& 95,958 \& 95,958 \\
\hline 1.125 \& SGI Economic Development Commission \& 157,768 \& 157,768 \& \& \& \& \& 157,768 \& 34,970 \& \& \& 1,372 \& \& \& 121,426 \& 121,426 \\
\hline 1.126 \& Victoria Family Court Committee \& 55,625 \& 55,625 \& \& \& \& \& 55,625
304857 \& 39,828 \& \& \& 797
14.634 \& \& \& 15,000
289741 \& 15,000
289741 \\
\hline 1.128 \& Greater Victoria Police Victim Services \& 304,857 \& 304,857 \& \& \& \& \& 304,857 \& 482 \& \& \& 14,634 \& \& \& 289,741 \& 289,741 \\
\hline 1.129 \& Vancouver Island Regional Library - Debt \& 339,466 \& 1,200 \& 338,266 \& \& \& \& 339,466 \& \& \& \& 339,466 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.133 \& Langford E.A. - Greater Victoria Public Library \& 32,542 \& 32,542 \& \& \& \& \& 32,542 \& 16 \& \& \& 91 \& \& \& 32,435 \& 32,435 \\
\hline 1.137 \& Galiano Island Community Use Builing \& 68,064 \& 37,647 \& 27,417 \& \& \& 3,000 \& 68,064
23961 \& 3,042
1,258 \& \& \& \({ }_{2}^{252}\) \& \& \& 64,770
235946 \& 64,770
235946 \\
\hline [1.138 \& Southern Gulf Islands Regional Library \& 239,681
677,239 \& 239,681
508,377 \& 145,607 \& \& \& 23,255 \& 239,681
677,239 \& 1,258 \& \& \& 2,477
1,556 \& \& \& 235,946
675,683 \& 235,946
675,683 \\
\hline 1.15x \& Municipalities' Own Debt - M.F.A. \& 17,312,444 \& 52,200 \& 17,260,244 \& \& \& \& 17,312,444 \& \& \& \& 52,200 \& \& \& 17,260,244 \& 7,260,244 \\
\hline 1.170 \& Gossip Island Electric Power Supply \& 63,695 \& 481 \& 62,621 \& 593 \& \& \& 63,695 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& 63,455 \& \& 63,455 \\
\hline 1.224 \& Community Heath - Homeless Sec. \& 814,860 \& 814,860 \& \& \& \& \& 814,860 \& \& \& \& 190,484 \& \& \& 624,376 \& 624,376 \\
\hline 1.226 \& Community Health (CHR) Facilities \& 1,720,718 \& 1,117,893 \& \& \& \& 602,825 \& 1,720,718 \& \& \& \& 1,720,718 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.227 \& Saturna Island Medical Clinic \& 25,327 \& 25,327 \& \& \& \& \& 25,327 \& 110 \& \& \& 2,046 \& \& \& 23,171 \& 23,171 \\
\hline 1.228 \& Galiano Heath Service \& 139,886 \& 139,886 \& \& \& \& \& 139,886 \& 89 \& \& \& 111 \& \& \& \({ }^{139,686}\) \& \({ }^{139,686}\) \\
\hline 1.229 \& Pender Islands Heath Care Centre \& 234,921 \& 234,420 \& \& 501 \& \& \& 234,921 \& \& \& \& \(\begin{array}{r}2,059 \\ 3 \\ \hline 146\end{array}\) \& \& \& 23,8262
\(\begin{array}{r}7,512\end{array}\) \& 23,862
\(\left.\begin{array}{r}7,651 \\ 7\end{array} \right\rvert\,\) \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.230} 1.232\) \& Traffic Safety Commission
Port Renfrew Street Lighting \& 137,118
9,143 \& 137,118
9,143 \& \& \& \& \& 137,118
9,143 \& 61,160
1,455 \& \& \& 3,446
339 \& 3,760 \& 3,589 \& 72,512 \& 72,512
3,589 \\
\hline 1.234 \& s.S.I. Street Lighting \& 27,882 \& 26,960 \& \& 922 \& \& \& 27,882 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& \& 27,842 \& 27,842 \\
\hline 1.235 \& S. G.I. Small Cratt Harbour Facilities \& 461,887 \& 245,094 \& 87,493 \& \& \& 129,300 \& 461,887 \& \& \& \& 7,304 \& 145,050 \& 309,533 \& \& 309,533 \\
\hline \({ }^{1.236}\) \& Salt Spring Island Fernwood Dock \& 16,055 \& 16,055 \& \& \& \& \& 16,055 \& \& \& \& 167
218,622 \& \& 15,888 \& \& \(\begin{array}{r}15,888 \\ \hline 261262\end{array}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.2388}{ }_{1}{ }^{12388}\) \&  \& 599,884
148,993 \& 564,884
80,993 \& \& \& \& 35,000 \& 599,884
148,993 \& \& \& 120,000 \& 218,622
1,167 \& \& \& 261,262
147.826 \& 261,262
147,826 \\
\hline 1.280 \& Regional Parks \& 17,528,008 \& 13,583,012 \& 569,207 \& \& 153,686 \& 3,222,103 \& 17,528,008 \& 184,736 \& 78,559 \& 20,000 \& 829,993 \& 462,355 \& \& 15,952,365 \& 15,952,365 \\
\hline 1.280 A \& Regional Parks - Land Acquisition \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.290 \& Royal Theatre \& 580,000 \& 100,000 \& \& \& 101,000 \& 379,000 \& 580,000 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 580,000 \& 580,000 \\
\hline 1.295 \& McPherson Theatre \& 785,822 \& 349,589 \& \& \& 88,000 \& 348,233 \& 785,822 \& \& \& \& 35,822 \& \& \& 750,000 \& 750,000 \\
\hline \({ }_{12}^{1.297}\) \& Arts Grants \& 3,132,037 \& 3,132,037 \& \& 576 \& \& \& \(3,132,037\)
123180 \& \& 13,893 \& 74,459 \& 178,754 \& \& \& 2,864,931 \& 2,864,931 \\
\hline 1.309 \& Climate Action and Adaptation \& 2,288,185 \& 1,743,769 \& \& 576 \& 363,518 \& 180,898 \& 2,288,185 \& \& \& 104,646 \& 467,152 \& \& \& 1,716,387 \& 1,716,387 \\
\hline 1.310 \& Land Banking \& Housing \& 3,152,716 \& 2,139,253 \& 1,009,463 \& \& \& 4,000 \& 3,152,716 \& 66,157 \& 829,319 \& 130,000 \& 589,022 \& 35,130 \& \& 1,503,088 \& 1,503,088 \\
\hline 1.311 \& Regional Housing Trust Fund \& 3,676,394 \& 3,676,394 \& \& \& \& \& 3,676,394 \& 2,520,057 \& \& \& 156,337 \& \& \& 1,000,000 \& 1,000,000 \\
\hline 1.312 \& Regional Goose Management \& 237,522 \& 237,522 \& \& \& \& \& 237,522 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 237,522 \& 237,522 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.313}\) \& Animal Care Services \& 1,663,324 \& 1,613,518 \& \& \& \& 49,806 \& 1,663,324 \& \& \& 18,922 \& 1,164,920 \& 19,060 \& \& 460,422 \& 460,422 \\
\hline - \({ }_{1}^{1.314}\) \& SGI House Numbering
SSI Buiding Numbering \& 9,764 \& 9,764 \& \& \& \& \& 9,764 \& 42 \& \& \& 110 \& \& \& 9,612 \& \({ }_{9}^{9,612}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{1.317}^{1.316}\) \& SSIE Building Numbering
JDF Buiding Numbering \& 9,891
13,475 \& 9,869
13,475 \& \& 22 \& \& \& 9,8914 \& 153 \& \& \& \({ }_{40}^{20}\) \& \& \& 13,282 \& 9,881 \\
\hline 1.318 \& Building Inspection \& 2,026,725 \& 1,970,425 \& \& \& 13,000 \& 43,300 \& 2,026,725 \& \& 30,980 \& 121,144 \& 4,581 \& 1,378,640 \& \& 491,380 \& 491,380 \\
\hline 1.319 \& Soil Deposit Removal \& 5,899 \& 5,899 \& \& \& \& \& 5,899 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& \& 5,859 \& 5,859 \\
\hline 1.320
1322 \& Noise Control \& 41,228 \& 41,228 \& \& \& \& \& 41,228 \& \& \& \& 318 \& \& \& 40,910 \& \({ }^{40,990}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{1.323}^{1.322}\) \& Nuisances \& Unsightly Premises \& 54,986
571466 \& 54,986
527.466 \& \& \& \& 44,000 \& 54,986
571466 \& \& 542.726 \& \& 325
28740 \& \& \& 54,661 \& 54,661 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.324}\) \& Regional Planning Services \& 1,554,118 \& 1,551,618 \& \& \& \& 2,500 \& 1,554,118 \& \& \({ }_{86,650}\) \& 177,951 \& \({ }_{61,673}^{2,140}\) \& \& \& 1,227,844 \& 1,227,844 \\
\hline 1.325 \& Electoral Area Services - Planning \& 858,038 \& 782,268 \& \& \& \& 75,770 \& 858,038 \& \& 32,060 \& 59,681 \& 2,657 \& 45,000 \& \& 718,640 \& 718,640 \\
\hline 1.330 \& Regional Growth Strategy \& 424,846 \& 414,846 \& \& \& \& 10,000 \& 424,846 \& \& \& 104,146 \& 16,224 \& \& \& 304,476 \& 304,476 \\
\hline 1.335 \& Geo-Spatial Referencing System \& 177,779 \& 115,929 \& \& \& \& 61,850 \& 177,779 \& \& \& \& 7,829 \& 8,370 \& \& 161,580 \& 161,580 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.341}\) \& JDF Livestock Injury Compensation
SGI Livestock Injury Compensation \& 3,158
3,158 \& 3,158
3,158 \& \& \& \& \& 3,158
3,158 \& 3,053
3,053 \& \& \& 34 \& \& \& 105
71 \& 105
71 \\
\hline 1.342 \& SSIL Livestock Injury Compensation \& 3,158 \& 3,158 \& \& \& \& \& 3,158 \& 3,053 \& \& \& \& \& \& 105 \& 105 \\
\hline 1.350 \& Willis Point Fire Protect \& Recreation \& 174,824 \& 109,282 \& \& \& 6,300 \& 59,242 \& 174,824 \& \& \& \& 34,020 \& \& \& 140,804 \& 140,804 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1.352 \\
1353 \\
\hline 134
\end{tabular} \& South Galiano Fire Protection \& 584,473
542138 \& 349,209
376468 \& 141,027 \& \& 5,980
5670 \& 88,257 \& 584,473 \& \& \& \& 1,120
330 \& \& 141,027 \& \({ }_{5412}^{442,368}\) \& 583,353
541808 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.353}\) \& Otter Point Fire Protection
Malahat Fire Protection \& 542,138
66,940 \& 376,468
66,940 \& \& \& 5,670 \& 160,000 \& 542,138
66,940 \& 588 \& \& \& 330 \& \& \& 541,888
66,352 \& 541,888
66,352 \\
\hline 1.355 \& Durrance Road Fire Protection \& 3,016 \& 2,716 \& \& \& \& 300 \& 3,016 \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,016 \& \& 3,016 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1} 1356\) \& Pender Fire Protection \& 1,243,987 \& 964,227 \& 111.596 \& \& \& 168,164 \& 1,243,987 \& \& \& 111,596 \& 11,549 \& \& \& 1,120,842 \& 1,120,842 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.357}\) \& East Sooke Fire Protection
Port Renfrew Fire Protection \& 565,807
161,476 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
307,336 \\
134,578 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} \& 155,109
2,898 \& \& \& 103,362
24,000 \& 565,807
161,476 \& 13,628 \& \& \& 27,250
1,293 \& 56,350
64,106 \& \& 468,579
96,077 \& 468,579
96,077 \\
\hline 1.359 \& N. Galiano Fire Protection \& 241,634 \& 179,762 \& 48,872 \& \& 5,000 \& 8,000 \& 241,634 \& 17,616 \& \& \& 874 \& \& 24,440 \& 198,704 \& 223,144 \\
\hline 1.360
1363 \& Shirley Fire Protection \& 193,332 \& 120,332 \& \& \& 10,000 \& 63,000 \& 193,332 \& \& \& \& 210 \& \& \& 193,122 \& 193,122

25, 273 <br>
\hline - $\begin{aligned} & 1.363 \\ & 1.369\end{aligned}$ \& Saturna Istand Fire
Electoral Area Fire Services - JDF \& 267,829
143,913 \& 267,792
142,747 \& \& 37 \& 1,166 \& \& 267,829
143,913 \& \& \& 75,096 \& 11,556
120 \& \& \& 256,273
68,698 \& 256,273
68,698 <br>
\hline 1.369 \& Electoral Area Fire Services - SGI \& 164,553 \& 163,219 \& \& \& 1,334 \& \& 164,553 \& \& \& 85,937 \& \& \& \& 78,615 \& 78,615 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.370}$ \& Juan de Fuca Emergency Program \& ${ }_{149,953}$ \& 74,073 \& \& \& \& 15,880 \& 89,953
142927 \& \& \& \& 234
195 \& \& \& 89,719 \& 89,719
126745 <br>
\hline 1.372 \& Electoral Area Emergency Program \& 142,927
671,399 \& 142,987
663,899 \& \& \& \& 7,500 \& 142,927
671,999 \& \& 492,300 \& 22,939 \& ${ }_{956}$ \& \& \& 155,204 \& 155,204 <br>

\hline | 1.373 |
| :--- |
| 1374 |
| 135 | \& S.G.1. Emergency Program \& 250,121 \& 237,971 \& \& \& \& 12,150 \& 250,121 \& \& \& \& 2,743 \& \& \& 247,378 \& 247,378 <br>

\hline 1.374
1.375
1 \& Regional Emergency Program Support
Hazardous Material Incident Response \& ${ }_{446,471}^{23827}$ \& ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{23889271}$ \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{446,471}^{23827}$ \& 35,916 \& \& 7,055
94.585 \& 57,075 \& \& \& 138,181 \& 138,181
334696 <br>
\hline 1.377 \& J.D.F. Search and Rescue \& ${ }_{91,058}$ \& ${ }_{88,058}$ \& \& \& \& 3,000 \& 491,058 \& \& \& \& 21,106 \& \& \& 69,952 \& -69,95 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 F}} \& IAL PLAN \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& 2024
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{$\underset{\substack{\text { Surplus } \\ 2023}}{ }$} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Recovery from
other services} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Transfers from
Reserves} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Revenue}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Schedule A} <br>
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Expenditures} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total } \\
& 2024
\end{aligned}
$$ \& Operations \& Interest \& \& Deficit \& Capital \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Transfers to } \\
& \text { Reserves }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& \& \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Other } \\
\text { revenue }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fee \& } \\
& \text { Charges } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Parcel } \\
\text { Tax }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Property } \\
& \text { Value Tax } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Requisition } \\
2024 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$ <br>
\hline 1.010 \& Legislative \& General Government \& 34,297,467 \& 32,993,287 \& \& \& 982,780 \& 321,400 \& 34,297,467 \& 450,000 \& 19,272,722 \& 1,682,565 \& 1,386,636 \& 87,150 \& \& 11,418,394 \& 11,418,394 <br>
\hline 1.10x \& Facilities and Risk \& 4,424,212 \& 4,031,212 \& \& \& \& 393,000 \& 4,424,212 \& \& 4,213,644 \& \& 42,339 \& \& \& 168,229 \& 168,229 <br>
\hline 1.101 \& G.I.s. \& 651,365 \& 582,815 \& \& \& 18,550 \& 50,000 \& 651,365 \& \& 514,396 \& \& 5,940 \& \& \& 131,029 \& 131,029 <br>
\hline 1.103 \& Elections \& 65,600 \& 400 \& \& \& \& 65,200 \& 65,600 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& \& 65,510 \& 65,510 <br>
\hline 1.104 \& U.B.C.M. \& 13,260 \& 13,260 \& \& \& \& \& 13,260 \& \& \& \& 80 \& \& \& 13,180 \& 13,180 <br>
\hline 1.109 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - JDF \& 67,826 \& 67,826 \& \& \& \& \& 67,826 \& \& \& \& 150 \& \& \& 67,676 \& 67,676 <br>
\hline 1.110 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SGI \& 461,380 \& 460,280 \& \& \& \& 1,100 \& 461,380 \& \& \& 22,977 \& 18,384 \& \& \& 420,019 \& 420,019 <br>
\hline 1.111 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SSI \& 1,213,641 \& 1,192,641 \& \& \& \& 21,000 \& 1,213,641 \& \& 479,520 \& \& 5,730 \& \& \& 728,391 \& 728,391 <br>
\hline 1.112 \& Regional Grant in Aid \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.114 \& Grant-in-Aid - Juan de Fuca \& 22,776 \& 22,776 \& \& \& \& \& 22,776 \& \& \& \& 290 \& \& \& 22,486 \& 22,486 <br>
\hline 1.1116 \& Grant-in-Aid - Salt Spring Island \& 57,435 \& 57,435 \& \& \& \& \& 57,435 \& \& \& \& 250 \& \& \& 57,185 \& 57,185 <br>
\hline 1.117 \& Grant-in-Aid - Southern Guff Islands \& 106,333 \& 106,333 \& \& \& \& \& 106,333 \& \& \& \& 1,240 \& \& \& 105,093 \& 105,093

34,955 <br>
\hline 1.119 \& Vancouver Island Regional Library \& 348,555 \& 348,555 \& \& \& \& \& 348,555 \& \& \& \& 600 \& \& \& 347,955 \& 347,955 <br>
\hline 1.121 \& Sooke Regional Museum \& 226,794 \& 226,794 \& \& \& \& \& 226,794 \& \& \& \& 490 \& \& \& 226,304 \& 226,304 <br>
\hline 1.123 \& Prov. Court of B.C. (Family Court) \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.124 \& SSIE Economic Development Commission \& 98,510 \& 97,032 \& \& \& \& 1,478 \& 98,510 \& \& \& \& ${ }^{620}$ \& \& \& 97,880 \& 97,890 <br>
\hline 1.125 \& SGI Economic Development Commission \& 128,231 \& 128,231 \& \& \& \& \& 128,231 \& \& \& \& 1,400 \& \& \& ${ }^{126,831}$ \& 126,831 <br>
\hline ${ }^{1.126} 1.128$ \& Victoria Famil Court Committee
Greater Victoria Pooice Victim Serrices \& 15,878 \& 15,878 \& \& \& \& \& 15,878 \& \& \& \& 878 \& \& \& 15,000 \& 15,000
295,828 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.129}$ \& Greater Victoria Poicice victim Services \& 311,186
339,466 \& 311,186
1,200 \& 338,266 \& \& \& \& 311,186
339,466 \& \& \& \& 15,358
339466 \& \& \& 295,828 \& 295,828 <br>
\hline 1.133 \& Langford E.A. - Greater Victoria Public Library \& 33,195 \& 33,195 \& \& \& \& \& 33,195 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& \& 33,105 \& 33,105 <br>
\hline 1.137 \& Galiano Island Community Use Building \& 68,855 \& 38,438 \& 27,417 \& \& \& 3,000 \& 68,855 \& \& \& \& 255 \& \& \& 68,600 \& 68,600 <br>
\hline 1.138 \& Southern Guff Islands Regional Library \& 244,494 \& 244,494 \& \& \& \& \& 244,494 \& \& \& \& 2,520 \& \& \& 241,974 \& 241,974 <br>
\hline 1.141 \& Salt Spring Isiand Public Library \& 700,982 \& 518,675 \& 145,607 \& \& \& 36,700 \& 700,982 \& \& \& \& 1,580 \& \& \& 699,402 \& 699,402 <br>
\hline ${ }^{1} 1.15 \mathrm{x}$ \& Municipalities' Own Debt - M.F.A. \& 16,696,697 \& 52,200 \& 16,644,497 \& \& \& \& 16,696,697 \& \& \& \& 52,200 \& \& \& 16,644,497 \& 16,644,497 <br>
\hline 1.170 \& Gossip Island Electric Power Supply \& 63,104 \& 483 \& 62,621 \& \& \& \& 63,104 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& 62,864 \& \& 62,864 <br>
\hline 1.224 \& Community Heath - Homeless Sec. \& 668,552 \& 668,552 \& \& \& \& \& 668,552 \& \& \& \& 190,484 \& \& \& 478,068 \& 478,068 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.226}$ \& Community Heath (CHR) Facilities \& 1,745,122 \& 1,141,314 \& \& \& \& 603,808 \& 1,745,122 \& \& \& \& 1,745,122 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.227 \& Saturna Island Medical Clinic \& $\stackrel{30,665}{ }$ \& ${ }^{30,665}$ \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{14,665}$ \& \& \& \& 2,260 \& \& \& 28,405 \& 28,405 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1.229}^{1.228}$ \& Galiano Heath Service
Pender Islands Heath Care Cenite \& 142,681
240,510 \& 142,681
240,510 \& \& \& \& \& 142,681
240,510 \& \& \& \& 110
2,100 \& \& \& 142,571
238,410 \& 142,571
238,410 <br>
\hline 1.230 \& Traficic Safety Commission \& 77,682 \& 77,682 \& \& \& \& \& 77,682 \& \& \& \& 3,720 \& \& \& 73,962 \& 73,962 <br>
\hline 1.232 \& Port Renfrew Street Lighting \& 9,288 \& 9,288 \& \& \& \& \& 9,288 \& \& \& \& 350 \& 3,840 \& 5,098 \& \& 5,098 <br>
\hline 1.234 \&  \& 27,496
465214 \& ${ }^{27,496}$ \& 87.493 \& \& \& \& 27,496 \& \& \& \& 40
7 \& 140,660 \& \& 27,456 \& 27,456 <br>
\hline 1.238A \& Community Transit (S.S.I.) \& 626,761 \& 591,761 \& \& \& \& 35,000 \& 626,761 \& \& \& 65,000 \& 211,311 \& \& \& 350,450 \& 350,450 <br>
\hline 1.238B \& Community Transportation (S.S.I.) \& 167,665 \& 82,665 \& \& \& \& 85,000 \& 167,665 \& \& \& \& 1,170 \& \& \& 166,495 \& 166,495 <br>
\hline 1.280 \& Regional Parks \& 17,753,619 \& 13,769,992 \& 569,207 \& \& 123,120 \& 3,291,300 \& 17,753,619 \& \& 79,216 \& \& 865,526 \& 462,455 \& \& 16,346,422 \& 16,346,422 <br>
\hline 1.280A \& Regional Parks - Land Acquisition \& 106,250 \& 50,000 \& 56,250 \& \& \& \& 106,250 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 106,250 \& 106,250 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.290}$ \& Royal Theatre \& 580,000 \& 100,000 \& \& \& 105,000 \& 375,000
346,233 \& 580,000
788,233 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 580,000
750,000 \& 580,000 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.295}$ \& $\underset{\text { Mcrerson Theatre }}{\text { Arsants }}$ \& 786,233
$3,196,939$ \& 350,000
$3,196,939$ \& \& \& 90,000 \& 346,233 \& 786,233
$3,196,939$ \& \& 14,193 \& 39,000 \& 36,233
185,956 \& \& \& 750,000
2,957,790 \& 750,000
$2,957,790$ <br>
\hline 1.299 \& Salt Spring Island Arts \& 125,052 \& 125,052 \& \& \& \& \& 125,052 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& \& 124,962 \& 124,962 <br>
\hline 1.309 \& Climate Action and Adaptation \& 2,538,800 \& 1,745,839 \& \& \& 792,961 \& \& 2,538,800 \& \& \& 213,929 \& 733,506 \& \& \& 1,591,365 \& 1,591,365 <br>
\hline 1.310 \& Land Banking \& Housing \& 3,373,530 \& 1,800,230 \& 1,569,300 \& \& \& 4,000 \& 3,373,530 \& \& 580,942 \& \& 324,951 \& 2,000 \& \& 2,465,637 \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.311} 1$ \& Regional Housing Trust Fund
Regional Goose Management \& 330,000
242,278 \& ${ }_{242,278}^{330,000}$ \& \& \& \& \& 330,000
242,278 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 330,000
242,278 \& 330,000
242,278 <br>
\hline 1.313 \& Animal Care Services \& 1,703,626 \& 1,646,626 \& \& \& \& 57,000 \& 1,703,626 \& \& \& 16,197 \& 1,193,759 \& 19,440 \& \& 474,230 \& 474,230 <br>
\hline 1.314 \& SGI House Numbering \& 9,954 \& 9,954 \& \& \& \& \& 9,954 \& \& \& \& 110 \& \& \& 9,844 \& 9,844 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.316}$ \& SSI Building Numbering \& 10,069
13748 \& 10,069 \& \& \& \& \& 10,069
13748 \& \& \& \& ${ }_{40}^{20}$ \& \& \& 10,049
13 \& 10,049
13708 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.318}$ \& JDF Building Numbering
Building Inspection \& 13,748
2,072,310 \& 13,748
2,015,750 \& \& \& 13,260 \& 43,300 \& 13,748
2,072,310 \& \& 31,600 \& 114,090 \& 40
4.460 \& 1,406,210 \& \& 13,708
515,950 \& 13,708
515,950 <br>
\hline 1.319 \& Soil Deposit Removal \& 6,014 \& 6,014 \& \& \& \& \& 6,014 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& \& 5,974 \& 5,974 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.320}$ \& Noise Control \& 42,051 \& 42,051 \& \& \& \& \& 42,051 \& \& \& \& 310 \& \& \& 41,741 \& 41,741 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.322}$ \& Nuisances \& Unsightly Premises \& 56,062 \& 56,062 \& \& \& \& \& 56,062 \& \& \& \& 320 \& \& \& 55,742 \& 55,742 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.323}$ \& By-Law Enforcement \& 552,819 \& 538,319 \& \& \& \& 44,500 \& 582,819 \& \& 553,569
88320 \& \& ${ }^{29,250}$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.324}$ \& le \& $1,594,900$
861,623 \& $\begin{array}{r}1,592,400 \\ \hline 785,853\end{array}$ \& \& \& \& 2,500
75,770 \& $1,594,900$
866,623 \& \& ${ }_{3}^{88,700}$ \& 189,750
48,183 \& 62,900
2,730 \& 45,000 \& \& $1,253,930$
733,010 \& $1,253,930$
733,010 <br>
\hline 1.330 \& Regional Growth Strategy \& 331,317 \& 321,317 \& \& \& \& 10,000 \& 331,317 \& \& \& 4,231 \& 16,520 \& \& \& 310,566 \& 310,566 <br>
\hline 1.335 \& Geo-Spatial Referencing System \& 181,347 \& 118,257 \& \& \& \& 63,090 \& 181,347 \& \& \& \& 7,990 \& 8,540 \& \& 164,817 \& 164,817 <br>
\hline 1.340 \& JDF Livestock Injury Compensation \& 3,161 \& 3,161 \& \& \& \& \& 3,161 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,161 \& 3,161 <br>

\hline ${ }^{1.341} 1.342$ \& SGI Livestock Injury Compensation \& | 3,161 |
| :---: |
| 3,161 | \& 3,161 \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{\substack{3,161 \\ 3,161}}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& ${ }_{\substack{3,161 \\ 3,161}}$ \& | 3,161 |
| :---: |
| 3,161 |
| 1 | <br>

\hline 1.350 \& Wilis Point Fire Protect \& Recreation \& 181,960 \& - 113,338 \& \& \& 6,400 \& 62,222 \& 181,960 \& \& \& \& 34,120 \& \& \& 147,840 \& 147,840 <br>
\hline 1.352 \& South Galiano Fire Protection \& 606,589 \& 355,577 \& 141,027 \& \& 6,100 \& 103,885 \& 606,589 \& \& \& \& 1,120 \& \& 141,027 \& 464,442 \& 605,469 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.353}$ \& Otter Point Fire Protection \& 554,908
68276 \& 384,128
68276 \& \& \& 5,780 \& 165,000 \& 554,908
68,276 \& \& \& \& 330 \& \& \& 554,578
68,276 \& 554,578
68,276 <br>
\hline 1.355 \& Durrance Road Fire Protection \& 3,019 \& ${ }_{2,719}$ \& \& \& \& 300 \& 3,019 \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,019 \& \& 3,019 <br>
\hline 1.356 \& Pender Fire Protection \& 1,318,380 \& 1,027,490 \& 111,596 \& \& \& 179,294 \& 1,318,380 \& \& \& 111,596 \& 11,520 \& \& \& 1,195,264 \& 1,195,264 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.357}$ \& East Sooke Fire Protection \& 563,423 \& 299,914 \& 155,109 \& \& \& 108,400 \& 563,423 \& \& \& \& 28,000 \& 57,480 \& \& 477,943 \& 477,943 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.358}$ \& Port Renfrew Fire Protection \& 165,233 \& 137,335 \& 2,898 \& \& \& 25,000 \& 165,233 \& \& \& \& 1,230 \& 65,601 \& \& 98,402 \& $\begin{array}{r}98,402 \\ 231065 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.360}$ \& NSiriley Fire Protection \& 231,950
197,750 \& 122,750 \& 48,872 \& \& 10,000 \& 8,000
65,000 \& 231,975
197,750 \& \& \& \& 210 \& \& 24,440 \& 206,625

197,540 \& | 231,065 |
| :--- |
| 197,540 | <br>

\hline 1.363 \& Saturna Island Fire \& 292,917 \& 292,917 \& \& \& \& \& 292,917 \& \& \& \& 11,090 \& \& \& 281,827 \& 281,827 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.369}$ \& Electoral Area Fire Services - JDF \& ${ }^{68,818}$ \& 61,031 \& \& \& 1,166 \& ${ }^{6,621}$ \& ${ }^{68,818}$ \& \& \& \& 120 \& \& \& ${ }^{68,698}$ \& 68,698 <br>
\hline 1.370 \& Juan de Fuca Emergency Program \& ${ }_{91,611}^{7,615}$ \& ${ }^{75,731}$ \& \& \& 1,334 \& 15,880 \& 91,611 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& \& ${ }_{91,371}^{7,615}$ \& 91,371 <br>
\hline 1.371 \& S.S.I. Emergency Program \& 145,545 \& 145,545 \& \& \& \& \& 145,545 \& \& \& 14,169 \& 190 \& \& \& ${ }^{131,186}$ \& ${ }^{131,186}$ <br>
\hline ${ }_{1373}^{1.372}$ \& Electoral Area Emergency Program \& 685,726

25, \& ${ }^{678,226}$ \& \& \& \& 7,500
8.613 \& -685,726 \& \& 512,966 \& 11174 \& 950 \& \& \& 160,636

247378 \& 160,636
247378
248 <br>
\hline 1.374 \& Regional Emergencoy Program Support \& 155,591 \& 15,591 \& \& \& \& 8,613 \& ${ }_{155,591}$ \& \& \& 6,699 \& 7,900 \& \& \& 140,992 \& 140,992 <br>
\hline 1.375 \& Hazardous Material Incident Response \& 369,345 \& 359,785 \& \& \& \& 9,560 \& 369,345 \& \& \& 8,725 \& 19,230 \& \& \& 341,390 \& 341,390 <br>
\hline 1.37 \& J.D.F. Search and Rescue \& 91,462 \& 88,462 \& \& \& \& 3,000 \& 91,462 \& \& \& \& 21,510 \& \& \& 69,952 \& 69,952 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 F |  | IAL PLAN |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \hline 2025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surplus } \\ 2024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recovery from other services | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Transfers from } \\ \text { Reserves } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Revenue |  | Schedule A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Totala } \\ 2025 \end{array}$ | Operations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INenanares } \\ & \hline \text { Interest \& } \\ & \text { Principal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deficit | Capital | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transfers to } \\ & \text { Reserves } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fee \& } \\ & \text { Charges } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Parcel } \\ \text { Tax } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Property } \\ & \text { Value Tax } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Requisition } \\ 2025 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.010 | Legislative \& General Government | 34,329,545 | 32,760,374 |  |  | 1,242,440 | 326,731 | 34,329,545 | 450,000 | 19,566,972 | 1,133,648 | 1,393,746 | 88,090 |  | 11,697,089 | 11,697,089 |
| 1.10x | Facilities and Risk | 4,581,516 | 4,116,506 |  |  |  | 465,010 | 4,581,516 |  | 4,367,025 |  | 42,634 |  |  | 171,857 | 171,857 |
| 1.101 | G.I.s. | 664,169 | 595,249 |  |  | 18,920 | 50,000 | 664,169 |  | 524,684 |  | 6,060 |  |  | 133,425 | 133,425 |
| 1.103 | Elections | 65,620 | 420 |  |  |  | 65,200 | 65,620 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 65,530 | 65,530 |
| 1.104 | U.B.C.M. | 13,523 | 13,523 |  |  |  |  | 13,523 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 13,443 | 13,443 |
| 1.109 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - JDF | 69,191 | 69,191 |  |  |  |  | 69,191 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 69,041 | 69,041 |
| 1.110 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - SGI | 471,043 | 469,943 |  |  |  | 1,100 | 471,043 |  |  | 11,392 | 18,680 |  |  | 440,971 | 440,971 |
| 1.111 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - SSI | 1,262,951 | 1,226,951 |  |  |  | 36,000 | 1,262,951 |  | 489,780 |  | 5,750 |  |  | 767,421 | 767,421 |
| 1.112 | Regional Grant in Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.114 | Grant-in-Aid - Juan de Fuca | 22,831 | 22,831 |  |  |  |  | 22,831 |  |  |  | 290 |  |  | 22,541 | 22,541 |
| 1.116 | Grant-in-Aid - Salt Spring sland | 57,584 | 57,584 |  |  |  |  | 57,584 |  |  |  | 250 |  |  | 57,334 | 57,334 |
| 1.117 | Grant-in-Aid - Southern Guff Islands | 106,444 | 106,444 |  |  |  |  | 106,444 |  |  |  | 1,260 |  |  | 105,184 | 105,184 |
| 1.119 | Vancouver Island Regional Library | 355,497 | 355,497 |  |  |  |  | 355,497 |  |  |  | 610 |  |  | 354,887 | 354,887 |
| 1.121 | Sooke Regional Museum | 231,332 | 231,332 |  |  |  |  | 231,332 |  |  |  | 500 |  |  | 230,832 | 230,832 |
| 1.123 | Prov. Court of B.C. (Family Court) | 149,360 |  |  |  |  | 149,360 | 149,360 |  |  |  | 149,360 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.124 | SSI Economic Development Commission | 100,480 | 97,475 |  |  |  | 3,005 | 100,480 |  |  |  | 630 |  |  | 99,850 | 99,850 |
| 1.125 | SGI Economic Development Commission | 130,367 | 130,367 |  |  |  |  | 130,367 |  |  |  | 1,430 |  |  | 128,937 | 128,937 |
| 1.126 | Victoria Family Court Committee | 15.878 | 15,878 |  |  |  |  | 15,878 |  |  |  | 878 |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 1.128 | Greater Victoria Poice Victim Services | 317,394 | 317,394 |  |  |  |  | 317,394 |  |  |  | 15,358 |  |  | 302,036 | 302,036 |
| 1.129 | Vancouver Island Regional Library- Debt | 339,466 | 1,200 | 338,266 |  |  |  | 339,466 |  |  |  | 339,466 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.133 | Langford E.A. - Greater Victoria Public Library | 33,858 | 33,858 |  |  |  |  | 33,858 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 33,768 | 33,768 |
| 1.137 | Galiano Island Community Use Building | 69,662 | 39,245 | 27,417 |  |  | 3,000 | 69,662 |  |  |  | 260 |  |  | 69,402 | 69,402 |
| 1.138 | Southern Guff Islands Regional Library | 249,394 | 249,394 |  |  |  |  | 249,394 |  |  |  | 2,570 |  |  | 246,824 | 246,824 |
| 1.141 | Salt Spring Island Pubicic Library | 714,985 | 529,188 | 145,607 |  |  | 40,190 | 714,985 |  |  |  | 1,600 |  |  | 713,385 | 713,385 |
| 1.15 x | Municipalities' Own Debt - M.F.A. | 15,489,943 | 52,200 | 15,437,743 |  |  |  | 15,489,943 |  |  |  | 52,200 |  |  | 15,437,743 | 15,437,743 |
| 1.170 | Gossip Island Electric Power Supply | 63,106 | 485 | 62,621 |  |  |  | 63,106 |  |  |  | 240 |  | 62,866 |  | ${ }^{62,866}$ |
| 1.224 | Community Heath - Homeless Sec. | 672,189 | 672,189 |  |  |  |  | 672,189 |  |  |  | 190,484 |  |  | 481,705 | 481,705 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.226}$ | Community Heath (CHR) Facilities Saturna Island Medical Clinic | $1,770,063$ 31,220 | $1,165,252$ 31,220 |  |  |  | 604,811 | $1,770,063$ 31,220 |  |  |  | $1,770,063$ 2,310 |  |  | 28.910 | 28.910 |
| 1.228 | Galiano Heath Service | 145,536 | 145,536 |  |  |  |  | 145,536 |  |  |  | 110 |  |  | 145,426 | 145,426 |
| 1.229 | Pender Islands Heath Care Centre | 247,602 | 247,602 |  |  |  |  | 247,602 |  |  |  | 2,140 |  |  | 245,462 | 245,462 |
| 1.230 | Trafic Safety Commission | 79,211 | 79,211 |  |  |  |  | 79,211 |  |  |  | 3,770 |  |  | 75,441 | 75,441 |
| 1.232 | Port Renfrew Street Lighting | 9,447 | 9,447 |  |  |  |  | 9,447 |  |  |  | 360 | 3,920 | 5,167 |  | 5,167 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.234}$ | S.S.I. Street Lighting ${ }_{\text {S G I I }}$ | 28,043 476,031 | 28,043 249,838 | 87,493 |  |  | 138,700 | 28,043 476,031 |  |  |  | 40 7,420 | 143,340 | 325,271 | 28,003 | 28,003 325,71 |
| 1.236 | Salt Spring Island Ferrwood Dock | 26,884 | 16,884 |  |  |  | 10,000 | 26,884 |  |  |  | 170 |  | 26,714 |  | 26,714 |
| 1.238 A | Community Transit (S.S.I.) | 644,763 | 609,763 |  |  |  | 35,000 | 644,763 |  |  |  | 221,858 |  |  | 422,905 | 422,905 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.2388}$ | Community Transportation (S.S.I.) | 170,893 1811580 | 84,393 |  |  |  | 86,500 3,354795 | 170,893 18115880 |  |  |  | 1,170 866319 |  |  | 169.723 | 169,723 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.2808}$ | Regional Parks Regional Parks - Land Acquisition | $18,115,880$ 610,082 | $14,066,295$ 50,000 | 569,207 560,082 |  | 125,583 | 3,354,795 | 18,115,880 |  | 79,885 | 8,000 | 866,319 | 462,557 |  | $16,699,119$ 610,082 | $16,699,119$ 610,082 |
| 1.290 | Royal Theatre | 580,000 | 100,000 |  |  | 105,000 | 375,000 | 580,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 580,000 | 580,000 |
| 1.295 | McPherson Theatre | 786,233 | 350,000 |  |  | 90,000 | 346,233 | 786,233 |  |  |  | 36,233 |  |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.299}$ |  | ${ }^{3,261,897}$ | ${ }^{3,261,897} 127$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3,261,897} 127$ |  | 14,498 | 39,000 | 185,956 |  |  | $3,022,443$ 127,461 | $3,022,443$ 127,461 |
| 1.309 | Climate Action and Adaptation | 2,262,755 | 1,469,794 |  |  | 792,961 |  | 2,262,755 |  |  | 138,637 | 607,506 |  |  | 1,516,612 | 1,516,612 |
| 1.310 | Land Banking \& Housing | 5,358,706 | 1,853,179 | 3,501,527 |  |  | 4,000 | 5,358,706 |  | 589,004 |  | 312,983 | 2,000 |  | 4,454,719 | 4,454,719 |
| 1.311 1.312 | Regional Housing Trust Fund Regional Goose Management | 247,132 | 247,132 |  |  |  |  | 247,132 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 247,132 | 247,132 |
| 1.313 | Animal Care Services | 1,742,669 | 1,680,669 |  |  |  | 62,000 | 1,742,669 |  |  | 11,801 | 1,222,578 | 19,830 |  | 488,460 | 488,460 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.314}$ | SGI House Numbering | 10,153 | 10,153 |  |  |  |  | 10,153 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}110 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ |  |  | 10,043 | 10,043 |
| ${ }_{1.317}^{1.316}$ | SSI Buiding Numbering JDF Buiding Numbering | 10,269 14,021 | 10,269 14,021 |  |  |  |  | 10,269 14,021 |  |  |  | 20 40 |  |  | 10,249 13,981 | 10,249 13,981 |
| 1.318 | Building Inspection | 2,118,032 | 2,061,212 |  |  | 13,520 | 43,300 | 2,118,032 |  | 32,230 | 105,162 | 4,550 | 1,434,340 |  | 541,750 | 541,750 |
| 1.319 1.320 | Soil Deposit Removal | 6,135 42882 | 6,135 42882 |  |  |  |  | 6,135 42882 |  |  |  | 40 310 |  |  | 6,095 42,572 | 6,095 42,572 |
| 1.322 | Nuisances \& Unsighty Premises | 57,167 | 57,167 |  |  |  |  | 57,167 |  |  |  | 320 |  |  | 56,847 | 56,847 |
| 1.323 | By-Law Enforcement | 594,430 | 549,930 |  |  |  | 44,500 | 594,430 |  | 564,660 |  | 29,770 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.324 | Regional Planning Services | 1,631,455 | 1,628,955 |  |  |  | 2,500 | 1,631,455 |  | 90,050 | 190,843 | 70,860 |  |  | 1,279,702 | 1,279,702 |
| 1.325 1330 | Electoral Area Services - Planning | 892,160 | 816,390 627928 |  |  |  | 75,770 | 892,160 |  | 33,350 | 63,380 304325 | 2,760 16830 | 45,000 |  | 7477670 316773 |  |
| ${ }_{1.335}^{1.330}$ | Regional Growth Strategy Geo-Spatial Referencing System | 637,928 184,984 | 627,928 120,634 |  |  |  | 10,000 64,350 | 637,928 184,984 |  |  | 304,325 | 16,830 8,150 | 8,710 |  | 316,773 168,124 | 316,773 168,124 |
| 1.340 | JDF Livestock Injury Compensation | 3,164 | 3,164 |  |  |  |  | 3,164 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,164 | 3,164 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.341}$ | SGI Livestock Injury Compensation | 3,164 3,164 | ${ }_{\substack{3,164 \\ 3,164}}$ |  |  |  |  | 3,164 <br> 3,164 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,164 | 3,164 <br> 3,164 |
| 1.350 | Willis Point Fire Protect \& Recreation | 189,460 | 115,697 |  |  | 6,510 | 67,253 | 189,460 |  |  |  | 34,230 |  |  | 155,230 | 155,230 |
| 1.352 | South Galiano Fire Protection | 629,811 | 362,083 | 141,027 |  | 6,220 | 120,481 | 629,811 |  |  |  | 1,120 |  | 141,027 | 487,664 | 628,691 |
| ${ }_{1.354}^{1.353}$ | Otter Point Fire Protection | 567,850 69643 | 391,950 69643 |  |  | 5,900 | 170,000 | 567,850 69643 |  |  |  | 330 |  |  | 567,520 69.643 | 567,520 69643 |
| 1.355 | Durrance Road Fire Protection | 3,021 | ${ }_{2,721}$ |  |  |  | 300 | 3,021 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,021 |  | 3,021 |
| 1.356 | Pender Fire Protection | 1,371,132 | 1,072,360 | ${ }^{111,596}$ |  |  | 187,176 | 1,371,132 |  |  | 111,596 | 11,730 |  |  | 1,247,806 | 1,247,806 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.357}$ | East Sooke Fire Protection | 574,887 | 306,278 | 155,109 |  |  | 113,500 | 574,887 |  |  |  | 28,774 | 58,630 |  | 487,483 | 487,483 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.359}$ | Port Renfrew Fire Protection N. Gailiano Fire Protection | 168,164 <br> 235,438 | 140,164 173,566 | 48,872 |  | 5,000 | 28,000 <br> 8,000 | 168,164 <br> 235,438 |  |  |  | 1,250 920 | 66,766 | 24,440 | 100,148 210,078 | 100,148 <br> 234,518 |
| 1.360 | Shirey Fire Protection | 200,229 | 125,229 |  |  | 10,000 | 65,000 | 200,229 |  |  |  | 210 |  |  | 200,019 | 200,019 |
| 1.363 1369 | Saturna Island Fire | 313,044 | ${ }^{313,044}$ |  |  |  |  | 313,044 |  |  |  | 11,310 |  |  | 301,734 | 301,734 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.369}$ | Electoral Area Fire Services - JDF Electoral Area Fire Services - SGI | 68,818 78,615 | 62,273 71,125 |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,1634}$ | 5,379 6,156 | 68,818 78,615 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  | 68,698 78,615 | 68,698 78,615 |
| 1.370 | Juan de Fuca Emergency Program | 93,284 | 77,404 |  |  |  | 15,880 | 93,284 |  |  |  | 240 |  |  | 93,044 | ${ }^{93,044}$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.371}$ | S.S.I. Emergency Program | 148,218 | 148,218 |  |  |  |  | 148,218 |  |  | 12,250 | 190 |  |  | ${ }^{135,778}$ | 135,778 |
| ${ }_{1.373}^{1.372}$ | Electoral Area Emergency Program | 700,388 250,288 | 692,888 245,70 |  |  |  | 7,500 4,958 | 700,388 250,228 |  | 529,381 | 6,198 | r $\begin{array}{r}960 \\ 2,850\end{array}$ |  |  | 163,849 247,378 | 163,849 <br> 247,378 |
| 1.374 | Regional Emergency Program Support | 158,941 | 158,941 |  |  |  |  | 158,941 |  |  | 7,018 | 8,050 |  |  | 143,873 | 143,873 |
| 1.375 | Hazardous Material Incident Response | 376,531 | 366,971 |  |  |  | 9,560 | 376,531 |  |  | 8,703 | 19,610 |  |  | 348,218 | 348,218 |
| 1.377 | J.D.F. Search and Rescue | 91,892 | 88,892 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 91,892 |  |  |  | 21,940 |  |  | 69,952 | 69,952 |


| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 FINA |  | Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | Revenue Schedule A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Totalal } \\ & 2025 \end{aligned}$ | Operations | Expenditure Principal | Deficit | Capital | Transfers to Reserves | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & 2025 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surplus } \\ 2024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recovery from | Transfers from Reserves | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Fee e } \\ \text { Charges } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Parcel | Property | Requisition |
| 1.378 | s.s.I. Search and Rescue | 26,842 | 26,842 |  |  |  |  | 26,842 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 26,742 | 26,742 |
| 1.40X | SEAPARC | 4,773,487 | 4,189,521 | 53,966 |  |  | 530,000 | 4,773,487 |  |  |  | 411,572 | 937,299 |  | 3,424,616 | 3,424,616 |
| 1.405 | JDF EA - Community Parks | 211,434 | 191,434 |  |  |  | 20,000 | 211,434 |  |  |  | 900 |  |  | 210,534 | 210,534 |
| 1.408 | JDF EA - Community Recreation | 97,649 | 97,649 |  |  |  |  | 97,649 |  |  |  | 22,080 |  |  | 75,569 | 75,569 |
| 1.44X | Panorama Rec. Center. | 10,898,392 | 9,298,220 | 360,308 |  |  | 1,239,864 | 10,898,392 |  |  |  | 2,236,420 | 3,034,207 |  | 5,627,765 | 5,627,765 |
| 1.455 | Salt Spring Island - Community Parks | 1,047,689 | 1,022,189 |  |  |  | 25,500 | 1,047,689 |  | 406,650 |  | 36,970 |  |  | 604,069 | 604,069 |
| 1.458 | Salt Spring Is.- Community Rec | 298,263 | 298,263 |  |  |  |  | 298,263 |  |  |  | 40 | 246,556 |  | 51,667 | 51,667 |
| 1.459 | Salt Spring Is- Pool, Parks, Land, Art \& Rec. Prog | 2,488,578 | 2,126,078 |  |  |  | 362,500 | 2,488,578 |  | 88,906 |  | 153,129 | 296,830 |  | 1,949,713 | 949,713 |
| 1.465 | Saturna Island Comm. Parks | 28,341 | 23,143 |  |  |  | 5,198 | 28,341 |  |  |  | 1,680 |  |  | 26,661 | 26,661 |
| 1.468 | Saturna Island - Community Rec. | 15,179 | 15,179 |  |  |  |  | 15,179 |  |  |  | 950 |  |  | 14,229 | 14,229 |
| 1.475 | Mayne Is. Com. Parks \& Rec | 99,644 | 81,464 |  |  |  | 18,180 | 99,644 |  |  |  | 2,960 |  |  | 96,684 | 96,684 |
| 1.476 | Mayne Is. Comm. Parks (reserve) | 8,430 | 8,430 |  |  |  |  | 8,430 |  |  |  | 7,590 | 840 |  |  |  |
| 1.478 | Mayne Is. Community Rec. | 38,220 | 38,220 |  |  |  |  | 38,220 |  |  |  | 60 |  |  | 38,160 | 38,160 |
| 1.485 | North \& South Pender Com. Parks | 174,261 | 145,811 |  |  |  | 28,450 | 174,261 |  |  |  | 2,040 |  |  | 172,221 | 172,221 |
| 1.488 | North \& South Pender Com. Rec | 70,820 | 70,820 |  |  |  |  | 70,820 |  |  |  | 870 |  |  | 69,950 | 69,950 |
| 1.495 | Galiano Parks | 138,822 | ${ }^{121,822}$ |  |  |  | 17,000 | 138,822 |  |  | 20,790 | 120 |  |  | 117,912 | 117,912 |
| 1.498 | Galiano Community Recreation | 39,884 | 39,884 |  |  |  |  | 39,884 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 39,854 | 39,854 |
| 1.521 | SWMP -Solid Waste Disposal (Refuse Disposal) | 30,950,628 | 28,223,669 |  |  |  | 2,726,959 | 30,950,628 |  |  |  | 13,519,647 | 17,430,981 |  |  |  |
| 1.523 | Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal | 97,014 | 93,014 |  |  |  | 4,000 | 97,014 |  | 18,580 |  | 42,140 |  |  | 36,294 | 36,294 |
| 1.525 | Solid Waste Disposal - Debt | 2,988,867 | 26,990 | 2,961,877 |  |  |  | 2,988,867 |  |  |  | 490 | 2,988,377 |  |  |  |
| 1.531 | Stormwater Qualit Management - Sooke | 41,022 | 24,968 |  |  |  | 16,054 | 41,022 |  |  |  | 86 |  |  | 40,936 | 40,936 |
| 1.533 | Stormwater Quality Management - S.G.I. | ${ }^{41,829}$ | ${ }^{41,829}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{41,829}$ |  |  |  | 430 |  |  | 41,399 | 41,399 |
| 1.535 | Stormwater Quality Management - S.S.I. | 37,806 | 37,806 |  |  |  |  | 37,806 |  |  | 6,836 | 30 |  |  | 30,940 | 30,940 |
| 1.536 | LWMP-Stormwater Quality Management-Core | 770,544 | 770,544 |  |  |  |  | 770,544 |  |  |  | 87,763 |  |  | 682,781 | 682,781 |
| 1.537 | Stormwater Quality Management - Peninsula | 126,679 | 126,679 |  |  |  |  | 126,679 |  |  |  | 4,455 |  |  | 122,224 | 122,224 |
| 1.538 | Source - Stormwater Quality - Peninsula | 60,528 | 60,528 |  |  |  |  | 60,528 |  |  | 673 | 1,763 |  |  | 58,092 | 58,092 |
| ${ }^{1.571}$ | Environmental Services | 26,510,045 | 25,686,571 |  |  |  | 823,474 | 26,510,045 |  | 26,283,026 | 134,619 | 92,400 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.911 | 911 Systems | 2,526,101 | 1,513,152 | 1,011,949 |  |  | 1,000 | 2,526,101 |  |  | 14,034 | 2,182,836 | 129,111 |  | 200, 120 | 200,120 <br> 642764$)$ |
| 1.912 B | 911 Call Answer - Municipalites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 696,074 |  | (53,310) |  |  | (642,764) | (642,764) |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.9921}$ |  | - $\begin{array}{r}388,090 \\ 1,862,626\end{array}$ | 382,090 1.862626 |  |  |  |  | 382,090 1.862 .626 |  |  | 11,360 | 9,800 109920 |  |  | 362,930 1 1752,706 | r $\begin{array}{r}360,930 \\ 1,752,706\end{array}$ |
| 1.923 | Emergency Comm - CREST- - .G.I. | 189,720 | 189,720 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {1 }}^{189,720}$ |  |  |  | 2,120 |  |  | ${ }^{1} 187,600$ | 187,600 |
| 1.924 | Emergency Comm - CREST - J.D.F. | 149,501 | 149,501 |  |  |  |  | 149,501 |  |  |  | 240 |  |  | 149,261 | 149,261 |
| 1.925 | Emergency Comm - CREST - s.S.I. | 150,964 | 150,964 |  |  |  |  | 150,964 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 150,814 | 150,814 |
| 2.610 | Saanich Peninsula Water Supply | 8,576,190 | 7,502,736 | 423,454 |  |  | 650,000 | 8,576,190 |  |  |  | 56,000 | 8,520,190 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2.620}$ | SSI Highland Water System | 13,895 | ${ }^{134}$ | 13,761 |  |  |  | 13,895 |  |  |  | 90 |  | ${ }^{13,805}$ |  | ${ }^{13,805}$ |
| 2.621 2.622 | Highland / Fernwood Water - SSI | 548,640 84,771 | 342,703 33,081 | 70,589 46,690 |  |  | 135,348 5,000 | 548,640 84,771 |  |  |  | 770 50 | 467,820 84,721 | 80,050 |  | 80,050 |
| ${ }_{2}^{2.624}$ | Beddis Water | 404,830 | 20,300 | 26,705 |  |  | 174,225 | 404,830 |  |  |  | 170 | 274,600 | 130,060 |  | 130,060 |
| 2.626 | Fulford Water | 232,353 | 156,811 | 15,432 |  |  | 60,110 | 232,353 |  |  |  | 690 | 179,500 | 52,163 |  | 52,163 |
| 2.628 | Cedar Lane Water (S.S. .1.) | 100,500 | 56,256 | 7,608 |  |  | 36,636 | 100,500 |  |  |  | 180 | 81,420 | 18,900 |  | 18,900 |
| 2.630 | Magic Lakes Estate Water System | 1,028,610 | 741,675 | 193,394 |  |  | 93,541 | 1,028,610 |  |  |  | 10,500 | 414,680 | 603,430 |  | 603,430 |
| 2.640 | Saturna Island Water System (Lyall Harbour) | 283,574 | 187,190 | 54,684 |  |  | 41,700 | 283,574 |  |  |  | 1,020 | 135,894 | 146,660 |  | 146,660 |
| ${ }^{2.642}$ | Skana Water (Mayne) | 888,287 | 55,475 | 19,913 |  |  | 12,899 8 | 88,287 148267 |  |  |  | 100 | 61,477 73,569 | 26,710 |  | 26,710 73568 |
| ${ }_{2}^{2.650}$ | Port Renfrew Water Fernwood Water | 148,267 7,181 | 128,124 67 | 12,143 7,114 |  |  | 8,000 | 148,267 7,181 |  |  |  | 1,130 40 | 73,569 | 73,568 7,141 |  | 73,568 7,141 |
| 2.665 | Sticks Allison Water (Gaiano) | 73,352 | 54,752 |  |  |  | 18,600 | 73,352 |  |  |  | 100 | 67,952 | 5,300 |  | 5,300 |
| 2.667 | Surside Park Estates (Mayne) | 148,011 | 115,731 | 6,125 |  |  | 26,155 | 148,011 |  |  |  | 100 | 119,121 | 28,790 |  | 28,790 |
| 2.670 | Regional Water Supply | 44,623,907 | 20,149,016 | 4,811,482 |  | 19,100,000 | 563,409 | 44,623,907 |  |  |  | 819,690 185,580 | 43,804,217 $28,234,691$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{2.680}$ | Juan de Fuca Water Distribution Florence Lake Water System Debt | 28,420,071 | 16, 113, 138 | 3,189,948 |  | 8,420,118 | 696,867 | 28,420,071 |  |  |  | 185,380 | 28,234,691 | 19.944 |  |  |
| 2.682 | Seagirt Water System Debt | 164,380 |  | 164,380 |  |  |  | 164,380 |  |  |  |  |  | 164,380 |  | 164,380 |
| 2.691 | Wiidermess Mountain Water Service | 182,155 | 143,436 | 25,664 |  |  | 13,055 | 182,155 |  |  | 10,000 | 112 | 102,498 | 69,545 |  | 69,545 |
| 3.700 | Septage Disposal - Municipal | 164,192 | 164,192 |  |  |  |  | 164,192 |  |  |  | 164,192 |  |  |  | - |
| 3.700 | Septage Disposal - JDF Service Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.701 <br> 3.705 | Millstream Remediation Service | $\begin{array}{r}19,699 \\ \hline 1080\end{array}$ | ${ }_{538}^{537}$ | 19,162 107153 |  |  | 84.756 | $\begin{array}{r}19,699 \\ \hline 1080209\end{array}$ |  |  | 3,751 | 12,197 1,160 |  | 411.889 | 3,751 | ${ }^{3,751}$ |
| ${ }_{3.707}^{3.75}$ | On Site System Management Program - LWMP | 1,0870,964 | 178,964 | 107,153 |  |  |  | -170,964 |  |  | 28,071 | 7,485 | 667,160 | 411,889 | 135,408 | 135,408 |
| 3.71X | Trk Swrs \& Swge Disp - oper | 38,768,676 | 36,952,040 | 141,256 |  |  | 1,675,380 | 38,768,676 |  | 1,680,703 | 1,046,785 | 29,228,949 |  |  | 6,812,239 | 6,812,239 |
| 3.7XX | Trk Swrs - debt | 25,122,081 | 205,348 | 11,247,709 |  | 5,529,745 | 8,139,279 | 25,122,081 |  |  |  | 17,874,771 |  |  | 7,247,310 | 7,247,310 |
| ${ }^{3} .720$ | LWMP (Peninsula) - Implementation | 58,426 | 37,730 |  |  |  | 20,696 | 58,426 |  |  |  | 2,320 |  |  | 56,106 | 56,106 227139 |
| 3.750 <br> 3.752 |  | 387,926 368,640 | 336,596 368,640 |  |  |  | 51,330 | 387,926 368,640 |  |  |  | 160,787 21,658 |  |  | 227,139 346,982 | 227,139 346,982 |
| 3.755 | Regional Source Control | 1,665,422 | 1,665,422 |  |  |  |  | 1,665,422 |  | 55,000 | 8,554 | 93,303 | 62,424 |  | 1,446,141 | 1,446,141 |
| ${ }^{3.756}$ | Harbours Environmental Action | ${ }^{73,606}$ | 73,606 |  |  |  |  | 73,606 |  |  |  | 2,165 |  |  | 71,441 | 71,441 |
| 3.810 3.820 | Ganges Sewer Maliview Estates Sewer System | ${ }^{1,185,351}$ | 795,440 158,743 | 261,441 25,669 |  |  | 128,470 47,860 | 1,185,351 |  |  |  | 1,920 25,150 | $1,119,891$ 201,922 | 63,540 5,200 |  | 63,540 <br> 5,200 |
| 3.830 | Magic Lake Estates Sewer System | 879,230 | 659,467 | 174,103 |  |  | 45,660 | 879,230 |  | 11,650 |  | 3,680 | 277,890 | 586,010 |  | 586,010 |
| ${ }^{3.8300}$ | ${ }^{\text {Magic Lake Estates Sewer Debt }}$ | 231,255 139752 |  | 230,685 |  |  | 23000 | 231,255 139 |  |  |  | 1,020 1,570 |  | ${ }^{230,235}$ |  | 230,235 |
| ${ }_{21}^{3.8 . A L L}$ | Port Rentrew Sewer Feasibility Study Reserve Fund - All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69,091 |  |  |
| 21.E.A. | Feasibility Study Reserve Fund - E.A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 F |  | IAL PLAN |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2026 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Surplus } \\ 2025 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recovery fromother services | Transfers fromReserves |  |  | ParcelTax | Schedule A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Revenue |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2026 \end{aligned}$ | Operations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INenanares } \\ & \hline \text { Interest \& } \\ & \text { Principal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Deficit | Capital | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transfers to } \\ & \text { Reserves } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fee \& } \\ & \text { Charges } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Property } \\ & \text { Value Tax } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Requisition } \\ 2026 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.010 | Legislative \& General Government | 35,006,410 | 33,471,376 |  |  | 1,202,870 | 332,164 | 35,006,410 | 450,000 | 19,973,922 | 988,678 | 1,401,006 | 89,050 |  | 12,103,754 | 12,103,754 |
| 1.10x | Facilities and Risk | 4,745,186 | 4,204,476 |  |  |  | 540,710 | 4,745,186 |  | 4,526,703 |  | 42,919 |  |  | 175,564 | 175,564 |
| 1.101 | G.I.s. | 677,094 | 607,794 |  |  | 19,300 | 50,000 | 677,094 |  | 535,178 |  | 6,180 |  |  | 135,736 | 135,736 |
| 1.103 | Elections | 272,528 | 272,528 |  |  |  |  | 272,528 |  |  | 125,038 | 81,960 |  |  | 65,530 | 65,530 |
| 1.104 | U.B.C.M. | 13,797 | 13,797 |  |  |  |  | 13,797 |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 13,717 | ${ }^{13,717}$ |
| 1.109 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - JDF | 70,587 | 70,587 |  |  |  |  | 70,587 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 70,437 | 70,437 |
| 1.110 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - SGI | 491,040 | 479,740 |  |  |  | 11,300 | 491,040 |  |  | 9,089 | 18,980 |  |  | 462,971 | 462,971 |
| 1.111 | Electoral Area Admin Exp - SSI | 1,279,495 | 1,243,495 |  |  |  | 36,000 | 1,279,495 |  | 500,120 |  | 5,770 |  |  | 773,605 | 773,605 |
| 1.112 | Regional Grant in Aid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.114 | Grant-in-Aid - Juan de Fuca | 22,888 | 22,888 |  |  |  |  | 22,888 |  |  |  | 290 |  |  | 22,598 | 22,598 |
| 1.116 | Grant-in-Aid - Salt Spring sland | 57,745 | 57,745 |  |  |  |  | 57,745 |  |  |  | 250 |  |  | 57,495 | 57,495 |
| 1.117 | Grant-in-Aid - Southern Guff Islands | ${ }^{106,556}$ | ${ }^{106,556}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{106,556}$ |  |  |  | 1,280 |  |  | 105,276 | ${ }^{105,276}$ |
| 1.119 | Vancouver Island Regional Library | ${ }^{362,582}$ | ${ }^{362,582}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{362,582}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{510}^{620}$ |  |  | 361,962 | 361,962 |
| 1.121 | Sooke Regional Museum | 235,962 | 235,962 |  |  |  |  | 235,962 |  |  |  | 510 |  |  | 235,452 | 235,452 |
| 1.123 | Prov. Court of B.C. (Family Court) | 149,360 |  |  |  |  | 149,360 | 149,360 |  |  |  | 149,360 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.124 | SSIE Economic Development Commission | 102,490 | 97,940 |  |  |  | 4,550 | 102,490 |  |  |  | ${ }^{640}$ |  |  | 101,850 | 101,850 |
| 1.125 | SGI Economic Development Commission | 132,495 | 132,495 |  |  |  |  | 132,495 |  |  |  | 1,460 |  |  | ${ }^{131,035}$ | ${ }^{131,035}$ |
| ${ }^{1.126} 1.128$ | Victoria Famil Court Committee Greater Victoria Pooice Victim Serrices | 15,878 | 15,878 |  |  |  |  | 15,878 |  |  |  | -878 |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 308,369 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.129}$ | Greater Victoria Poicice victim Services | 323,727 339,466 | 323,727 1,200 | 338,266 |  |  |  | 323,727 339,466 |  |  |  | 15,358 339466 |  |  | 308,369 | 308,369 |
| 1.133 | Langford E.A. - Greater Victoria Public Library | 34,531 | 34,531 |  |  |  |  | 34,531 |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 34,441 | 34,441 |
| 1.137 | Galiano Island Community Use Building | 70,478 | 40,061 | 27,417 |  |  | 3,000 | 70,478 |  |  |  | 260 |  |  | 70,218 | 70,218 |
| 1.138 | Southern Guff Islands Regional Library | 254,391 | 254,391 |  |  |  |  | 254,391 |  |  |  | 2,620 |  |  | 251,771 | 251,771 |
| 1.141 | Salt Spring Island Public Library | 729,272 | 539,920 | 145,607 |  |  | 43,745 | 729,272 |  |  |  | 1,620 |  |  | 727,652 | 727,652 |
| ${ }^{1} 1.15 \mathrm{x}$ | Municipalities' Own Debt - M.F.A. | 14,633,126 | 52,200 | 14,580,926 |  |  |  | 14,633,126 |  |  |  | 52,200 |  |  | 14,580,926 | 14,580,926 |
| 1.170 | Gossip Island Electric Power Supply | 63,109 | 488 | 62,621 |  |  |  | 63,109 |  |  |  | 240 |  | 62,869 |  | ${ }^{62,869}$ |
| 1.224 | Community Heath - Homeless Sec. | 675,871 | 675,871 |  |  |  |  | 675,871 |  |  |  | 190,484 |  |  | 485,387 | 485,387 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.2227}$ | Community Heath (CHR) Facilities Saturna Island Medical Clinic | $1,795,553$ 31,487 | $1,189,719$ 31,487 |  |  |  | 605,834 | $1,795,553$ 31,487 |  |  |  | $1,795,553$ 2,360 |  |  | 29.127 | 29.127 |
| 1.228 | Galiano Heath Serrice | 148,442 | 148,442 |  |  |  |  | 148,442 |  |  |  | 110 |  |  | 148,332 | 148,332 |
| 1.229 | Pender Islands Heath Care Centre | 255,696 | 255,696 |  |  |  |  | 255,696 |  |  |  | 2,180 |  |  | 253,516 | 253,516 |
| 1.230 | Trafic Safety Commission | 80,774 | 80,774 |  |  |  |  | 80,774 |  |  |  | 3,824 |  |  | 76,950 | ${ }^{76,950}$ |
| 1.232 | Port Renfrew Street Lighting | 9,607 | 9,607 |  |  |  |  | 9,607 |  |  |  | 370 | 4,000 | 5,237 |  | 5,237 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.234}$ | S.S.I. Street Lighting ${ }_{\text {S G I I }}$ | 28,600 487,002 | 28,600 255,09 | 87,493 |  |  | 143,600 | 28,600 487,002 |  |  |  | 40 7,560 | 146,060 | 333,382 | 28,560 | 28,560 333,882 |
| 1.236 | Salt Spring Island Ferrwood Dock | 27,321 | 17,321 |  |  |  | 10,000 | 27,321 |  |  |  | 170 |  | 27,151 |  | 27,151 |
| 1.238 A | Community Transit (S.S.I.) | 683,022 | 621,986 |  |  |  | 61,036 | 683,022 |  |  |  | 226,282 |  |  | 456,740 | 456,740 |
| 1.238B | Community Transportation (S.S.I.) | 174,144 | 86,144 |  |  |  | 88,000 | 174,144 |  |  |  | 1,170 |  |  | 172,974 | 172,974 |
| 1.280 | Regional Parks | 18,484,710 | 14,365,793 | 569,207 |  | 128,094 | 3,421,616 | 18,484,710 |  | 80,566 | 8,000 | 867,129 | 462,661 |  | 17,066,354 | 17,066,354 |
| 1.280A | Regional Parks - Land Acquisition | 1,113,915 | 50,000 | 1,063,915 |  |  |  | 1,113,915 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,113,915 | 1,113,915 |
| 1.290 | Royal Theatre | 580,000 | 100,000 |  |  | 105,000 | 375,000 | 580,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 580,000 | 580,000 |
| 1.295 | McPherson Theatre | 786,233 | 350,000 |  |  | 90,000 | 346,233 | 786,233 |  |  |  | 36,233 185056 |  |  | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.299}$ |  | $3,328,073$ 130,101 | $3,328,073$ 130,101 |  |  |  |  | $3,328,073$ 130,101 |  | 14,811 | 39,000 | 185,956 ${ }^{\text {90 }}$ |  |  | $3,088,306$ 130,011 | $3,088,306$ <br> 130,011 |
| 1.309 | Climate Action and Adaptation | 2,225,988 | 1,433,027 |  |  | 792,961 |  | 2,225,988 |  |  | 301,340 | 607,506 |  |  | 1,317,142 | 1,317,142 |
| 1.310 | Land Banking \& Housing | 4,113,627 | 1,514,020 | 2,595,607 |  |  | 4,000 | 4,113,627 |  | 441,557 |  | 211,153 | 2,000 |  | 3,458,917 | 3,458,917 |
| 1.311 1.312 | Regional Housing Trust Fund Regional Goose Management | 252,090 | 252,090 |  |  |  |  | 252,090 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 252,090 | 252,090 |
| 1.313 | Animal Care Services | 1,782,617 | 1,715,617 |  |  |  | 67,000 | 1,782,617 |  |  | 7,175 | 1,252,102 | 20,230 |  | 503,110 | 503,110 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.314}$ | SGI House Numbering | 10,353 | 10,353 |  |  |  |  | 10,353 10.469 |  |  |  | 110 |  |  | 10,243 10.449 | 10,243 10.449 |
| ${ }_{1.317}^{1.316}$ | ${ }^{\text {SSIF Buiding Numbering }}$ | 10,469 14,305 | 14,4605 |  |  |  |  | 114,469 |  |  |  | ${ }_{40}^{20}$ |  |  | 10,449 14,265 | 10,449 14,265 |
| 1.318 | Building Inspection | 2,164,103 | 2,107,023 |  |  | 13,780 | 43,300 | 2,164,103 |  | 32,870 | 94,713 | 4,650 | 1,463,030 |  | 568,840 | 568,840 |
| 1.319 | Soil Deposit Removal | 6,247 | 6,247 |  |  |  |  | 6,247 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 6,207 | ${ }_{6,207}$ |
| 1.320 | Noise Control | 43,744 | 43,744 |  |  |  |  | 43,744 |  |  |  | 310 |  |  | 43,434 | 43,434 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.322}$ | Nuisances \& Unsightly Premises | 58,302 | 58,302 |  |  |  |  | 58,302 |  |  |  | 320 |  |  | 57,982 | 57,982 |
| ${ }_{1.324}^{1.323}$ | By-Law Enforcement | 606,254 | -561,754 |  |  |  | 44,500 | 606,254 |  | 575,954 91870 |  | 30,300 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.325 | Electoral Area Services - Planning | 1,694,923 | -119,153 |  |  |  | 75,770 | -894,923 |  | ${ }_{34,020}$ | 50,493 | 2,790 | 45,000 |  | ${ }^{1} 17652,620$ | ${ }^{1} 7682,620$ |
| 1.330 | Regional Growth Strategy | 344,677 | 334,677 |  |  |  | 10,000 | 344,677 |  |  | 4,423 | 17,140 |  |  | 323,114 | 323,114 |
| 1.335 | Geo-Spatial Referencing System | 188,711 | 123,071 |  |  |  | 65,640 | 188,711 |  |  |  | 8,320 | 8,880 |  | 171,511 | 171,511 |
| 1.340 | JDF Livestock Injury Compensation | 3,167 | 3,167 |  |  |  |  | 3,167 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,167 | 3,167 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.341}$ | SGI Livestock Injury Compensation | 3,167 | 3,167 |  |  |  |  | 3,167 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{3,167 \\ 3,167}}$ | 3,167 3,167 |
| 1.350 | Wilis Point Fire Protect \& Recreation | - 192,670 | - 118,079 |  |  | 6,670 | 67,921 | - 192,670 |  |  |  | 34,340 |  |  | 158,330 | 158,330 |
| 1.352 | South Galiano Fire Protection | 654,194 | 369,346 | 141,027 |  | 8,640 | 135,181 | 654,194 |  |  |  | 1,120 |  | 141,027 | 512,047 | 653,074 |
| ${ }_{1.354}^{1.353}$ | Otter Point Fire Protection Malahat Fire Protection | 579,949 71,031 | 399,929 71031 |  |  | 6,020 | 174,000 | 579,949 |  |  |  | 330 |  |  | 579,619 71,031 | $\begin{array}{r}579,619 \\ 71031 \\ \hline 1031\end{array}$ |
| 1.355 | Durrance Road Fire Protection | 3,024 | ${ }_{2,724}$ |  |  |  | 300 | 3,024 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,024 |  | 3,024 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.356}$ | Pender Fire Protection | 1,353,303 | 1,097,266 | 64,503 |  |  | 191,534 | 1,353,303 |  |  | 64,503 | 11,940 |  |  | 1,276,860 | 1,276,860 |
| 1.357 | East Sooke Fire Protection | 586,620 | 312,761 | 155,109 |  |  | 118,750 | 586,620 |  |  |  | 29,572 | 59,800 |  | 497,248 | 497,248 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.359}$ | Port Renfrew Fire Protection N. Gailiano Fire Protection | 171,036 238,995 | 143,036 177,123 | 48,872 |  | 5,000 | 28,000 8,000 | 171,036 238,995 |  |  |  | 1,270 930 | 67,906 | 24,440 | 101,860 213,625 | 101,860 238,065 |
| 1.360 | Shirey Fire Protection | 202,760 | 127,760 |  |  | 10,000 | 65,000 | 202,760 |  |  |  | 210 |  |  | 202,550 | 202,550 |
| 1.363 1369 |  | 323,003 | 323,003 |  |  |  |  | 323,003 |  |  |  | 11,540 |  |  | 311,463 | 311,463 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.369}$ | Electoral Area Fire Services - JDF Electoral Area Fire Services - SGI | 68,818 78,615 | \%3,637 72,688 |  |  | 1,067 1,222 | 4,113 4,706 | 68,818 78,615 |  |  |  | 120 |  |  | 68,698 78,615 | 68,698 78,615 |
| 1.370 | Juan de Fuca Emergency Program | 94,837 | 78,957 |  |  |  | 15,880 | 94,837 |  |  |  | 240 |  |  | 94,597 | 94,597 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.371}$ | S.S.I. Emergency Program | 150,943 | 150,943 |  |  |  |  | 150,943 |  |  | 10,223 | 190 |  |  | 140,530 | 140.530 |
| ${ }_{1.373}^{1.372}$ | Electoral Area Emergency Program | 715,143 250,288 | 707,643 249,03 |  |  |  | 7,500 1,285 | 715,143 250,288 |  | 545,262 | 1,785 | 970 2,910 |  |  | 167,126 247,378 | 167,126 <br> 247,378 |
| 1.374 | Regional Emergency Program Support | 162,311 | 162,311 |  |  |  |  | 162,311 |  |  | 7,355 | 8,200 |  |  | 146,756 | 146,756 |
| 1.375 | Hazardous Material Incident Response | 383,859 | 374,299 |  |  |  | 9,560 | 383,859 |  |  | 8,677 | 20,000 |  |  | 355,182 | 355,182 |
| 1.37 | J.D.F. Search and Rescue | 92,322 | 89,322 |  |  |  | 3,000 | 92,322 |  |  |  | 22,370 |  |  | 69,952 | 69,952 |
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\hline 1.378 \& s.s.I. Search and Rescue \& 27,377 \& 27,377 \& \& \& \& \& 27,377 \& \& \& \& 100 \& \& \& 27,277 \& 27,277 <br>
\hline 1.40x \& SEAPARC \& 4,887,860 \& 4,278,894 \& 53,966 \& \& \& 555,000 \& 4,887,860 \& \& \& \& 419,609 \& 957,324 \& \& 3,510,927 \& 3,510,927 <br>
\hline 1.405 \& JDF EA - Community Parks \& 215,363 \& 195,363 \& \& \& \& 20,000 \& 215,363 \& \& \& \& 920 \& \& \& 214,443 \& 214,443 <br>
\hline 1.408 \& JDF EA - Community Recreation \& 99,601 \& 99,601 \& \& \& \& \& 99,601 \& \& \& \& 22,520 \& \& \& 77,081 \& 77,081 <br>
\hline 1.44x \& Panorama Rec. Center. \& 11,415,906 \& 9,447,565 \& 638,308 \& \& \& 1,330,033 \& 11,415,906 \& \& \& 51,000 \& 2,262,258 \& 3,097,408 \& \& 6,005,240 \& 6,005,240 <br>
\hline 1.455 \& Salt Spring sland - Community Parks \& 1,302,854 \& 1,093,604 \& 183,750 \& \& \& 25,500 \& 1,302,854 \& \& 414,770 \& \& 37,620 \& \& \& 850,464 \& 850,464 <br>
\hline 1.458 \& Salt Spring Is.- Community Rec \& 304,354 \& 304,354 \& \& \& \& \& 304,354 \& \& \& \& 40 \& 251,611 \& \& 52,703 \& 52,703 <br>
\hline 1.459 \& Salt Spring Is-Pool, Parks, Land, Art \& Rec. Prog \& 2,715,635 \& 2,250,135 \& 98,000 \& \& \& 367,500 \& 2,715,635 \& \& 90,684 \& \& 153,541 \& 302,650 \& \& 2,168,760 \& 2,168,760 <br>
\hline 1.465 \& Saturna Island Comm. Parks \& 28,904 \& 23,603 \& \& \& \& 5,301 \& 28,904 \& \& \& \& 1,710 \& \& \& 27,194 \& 27,194 <br>
\hline 1.468 \& Saturna Island - Community Rec. \& 15,484 \& 15,484 \& \& \& \& \& 15,484 \& \& \& \& 970 \& \& \& 14,514 \& 14,514 <br>
\hline 1.475 \& Mayne Is. Com. Parks \& Rec \& 101,342 \& 83,162 \& \& \& \& 18,180 \& 101,342 \& \& \& \& 3,010 \& \& \& 98,332 \& 98,332 <br>
\hline 1.476 \& Mayne Is. Comm. Parks (reserve) \& 8,600 \& 8,600 \& \& \& \& \& 8,600 \& \& \& \& 7,740 \& 860 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.478 \& Mayne Is. Community Rec. \& 38,980 \& 38,980 \& \& \& \& \& 38,980 \& \& \& \& 60 \& \& \& 38,920 \& 38,920 <br>
\hline 1.485 \& North \& South Pender Com. Parks \& 176,809 \& 148,759 \& \& \& \& 28,050 \& 176,809 \& \& \& \& 2,080 \& \& \& 174,729 \& 174,729 <br>
\hline 1.488 \& North \& South Pender Com. Rec \& 72,230 \& 72,230 \& \& \& \& \& 72,230 \& \& \& \& 880 \& \& \& 71,350 \& 71,350 <br>
\hline 1.495 \& Gaiano Parks \& 141,321 \& 124,321 \& \& \& \& 17,000 \& 141,321 \& \& \& 21,200 \& 120 \& \& \& 120,001 \& 120,001 <br>
\hline 1.498 \& Galiano Community Recreation \& 40,673 \& 40,673 \& \& \& \& \& 40,673 \& \& \& \& 30 \& \& \& 40,643 \& 40,643 <br>
\hline 1.521 \& SWMP -Solid Waste Disposal (Refuse Disposal) \& 29,202,438 \& 28,434,847 \& \& \& \& 767,591 \& 29,202,438 \& \& \& \& 13,601,610 \& 15,600,828 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.523 \& Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal \& 98,850 \& 94,850 \& \& \& \& 4,000 \& 98,850 \& \& 18,950 \& \& 42,880 \& \& \& 37,020 \& 37,020 <br>
\hline 1.525 \& Solid Waste Disposal - Debt \& 3,194,197 \& 3,490 \& 3,190,707 \& \& \& \& 3,194,197 \& \& \& \& 490 \& 3,193,707 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.531 \& Stormwater Qualit Management - Sooke \& 41,840 \& 25,468 \& \& \& \& 16,372 \& 41,840 \& \& \& \& 86 \& \& \& 41,754 \& 41,754 <br>
\hline 1.533 \& Stormwater Quality Management - S.G.I. \& 42,658 \& 42,658 \& \& \& \& \& 42,658 \& \& \& \& 440 \& \& \& 42,218 \& 42,218 <br>
\hline 1.535 \& Stormwater Quality Management - S.S.l. \& 38,079 \& 38,079 \& \& \& \& \& 38,079 \& \& \& 6,509 \& 30 \& \& \& 31,540 \& 31,540 <br>
\hline ${ }^{1.536}$ \& LWMP-Stormwater Quality Management-Core \& 784,198 \& 784,198 \& \& \& \& \& 784,198 \& \& \& \& 87,762 \& \& \& 696,436 \& 696,436 <br>
\hline 1.537 \& Stormwater Quality Management - Peninsula \& 129,124 \& 129,124 \& \& \& \& \& 129,124 \& \& \& \& 4,455 \& \& \& 124,669 \& 124,669 <br>
\hline ${ }^{1.538}$ \& Source - Stormwater Quality - Peninsula \& 61,699 \& 61,699 \& \& \& \& \& 61,699 \& \& \& 682 \& 1,763 \& \& \& 59,254 \& 59,254 <br>
\hline 1.571 \& Environmental Services \& 27,060,402 \& 26,442,709 \& \& \& \& 817,693 \& 27,060,402 \& \& 26,830,487 \& 137,515 \& 92,400 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 1.911 \& 911 Systems \& 2,542,280 \& 1,529,331 \& 1,011,949 \& \& \& 1,000 \& 2,542,280 \& \& \& 17,543 \& 2,183,896 \& 129,111 \& \& 211,730 \& 211,730 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.9128}$ \& 911 Call Answer - Municipalities \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 696,074 \& \& $(54,370)$ \& \& \& (641,704) \& (641,704) <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.9193}$ \& ${ }^{913 \text { Fire }}$ Dispatch ${ }_{\text {a }}$ (egional CREST Contribution \& - ${ }_{1,899,758}^{40,182}$ \& 4,899, 182
1,758 \& \& \& \& \& 4,899, 7858 \& \& \& 2,182 \& 9,980
112070 \& \& \& - 3 3977.020 \& 397,020
1787688 <br>
\hline 1.923 \& Emergency Comm - CREST- - .G.I. \& 193,495 \& 193,495 \& \& \& \& \& 193,495 \& \& \& \& 2,160 \& \& \& 191,335 \& 191,335 <br>
\hline 1.924 \& Emergency Comm - CREST - J.D.F. \& 152,482 \& 152,482 \& \& \& \& \& 152,482 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& \& 152,242 \& 152,242 <br>
\hline 1.925 \& Emergency Comm - CREST - s.S.I. \& 153,973 \& 153,973 \& \& \& \& \& 153,973 \& \& \& \& 150 \& \& \& 153,823 \& 153,823 <br>
\hline 2.610 \& Saanich Peninsula Water Supply \& 9,446,284 \& 8,045,076 \& 951,208 \& \& \& 450,000 \& 9,446,284 \& \& \& \& 45,500 \& 9,400,784 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2.620 \& SSI Highland Water System \& 13,896 \& 135 \& 13,761 \& \& \& \& 13,896 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& 13,806 \& \& 13,806 <br>
\hline 2.621 \& Highland// Fernwood Water - SSI \& 559,460 \& 371,786 \& ${ }^{97,723}$ \& \& \& 89,951 \& 559,460 \& \& \& \& 780 \& 475,980 \& 82,700 \& \& 82,700 <br>
\hline 2.622 \& Cedars of Tuam \& 102,135 \& 37,861 \& 51,774 \& \& \& 12,500 \& 102,135 \& \& \& \& 50 \& 102,085 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2.624 \& Beddis Water \& 471,360 \& 205,788 \& 195,142 \& \& \& 70,430 \& 471,360 \& \& \& \& 170 \& 315,120 \& 156,070 \& \& 156,070 <br>
\hline 2.626 \& Fulford Water \& ${ }^{240,716}$ \& 174,501 \& 33,195 \& \& \& 33,020 \& 240,716
13680 \& \& \& \& 700 \& 186,839 \& 53,177 \& \& 53,177 <br>
\hline 2.628 \& Cedar Lane Water (S.S.I.) \& 130,680 \& 79,592 \& 15,651 \& \& \& 35,437 \& 130,680 \& \& \& 20,000 \& 180 \& 90,090 \& 20,410 \& \& 20.410 <br>
\hline 2.630
2640 \& Magic Lakes Estate Water System \& 1,088,560 \& 796,742 \& 148,118 \& \& \& 143,700 \& 1,088,560 \& \& \& 40,000 \& 10,530 \& 422,530 \& 615,500 \& \& 615,500
15090 <br>
\hline ${ }_{2}^{2.640}$ \& Saturna Istand Water System (Lyall Harbour) \& 299,758
95047 \& 187,419
61675 \& 75,199
19913 \& \& \& 37,140
13,459 \& 299,758
95047 \& \& \& 5.000 \& 1,040
100 \& 144,728
62707 \& 153,990
27240 \& \& $\begin{array}{r}153,990 \\ 27240 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline 2.650 \& Port Renfrew Water \& 210,189 \& 120,594 \& 77,595 \& \& \& 12,000 \& 210,189 \& \& \& \& 1,140 \& 104,525 \& 104,524 \& \& 104,524 <br>
\hline 2.660 \& Fernwood Water \& 4,178 \& 68 \& 4,110 \& \& \& \& 4,178 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& 4,138 \& \& 4,138 <br>
\hline 2.665 \& Sticks Allison Water (Galiano) \& 74,812 \& ${ }^{55,822}$ \& \& \& \& 18,990 \& 74,812 \& \& \& \& 100 \& 69,302 \& 5,410 \& \& 5,410 <br>
\hline ${ }_{2}^{2.667}$ \& Surfide Park Estates (Mayne) \& 194,480
48.87973 \& 132,967 \& 57,513 \& \& $20.400,00$ \& 4,000 \& 194,480
48.287973 \& \& \& 5,000 \& \& 151,950 \& 37,430 \& \& 37,430 <br>
\hline 2.680 \& Juan de Fuca Water Distribution \& ${ }_{3}^{40,443,656}$ \& 16,999,822 \& ${ }_{3,232,408}^{6,69076}$ \& \& 9,522,047 \& 602,235
689,379 \& ${ }_{30,443,656}^{48,2873}$ \& \& \& \& 881,590
179,380 \& 47,406,383
$30,264,276$ \& \& \& <br>
\hline 2.681 \& Florence Lake Water System Debt \& 19,944 \& \& 19,944 \& \& \& \& 19,944 \& \& \& \& \& \& 19,944 \& \& 19,944 <br>
\hline 2.682 \& Seagit Water System Debt \& 164,380 \& \& 164,380
$\mathbf{2 5 6 4}$ \& \& \& \& 164,380 \& \& \& \& \& \& 164,380 \& \& 164,380

70,936 <br>
\hline ${ }_{3.700}^{2.691}$ \& Widderness Mountain Water Service
Septage Disposal - Municipal \& 179,598
167,427 \& 140,144
167,427 \& 25,664 \& \& \& 13,790 \& 179,598
167,427 \& \& \& 4,000 \& [167,427 \& 104,550 \& 70,936 \& \& 70,936 <br>
\hline 3.700 \& Septage Disposal - JDF Service Area \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline 3.701
3705 \& Millstream Remediation Service \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 3.705
3.707 \& On Site System Management Program - LWMP \& $\begin{array}{r}1,103,869 \\ \hline 173,673\end{array}$ \& ${ }^{906,002}$ \& 68,100 \& \& \& 129,767 \& 1,103,869 \& \& \& 28,071 \& ${ }^{1,170} 7$ \& 680,500 \& 422,199 \& 138,117 \& 422,199
138,17 <br>
\hline 3.71X \& Trk Swrs \& Swge Disp - oper \& 39,399,911 \& 37,362,736 \& 272,005 \& \& \& 1,765,170 \& 39,399,911 \& \& 1,696,318 \& 734,781 \& 30,039,504 \& \& \& 6,929,308 \& 6,929,308 <br>
\hline ${ }^{3.7 \mathrm{xx}}$ \& TTk Swrs - debt \& 25,964,885 \& ${ }^{89,060}$ \& 12,135,001 \& \& 5,529,745 \& 8,211,079 \& 25,964,885 \& \& \& \& 18,862,698 \& \& \& 7,102,187 \& 7,102,187 <br>
\hline 3.720
3.750 \& ${ }_{\text {LWMP }}^{\text {LWMP (Peninsula) - Implementation }}$ \& 59,548
395,552 \& 38,481
343,184 \& \& \& \& 21,067
52,368 \& 59,548
395,552 \& \& \& \& r $\begin{array}{r}2,320 \\ 163,715\end{array}$ \& \& \& 57,288
231,837 \& 57,228
231,837 <br>
\hline ${ }^{3.752}$ \& Harbours Program \& 376,071 \& 376,071 \& \& \& \& \& 376,071 \& \& \& \& 21,658 \& \& \& 354,413 \& 354,413 <br>
\hline - $\begin{aligned} & 3.755 \\ & 3.756\end{aligned}$ \& Regional Source Control \& 1,739,758 \& 1,739,758 \& \& \& \& \& 1,739,758 \& \& 55,000 \& 52,719 \& 93,303
$\substack{165}$ \& 63,672 \& \& 1,475,064 \& 1,475,064 <br>
\hline ${ }_{3.810}$ \& Ganges Sewer \& 1,232,062 \& 797,989 \& 360,388 \& \& \& 73,685 \& 1,232,062 \& \& \& \& 2,165
1,920 \& 1,164,692 \& 65,450 \& \& 65,450 <br>
\hline 3.820 \& Maliview Estates Sewer System \& 239,301 \& 161,947 \& 25,669 \& \& \& 51,685 \& 239,301 \& \& \& \& 25,150 \& 208,791 \& 5,360 \& \& 5,360 <br>
\hline ${ }^{3.830} \begin{aligned} & \text { 3.830 }\end{aligned}$ \& Magic Lake Estates Sewer System \& 925,030
231255 \& 712,777 \& 174,103 \& \& \& 38,150 \& 925,030
231255 \& \& 11,880 \& 40,000 \& 3,690
1,030 \& 283,450 \& 586,010 \& \& 586,010
230
23025 <br>
\hline ${ }_{3.850}$ \& Magic Lake Estates Sewer Debt
Port Renfrew Sewer \& 231,255
163,469 \& 129,191 \& 230,685
28,278 \& \& \& 6,000 \& 231,255
163,469 \& \& \& \& 1,030
1,600 \& 80,934 \& 230,225
80,935 \& \& 230,225
80,935 <br>
\hline 21.ALL \& Feasibility Study Reserve Fund - All
Feasibity Sudy Reserve Fund - EA. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 FI}} \& IAL PLAN \& \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { Total } \\
\& 2027 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Surplus } \\
2026 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Recovery from other services} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Transfers from } \\
\text { Reserves }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Revenue}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Schedule A}} \\
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Expenditures} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Total } \\
\& 2027 \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& Operations \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline \text { Interest \& } \\
\& \text { Principal } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& Deficit \& Capital \& Transfers to
Reserves \& \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Other } \\
\text { revenuu }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fee \& } \\
\text { Charges } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Parcel } \\
\hline \text { Tax }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Property } \\
\& \text { Value Tax } \\
\& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Requisition } \\
2027 \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1.010 \& Legislative \& General Govermment \& 34,211,838 \& 33,360,817 \& \& \& 513,310 \& 337,711 \& 34,211,838 \& 450,000 \& 20,363,145 \& 180,000 \& 1,408,416 \& 90,030 \& \& 11,720,247 \& 11,720,247 \\
\hline 1.10x \& Facilities and Risk \& 4,919,158 \& 4,297,733 \& \& \& \& 621,425 \& 4,919,158 \& \& 4,696,605 \& \& -43,202 \& \& \& 179,351 \& 179,351 \\
\hline 1.101 \& G.I.s. \& 690,307 \& 620,617 \& \& \& 19,690 \& 50,000 \& 690,307 \& \& 545,882 \& \& 6,300 \& \& \& 138,125 \& 138,125 \\
\hline 1.103 \& Elections \& 65,660 \& 460 \& \& \& \& 65,200 \& 65,660 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& \& 65,570 \& 65,570 \\
\hline 1.104 \& U.B.C.m. \& 14,071 \& 14,071 \& \& \& \& \& 14,071 \& \& \& \& 80 \& \& \& 13,991 \& 13,991 \\
\hline 1.109 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - JDF \& 72,005 \& 72,005 \& \& \& \& \& 72,005 \& \& \& \& 150 \& \& \& 71,855 \& 71,855 \\
\hline 1.110 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SGI \& 514,869 \& 489,769 \& \& \& \& 25,100 \& 514,869 \& \& \& 9,278 \& 19,285 \& \& \& 486,306 \& 486,306 \\
\hline 1.111 \& Electoral Area Admin Exp - SSI \& 1,365,599 \& 1,329,599 \& \& \& \& 36,000 \& 1,365,599 \& \& 510,650 \& 60,000 \& 5,790 \& \& \& 789,159 \& 789,159 \\
\hline 1.112 \& Regional Grant in Aid \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.114 \& Grantin-Aid - Juan de Fuca \& 22,946 \& 22,946 \& \& \& \& \& 22,946 \& \& \& \& 290 \& \& \& 22,656 \& 22,656 \\
\hline 1.1116 \& Grant-in-Aid - Salt Spring sliand \& 57,899 \& 57,899 \& \& \& \& \& 57,899 \& \& \& \& 250 \& \& \& 57,649 \& 57,649 \\
\hline 1.117 \& Grant-in-Aid - Southern Guff Islands \& 106,672 \& 106,672 \& \& \& \& \& 106,672 \& \& \& \& 1,300 \& \& \& 105,372 \& 105,372 \\
\hline 1.119 \& Vancouver Island Regional Library \& 369,809 \& 369,809 \& \& \& \& \& 369,809 \& \& \& \& \({ }^{630}\) \& \& \& 369,179 \& 369,179 \\
\hline 1.121 \& Sooke Regional Museum \& 240,684 \& 240,684 \& \& \& \& \& 240,684 \& \& \& \& 520 \& \& \& 240,164 \& 240,164 \\
\hline 1.123 \& Prov. Court of B.C. (Family Court) \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& 149,350 \& \& \& \& 149,360 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.124}\) \& SSIE Economic Development Commission \& 104,542
134655 \& 98,397
134655 \& \& \& \& 6,145 \& 104,542
134.655 \& \& \& \& 650
1.490 \& \& \& 103,892
133.165 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
103,892 \\
\hline 133,165
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.126}\) \& Victoria Family Court Committee \& 134,658
15,878 \& 134,655
15,878 \& \& \& \& \& 134,658 \& \& \& \& \({ }_{878}\) \& \& \& 13,000 \& 133,105
15,000 \\
\hline 1.128 \& Greater Victoria Police Victim Services \& 330,186 \& 330,186 \& \& \& \& \& 330,186 \& \& \& \& 15,358 \& \& \& 314,828 \& 314,828 \\
\hline 1.129 \& Vancouver Island Regional Library - Debt \& 339,466 \& 1,200 \& 338,266 \& \& \& \& 339,466 \& \& \& \& 339,466 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.133 \& Langford E.A - Greater Victoria Public Library \& 35,225 \& 35,225 \& \& \& \& \& 35,225 \& \& \& \& 90 \& \& \& 35,135 \& 35,135 \\
\hline 1.137 \& Galiano Island Community Use Building \& 71,302 \& 40,885 \& 27,417 \& \& \& 3,000 \& 71,302 \& \& \& \& 260 \& \& \& 71,042 \& 71,042 \\
\hline 1.138 \& Southern Gulf Islands Regional Library \& 259,490 \& 259,490 \& \& \& \& \& 259,490 \& \& \& \& 2,670 \& \& \& 256,820 \& 256,820 \\
\hline 1.141 \& Salt Spring Island Public Library \& 743,848 \& 550,884 \& 8,844 \& \& \& 184,120 \& 743,848 \& \& \& \& 1,640 \& \& \& 742,208 \& 742,208 \\
\hline 1.15X \& Municipalities' Own Debt - M.F.A. \& 13,098, 167 \& 52,200 \& 13,045,967 \& \& \& \& 13,098, 167 \& \& \& \& 52,200 \& \& \& 13,045,967 \& 13,045,967 \\
\hline 1.170 \& Gossip Island Electric Power Supply \& 63,111 \& 490 \& 62,621 \& \& \& \& 63,111 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& 62,871 \& \& 62,871
48,147 \\
\hline 1.224 \& Community Health - Homeless Sec. \& 679,631 \& 679,631 \& \& \& \& \& 679,631 \& \& \& \& 190,484 \& \& \& 489,147 \& 489,147 \\
\hline 1.226 \& Community Heath (CHR) Facilities \& 1,821,601 \& 1,214,724 \& \& \& \& 606,877 \& 1,821,601 \& \& \& \& 1,821,601 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.2228}\) \& Saturna Island Medical Clinic
Gaiiano Heath Service \& 32,614
151,410 \& 32,614
151,410 \& \& \& \& \& 32,614
151,410 \& \& \& \& 2,410
110 \& \& \& 30,204
151,300 \& 30,204
151,300 \\
\hline 1.229 \& Pender Islands Heath Care Centre \& 270,792 \& 270,792 \& \& \& \& \& 270,792 \& \& \& \& 2,220 \& \& \& 268,572 \& 268,572 \\
\hline 1.230 \& Traffic Safety Commission \& 82,360 \& 82,360 \& \& \& \& \& 82,360 \& \& \& \& 3,871 \& \& \& 78,489 \& 78,489 \\
\hline 1.232 \& Port Renfrew Street Lighting \& 9,776 \& 9,776 \& \& \& \& \& 9,776 \& \& \& \& 380 \& 4,080 \& 5,316 \& \& 5,316 \\
\hline 1.234 \& s.S.I. Street Lighting \& 29,168 \& 29,168 \& \& \& \& \& 29,168 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& \& 29,128 \& 29,128 \\
\hline 1.235 \& S. G.I. Small Craft Harbour Facilities \& 498,272 \& 262,179 \& 87,493 \& \& \& 148,600 \& 498,272 \& \& \& \& 7,700 \& 148,840 \& 341,732 \& \& 341,732 \\
\hline \({ }^{1.236}\) \& Salt Spring Island Fernwood Dock \& 27,758 \& 17,758 \& \& \& \& 10,000 \& 27,758 \& \& \& \& 170 \& \& 27,588 \& \& 27,588 \\
\hline \({ }^{1.2388}\) \& Community Transit (S.S.L.). \& 698.954
177398 \& 634,451 \& \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{|c}
64,503 \\
8950
\end{tabular} \& \({ }^{698,954}\) \& \& \& \& 230,794 \& \& \& 468,160 \& 468,160 \\
\hline \({ }_{1.280}^{1.2388}\) \& Community Transportation (S.S.I.)
Regional Parks \& 1777,398
18,841,187 \& 87,898
\(14,651,535\) \& 569,207 \& \& 130,656 \& 89,500
\(3,489,789\) \& 1777,398
\(18,841,187\) \& \& 81,260 \& \& 1,170
867,955 \& 462,767 \& \& 176,228
\(17,429,205\) \& 176,228
\(17,429,205\) \\
\hline 1.280A \& Regional Parks - Land Acquisition \& 1,617,747 \& 50,000 \& 1,567,747 \& \& \& \& 1,617,747 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,617,747 \& 1,617,747 \\
\hline 1.290 \& Royal Theatre \& 580,000 \& 100,000 \& \& \& 109,000 \& 371,000 \& 580,000 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 580,000 \& 580,000 \\
\hline 1.295 \& McPherson Theatre \& 786,233 \& 350,000 \& \& \& 94,000 \& 342,233 \& 786,233 \& \& \& \& 36,233 \& \& \& 750,000 \& 750,000 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.297}\) \& Arts Grants \({ }_{\text {Salt Spring }}\) Island Arts \& \(3,395,604\)
132702 \& 3,395,604 \& \& \& \& \& \(3,395,604\)
132,702 \& \& 15,131 \& 39,000 \& 185,996 \& \& \& 3,1155,517 \& 3,155,517 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.299}\) \&  \& - \(1,556,854\) \& (1322,831 \& \& \& 164.023 \& \& \(\begin{array}{r}132,702 \\ 1.556,854 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& \& \& 93.406 \& \& \& \& - \(\begin{array}{r}132,612 \\ 1.317142 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& +132,612 \\
\hline 1.310 \& Land Banking \& Housing \& 4,031,244 \& 1,431,637 \& 2,595,607 \& \& \& 4,000 \& 4,031,244 \& \& 336,788 \& \& 211,153 \& 2,000 \& \& \({ }_{3,481,303}\) \& 3,481,303 \\
\hline 1.311 \& Regional Housing Trust Fund \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline 1.312 \& Regional Goose Management \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.313}\) \& Animal Care Services \& \(1,823,545\)
10.563 \& \(1,753,545\)
10.563 \& \& \& \& 70,000 \& \(1,823,545\)
10563 \& \& \& 2,313 \& 1,282,392 \& 20,640 \& \& 518,200
10453 \& 518,200
10.453 \\
\hline \({ }_{1.316}^{1.314}\) \& SGI House Numbering \& 10,563
10,679 \& 10,563
10,679 \& \& \& \& \& 10,563
10,679 \& \& \& \& 110
20 \& \& \& 10,453
10,659 \& 10,453
10,659 \\
\hline 1.317 \& JDF Building Numbering \& 14,589 \& 14,589 \& \& \& \& \& 14,589 \& \& \& \& 40 \& \& \& 14,549 \& 14,549 \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{1.318} 1\) \& Building Inspection
Soil Deposit Removal \& \(2,211,257\)
6.369 \& 2,153,907
6,369 \& \& \& 14,050 \& 43,300 \& 2,211,257
6.369 \& \& 33,530 \& 83,377 \& 4,780 \& 1,492,290 \& \& 597,280
6329 \& 597,280
6,329 \\
\hline 1.320 \& Noise Control \& 44,606 \& 44,606 \& \& \& \& \& 44,606 \& \& \& \& 310 \& \& \& 44,296 \& 44,296 \\
\hline 1.322 \& Nuisances \& Unsightly Premises \& 59,459 \& 59,459 \& \& \& \& \& 59,459 \& \& \& \& 320 \& \& \& 59,139 \& 59,139 \\
\hline 1.323 \& By-Law Enforcement \& 618,298 \& 573,798 \& \& \& \& 44,500 \& 618,298 \& \& 587,448 \& \& 30,850 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \({ }^{1.324}\) \& Regional Planning Services \& 1,609,750 \& 1,602, 250 \& \& \& \& 7,500
7570 \& 1,609,750 \& \& 93,710
34,700 \& 110,902

65451 \& 73,740
2820
18820 \& \& \& $1,331,398$
777870 \& <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.325}$ \& Electoral Area Sevvices - Planning
Regional Growth Strategy \& 925,841
351,555 \& 850,071
341,555 \& \& \& \& 75,770
10,000 \& ${ }^{925,841}$ \& \& 34,700 \& 65,451
4.510 \& 2,820
17,470 \& 45,000 \& \& 777,870
329,575 \& 777,870
329,575 <br>
\hline 1.335 \& Geo-Spatial Referencing System \& 192,507 \& 125,557 \& \& \& \& 66,950 \& 192,507 \& \& \& \& 8,490 \& 9,060 \& \& 174,957 \& 174,957 <br>
\hline 1.340 \& JDF Livestock Injury Compensation \& 3,170 \& 3,170 \& \& \& \& \& 3,170 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,170 \& 3,170 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.341}$ \& SGI Livestock Injury Compensation \& 3,170

3 \& 3,170 \& \& \& \& \& 3,170 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& | 3,170 |
| :---: |
| 3 | \& 3,170 <br>

\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.342}$ \& SSIL Livestock Injury Compensation
Wilis
Point Fire Protect \& Recreation \& 3,170
195950 \& 3,170
120.503 \& \& \& 6.820 \& \& 3,170
195,950 \& \& \& \& 34,450 \& \& \& 3,170
161,500 \& 3,170
161.500 <br>
\hline 1.352 \& South Galiano Fire Protection \& 664,435 \& 376,776 \& 141,027 \& \& ${ }_{8,810}$ \& 137,822 \& 664,435 \& \& \& \& 34,4120 \& \& 141,027 \& - 522,288 \& 663,315 <br>
\hline 1.353 \& Otter Point Fire Protection \& 592,195 \& 408,055 \& \& \& 6,140 \& 178,000 \& 592,195 \& \& \& \& 330 \& \& \& 591,865 \& 591,865 <br>
\hline 1.354 \& Malahat Fire Protection \& 72,450 \& 72,450 \& \& \& \& \& 72,450 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 72,450 \& 72,450 <br>
\hline ${ }^{1.355}$ \& Durrance Road Fire Protection \& 3,027 \& 2,727 \& \& \& \& 300 \& 3,027 \& \& \& \& \& \& 3,027 \& \& - $\begin{array}{r}3,027 \\ 130473\end{array}$ <br>
\hline ${ }_{1.357}^{1.356}$ \& Pender Fire Protection \& $1,3616,893$
598,584 \& $1,121,179$
319,375 \& 155,109 \& \& \& 195,714
124,100 \& $1,316,893$
598.584 \& \& \& \& 12,160
30,394 \& 61,000 \& \& $1,304,733$
507190 \& $\begin{array}{r}1,304,733 \\ 507190 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline 1.358 \& Port Renfrew Fire Protection \& 173,980 \& 145,980 \& \& \& \& 28,000 \& 173,980 \& \& \& \& 1,290 \& 69,076 \& \& 103,614 \& 103,614 <br>
\hline 1.359 \& N. Galiano Fire Protection \& 242,605 \& 180,733 \& 48,872 \& \& 5,000 \& 8,000 \& 242,605 \& \& \& \& 940 \& \& 24,440 \& 217,225 \& 241,665 <br>
\hline 1.360 \& Shirley Fire Protection \& 205,333 \& 130,333 \& \& \& 10,000 \& 65,000 \& 205,333 \& \& \& \& 210 \& \& \& 205,123 \& 205,123 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.363}$ \&  \& 323,233
68,818 \& 323,233
65,102 \& \& \& 894 \& 2,821 \& 323,233
68,818 \& \& \& \& 11,770
120 \& \& \& 311,463
68,998 \& $\begin{array}{r}311,463 \\ 68,698 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline 1.369 \& Electoral Area Fire Services - SGI \& 78,615 \& 74,364 \& \& \& 1,024 \& ${ }^{3,228}$ \& 78,615 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 78,615 \& 78,615 <br>
\hline 1.370 \& Juan de Fuca Emergency Program \& 96,425 \& ${ }^{80,545}$ \& \& \& \& 15,880 \& 96,425 \& \& \& \& 240 \& \& \& 96,185 \& 96,185 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.371}$ \& S.S.I. Emergency Program \& 153,731 \& 153,731 \& \& \& \& \& 153,731
730209 \& \& \& 8,092
1,025 \& 190
980 \& \& \& 145,449
170469 \& 145,449
170.469 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.372}$ \& Electoral Area Emergency Program
S.G.I Emergency Program \& 730,209
252,815 \& 722,789
252,815 \& \& \& \& 7,500 \& 730,209
252,815 \& \& 557,735 \& 1,025 \& 980
2,970 \& \& \& 170,469

249,845 \& | 170,469 |
| :--- |
| 249,845 | <br>

\hline 1.374 \& Regional Emergency Program Support \& 165,773 \& 165,773 \& \& \& \& \& 165,773 \& \& \& 7,000 \& 8,350 \& \& \& 150,423 \& 150,423 <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1.375}$ \& Hazardous Material Incident Response
J.DF Search and Rescue \& 391,344
92762 \& 381,784
89762 \& \& \& \& 9,560
3,000 \& 391,344
92762 \& \& \& 8,668 \& 20,390
22810 \& \& \& 362,286
69.952 \& 362,286
69
6952 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT 2023 FINA |  | Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  | Revenue Schedule A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & 2027 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Operations | Interest \& Principal | Deficit | Capital | Transfers to Reserves | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2027 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Surplus } \\ 2026 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recovery from other services | Transfers from Reserves | Other revenue | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Fee \& } \\ & \text { Charges } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Parcel } \\ \text { Tax } \end{gathered}$ | Property Value Tax | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Requisition } \\ & 2027 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.378 | s.s.I. Search and Rescue | 27.923 | 27.923 |  |  |  |  | 27.923 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 27.823 | 27,823 |
| 1.40x | SEAPARC | 4,987,204 | 4,367,138 | 53,966 |  |  | 566,100 | 4,987,204 |  |  |  | 428,359 | 977,669 |  | 3,581,176 | 3,581,176 |
| 1.405 | JDF EA - Community Parks | 219,380 | 199,380 |  |  |  | 20,000 | 219,380 |  |  |  | 940 |  |  | 218,440 | 218,440 |
| 1.408 | JDF EA - Community Recreation | 101,594 | 101,594 |  |  |  |  | 101,594 |  |  |  | 22,970 |  |  | 78,624 | 78,624 |
| 1.44 x | Panorama Rec. Center. | 11,601,700 | 9,633,186 | 638,308 |  |  | 1,330,206 | 11,601,700 |  |  |  | 2,288,613 | 3,159,375 |  | 6,153,712 | 6,153,712 |
| 1.455 | Salt Spring Island - Community Parks | 1,449,836 | 1,065,448 | 358,888 |  |  | 25,500 | 1,449,836 |  | 423,060 |  | 38,270 |  |  | 988,506 | 988,506 |
| 1.458 | Salt Spring Is.-Community Rec | 310,574 | 310,574 |  |  |  |  | 310,574 |  |  |  | 40 | 256,057 |  | 54,477 | 54,477 |
| 1.459 | Salt Spring Is-Pool, Parks, Land, Art \& Rec. Prog | 3,156,812 | 2,215,091 | 574,221 |  |  | 367,500 | 3,156,812 |  | 92,500 |  | 156,585 | 308,700 |  | 2,599,027 | 2,599,027 |
| 1.465 | Saturn Island Comm. Parks | 29,478 | 24,083 |  |  |  | 5,395 | 29,478 |  |  |  | 1,740 |  |  | 27,738 | 27,738 |
| 1.468 | Saturna Island - Community Rec. | 15,794 | 15,794 |  |  |  |  | 15,794 |  |  |  | 990 |  |  | 14,804 | 14,804 |
| 1.475 | Mayne Is. Com. Parks \& Rec | 103,071 | 84,911 |  |  |  | 18,160 | 103,071 |  |  |  | 3,060 |  |  | 100,011 | 100,011 |
| 1.476 | Mayne Is. Comm. Parks (reserve) | 8,770 | 8,770 |  |  |  |  | 8,770 |  |  |  | 7,890 | 880 |  |  |  |
| 1.478 | Mayne Is. Community Rec. | 39,750 | 39,750 |  |  |  |  | 39,750 |  |  |  | 60 |  |  | 39,690 | 39,690 |
| 1.485 | North \& South Pender Com. Parks | 179,418 | 151,768 |  |  |  | 27,650 | 179,418 |  |  |  | 2,120 |  |  | 177,298 | 177,298 |
| 1.488 | North \& South Pender Com. Rec | 73,670 | 73,670 |  |  |  |  | 73,670 |  |  |  | 890 |  |  | 72,780 | 72,780 |
| 1.495 | Galiano Parks | 143,901 | 126,901 |  |  |  | 17,000 | 143,901 |  |  | 21,630 | 120 |  |  | 122,151 | 122,151 |
| 1.498 | Galiano Community Recreation | 41,484 | 41,484 |  |  |  |  | 41,484 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 41,454 | 41,454 |
| 1.521 | SWMP -Solid Waste Disposal (Refuse Disposal) | 29,284,784 | 28,679,590 |  |  |  | 605,194 | 29,284,784 |  |  | 402,191 | 13,695,638 | 15,186,955 |  |  |  |
| 1.523 | Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal | 100,739 | 96,739 |  |  |  | 4,000 | 100,739 |  | 19,330 |  | 43,640 |  |  | 37,769 | 37,769 |
| 1.525 | Solid Waste Disposal - Debt | 3,083,855 |  | 3,083,855 |  |  |  | 3,083,855 |  |  |  |  | 3,083,855 |  |  |  |
| 1.531 | Stormwater Quality Management - Sooke | 42,675 | 25,973 |  |  |  | 16,702 | 42,675 |  |  |  | 86 |  |  | 42,589 | 42,589 |
| 1.533 | Stormwater Quality Management - S.G.I. | 43,502 | 43,502 |  |  |  |  | 43,502 |  |  |  | 450 |  |  | 43,052 | 43,052 |
| 1.535 | Stormwater Quality Management - S.S.l. | 38,367 | 38,367 |  |  |  |  | 38,367 |  |  | 6,187 | 30 |  |  | 32,150 | 32,150 |
| 1.536 | LWMP-Stormwater Quality Management-Core | 798,128 | 798,128 |  |  |  |  | 798,128 |  |  |  | 87,763 |  |  | 710,365 | 710,365 |
| 1.537 | Stormwater Quaity Management - Peninsula | 131,617 | 131,617 |  |  |  |  | 131,617 |  |  |  | 4,455 |  |  | 127,162 | 127,162 |
| ${ }^{1.538}$ | Source - Stormwater Quality - Peninsula | 62,918 | 62,918 |  |  |  |  | 62,918 |  |  | 716 | 1,763 |  |  | 60,439 | 60,439 |
| 1.57 X | Environmental Services | 27,539,067 | 26,728,881 |  |  |  | 810,186 | 27,539,067 |  | 27,306, 196 | 140,471 | 92,400 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.911 | 911 Systems | 2,557,850 | 1,544,901 | 1,011,949 |  |  | 1,000 | 2,557,850 |  |  | 19,733 | 2,184,996 | 129,111 |  | 224,010 | 224,010 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1.912128}$ | 911 Call Answer - Municipalities 913 Fire Dispatch | 446.880 |  |  |  |  | 7.555 | 446.880 |  | 696,074 |  | $(55,460)$ 10,160 |  |  | ( ${ }_{\text {(440,614) }}^{436720}$ | $(640,614)$ 436,720 |
| 1.921 | Regional CREST Contribution | 1,937,634 | 1,937,634 |  |  |  |  | 1,937,634 |  |  |  | 114,260 |  |  | 1,823,374 | r $\begin{array}{r}4,823,374 \\ \hline 186\end{array}$ |
| 1.923 | Emergency Comm - CREST- S.G.I. | 197,352 | 197,352 |  |  |  |  | 197,352 |  |  |  | 2,200 |  |  | 195,152 | 195,152 |
| 1.924 | Emergency Comm - CREST - J.D.F. | 155,515 | 155,515 |  |  |  |  | 155,515 |  |  |  | 240 |  |  | 155,275 | 155,275 |
| 1.925 | Emergency Comm - CREST - S.S.I. | 157,044 | 157,044 |  |  |  |  | 157,044 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 156,894 | 156,894 |
| 2.610 | Saanich Peninsula Water Supply | 10,595,889 | 8,867,232 | 1,278,657 |  |  | 450,000 | 10,595,889 |  |  |  | 3,500 | 10,592,389 |  |  |  |
| 2.620 | SSII Highland Water System | 11,343 | 136 | 11,207 |  |  |  | 11,343 |  |  |  | 90 |  | 11,253 |  | 11,253 |
| 2.621 | Highland / Ferrnwood Water - SSI | 625,744 | 378,831 | 236,913 |  |  | 10,000 | ${ }^{625,744}$ |  |  |  | 790 | 489,330 | 135,624 |  | 135,624 |
| 2.622 | Cedars of Tuam | 123,618 | 34,360 | 84,258 |  |  | 5,000 | 123,618 |  |  |  | 50 | 123,568 |  |  |  |
| 2.624 | Beddis Water | 591,120 | 213,765 | 356,863 |  |  | 20,492 | 591,120 |  |  |  | 170 | 388,060 | 202,890 |  | 202,890 |
| 2.626 | Fufford Water | 354,995 | 197,758 | 144,237 |  |  | 13,000 | 354,995 |  |  | 20,000 | 710 | 272,060 | 62,225 |  | 62,225 |
| 2.628 | Cedar Lane Water (S.S.L.) | 122,065 | 67,731 | 38,351 |  |  | ${ }^{22,983}$ | 122,065 |  |  |  | 180 | 99,840 | 22,045 |  | 22,045 |
| 2.630 | Magic Lakes Estate Water System | 1,068,920 | 772,133 | 132,859 |  |  | 163,928 | 1,068,920 |  |  |  | 10,570 | 430,540 | 627,810 |  | 627,810 |
| 2.640 | Saturna Island Water System (Lyall Harbour) | 307,199 | 191,230 | 75,199 |  |  | 40,770 | 307,199 |  |  |  | 1,060 | 149,069 | 157,070 |  | 157,070 |
| 2.642 | Skana Water (Mayne) | 91,841 | 57,808 | 19,913 |  |  | 14,120 45000 | 91,841 |  |  |  | 100 1,150 | 63,961 124.453 | 27,780 124.453 |  |  |
| ${ }_{2.660}^{2.650}$ | Port Renfrew Water Fernwood Water | 263,056 3,416 | 138,011 69 | 80,045 3,347 |  |  | 45,000 | 263,056 3,416 |  |  | 13,000 | 1,150 40 | 124,453 | 124,453 3,376 |  | 124,453 3,376 |
| 2.665 | Sticks Allison Water (Galiano) | 76,302 | 56,922 |  |  |  | 19,380 | 76,302 |  |  |  | 100 | 70,682 | 5,520 |  | 5,520 |
| 2.667 | Surfide Park Estates (Mayne) | 275,802 | 115,250 | 156,552 |  |  | 4,000 | 275,802 |  |  |  | 100 | 227,042 | 48,660 |  | 48,660 |
| 2.670 | Regional Water Supply | 54,015,205 | $20,816,268$ 18,382859 | 8,760,522 |  | 23,800,000 | ${ }^{638,455}$ | 54,015,205 |  |  |  | 707,690 179380 | 53,307,515 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2.681}^{2.680}$ | Juan de Fuca Water Distribution Florence Lake Water System Debt | 32,210,982 | 18,382,859 | 3,189,908 |  | 9,933,712 | 704,503 | 32, 210,982 |  |  |  | 179,380 | 32,031,602 | 19.944 |  |  |
| 2.682 | Seagirt Water System Debt | 164,380 |  | 164,380 |  |  |  | 164,380 |  |  |  |  |  | 164,380 |  | 19,94 16430 |
| 2.691 | Wilderness Mountain Water Service | 189, 107 | 148,911 | 20,901 |  |  | 19,295 | 189,107 |  |  | 10,000 | 111 | 106,641 | 72,355 |  | 72,355 |
| 3.700 | Septage Disposal - Municipal | 170,727 | 170,727 |  |  |  |  | 170,727 |  |  |  | 170,727 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.700 <br> 3.701 | Septage Disposal - JDF Service Area Millsteam Remediatio Service | . |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 退3.701 | Millstream Remediation Service | ${ }_{1,128039}$ | 924.071 | 68.100 |  |  | 135.868 | 1.128 .039 |  |  |  | 1.180 | 694.110 | 432749 |  |  |
| ${ }_{3.707}^{3.705}$ | On Site System Management Program - LWMP | 1161,435 | ${ }_{161,435}$ |  |  |  | 135,868 | 1161,435 |  |  | 13,071 | ${ }_{7}^{1,485}$ |  | 432,74 | 140,879 | 432,79 140,89 |
| 3.71X | Trk Swrs \& Swge Disp - oper | 39,841,656 | 37,578,156 | 304,040 |  |  | 1,959,460 | 39,841,656 |  | 1,712,234 | 320,000 | 30,602,256 |  |  | 7,207,166 | 7,207,166 |
| 3.7xx | Trk Swrs - debt | 26,576,913 | ${ }^{41,110}$ | 12,723,179 |  | 5,529,745 | 8,282,879 | 26,576,913 |  |  |  | $18,862,698$ 2,320 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.720 3.750 | ${ }_{\text {LWMP }}^{\text {LWMP (Peninsula) - Implementation }}$ | 60,692 403,493 | 39,246 350,062 |  |  |  | 21,446 53,431 | 60,692 403,493 |  |  |  | 2,320 166,765 |  |  | 58,372 236,728 | 58,372 236,728 |
| ${ }^{3.752}$ | Harbours Program | 383,639 | 383,639 |  |  |  |  | 383,639 |  |  |  | 21,658 |  |  | 361,981 | 361,981 |
| 3.755 3.756 3 | Regional Source Control Harbuurs Environmental Action | $1,730,343$ 76,557 | 1,730,343 |  |  |  |  | 1,730,343 |  | 55,000 | 12,528 | $\stackrel{\text { 93,303 }}{ }$ | 64,946 |  | 1,504,5666 | $1,504,566$ 74392 |
| ${ }_{3.810}$ | Ganges Sewer | 1,293,964 | 839,181 | 360,388 |  |  | 94,395 | 1,293,964 |  |  | 25,000 | 2,920 1,920 | 1,199,634 | 67,410 |  | 74,492 67,410 |
| 3.820 | Maliview Estates Sewer System | 246,562 | 165,207 | 25,669 |  |  | 55,686 | 246,562 |  |  |  | 25,150 | 215,892 | 5,520 |  | 5,520 |
| 3.830 | Magic Lake Estates Sewer System | 875,950 | 701,389 | 93,471 |  |  | 81,090 | 875,950 |  | 12,120 | 15,000 | 3,700 | 289,120 | 556,010 |  | 556,010 |
| ${ }_{3}^{3.850}$ | Magic Lake Estates Sewer Debt Port Renfrew Sewer | 231,255 250,316 | 122,006 | 230,685 122,310 |  |  | 6,000 | 231,255 250,316 |  |  |  | 1,040 1,630 | 124,343 | 230,215 124,343 |  | 230,215 124,343 |
| 21.ALL | Feasibility Study Reserve Fund - All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.E.A. | Feasibility Study Reserve Fund - E.A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN SUMMARY - 2023 to 2027

| EXPENDITURE / FUNDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMMARY (ALL SERVICES) |

EXPENDITURE

| B | Buildings |
| :--- | :--- |
| E | Equipment |
| L | Land |
| S | Engineered Structures |
| V | Vehicles |


| $35,126,021$ | $18,992,500$ | $20,901,000$ | $10,166,000$ | $3,248,000$ | $88,433,521$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $30,222,727$ | $10,051,481$ | $8,818,390$ | $8,931,767$ | $3,295,900$ | $61,320,265$ |
| $13,983,000$ | $6,465,000$ | $6,075,000$ | $5,340,000$ | $5,220,000$ | $37,083,000$ |
| $138,267,429$ | $129,092,631$ | $91,294,652$ | $93,155,794$ | $61,985,000$ | $513,795,506$ |
| $9,184,688$ | $3,412,000$ | $3,076,000$ | $2,744,000$ | $3,064,100$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 4 8 0 , 7 8 8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 7 8 3 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 0 1 3 , 6 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 1 6 5 , 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 3 3 7 , 5 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 8 1 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2 , 1 1 3 , 0 8 0}$ |

## SOURCE OF FUNDS

| C | Capital Funds on Hand |
| :--- | :--- |
| D | Debenture Debt (New Debt Only) |
| E | ERF |
| G | Grants (Federal, Provincial) |
| R | Reserve Fund |
| O | Other |


| $54,623,155$ | $35,597,175$ | $27,442,483$ | $29,315,483$ | $26,683,745$ | $173,662,041$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $53,864,000$ | $83,113,262$ | $74,969,306$ | $66,660,000$ | $26,142,000$ | $304,748,568$ |
| $12,941,067$ | $7,871,400$ | $5,362,429$ | $5,139,806$ | $4,740,977$ | $36,055,679$ |
| $20,892,960$ | $15,936,960$ | $8,254,500$ | $10,777,368$ | $11,810,278$ | $67,672,066$ |
| $66,116,774$ | $23,236,619$ | $11,421,324$ | $8,244,904$ | $7,436,000$ | $116,455,621$ |
| $18,345,909$ | $2,258,196$ | $2,715,000$ | 200,000 | - | $23,519,105$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 7 8 3 , 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 , 0 1 3 , 6 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 1 6 5 , 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 , 3 3 7 , 5 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 , 8 1 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2 , 1 1 3 , 0 8 0}$ |


| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT - CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN$2023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule B <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service \# | Service Name | CAPITAL EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Equipment | Vehicles | Buildings | Engineered Structures | Land | TOTAL | Capital Funds on Hand | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Debenture } \\ \text { Debt } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Equipment Repl Fund | Grants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capital } \\ \text { Reserves } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.011 | Board Expenditures | 282,500 |  |  |  |  | 282,500 |  |  | 282,500 |  |  |  | 282,500 |
| 1.014 | Chief Administrative Officer | 22,352 |  |  |  |  | 22,352 |  |  | 22,352 |  |  |  | 22,352 |
| 1.015 | Real Estate | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.016 | Human Resources | 1,143,833 |  |  |  |  | 1,143,833 | 1,116,000 |  | 27,833 |  |  |  | 1,143,833 |
| 1.017 | Finance | 282,110 |  |  |  |  | 282,110 | 200,000 |  | 82,110 |  |  |  | 282,110 |
| 1.018 | Health \& Capital Planning Strategies | 2,180 |  |  |  |  | 2,180 |  |  | 2,180 |  |  |  | 2,180 |
| 1.022 | Information Technology | 2,504,542 | 100,000 |  |  |  | 2,604,542 | 2,450,500 |  | 154,042 |  |  |  | 2,604,542 |
| 1.024 | GM - Planning \& Protective Services | 2,701 |  |  |  |  | 2,701 |  |  | 2,701 |  |  |  | 2,701 |
| 1.025 | Corporate Emergency | 6,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,000 |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |  | 6,000 |
| 1.027 | Aboriginal Initiatives | 9,541 |  |  |  |  | 9,541 |  |  | 3,791 |  | 5,750 |  | 9,541 |
| 1.105 | Facilities Management | 25,000 | 300,000 |  |  |  | 325,000 | 50,000 |  | 275,000 |  |  |  | 325,000 |
| 1.106 | Facilities and Risk |  | 90,000 | 1,717,000 | 125,000 |  | 1,932,000 | 602,000 |  | 90,000 |  | 1,240,000 |  | 1,932,000 |
| 1.107 | Corporate Satelilit Facilities |  |  | 25,000 |  |  | 25,000 |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |
| 1.109 | JDF Admin. Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.110 | SGI Admin. Expenditures | 4,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 |  |  |  | 4,000 |
| 1.111 | SSI Admin. Expenditures | 17,900 |  | 8,000 |  |  | 25,900 |  |  | 25,900 |  |  |  | 25,900 |
| 1.118 | Corporate Communications | 179,881 |  |  |  |  | 179,881 |  |  | 4,881 |  | 175,000 |  | 179,881 |
| 1.123 | Family Court Building |  |  | 1,266,800 |  |  | 1,266,800 | 46,800 |  |  | 700,000 | 520,000 |  | 1,266,800 |
| 1.137 | Galiano Island Community Use Building |  |  | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |
| 1.141 | SSI Public Library |  |  | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 |
| 1.226 | Health Facilities - VIHA | 440,000 |  | 2,910,000 |  |  | 3,350,000 | 665,000 |  |  |  | 2,485,000 | 200,000 | 3,350,000 |
| 1.235 | SGI Small Craft Harbour Facilities |  |  |  | 821,000 |  | 821,000 | 506,000 |  |  | 60,000 | 225,000 | 30,000 | 821,000 |
| 1.236 | SSI Small Craft Harbour (Fermwood Dock) |  |  |  | 53,000 |  | 53,000 |  |  |  | - | 53,000 |  | 53,000 |
| 1.238 A | Community Transit (SSI) |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |  |  | - | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |
| 1.238 B | Community Transportation (SSI) | 45,000 |  |  | 346,400 |  | 391,400 |  |  |  | 200,000 | 191,400 |  | 391,400 |
| 1.280 | Regional Parks | 2,214,300 | 1,155,000 | 136,000 | 11,078,460 | 10,000,000 | 24,583,760 | 1,725,400 | 3,575,000 | 659,300 | 5,107,682 | 13,290,378 | 226,000 | 24,583,760 |
| 1.290 | Royal Theatre | 218,000 |  | 1,599,000 |  |  | 1,817,000 | 95,000 |  |  | - | 927,000 | 795,000 | 1,817,000 |
| 1.295 | McPherson Theatre | 187,000 |  | 2,697,500 |  |  | 2,884,500 | 157,500 |  |  |  | 2,727,000 |  | 2,884,500 |
| 1.297 | Arts Grants and Development | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.309 | Climate Action and Adaptation | 284,023 |  |  |  |  | 284,023 | 103,745 |  |  | 180,278 |  |  | 284,023 |
| 1.310 | Land Banking and Housing | 4,000 |  | 17,104,721 |  |  | 17,108,721 |  |  | 4,000 | 4,200,000 |  | 12,904,721 | 17,108,721 |
| 1.313 | Animal Care Services | 80,000 | 100,188 | 200,000 | 65,000 |  | 445,188 |  |  | 30,000 |  |  | 415,188 | 445,188 |
| 1.318 | Building Inspection | 90,300 | 95,000 |  |  |  | 185,300 |  |  | 185,300 |  |  |  | 185,300 |
| 1.323 | ByLaw Services | 1,010 | 150,000 |  |  |  | 151,010 |  |  | 151,010 |  |  |  | 151,010 |
| 1.324 | Regional Planning Services | 43,000 |  |  |  |  | 43,000 |  |  | 43,000 |  |  |  | 43,000 |
| 1.325 | Community Planning | 37,700 |  |  | 200,000 |  | 237,700 |  |  | 37,700 | 200,000 |  |  | 237,700 |
| 1.335 | Geo-Spatial Referencing | 210,000 |  |  |  |  | 210,000 |  |  | 210,000 |  |  |  | 210,000 |
| 1.350 | Willis Point Fire | 8,970 | 580,000 | - |  |  | 588,970 |  |  | 586,000 |  | 2,970 |  | 588,970 |
| 1.352 | South Galiano Fire | 15,000 | 185,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 240,000 | 40,000 |  | 200,000 |  | - |  | 240,000 |
| 1.353 | Otter Point Fire | 28,300 |  | 40,000 |  |  | 68,300 |  |  | 28,300 |  | 40,000 |  | 68,300 |
| 1.356 | Pender Island Fire | 15,000 | 215,000 | 15,000 |  |  | 245,000 |  |  | 230,000 |  | 15,000 |  | 245,000 |
| 1.357 | East Sooke Fire | 33,295 | 300,000 | 10,000 |  |  | 343,295 |  |  | 316,295 |  | 27,000 |  | 343,295 |
| 1.358 | Port Renfrew Fire | 35,000 |  |  |  |  | 35,000 |  |  | 15,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 35,000 |
| 1.359 | North Galiano Fire | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1.360 | Shirley Fire Department | 10,000 |  |  |  |  | 10,000 |  |  | 10,000 |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| 1.371 | SSI Emergency Program | 19,317 |  |  |  |  | 19,317 |  |  | 7,000 |  | 12,317 |  | 19,317 |
| 1.372 | Emergency Planning Coordination | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1.373 | SGI Emergency Program | 60,000 |  |  |  |  | 60,000 |  |  |  |  | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |
| 1.375 | Hazardous Material Incident Response | 88,000 | - |  |  |  | 88,000 |  |  | 88,000 |  |  |  | 88,000 |
| 1.377 | JDF Search and Rescue | 92,000 |  |  |  |  | 92,000 |  |  | 92,000 |  |  |  | 92,000 |
| 1.405 | JDF EA Community Parks \& Recreation |  |  |  | 575,000 | 50,000 | 625,000 |  |  |  | 615,000 | 10,000 |  | 625,000 |
| 1.40X | SEAPARC | 349,000 | 20,000 | 184,000 | 240,000 | - | 793,000 | 67,000 | - | 133,000 | - | 593,000 |  | 793,000 |
| 1.44x | Panorama Recreation | 919,872 | 92,000 | 1,624,000 | 565,000 |  | 3,200,872 | 715,000 | 953,000 | 616,872 | 15,000 | 901,000 |  | 3,200,872 |
| 1.455 | SSI Community Parks | 30,000 | 75,000 |  | 340,000 |  | 445,000 |  |  | 30,000 | 305,000 | 110,000 |  | 445,000 |
| 1.458 | SSI Community Recreation | 10,000 |  |  | 30,000 |  | 40,000 |  |  | 5,000 | - | 35,000 | - | 40,000 |
| 1.459 | SSI Park Land \& Rec Programs | 422,500 | 40,000 | 146,000 | 350,000 | 45,000 | 1,003,500 |  | - | 62,500 | 675,000 | 266,000 |  | 1,003,500 |


| CAPITAL REGIONAL DIST ICT - CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Schedule B <br> TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service \# | Service Name | CAPITAL EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Equipment | Vehicles | Buildings | Engineered Structures | Land | TOTAL | Capital <br> Funds on Hand | Debenture Debt | Equipment Repl Fund | Grants | Capital Reserves | Other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.465 | Saturna Island Community Parks |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |
| 1.475 | Mayne Island Community Parks |  |  | 53,000 | 24,000 |  | 77,000 |  |  |  | 30,000 | 47,000 |  | 77,000 |
| 1.485 | Pender Island Community Parks |  |  |  | 179,810 |  | 179,810 |  |  |  |  | 179,810 |  | 179,810 |
| 1.495 | Galiano Community Parks | 5,000 |  |  | 35,000 |  | 40,000 |  |  | 5,000 |  | 35,000 |  | 40,000 |
| 1.521 | Environmental Resource Management | 849,000 |  | - | 35,298,149 |  | 36,147,149 | 1,250,000 | 8,700,000 | 1,149,000 |  | 25,048,149 |  | 36,147,149 |
| 1.523 | Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal |  |  |  | 12,000 |  | 12,000 |  |  |  |  | 12,000 |  | 12,000 |
| 1.575 | Environmental Administration Services | 18,500 |  |  |  |  | 18,500 |  |  | 18,500 |  |  |  | 18,500 |
| 1.576 | Environmental Engineering Services | 40,000 | 195,000 |  |  |  | 235,000 | 55,000 |  | 180,000 |  |  |  | 235,000 |
| 1.577 | IW - Environmental Operations | 200,000 | 1,902,500 |  |  |  | 2,102,500 |  |  | 2,082,500 | 20,000 |  |  | 2,102,500 |
| 1.578 | Environmental Protection | 932,500 | 655,000 |  |  |  | 1,587,500 | 380,000 |  | 1,207,500 |  |  |  | 1,587,500 |
| 1.911 | 911 Call Answer | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 2.610 | Saanich Peninsula Water Supply | 590,000 |  |  | 4,746,000 |  | 5,336,000 |  | . | 220,000 |  | 5,116,000 |  | 5,336,000 |
| 2.620 | Highland Water (SSI) |  |  |  | 70,000 |  | 70,000 | 70,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 70,000 |
| 2.621 | Highland \& Fernwood Water (SSI) | 40,000 |  |  | 663,235 |  | 703,235 | 165,235 | - |  | 463,000 | 75,000 |  | 703,235 |
| 2.622 | Cedars of Tuam Water (SSI) |  |  |  | 270,000 |  | 270,000 |  | 92,000 |  | 178,000 | - |  | 270,000 |
| 2.624 | Beddis Water (SSI) | 242,600 |  |  | 115,000 |  | 357,600 | 126,600 | - |  | 178,000 | 53,000 |  | 357,600 |
| 2.626 | Fufford Water (SSI) | 74,000 |  |  | 270,000 |  | 344,000 | 70,000 | . |  | 260,000 | 14,000 |  | 344,000 |
| 2.628 | Cedar Lane Water (SSI) | 22,000 |  |  | 165,000 |  | 187,000 |  | 90,000 |  | 95,000 | 2,000 |  | 187,000 |
| 2.630 | Magic Lake Estates Water (Pender) | 295,000 |  |  | 25,000 |  | 320,000 | 185,000 |  |  | 15,000 | 120,000 |  | 320,000 |
| 2.640 | Lyall Harbour Boot Cove Water (Saturna) | 66,000 |  |  | 350,000 |  | 416,000 |  | 58,000 |  | 335,000 | 23,000 |  | 416,000 |
| 2.642 | Skana Water (Mayne) | 75,000 |  |  | 80,000 |  | 155,000 | 65,000 | 75,000 |  | - | 15,000 |  | 155,000 |
| 2.650 | Port Renfrew Water | 10,000 |  |  | 30,000 |  | 40,000 |  |  |  | - | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |
| 2.665 | Sticks Allison Water (Galiano) |  |  |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |
| 2.667 | Surfside Park Estates (Mayne) | 25,000 |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  |  |  |  | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |
| 2.670 | Regional Water Supply | 14,650,000 | 1,315,000 | 5,290,000 | 17,714,875 | 3,478,000 | 42,447,875 | 28,447,875 | 9,600,000 | 995,000 | 40,000 |  | 3,365,000 | 42,447,875 |
| 2.680 | JDF Water Distribution | 1,105,000 | 1,280,000 | 40,000 | 25,565,000 |  | 27,990,000 | 11,130,000 | 9,200,000 | 1,080,000 |  | 6,170,000 | 410,000 | 27,990,000 |
| 2.682 | Seagit Water System |  |  |  | 2,350,000 |  | 2,350,000 | 150,000 | 2,100,000 |  | 100,000 |  |  | 2,350,000 |
| 2.691 | Wilderness Mountain Water Service |  |  |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,000 |
| 3.701 | Millstream Site Remediation |  |  |  |  | 410,000 | 410,000 | 250,000 |  |  | 160,000 |  |  | 410,000 |
| 3.705 | SSI Septage / Composting |  |  |  | 35,000 |  | 35,000 |  |  |  | - | 35,000 | - | 35,000 |
| 3.718 | Saanich Peninsula Wastewater | 210,000 |  |  | 2,850,000 |  | 3,060,000 |  | . | 480,000 |  | 2,580,000 |  | 3,060,000 |
| 3.798 C | Debt- - Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program | 300,000 | 263,000 |  | 23,568,000 |  | 24,131,000 | 1,896,000 | 19,080,000 | 800,000 | - | 2,355,000 |  | 24,131,000 |
| 3.810 | Ganges Sewer Utility (SSI) |  | 77,000 |  | 292,500 |  | 369,500 | 107,500 | - |  | 182,000 | 80,000 |  | 369,500 |
| 3.820 | Maliview Sewer Utility (SSI) |  |  |  | 2,330,000 |  | 2,330,000 |  | 341,000 |  | 1,989,000 | - |  | 2,330,000 |
| 3.830 | Magic Lake Sewer Utility (Pender) |  |  |  | 6,230,000 |  | 6,230,000 | 1,730,000 |  |  | 4,500,000 |  |  | 6,230,000 |
| 3.850 | Port Renfrew Sewer |  |  |  | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |  | - |  | 90,000 | 10,000 |  | 100,000 |
| TOTAL |  | 30,222,727 | 9,184,688 | 35,126,021 | 138,267,429 | 13,983,000 | 226,783,865 | 54,623,155 | 53,864,000 | 12,941,067 | 20,892,960 | 66,116,774 | 18,345,909 | 226,783,865 |






| CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT - CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN$2026$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SOURCE OF FUNDING Schedule B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service \# | Service Name | Equipment | Vehicles | Buildings | Engineered Structures | Land | TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Capital } \\ \text { Funds on Hand } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Debenture } \\ \text { Debt } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Equipment Repl Fund | Grants | Capital Reserves | Other | TOTAL |
| 1.011 | Board Expenditures | 215,000 |  |  |  |  | 215,000 |  |  | 215,000 |  |  |  | 215,000 |
| 1.014 | Chief Administrative Officer | 5,402 |  |  |  |  | 5,402 |  |  | 5,402 |  |  |  | 5,402 |
| 1.015 | Real Estate | 1,090 |  |  |  |  | 1,090 |  |  | 1,090 |  |  |  | 1,090 |
| 1.016 | Human Resources | 3,222 |  |  |  |  | 3,222 | - |  | 3,222 |  |  |  | 3,222 |
| 1.017 | Finance | 131,312 |  |  |  |  | 131,312 | 100,000 |  | 31,312 |  |  |  | 131,312 |
| 1.018 | Health \& Capital Planning Strategies | 3,222 |  |  |  |  | 3,222 |  |  | 3,222 |  |  |  | 3,222 |
| 1.022 | Information Technology | 2,120,650 | - |  |  |  | 2,120,650 | 2,059,000 |  | 61,650 |  |  |  | 2,120,650 |
| 1.024 | GM - Planning \& Protective Services | 2,088 |  |  |  |  | 2,088 |  |  | 2,088 |  |  |  | 2,088 |
| 1.025 | Corporate Emergency | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.027 | Aboriginal Initiatives | 1,611 |  |  |  |  | 1,611 |  |  | 1,611 |  | - |  | 1,611 |
| 1.105 | Facilities Management | 10,000 | - |  |  |  | 10,000 | - |  | 10,000 |  |  |  | 10,000 |
| 1.106 | Facilities and Risk |  | - | 135,000 | - |  | 135,000 | - |  | - |  | 135,000 |  | 135,000 |
| 1.107 | Corporate Satellite Facilities |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 1.109 | JDF Admin. Expenditures | 2,000 |  |  |  |  | 2,000 |  |  | 2,000 |  |  |  | 2,000 |
| 1.110 | SGI Admin. Expenditures | 4,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,000 |  |  | 4,000 |  |  |  | 4,000 |
| 1.111 | SSI Admin. Expenditures | 9,400 | - | - |  |  | 9,400 |  |  | 9,400 |  |  |  | 9,400 |
| 1.118 | Corporate Communications | 2,701 |  |  |  |  | 2,701 |  |  | 2,701 |  | - |  | 2,701 |
| 1.123 | Family Court Building |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| 1.137 | Galiano Island Community Use Building |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 1.141 | SSI Public Library |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 1.226 | Health Facilities - VIHA | - |  | 937,500 | - |  | 937,500 | - |  |  |  | 737,500 | 200,000 | 937,500 |
| 1.235 | SGI Small Craft Harbour Facilities |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 | - |  |  | - | 50,000 | - | 50,000 |
| 1.236 | SSI Small Craft Harbour (Fernwood Dock) |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| 1.238A | Community Transit (SSI) |  |  |  | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  |  |  | 5,000 | 35,000 |  | 40,000 |
| 1.238 B | Community Transportation (SSI) | - |  |  | 96,000 |  | 96,000 |  |  |  | 60,000 | 36,000 |  | 96,000 |
| 1.280 | Regional Parks | 71,600 | 305,000 | - | 7,801,894 | 5,000,000 | 13,178,494 | 260,000 | 5,000,000 | 376,600 | 5,165,890 | 2,376,004 | - | 13,178,494 |
| 1.290 | Royal Theatre | - |  | 93,000 |  |  | 93,000 | - |  |  | - | 93,000 | - | 93,000 |
| 1.295 | McPherson Theatre | - |  | 238,000 |  |  | 238,000 | - |  |  |  | 238,000 |  | 238,000 |
| 1.297 | Arts Grants and Development | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1.309 | Climate Action and Adaptation | 792,961 |  |  |  |  | 792,961 | 211,483 |  |  | 581,478 |  |  | 792,961 |
| 1.310 | Land Banking and Housing | 4,000 |  | - |  |  | 4,000 |  | - | 4,000 |  |  | - | 4,000 |
| 1.313 | Animal Care Services | 5,000 | 25,000 | - | - |  | 30,000 |  |  | 30,000 |  |  | - | 30,000 |
| 1.318 | Building Inspection | 6,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | 56,000 |  |  | 56,000 |  |  |  | 56,000 |
| 1.323 | ByLaw Services | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.324 | Regional Planning Services | 8,000 |  |  |  |  | 8,000 |  |  | 8,000 |  |  |  | 8,000 |
| 1.325 | Community Planning | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| 1.335 | Geo-Spatial Referencing | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.350 | Willis Point Fire | 6,000 | - | - |  |  | 6,000 |  |  | 6,000 |  | - |  | 6,000 |
| 1.352 | South Galiano Fire | 10,800 | 85,000 | - |  |  | 95,800 | - |  | 95,800 |  | - |  | 95,800 |
| 1.353 | Otter Point Fire | 20,000 |  | 40,000 |  |  | 60,000 |  |  | 20,000 |  | 40,000 |  | 60,000 |
| 1.356 | Pender Island Fire | 18,000 | - | - |  |  | 18,000 |  |  | 18,000 |  | - |  | 18,000 |
| 1.357 | East Sooke Fire | 41,578 | - | - |  |  | 41,578 |  |  | 41,578 |  | - |  | 41,578 |
| 1.358 | Port Renfrew Fire | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 1.359 | North Galiano Fire | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.360 | Shirley Fire Department | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | $\cdot$ |  |  |  | - |
| 1.371 | SSI Emergency Program | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 1.372 | Emergency Planning Coordination | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1.373 | SGI Emergency Program | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 1.375 | Hazardous Material Incident Response | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.377 | JDF Search and Rescue | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 1.405 | JDF EA Community Parks \& Recreation |  |  |  | - | $\cdot$ | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| 1.40X | SEAPARC | 1,597,500 | - | 227,500 | 28,000 | - | 1,853,000 | - | - | 92,500 | 1,250,000 | 510,500 |  | 1,853,000 |
| 1.44x | Panorama Recreation | 436,630 | - | 310,000 | - |  | 746,630 | - | - | 436,630 | - | 310,000 |  | 746,630 |
| 1.455 | SSI Community Parks | 15,000 | - |  | 5,095,000 |  | 5,110,000 |  | 5,000,000 | 15,000 | 50,000 | 45,000 |  | 5,110,000 |
| 1.458 | SSI Community Recreation | 5,000 |  |  | 5,000 |  | 10,000 |  |  | 5,000 | - | 5,000 | - | 10,000 |
| 1.459 | SSI Park Land \& Rec Programs | 40,000 | - | 8,070,000 | - | 50,000 | 8,160,000 |  | 8,000,000 | 40,000 | 25,000 | 95,000 |  | 8,160,000 |




| CAPITAL REGIONAL DIST ICT - CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN <br> 2027 <br> Schedule B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service \# | Service Name | CAPITAL EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |  |  | SOURCE OF FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Equipment | Vehicles | Buildings | Engineered Structures | Land | TOTAL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Capital } \\ \text { Funds on Hand } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Debenture Debt | Equipment Repl Fund | Grants | Capital Reserves | Other | TOTAL |
| 1.465 | Saturna Island Community Parks |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 1.475 | Mayne Island Community Parks | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| 1.485 | Pender Island Community Parks |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  |  |  |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |
| 1.495 | Galiano Community Parks | - |  |  | 32,000 |  | 32,000 |  |  | - |  | 32,000 |  | 32,000 |
| 1.521 | Environmental Resource Management | 385,000 |  | - | 1,500,000 |  | 1,885,000 | . | - | 385,000 |  | 1,500,000 |  | 1,885,000 |
| 1.523 | Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 1.575 | Environmental Administration Services | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1.576 | Environmental Engineering Services | 40,000 | - |  |  |  | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 |  |  |  | 40,000 |
| 1.577 | IW - Environmental Operations | 125,000 | 615,000 |  |  |  | 740,000 |  |  | 740,000 | - |  |  | 740,000 |
| 1.578 | Environmental Protection | 97,000 | - |  |  |  | 97,000 | - |  | 97,000 |  |  |  | 97,000 |
| 1.911 | 911 Call Answer | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 2.610 | Saanich Peninsula Water Supply | 100,000 |  |  | 610,000 |  | 710,000 |  | 300,000 | 80,000 |  | 330,000 |  | 710,000 |
| 2.620 | Highland Water (SSI) |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.621 | Highland \& Fernwood Water (SSI) | - |  |  | 2,215,000 |  | 2,215,000 | - | 2,215,000 |  | - | - |  | 2,215,000 |
| 2.622 | Cedars of Tuam Water (SSI) | - |  |  | 415,000 |  | 415,000 |  | 415,000 |  | - | - |  | 415,000 |
| 2.624 | Beddis Water (SSI) | - |  |  | 2,422,000 |  | 2,422,000 | - | 2,422,000 |  | - | - |  | 2,422,000 |
| 2.626 | Fufford Water (SSI) | - |  |  | 1,450,000 |  | 1,450,000 | - | 1,450,000 |  | - | - |  | 1,450,000 |
| 2.628 | Cedar Lane Water (SSI) | - |  | - | 290,000 |  | 290,000 |  | 290,000 |  | - | - |  | 290,000 |
| 2.630 | Magic Lake Estates Water (Pender) | - |  |  | 75,000 |  | 75,000 | - |  |  | - | 75,000 |  | 75,000 |
| 2.640 | Lyall Harbour Boot Cove Water (Saturna) | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| 2.642 | Skana Water (Mayne) | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 2.650 | Port Renfrew Water | 10,000 |  |  | 200,000 |  | 210,000 |  | 200,000 |  | - | 10,000 |  | 210,000 |
| 2.665 | Sticks Allison Water (Galiano) |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 2.667 | Surfside Park Estates (Mayne) | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| 2.670 | Regional Water Supply | 1,260,000 | 855,000 | 40,000 | 34,305,000 | 220,000 | 36,680,000 | 19,825,000 | 10,000,000 | 855,000 | 6,000,000 |  |  | 36,680,000 |
| 2.680 | JDF Water Distribution | 170,000 | 710,000 | 40,000 | 6,355,000 |  | 7,275,000 | 6,545,000 |  | 710,000 |  | 20,000 |  | 7,275,000 |
| 2.682 | Seagit Water System |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| 2.691 | Wilderness Mountain Water Service |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.701 | Millstream Site Remediation |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| 3.705 | SSI Septage / Composting |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| 3.718 | Saanich Peninsula Wastewater | 250,000 |  |  | 1,450,000 |  | 1,700,000 |  | 1,250,000 | 150,000 |  | 300,000 |  | 1,700,000 |
| 3.798 C | Debt - Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program | - | - |  | 3,600,000 |  | 3,600,000 | - | 2,200,000 | 400,000 | - | 1,000,000 |  | 3,600,000 |
| 3.810 | Ganges Sewer Utility (SSI) |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |
| 3.820 | Maliview Sewer Utility (SSI) |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | $\cdot$ |  | - | - |  | - |
| 3.830 | Magic Lake Sewer Utility (Pender) | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| 3.850 | Port Renfrew Sewer |  |  |  | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |  | - | - |  | 400,000 |
| TOTAL |  | 3,295,900 | 3,064,100 | 3,248,000 | 61,985,000 | 5,220,000 | 76,813,000 | 26,683,745 | 26,142,000 | 4,740,977 | 11,810,278 | 7,436,000 |  | 76,813,000 |

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Bylaw No. 4569 and 4568: Temporary Borrowing Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services

## ISSUE SUMMARY

Approval of temporary borrowing bylaws authorizing short-term funds for projects included in the approved five-year capital plan for the Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services.

## BACKGROUND

On August 9, 2023, the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board adopted Loan Authorization Bylaws No. 4546 intended to fund the construction of the Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box and No. 4547 intended to fund the construction of the Panorama Heat Recovery System within the Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services. Temporary borrowing bylaws are necessary when there is a requirement for short-term financing of capital expenditures prior to the issuance of long-term debt.

Temporary borrowing bylaws authorize interim financing through the Municipal Finance Authority (MFA). The conversion of temporary borrowings to long-term debt is an additional and subsequent approval by the Board. The proposed temporary borrowing bylaws No. 4569 authorizes temporary borrowing against Bylaw No. 4546 Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023, and No. 4568 authorizes temporary borrowing against Bylaw No. 4547 Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Panorama Heat Recovery System) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023. When ready for a long-term issue, a Security Issuing Bylaw will be brought forward by staff and will require approval by the Board in advance of the regular Municipal Finance Authority (MFA) spring or fall long-term debt issues.

The following temporary borrowing bylaws are proposed:

| Service Area | Action | Purpose | Bylaw |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.44 X | Temporary | Short-term funding | 4569 Temporary Borrowing |
|  | Borrowing | Centennial Park Multi- | (Saanich Peninsula Recreation |
|  | Bylaw No. | Sport Box arising from the | Services Centennial Park Multi- |
|  | 4569 | approved capital plan | Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023 |
| 1.44 X | Temporary | Short-term funding | 4568 Temporary Borrowing |
|  | Borrowing | Panorama Heat Recovery | (Saanich Peninsula Recreation |
|  | Bylaw No. | System arising from the | Services Heat Recovery) Bylaw |
|  | 4568 | approved capital plan | No. 1, 2023 |
|  |  |  |  |

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That Bylaw No. 4569, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and
2. That Bylaw No. 4569 be adopted.
3. That Bylaw No. 4568, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and
4. That Bylaw No. 4568 be adopted.

## Alternative 2

That Bylaws No. 4569 and No. 4568 be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

The proposed temporary borrowing bylaws will give the CRD access to interim financing according to the terms specified in Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 4546 and Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 4547. Borrowing will not exceed the difference between the total $\$ 2,900,000$ authorized by the loan authorization bylaw No. 4546 and the amount previously borrowed under this authority and the total $\$ 2,453,000$ authorized by the loan authorization bylaw No. 4547 and the amount previously borrowed under this authority. The use of the temporary borrowing will be based on the timing of approved capital expenditures. The associated financing costs will be monthly variable interest-only payments.

Currently, MFA's short-term borrowing rate is $5.48 \%$ (variable rate as of August 9, 2023). The cash flows required to service short-term debt are in the approved financial plan.

## CONCLUSION

Temporary borrowing authority, through the approval of the Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 4569 and Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 4568 are required to access interim financing to fund the construction of the Panorama Heat Recovery System and the Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box. Timely access to borrowed funds is critical to meeting the approved five-year capital program. All temporary borrowings will be either repaid within five years or converted to long-term debt up to the maximums stated in the approved Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 4546, and No. 4547.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That Bylaw No. 4569, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and
2. That Bylaw No. 4569 be adopted.
3. That Bylaw No. 4568, "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 1, 2023", be introduced and read a first, second and third time; and
4. That Bylaw No. 4568 be adopted.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Senior Manager, Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Larisa Hutcheson, P. Eng., General Manager, Parks \& Environmental Services |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT

Appendix A: Bylaw No. 4569, Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023
Appendix B: Bylaw No. 4568, Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 1, 2023

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT <br> BYLAW NO. 4569

## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE TEMPORARY BORROWINGS FOR THE SAANICH PENINSULA RECREATION SERVICES

 (CENTENNIAL PARK MULTI-SPORT BOX)
## WHEREAS

A. It is provided by Section 409 of the Local Government Act that the Board may where it has adopted a loan authorization bylaw, without further assents or approvals, borrow temporarily from any person under the conditions therein set out;
B. The Board has adopted Bylaw No. 4546, "Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023", in the amount of Two Million, Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,900,000)$.
C. The issuance of the said Security Issuing bylaw has been temporarily deferred.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby authorized and empowered to borrow an amount or amounts not exceeding the sum of Two Million, Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,900,000)$ as the same may be required, at the prevailing interest rate.
2. The money so borrowed shall be used solely for the purpose set out in the said Bylaw No. 4546.
3. The proceeds from the Security Issuing bylaw, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used to repay the money so borrowed.
4. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Centennial Park Multi-Sport Box) Bylaw No. 1, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | day of | 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | day of | , 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | day of | , 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | day of | , 2023 |

Bylaw No. 45XX
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## A BYLAW TO AUTHORIZE TEMPORARY BORROWINGS FOR THE SAANICH PENINSULA RECREATION SERVICES

WHEREAS:
A. It is provided by Section 409 of the Local Government Act that the Board may where it has adopted a loan authorization bylaw, without further assents or approvals, borrow temporarily from any person under the conditions therein set out;
B. The Board has adopted Bylaw No. 4547, "Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services (Panorama Heat Recovery System) Loan Authorization Bylaw No. 1, 2023", in the amount of Two Million, Four Hundred Fifty-Three Thousand Dollars (\$2,453,000);
C. The issuance of the said Security Issuing bylaw has been temporarily deferred.

NOW THEREFORE the Board of the Capital Regional District in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. The Board is hereby authorized and empowered to borrow an amount or amounts not exceeding the sum of Two Million, Four Hundred Fifty-Three Thousand Dollars (\$2,453,000) as the same may be required, at the prevailing interest rate.
2. The money so borrowed shall be used solely for the purpose set out in the said Bylaw No. 4547.
3. The proceeds from the Security Issuing bylaw, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be used to repay the money so borrowed.
4. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Temporary Borrowing (Saanich Peninsula Recreation Services Panorama Heat Recovery System) Bylaw No. 1, 2023".

| READ A FIRST TIME THIS | day of | , 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ A SECOND TIME THIS | day of | , 2023 |
| READ A THIRD TIME THIS | day of | , 2023 |
| ADOPTED THIS | day of | , 2023 |

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2023

## SUBJECT Growing Communities Fund Allocation and Project Approvals

## ISSUE SUMMARY

This report provides options to the Capital Regional District (CRD) Board on allocating the Growing Communities Fund (GCF) grant to regional infrastructure projects.

## BACKGROUND

In March 2023, the CRD received $\$ 11.56$ million in funding under the province's GCF program. This funding is part of the province's $\$ 1$ billion commitment to help local governments prioritize infrastructure projects and amenities that will enable service expansion and accommodate community growth. For Regional Districts, the funding must be allocated to services by December 31, 2023 and fully expended within five years of receipt (March 2028). The funding is conditional, and reporting is required until funds are fully spent or expired.

At the April 12, 2023 meeting of the CRD Board (Appendix A), the following two motions were passed:

1. That staff plan to use the total $\$ 11.56$ million CRD GCF in alignment with the provincial program guidelines to address regional infrastructure priorities.
2. That staff bring back recommendations on prioritized regional infrastructure projects in alignment with program eligibility and equitable treatment of projects within electoral areas (Amended).

Following the April Board meeting, staff developed funding guidelines, intake application forms, evaluation tools and business processes to administer an internal program. Projects across all CRD services were considered provided projects aligned with grant eligibility and requirements. Consistent with other existing grant programs, services were required to cost share; regional/subregional services were required to commit 50\%, and services within the Electoral Areas were set at $25 \%$. The cost-share approach allows more projects to receive support.

Applications were received for projects in the categories of affordable housing, parks and recreation, first nations, water and wastewater infrastructure, solid waste, studies and plans. Staff evaluated applications using five equally weighted quantitative indicators: strategic, financial, environmental, social and risk, and considered any additional benefits the project provided including alignment with provincial priorities. The Executive Leadership Team (ELT) included a sixth qualitative criteria to provide an equality lens to services within the Electoral Areas. A summary of the multi-decision criteria model is included in Appendix B.

Staff received 17 applications for funding (projects summarized in Appendix C) totaling \$36.7 million. Table 1 summarizes applications received from regional, sub-regional and Electoral Areas services.

Table 1: Summary of GCF Requests

| Funding | Amount (\$) | \# of <br> Applications |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GCF Funding Requests Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 7 6 2 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| Total Regional/Sub-regional Services Requests | $23,265,000$ | 5 |
| Total Local Area Services Requests | $13,497,505$ | 12 |
| Juan de Fuca | $2,260,500$ | 5 |
| Salt Spring Island | $6,683,255$ | 2 |
| Southern Gulf Islands | $4,553,750$ | 5 |
| Available Funding | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 5 9 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Request Exceeds Available Funding $+25,203,505$ |  |  |
| Rate of Oversubscription | $3 x$ |  |

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

That the recommendations provided to the CRD Board in Appendix D Option 1 be endorsed.

## Alternative 2

That the recommendations provided to the CRD Board in Appendix D Option 2 be endorsed.

## Alternative 3

That the recommendations provided to the CRD Board in Appendix D Option 3 be endorsed.

## Alternative 4

That the CRD Board provide specific directions on amendments to the proposed options.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

To align with the April 2023 Board motion, staff assessed applications using a multi-criteria decision-making tool and ELT applied an executive review lens. Staff prepared three options (Appendix D) for the Board to consider, and ELT is recommending Option 1 for endorsement.

The oversubscription rate to the program highlights the ongoing need for critical infrastructure funding. Appendix E highlights alignment of GCF Requests against grant program criteria and CRD's 2023-2026 Corporate Plan, including Board Priorities and Community Needs. Many of the projects have identified borrowing as their cost-share. By providing GCF to these projects, this reduces the amount of debt and interest costs lowering the overall cost burden on taxpayers.

## Alternative 1

Alternative 1 would provide funding to eight projects. Recommended regional projects include initial start-up funds to the Regional Trails Widening and Lighting project and the Village on the Green housing initiative. Funding Village on the Green closes the equity gap required for the project to proceed to construction.

Recommended electoral area projects include $\$ 2.75$ million to the Southern Gulf Island's (SGI) acquisition of Galiano Green. It is notable the Galiano Green project has been in the concept stage for approximately 15 years. Staff also recommend requiring the balance for the Galiano Green project ( $\$ 8.2$ million) must be secured by the end of 2025 to ensure GCF funds are expended in alignment with the program requirements. If the balance is not secured, staff will work with the province with the goal of rescinding the $\$ 2.75$ million contribution and re-allocating to another eligible project.

Additional electoral area projects include funding up to Phase 4 and partial funding of Phase 5 of Salt Spring Island's (SSI) Ganges Sewer System Infrastructure Upgrades and fully funding the SSI Parks Maintenance Facility project. In the Juan de Fuca (JDF), Alternative 1 advances three projects in public safety, solid waste and a master plan project for water and sewer.

Alternative 1 allocates nearly $50 \%$ of the GCF to Electoral Areas compared to the Provincial formula that was used to calculate the grant which was approximately $25 \%$ of the total transfer to the CRD.

## Alternative 2

Alternative 2 provides funding to nine projects. Recommended regional projects include; Village on the Green and partial funding to Campus View. Both affordable housing projects are on hold until funding is secured to proceed to construction. Partial funding is recommended for the Regional Trails Widening and Lighting project with similar rationale as noted in Alternative 1.

For the Electoral Areas, staff recommend funding six projects that help accelerate housing and community amenities associated with growth. In SGI, the recommendation includes funding the land purchase of Galiano Green (\$750 thousand). Similar to Alternative 1, staff also recommend requiring the balance of this project be funded by the end of 2025 . On SSI, staff recommend funding up to Phase 4 for the Ganges Sewer System Infrastructure Upgrades and fully funding the SSI Parks Maintenance Facility project. This alternative would not impact the initial recommendation to JDF for funding three projects.

This alternative aligns to the Provincial GCF grant award developed by the province for Regional and Electoral Areas.

## Alternative 3

Alternative 3 provides funding to five projects focusing only on initiatives directly related to housing and housing amenities (water and wastewater services), which is the core intent of the GCF program. Under this option, Regional Trails would not receive funding, the equity gap for Village on the Green would be fully funded, and Campus View would receive partial funding. For the Electoral Ares, the JDF Master Plan that references future growth-related planning for Port Renfrew Water and Wastewater would be funded. On SSI, the Ganges Sewer System Infrastructure Upgrades would be funded (up to Phase 5). This option would include funding the land acquisition SGI's Galiano Green project.

## CONCLUSION

GCF is a conditional grant with set criteria and reporting standards. Regional Districts are required to allocate funds by the end of 2023. Staff developed and administered an internal grant program to allocate the funds using a multi-criteria decision-making framework. Based on the assessment, staff are recommending funding eight projects as detailed in the appendices.

## RECOMMENDATION

That the recommendations provided to the Capital Regional District Board in Appendix D Option 1 be endorsed.

| Submitted by: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: April 12, 2023 CRD Board Report and Appendices - Growing Communities Fund
Appendix B: Growing Communities Fund Internal Program Scorecard
Appendix C: Growing Communities Fund Application Summary
Appendix D: Options for Allocation of Growing Communities Fund Funding
Appendix E: Growing Communities Fund Grant Requests Alignment with Grant Program and CRD 2023-2026 Corporate Plan

# REPORT TO CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT BOARD MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 2023 

## SUBJECT Growing Communities Fund

## ISSUE SUMMARY

This report summarizes the Growing Communities Fund (GCF) and provides recommendations on the allocation of the GCF grant received by the Capital Regional District (CRD).

## BACKGROUND

On February 10, 2023, the province announced the $\$ 1$ billion GCF, a one-time direct transfer grant to all Regional Districts and Municipalities. Eighty-five percent of the $\$ 1$ billion fund was allocated to Municipalities and the remaining fifteen percent was allocated to Regional Districts. Appendix A includes two letters received from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs detailing the GCF program, funding allocation, eligible expenses and reporting requirements. As documented in the program description, the purpose of the GCF is for local governments to prioritize infrastructure projects and amenities that will enable service expansion and accommodate community growth.

This staff report details CRD's funding allocation and lists GCF grants received by local governments across the province (Appendix B). The CRD's conditional funding of $\$ 11.56$ million was received on March 23, 2023.

## Eligible Expenses

Eligible expenses are detailed in Appendix C. In summary, they include capital costs for infrastructure to assist with growth and development of communities. Eligible projects may include recreational facilities, water treatment plants, parks, affordable housing and others. The funding is not intended to support ongoing or operational activities but to support incremental expenses for current planned capital projects, early-design and development works.

## Program Requirements

All GCF funding must be allocated to regional district services by December 31, 2023 and fully expended within five years of receipt. The funds cannot be applied to projects completed prior to March 31, 2023. The program does not allow GCF funding to be used as an applicant contribution for approved external grant projects where cost-sharing is required.

As a condition of the funding, the CRD will be required to report annually on fund status until fully expended through a schedule within the annual audited financial statements. In addition to annual reporting, the CRD is required to recognize projects in collaboration with the province including temporary and permanent signage. The program encourages highlighting projects that align with provincial priorities.

## Provincial Funding Allocation Formulae for Local Governments

The Ministry of Municipal Affairs calculated the grant to each local government using data sourced from BC Population estimates (as of January 27, 2023).

Different granting formulas were used for Municipalities and Regional Districts. The grant formula for Municipalities is centered on an adjusted population method with three variables where Regional Districts were based on four variables, as summarized below:

| Regional Districts | Municipalities |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Flat funding amount of \$500,000. | - Flat funding amount of \$500,000. |
| - Total population amount of $\$ 17$ per capita rate for the entire CRD population. | - Sliding adjusted population amount of \$365 per capita rate. |
| - Rural population amount of $\$ 30$ per capita rate for CRD's rural population. |  |
| - Rural population growth amount of $\$ 1,000$ per capita rate for population growth in rural areas between 2016 and 2021. | - Population growth amount of $\$ 1,000$ per capita rate for total population growth between 2016 and 2021. |

Table 1 summarizes the CRD's grant funding allocation using the variables summarized above.
Table 1: CRD Grant Funding Calculation per Provincial Formulae

| Basis of Formula | Population <br> $\mathbf{( 2 0 2 1 )}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Per Capita <br> Rate | Funding <br> Formula | 2016-2021 UA Pop. <br> Increase*1000 $^{*}$ | Grant |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Rate |  |  |  |  | 500,000 |
| Regional District ${ }^{2}$ | 432,062 | 17 | $7,345,270$ |  | $7,345,270$ |
| Unincorporated Areas |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 29,191 | 30 | 875,730 | $2,838,000$ | $3,713,730$ |

${ }^{1}$ At the publishing deadline for this report, 2021 source population data has not been verified with the available BC Population estimates.
${ }^{2}$ Regional District population includes both regional and rural populations.
${ }^{3}$ Unincorporated areas include JDF, SSI, SGI and First Nations Reserves.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

1. That staff plan to use the total $\$ 11.56$ million CRD GCF in alignment with the Provincial program guidelines to address regional infrastructure priorities.
2. That staff bring back recommendations on prioritized regional infrastructure projects in alignment with program eligibility.

## Alternative 2

That the GCF report be referred back to staff with specific amendments.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Financial Implications

The GCF grant is conditional based on recipients adhering to program requirements including reporting, eligible expenses and time constraints. The ministry may reclaim funds that do not align with the terms and conditions of the GCF program.

As noted in the Frequently Asked Questions (Appendix D) under Allocation of Funds, the GCF grant is not to be reallocated to Electoral Areas, rather, is intended to assist the board in addressing regional priorities for actual infrastructure and other eligible costs.

The Board is required to approve a financial plan amendment before the end of 2023 where GCF monies will be allocated to regional services and eligible projects.

## Service Delivery Implications

The assessment and prioritization of project funding requests is historically administered by external grantor program staff. By transferring funds directly to the CRD, program administration including evaluation of project applications becomes the responsibility of CRD staff, impacting resource allocation and capacity.

Immediately, staff will need to develop and design an internal intake process with guidelines, assessment and prioritization tools to support decision making for GCF grant allocation to services and projects. As 2023 service plans have been developed and approved this would impact workplans in grant administration and other divisions.

## CONCLUSION

The GCF will provide funding to local governments to accommodate growth and service expansion. Grants were provided to the CRD using the Regional District formula developed by the Province. As a recipient, the CRD is required to meet program requirements including reporting, communication protocols and allocate the funds to services by December 31, 2023, fully spending the GCF within five years.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. That staff plan to use the total $\$ 11.56$ million CRD GCF in alignment with the Provincial program guidelines to address regional infrastructure priorities.
2. That staff bring back recommendations on prioritized regional infrastructure projects in alignment with program eligibility.

| Submitted by: | Lia Xu, MSc., CPA, CGA, Finance Manager, Local Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Ministry of Municipal Affairs - Growing Communities Fund 2023 Letters
Appendix B: Allocation Summary of Growing Communities Fund Grants to Local Governments
Appendix C: Summary of Eligibility Criteria
Appendix D: Frequently Asked Questions - Regional Districts

March 16, 2023

Ref: 271994

Colin Plant, Chair

Capital Regional District
PO Box 1000
Victoria BC V8W 2S6

Dear Chair Plant:
The population of B.C. has increased consistently over the past decade and is projected to keep growing in the next 10 years. The provincial government understands the need to facilitate greater housing supply for our growing population. The province will support local governments in addressing the multiple funding and financing constraints to aid in the construction of infrastructure and amenities for all B.C. communities. Local governments' investment in core community infrastructure and amenities increases the amount of land that is ready to be developed to a higher density.

The Government of B.C. has invested considerable resources in infrastructure and amenities in the past 10 years and has strategically leveraged federal funding to that effect. More than $\$ 1.6$ billion in federal and provincial funding have been invested in our communities since 2018 through the Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program. However, as there is still more to be done for infrastructure and amenities, the provincial government is pleased to provide the Growing Communities Fund (GCF) for local governments province-wide.

As a one-time grant, the GCF will provide up to $\$ 1$ billion through direct grants to local governments to support all B.C. communities, with a focus on those communities that need to increase the pace and scale of housing supply. The principal objective of the GCF is to increase the local housing supply with investments in community infrastructure and amenities. Regional Districts are encouraged to work closely with adjacent local First Nations, in recognition of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act, as this collaboration strengthens our communities and regions.

The funding provided through the GCF should be limited to one-off costs needed to build required infrastructure and amenities rather than funding ongoing or operational activities. These funds are to be incremental to currently planned investments and should accelerate the delivery of capital projects. Eligible costs are as follows:

- Public drinking water supply, treatment facilities and water distribution;
- Local portion of affordable/attainable housing developments;

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ministry of Municipal Affairs | Office of the Minister | Mailing Address: | Location: |
|  | PO Box 9056 Stn Prov Govt | Parliament Buildings |  |
|  | Victoria BC V8W 9E2 | Victoria BC V8V 1X4 |  |
|  | Phone: 250 387-2283 |  |  |
|  | Fax: $250387-4312$ | http://www.gov.bc.ca/muni |  |

- Childcare facilities;
- Municipal or regional capital projects that service, directly or indirectly, neighbouring First Nation communities;
- Wastewater conveyance and treatment facilities;
- Stormwater management;
- Solid waste management infrastructure;
- Public safety/emergency management equipment and facilities not funded by senior level government;
- Local road improvements and upgrades;
- Sidewalks, curbing and lighting;
- Active transportation amenities not funded by existing provincial programs;
- Improvements that facilitate transit service;
- Natural hazard mitigation;
- Park additions/maintenance/upgrades including washrooms/meeting space and other amenities; and
- Recreation-related amenities.

Further to the above note capital costs, one-off costs can include:

- Costs of feasibility studies (including infrastructure capacity assessment); other early-stage development work; costs of designing, tendering and acquiring land (where it is wholly required for eligible infrastructure projects); constructing eligible infrastructure projects; and, in limited situations, non-capital administrative costs where these are necessary, for example adding staff capacity related to development or to establish complementary financing for local government owned infrastructure or amenities.

I am pleased to advise you that Capital Regional District is the recipient of a $\$ 11,559,000$ grant under the Growing Communities Fund. This amount will be directly transferred to your local government by March 31, 2023.

Under part 7 of the Local Government Grants Regulations, the amount of the grant to each local government is set by the Minister of Municipal Affairs. The determination of this amount was based on a formula that applies to all regional districts.

This formula is based on four components: a flat funding amount, a "total population" amount, a "rural population" amount and a "rural population growth" amount. The flat amount is $\$ 500,000$. The "total population" amount is $\$ 17$ per capita in the entire regional district. The "rural population" amount is $\$ 30$ per capita in the rural areas of the regional district.

This approach recognizes that servicing rural residents (unincorporated areas) is generally more expensive on a per capita basis than residents from urban (incorporated areas) due to economies of scale. The "rural population growth" amount is $\$ 1,000$ per capita population growth in the rural areas between 2016 and 2021.

As a condition of this funding, the grant must be allocated to an appropriate regional district service by the end of this calendar year. To ensure full transparency regarding the use of funds, your local government will be required to annually report on how it spends this grant. This will be part of the annual financial reporting required under section 377 (1)(a) of the Local Government Act. Your local government will provide a schedule to the audited financial statements respecting the amount of funding received, the use of those funds and the year-end balance of unused funds. Your local government must continue to annually report on the use of grant money until the funds are fully drawn down.

Further to the financial reporting, an annual report that identifies work-related Housing Needs Reports and pre-zoning requirements, as applicable, is required. The province also encourages highlighting projects that align with provincial priorities such as Clean BC and childcare; as well as those that align with the province's Environmental, Social and Governance framework for capital projects.

Finally, requirements will include parameters for public recognition of the funding related to projects. The province must be consulted prior to any proactive media events or news releases related to the project. Funded projects must also acknowledge the province's contribution through temporary and permanent on-site signage. The provincial government anticipates that the funds will be expended within approximately five years of receipt.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please feel free to contact the Local Government Infrastructure and Finance Branch by email at: LGIF@gov.bc.ca. Further information on the program will be available on the following webpage:
https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/local-governments/grants-transfers/grants/bc-s-growing-communities-fund.

The province welcomes this opportunity to support the growth of the supply of housing throughout British Columbia. We believe that that this funding will contribute to the capacity of B.C. local governments to provide critical services as our province and economy grows.

Sincerely,


Anne Kang
Minister
pc: $\quad$ Ted Robbins, Chief Administrative Officer, Capital Regional District Nelson Chan, Chief Financial Officer, Capital Regional District

## Attachment with Example Calculation for a Regional District with 65,000 People, 30,000 in Rural Areas

If the Regional District rural population (unincorporated areas) grew by 2,000 people between 20162021, the total grant amount is calculated as follows:

| Component | Calculation | Result |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Flat Funding | 500,000 | $\$ 500,000$ |
| Total Population | $=65,000 \times 17$ | $\$ 1,105,000$ |
| Rural Population | $=30,000 \times 30$ | $\$ 900,000$ |
| Rural Population Growth | $=2,000 \times 1,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Total Grant |  | $\$ 4,505,000$ |

February 10, 2023

Ref: 272022

Dear Mayors and Regional Chairs:
I am pleased to let you know of the significant investment our government has made to support all our municipalities and regional districts around the province. This is in direct response to my mandate letter to support growing municipalities and regional districts with funding for infrastructure and community amenities.

Today Premier David Eby and I announced the B.C. building stronger communities with \$1-billion Growing Communities Fund \| BC Gov News. The fund will provide a one-time total of $\$ 1$ billion in direct grants to all 188 of B.C.s municipalities and regional districts. Your local government can use it to address your community's unique infrastructure and amenities demands, such as recreation facilities, parks and water treatment plants, as well as other community infrastructure. It will help communities prepare for future growth and build the amenities needed to support new home construction, especially with the Housing Supply Act where targets are set.

These grants will complement existing infrastructure funding programs for projects such as sewer, water and recreation facilities. The province will distribute them to B.C.'s 188 municipalities and regional districts by the end of March 2023. The Growing Communities Fund arises from the surplus shown in the Second Quarter Financial Report. The province is putting this year's surplus to work for people to support them now and for the long term.

The province has heard from local governments about the need for infrastructure and amenities to support their growth. Infrastructure funding programs are routinely significantly oversubscribed. For example, there were six times more requests for funding through the "Investing in Canada Infrastructure Program Community Culture and Recreation" stream than what was available. This one-time fund also supports priorities identified by the Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM).

The Ministry will issue a direction letter to each local government in March 2023 including further details on this one-time direct grant. This will include information on the formula used to allocate the funds, the amount your local government will be receiving and the province's expectations for the use and reporting of the funds.

As this is a direct grant from the province to each municipality and regional district in B.C., your local government will not have to apply for the funds. Your council or board will be required to make decisions on the use of the funds in compliance with this second letter coming in March 2023. Projects that support neighboring First Nations communities are strongly encouraged.

| Ministry of Municipal Affairs | Office of the Minister | Mailing Address: | Location: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | PO Box 9056 Stn Prov Govt | Parliament Buildings |
|  | Victoria BC V8W 9E2 | Victoria BC V8V 1X4 |  |
|  | Phone: $250387-2283$ |  |  |
|  | Fax: | $250387-4312$ | http://www.gov.bc.ca/muni |

## Mayors and Regional Chairs
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I trust you will join me in acknowledging the importance and value that this fund will have to focus on building a secure, low emission, sustainable economy and a province where everyone can find a good home - whether you live in a rural area, a city, or in an Indigenous community. Together we can make life better for people in B.C., improve the services we all rely on, and ensure a sustainable province for future generations.

I look forward to connecting with you again soon in person or virtually as I continue to tour and meet with local elected officials. In the interim, any questions can be directed to myself at:
Minister.MUNI@gov.bc.ca. Staff are available at: LGIF.Infra@gov.bc.ca.

Sincerely,


## Anne Sang

Minister
Ministry of Municipal Affairs
pc: $\quad$ The Honourable David Eby, Premier
The Honourable Katrine Conroy, Minister of Finance
Chief Administrative Officers
Okenge Yuma Morisho, Deputy Minister, Municipal Affairs
Jon Ford, President UBCM
Gary Maclsaac, Executive Director, UBCM

## Growing Communities Fund grants to local government

The Growing Communities Fund helps local governments prioritize local infrastructure and amenities projects, including supporting affordable housing, upgrading water management facilities and building recreation centres. Local governments are responsible for determining how the grants will be allocated based on the unique needs of their communities.

| Municipalities | Grant (\$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Abbotsford | $27,420,000$ |
| Alert Bay | 658,000 |
| Anmore | $1,730,000$ |
| Armstrong | $2,450,000$ |
| Ashcroft | $1,076,000$ |
| Barriere | $1,316,000$ |
| Belcarra | 759,000 |
| Bowen Island | $2,287,000$ |
| Burnaby | $28,784,000$ |
| Burns Lake | $1,208,000$ |
| Cache Creek | 958,000 |
| Campbell River | $8,587,000$ |
| Canal Flats | 817,000 |
| Castlegar | $3,094,000$ |
| Central Saanich | $5,501,000$ |
| Chase | $1,547,000$ |
| Chetwynd | $1,464,000$ |
| Chilliwack | $16,392,000$ |
| Clearwater | $1,521,000$ |
| Clinton | 718,000 |
| Coldstream | $4,148,000$ |
| Colwood | $6,642,000$ |
| Comox | $4,693,000$ |
| Coquitlam | $18,635,000$ |
| Courtenay | $7,655,000$ |
| Cranbrook | $5,571,000$ |
| Creston | $2,350,000$ |
| Cumberland | $2,777,000$ |
| Daajing Giids | 863,000 |
| Dawson Creek | $3,942,000$ |
| Delta | $16,060,000$ |
| Duncan | $2,135,000$ |
| Elkford | $1,581,000$ |
| Enderby | $1,707,000$ |
| Esquimalt | $4,710,000$ |
| Fernie | $2,626,000$ |
| Fort St. James | $1,476,000$ |
|  |  |


| Municipalities | Grant $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fort St. John | $5,724,000$ |
| Fraser Lake | 850,000 |
| Fruitvale | $1,278,000$ |
| Gibsons | $2,314,000$ |
| Gold River | 969,000 |
| Golden | $2,159,000$ |
| Grand Forks | $1,981,000$ |
| Granisle | 616,000 |
| Greenwood | 767,000 |
| Harrison Hot |  |
| Springs | $1,256,000$ |
| Hazelton | 634,000 |
| Highlands | $1,661,000$ |
| Hope | $2,874,000$ |
| Houston | $1,759,000$ |
| Hudson's Hope | 905,000 |
| Invermere | $2,032,000$ |
| Kamloops | $15,692,000$ |
| Kaslo | 919,000 |
| Kelowna | $26,228,000$ |
| Kent | $2,742,000$ |
| Keremeos | $1,446,000$ |
| Kimberley | $3,414,000$ |
| Kitimat | $2,968,000$ |
| Ladysmith | $3,406,000$ |
| Lake Country | $6,778,000$ |
| Lake Cowichan | $1,936,000$ |
| Langford | $16,464,000$ |
| Langley, City | $7,186,000$ |
| Langley, District | $24,306,000$ |
| Lantzville | $1,910,000$ |
| Lillooet | $1,282,000$ |
| Lions Bay | 988,000 |
| Logan Lake | $1,538,000$ |
| Lumby | $1,378,000$ |
| Lytton | 619,000 |
| Mackenzie | $1,723,000$ |
| Maple Ridge | $16,586,000$ |
| Masset | 764,000 |
| McBride | 780,000 |
| Merritt | $2,891,000$ |
| Metchosin | $2,438,000$ |
| Midway | 7639,000 |
| Mission |  |


| Municipalities | Grant (\$) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Montrose | 903,000 |
| Nakusp | 1,161,000 |
| Nanaimo | 16,088,000 |
| Nelson | 4,151,000 |
| New Denver | 704,000 |
| New Hazelton | 748,000 |
| New Westminster | 15,850,000 |
| North Cowichan | 7,686,000 |
| North Saanich | 4,459,000 |
| North Vancouver, City | 10,986,000 |
| North Vancouver, District | 10,254,000 |
| Northern Rockies - REGM | 1,920,000 |
| Oak Bay | 4,773,000 |
| Oliver | 2,769,000 |
| One Hundred Mile House | 1,265,000 |
| Osoyoos | 2,556,000 |
| Parksville | 4,789,000 |
| Peachland | 2,766,000 |
| Pemberton | 2,002,000 |
| Penticton | 7,177,000 |
| Pitt Meadows | 5,370,000 |
| Port Alberni | 5,269,000 |
| Port Alice | 783,000 |
| Port Clements | 600,000 |
| Port Coquitlam | 9,462,000 |
| Port Edward | 669,000 |
| Port Hardy | 1,971,000 |
| Port McNeill | 1,370,000 |
| Port Moody | 6,734,000 |
| Pouce Coupe | 819,000 |
| Powell River | 4,218,000 |
| Prince George | 12,498,000 |
| Prince Rupert | 4,068,000 |
| Princeton | 1,936,000 |
| Qualicum Beach | 3,346,000 |
| Quesnel | 3,217,000 |
| Radium Hot Springs | 900,000 |
| Revelstoke | 3,331,000 |
| Richmond | 20,354,000 |
| Rossland | 2,154,000 |


| Municipalities | Grant (\$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Saanich | $14,634,000$ |
| Salmo | $1,130,000$ |
| Salmon Arm | $6,089,000$ |
| Sayward | 622,000 |
| Sechelt | $3,759,000$ |
| Sechelt Indian |  |
| Government | 783,000 |
| Sicamous | $1,731,000$ |
| Sidney | $3,820,000$ |
| Silverton | 585,000 |
| Slocan | 624,000 |
| Smithers | $2,320,000$ |
| Sooke | $5,939,000$ |
| Spallumcheen | $2,398,000$ |
| Sparwood | $2,025,000$ |
| Squamish | $6,285,000$ |
| Stewart | 673,000 |
| Summerland | $4,533,000$ |
| Sun Peaks | $1,151,000$ |
| Surrey | $89,928,000$ |
| Tahsis | 650,000 |
| Taylor | $1,095,000$ |
| Telkwa | $1,159,000$ |
| Terrace | $4,633,000$ |
| Tofino | $1,946,000$ |
| Trail | $2,979,000$ |
| Tumbler Ridge | $1,530,000$ |
| Ucluelet | $1,489,000$ |
| Valemount | 954,000 |
| Vancouver | $49,119,000$ |
| Vanderhoof | $2,083,000$ |
| Vernon | $9,575,000$ |
| Victoria | $12,852,000$ |
| View Royal | $4,665,000$ |
| Warfield | $1,193,000$ |
| Wells | 588,000 |
| West Kelowna | $10,212,000$ |
| West Vancouver | $8,000,000$ |
| Whistler | $4,962,000$ |
| White Rock | $5,711,000$ |
| Williams Lake | $3,728,000$ |
| Zeballos | 553,000 |
|  |  |


| Regional Districts | Grant (\$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Alberni-Clayoquot | $1,833,000$ |
| Bulkley-Nechako | $1,764,000$ |
| Capital | $1,559,000$ |
| Cariboo | $3,947,000$ |
| Central Coast | 830,000 |
| Central Kootenay | $4,025,000$ |
| Central Okanagan | $3,950,000$ |
| Columbia Shuswap | $4,497,000$ |
| Comox Valley | $5,649,000$ |
| Cowichan Valley | $3,667,000$ |
| East Kootenay | $8,801,000$ |
| Fraser Valley | $3,531,000$ |
| Fraser-Fort George | $2,064,000$ |
| Kitimat-Stikine | $1,774,000$ |
| Kootenay Boundary | $50,780,000$ |
| Metro Vancouver | $1,029,000$ |
| Mount Waddington | $7,929,000$ |
| Nanaimo | $1,162,000$ |
| North Coast | $3,953,000$ |
| North Okanagan | $4,483,000$ |
| Okanagan-Similkameen | $2,383,000$ |
| Peace River | $1,367,000$ |
| Qathet | $1,525,000$ |
| Squamish-Lillooet | $2,638,000$ |
| Strathcona | $2,221,000$ |
| Sunshine Coast | $5,441,000$ |
| Thompson-Nicola |  |

## (Appendix C Summary of Eligibility Criteria ${ }^{1}$ )

## Infrastructure Capital Costs

## Eligible Capital Costs:

- Funding to support regional district capital projects, including funding for multiyear projects already in progress that address infrastructure needs in the community to support future growth. Projects cannot be completed prior to March 31, 2023.
- Funding for regional district capital projects that service, directly or indirectly, neighbouring First Nation communities
- Costs for constructing eligible infrastructure.


## Affordable Housing

- Local portion of affordable/attainable housing developments.
- Amenities needed to support new home construction.
- Development finance portions of infrastructure costs that support affordable/attainable housing. These may include DCCs or subdivision servicing charges payable or similar costs.


## Parks and Recreation

- Park additions, maintenance, and upgrades including washrooms, meeting space and other amenities.
- Recreation-related amenities.
- Childcare facilities.


## Transportation

- Local road improvements and upgrades.
- Sidewalks, curbing and lighting.
- Active transportation amenities NOT funded by senior level government.
- Improvements that facilitate transit service.


## Water and Waste

- Public drinking water supply, treatment facilities and water distribution.
- Wastewater conveyance and treatment facilities.
- Storm water management.
- Solid waste management.


## Other

- Expenditures on natural assets provided the natural asset is part of providing one of the eligible services.
- Natural hazard mitigation.
- Public safety/emergency management equipment and facilities NOT funded by senior level government.

Design/Study/Land Costs

- Feasibility studies (including infrastructure capacity assessment).
- Other early-stage development work.
- Costs of designing tendering, and acquiring land (where wholly required for infrastructure projects).

Non-Capital Costs
Non-capital administrative costs are eligible in limited situations but could include:

- Adding staff capacity related to development
- Establishment of complementary financing for local government owned infrastructure or amenities.

Non-capital ongoing and operational costs are ineligible.

| GROWING COMMUNITY FUND (GCF) Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ's) - Regional Districts |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Program Description |  |
| Question | Answer |
| What is the purpose of the Growing Community Fund for local governments? | The GCF will provide a one-time grant to all 188 of B.C.'s municipalities and regional districts, which they can use to address their community's unique infrastructure and amenity demands. |
| What is the formula-based model used to allocate funding? | For all regional districts, allocations are the sum of: <br> - A flat funding amount of $\$ 500,000$; <br> - A funding amount based on the regional districts overall population; <br> - A funding amount based on the regional district's rural population; and <br> - A funding amount based on the growth of the regional district's rural population between 2016-2021. <br> See more detail in the Appendix. |
| Why is there additional funding for residents in rural (unincorporated) areas? | The additional funding recognizes that rural regions face a particular challenge in the form of relatively high costs of service delivery due to a number of factors: <br> - Lower density population <br> - Larger distances that have to be travel by service users and providers <br> - Small number of people in any location that preclude economies of scale. |
| Why is growth of residents in the unincorporated areas used as one of the measures? | The growth within municipalities is already captured within the municipal grant allocations. The growth of the population in rural (unincorporated areas) is required to capture all areas of population growth within the province. |
| What is the source of the population data? | The source of the population data is from the BC population estimates (as of January 27, 2023). <br> BC Stats population estimates are based on the Census, they also incorporate other information including provincial health records and tax records from CRA, and accordingly they have historically, on average, been higher than the Census baseline. Be cause of these reasons the |

Growing Community Fund Questions and Answers for Regional Districts

|  | federal and provincial governments have viewed <br> population estimates as the more accurate of the |
| :--- | :--- |
| two (e.g., population estimates are used to |  |
| determine provincial health transfers from the |  |
| federal government). |  |


|  | for eligible infrastructure projects); constructing eligible infrastructure projects; and in limited situations, non-capital administrative costs where these are necessary, for example adding staff capacity related to development or to establish complementary financing for local government owned infrastructure or amenities |
| :---: | :---: |
| Are expenditures on natural assets eligible? | Yes, provided the natural asset is providing, or part of providing, one of the services described in the eligible categories above. |
| Will receipt of the GCF affect our eligibility for other infrastructure grant programs? | No. The GCF will not affect decisions on eligibility for infrastructure grant funding. <br> For local governments with approved projects the GCF funding cannot be used as their match as an incremental spend is required. The GCF could be used to offset cost overruns that exceed the grant amount and the local share |
| Can local governments provide contributions to third parties from GCF? | No, with the exceptions of: <br> - municipal contributions to housing projects and infrastructure owned by a regional district when the municipality is a participant in that service. <br> - First Nations infrastructure when it is a shared service or there is a service relationship. |
| Can we claim staff time on projects? | Permitted in limited situations. Specifically for non-capital administrative costs where these are necessary, for example adding staff capacity related to development or to establish complementary financing for local government owned infrastructure or amenities |
| Can a local government use GCF funding for multi-year project that has already started? | Yes. The GCF can be used for any capital project that has not yet been completed. Projects completed prior to March 1st, 2023 are not eligible for GCF funding. GCF is designed to enable an incremental additional expenditures for local governments and not to replace existing capital commitments. |
| Can GCF be used for related planning projects? | Yes. Feasibility studies (including infrastructure capacity assessment); other early-stage development work are eligible costs. |


$\left.$| What happens if funds are ineligibly allocated, if <br> reporting requirements are not met or if funds <br> remain unspent after five years? | The ministry may reclaim any grant funds that <br> are not used for the intended purposes or meet <br> the accountability requirements of the Growing <br> Community Fund. However, the ministry will <br> work with the local government to determine <br> methods of expending it within eligible <br> categories. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Can the funds be invested while being held in <br> reserve? | The funds may be invested in any of the <br> instruments permissible for local <br> governments under section 183 of the <br> Community Charter. |
| Allocation of Funds | Question |
| Can the GCF funding be allocated to electoral <br> areas based on the Provincial formula used to <br> determine the grant amounts for each Regional <br> District? | No. The grant calculation for each Regional <br> District took into consideration a base amount <br> and several other key variables. The GCF was <br> intended to assist the board in addressing |
| regional priorities for actual infrastructure and |  |
| other eligible costs. It is not meant to be |  |
| reallocated back to electoral areas based on the |  |
| Ministry allocation formula |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}No. It is the Regional Boards responsibility to <br>

determine the regional priorities and ensure that <br>
all the GCF funding is allocated to eligible regional <br>
services prior to the end of the 2023 calendar <br>
year. However, if requested, the ministry staff <br>
can assist regional staff on possible methods of <br>
allocation. That said, the final decision will rest <br>

with the Board.\end{array}\right|\)| The intent of the Growing Community Fund grant |
| :--- |
| is to support the delivery of projects that are |
| incremental to currently planned infrastructure. |
| As such, the projects may not be part of the |
| current DCC program. However, if the DCC |
| program contains a project to which GCF funds |
| will be allocated, the DCC bylaw must be |
| amended so that the charges take the grant into |
| account. Similar treatment should be used to |
| adjust other development finance charges. | \right\rvert\, | Will the Province specify to Regional Boards how |
| :--- |
| to allocate the GCF funding? |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Question |  |
| Timing | $\quad$ Answer |
| When will the grants be disbursed? | This GCF will be directly transferred to local <br> governments by March 31, 2023. |
| What is the timeline over which these grant <br> funds must be expended? | The Provincial Government requests that the <br> funds be expended within five years of receipt. |
| Reporting |  |
| What are the reporting requirements? | The RD must provide an initial separate report <br> (schedule) outlining how the funds were <br> allocated to eligible costs for various services. <br> This report would be a schedule to the 2023 <br> annual audited financial statements. (as required <br> under S.377(1)(a) of the Local Government Act). |
| Further to the financial reporting, an annual <br> report that identifies work related to Housing <br> Needs Reports and pre-zoning requirements as <br> applicable, is required. <br> The province also encourages highlighting <br> projects that align with provincial priorities such <br> as CleanBC and childcare; as well as those that <br> align with the province's Environmental, Social <br> and Governance framework for capital projects. |  |
| Are Regional Districts required to annually report |  |
| on GCF? |  |$\quad$| Templates for reporting will be posted on line at |
| :--- |
| a later date |


| Will the schedule to the annual audited financial <br> statements be left to the discretion of the <br> Regional District? Does the Ministry have <br> authority to request additional information? | Yes, and yes. The form of the schedule to the <br> annal audited financial statements will be left to <br> the discretion of the Regional District. The <br> Ministry retains the right to request additional <br> information from Regional Districts as required. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Is a separate auditors' report required for this <br> schedule? | No. The Province does not require a separate <br> auditor's report. |
| Am I required to acknowledge the provincial <br> financial contribution towards funded projects? | Yes. Fund requirements will include parameters <br> for public recognition of the funding related to <br> capital projects. |
| Reserve Funds | Questions Answers |
| Do Regional Districts need to segregate GCF <br> funding from other funding sources? | No. GCF funds need only be transferred to <br> existing (or new) capital reserve funds that have <br> been established for each of the services that will <br> be allocated GCF funding. |
| Does interest earned on the GCF need to be <br> tracked and added to the GCF amounts once the <br> funds are allocated to reserve? | Yes. Interest earned in the GCF fund must be <br> tracked and allocated back to the fund and may <br> only be used for eligible purposes related to the <br> GCF program. This is in accordance with S. 189(1) <br> of the Community Charter. |

## Appendix: Detailed Calculation of Grants

## Example Calculation for a Regional District with 65,000 People, 30,000 in Rural Areas

If the Regional District rural population (unincorporated areas) grew by 2,000 people between 20162021, the total grant amount is calculated as follows:

| Component | Calculation | Result |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Flat Funding | 500,000 | $\$ 500,000$ |
| Total Population | $=65,000 \times 17$ | $\$ 1,105,000$ |
| Rural Population | $=30,000 \times 30$ | $\$ 900,000$ |
| Rural Population Growth | $=2,000 \times 1,000$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ |

Growing Community Fund Questions and Answers for Regional Districts

| Total Grant |  | $\$ 4,505,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Appendix B Growing Communities Fund Internal Program Scorecard*

## Five Quantitative Indicators

| Indicator Type | Indicators | Description | Scale |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not Applicable | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Financial | Ability to generate revenue | Services are funded by taxation, fees and charges, revenues raised through agreement, donations and grants. | N/A |  | The service is primarily funded by variable revenue through sales of services by fees and charges. | The service is funded by the combination of taxation and variable sales of services by fees and charges. | The service is primarily funded by taxation (higher burden to tax payers). |
| Strategic | Alignment with GCF Grant Program and CRD Corporate Plan (2023-2026) | Project aligns with grant program outcomes and CRD Corporate Plan. Does the project addresses unique community infrastructure and amenity demands? | N/A | Project does not align with intent of grant program or CRD Corporate Plan. | The project has limited alignment with the grant program/CRD Corporate Plan (meets 1 or 2 of the requirements). | The project has moderate alignment with the grant program/CRD Corporate Plan (meets 3 or 4 of the requirements). | The project has extensive alignment with the grant program/CRD Corporate Plan (meets more than 5 of the requirements). |
| Social | First Nations Reconciliation | Project involves building relationships with local First Nations communities or community stakeholders to meet social needs. Does the project directly or indirectly benefit First Nation communities? | N/A | Project does not involve First Nations communities or stakeholders. | The project has limited involvement of First Nations communities or stakeholders. | The project drives moderate involvement with First Nations communities or stakeholders. | Project builds extensive relationships with First Nations communities or stakeholders. |
| Environmental | Climate Action | Project supports the CRD's regional and corporate Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions reduction targets. | N/A | The project does not support climate action or GHG Reduction targets. | The project has limited impact on climate action including GHG Reduction. | The project has moderate impact on climate action including GHG Reduction. | The project has an extensive impact on climate action including GHG Reduction. |
| Risk | Risk Reduction | Project mitigates risk for accomodating growth, meeting regulatory requirements or allowing to maintain service levels (i.e., through service criticality or asset condition). | N/A | The project does not mitigate risk for any potential project drivers. | Limited accomodation of growth / minor enhancement of level of service. | Moderate accomodation of accomodating growth. Could be driven by regulatory requirement. | Mitigates risk of disruption of service (risk of not meeting current / future demand) / provides significant improvements to the asset (allows for continuation of level of service). |



## One Qualitative Criteria

[^39]
## Appendix C Growing Communities Fund Application Summary

## Regional and Sub-regional Projects (5)

1. Regional Trails Widening and Lighting Project

The project is to widen and lighten sections of the Galloping Goose and Lochside Regional Trails. The project includes infrastructure repair and enhancement of the Selkirk Trestle, Brett Avenue Trestle, and Swan Lake Trestle. The trails are nearing the end of their life and are experiencing increased population and employment growth adjacent to them. The project has some cost-share secured; the rest would be through CRF and debt.
2. Village on the Green Affordable Housing Redevelopment

Located in the Fernwood neighbourhood (City of Victoria), the Village on the Green Affordable Housing Project will redevelop the existing CRHC owned and operated property into two, 6 -storey multi-unit residential buildings, increasing the number of units onsite from 38 units to approximately 140 units of affordable housing. This is a 62M project, and while some grant funding is secured, not all funds for the total project costs are secured. The project is in-line to receive interim construction financing followed by a takeout mortgage through BC Housing; however, the project will not be eligible to receive financing through BC Housing until the equity gap is eliminated and the minimum debt servicing ratio is achieved.
3. Campus View Affordable Housing Redevelopment

Located in the District of Saanich, the Campus View Affordable Housing Project will redevelop the existing CRHC owned and operated property into two, 6 -storey multi-unit residential buildings, increasing the number of units onsite from 12 units to approximately 119 units of affordable housing. This is a 53M project, and while some grant funding has been secured, and other grant funding is being pursued, not all total project costs are secured at this time. The project is in-line to receive interim construction financing followed by a takeout mortgage through BC Housing; however, the project will not be eligible to receive financing through BC Housing until the equity gap is eliminated and the minimum debt servicing ratio is achieved.
4. CRHC Redevelopment Plan - Study and Design Work

This project will allow the CRHC to study the potential for redevelopment of approximately 22 properties leading to the creation of an updated CRHC Redevelopment Plan that will guide the redevelopment of much of its existing portfolio. Cost share is unsecured and would be through budgeted requisition and other grants.

## 5. SEAPARC Splash Park

The project is a new construction of a splash park at the SEAPARC Leisure Complex. The splash park would consist of rubberized surfacing, splash pad components and playground structures. This would help provide affordable recreational opportunities in the District of Sooke, JDF Electoral Area, and T'Souke Nation. The cost-share has some funds secured, and the rest would be through CRF and other grants.

Juan De Fuca (5)
6. JDF Port Renfrew Garbage and Recycling Depot Upgrade

The project is to design an upgrade to the Port Renfrew Garbage and Recycling Depot in JDF, which is reaching capacity with the volume of garbage and recyclables in the growing community. This would lead to improvements for site capacity for collection and transport of refuse. The cost-share is partially secured through CRF, but not all is secured.
7. JDF Port Renfrew Sewer and Water Master Plan Study

The project is a Master Plan Study for the Port Renfrew Service Area in JDF. This plan would include future water and sewer servicing requirements for both existing and future users. The project could involve future partnerships/joint servicing with the Pacheedaht First Nation. The cost-share is unsecured and would be contingent upon Electoral Area Director support.
8. JDF Willis Point Water Supply for Fire Fighting

The project is a new construction for up to two certified dry hydrants to be used for fire suppression. This will improve the ability of the fire department, as currently they rely on a tender shuttle travelling to Durrance Lake to fill-up. The cost-share is partially secured through CRF, existing capital, and the sale of an old fire truck.
9. JDF Otter Point Community Hall

The project is for design and construction of a new community hall at Elrose Park in Otter Point in JDF. The project would be located on the proposed vacant community park and in the centre of the most densely populated area of the community. A new specified local service in Otter Point community may be required to be established first through the public approval process in order to finance any borrowing and to provide operational revenue through requisition. The cost-share is unsecured and would be through CWF, debt, or requisition, pending the new service authority is successfully established.

## 10. JDF Wilderness Mountain Sampling Study for Raw Water Quality

The project is a study for the Wilderness Mountain Water Intake to help determine if relocating the intake to a deeper part of the reservoir will improve the source water quality. The cost-share is secured through CRF.

## Salt Spring Island (2)

## 11. SSI Ganges Sewer System Infrastructure Upgrades

This is a 10-phase project for the Ganges Sewer Utility on SSI to provide study, design, new construction, renovation, replacement, rehabilitation, and expansion to the Sewer System to assist with planning for future growth and to provide upgrades required to improve the treatment plant, and allow for future new connections. The cost-share is unsecured and would be through CRF, CWF, or debt.
12. SSI Parks Maintenance Facility

The project is to replace an existing modular maintenance facility with a new prefabricated building on SSI. This project will support future park amenities and service levels. The site will be expanded to include site security, storage, utility connections, and EV charging stations. The current building does not have running water, proper ventilation, or adequate
space. New and expanded maintenance facilities will allow SSI Parks to meet current and future service levels to expand and maximize service delivery. The cost-share is partially secured and would be provided through CRF and other grants.

## Southern Gulf Island (5)

13. SGI Acquisition of Galiano Green - SGI Affordable Housing Project

The project is an acquisition of a 20 -unit affordable housing project on Galiano Island. This is a 10.9 M project. The property's acquisition for land is 675 K . The project is shovel ready with zoning and sufficient water. The project does not have funds for all total project costs secured and will be seeking other external grant funding and debt to provide for the costshare. The project requires equity to proceed. This project will help provide housing to support services such as daycare, health centre, school, and emergency, which are lacking staff due to the housing shortage.
14. SGI Lyall Habour/Boot Cove New Groundwater Well Source Assessment and Dam Safety Improvements
The project is to undertake a well assessment to determine whether additional water capacity is available and provide rehabilitation and improvements to Money Lake Dam on Saturna Island. The cost-share is partially secured with some funds through CWF.

## 15. SGI Skana Water System Storage Tank Replacement

The project is to replace two deteriorated water tanks and support foundation, and design and construct a new tank and foundation for the Skana Water System on Mayne Island. The cost-share is unsecured and would be through the AAP process, debt, or other grants.

## 16. SGI Magic Lake Estates Capstan Pump Station

The project is to replace the Capstan Pump Station on Pender Island. The pump station was originally constructed in 1970 and is reaching the end of its design life. The project is needed to ensure the pump station can continue to serve residents. The cost-share is unsecured and would be through CWF or CRF, which has some funds available.

## 17. SGI Wood Dale Drive Water Main Replacement

The project replaces the watermain on Wood Dale Drive on Mayne Island, which is leaking and out of operation. The cost-share is unsecured and would be through the AAP process, debt, or other grants.

## Appendix D

| able | 1: Options for Allocation of Grow | Communities Fund |  |  |  | Option 1 |  | Option 2 |  | Option 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | Service | Project | Total Project Cost | GCF Grant Request | Cost Share | Recommendation | \% | Recommendation | \% | Recommendation | \% |
|  | Regional/Sub-Regional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1.280 Regional Parks | Regional Trails Widening and Lighting Project | 53,460,000 | 11,560,000 | 41,900,000 | 1,932,485 |  | 2,932,485 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1.310 Land Banking and Housing/CRHC | Village on the Green Affordable Housing Redevelopment | 62,490,988 | 4,200,000 | 58,290,988 | 4,200,000 |  | 4,200,000 |  | 4,200,000 |  |
| 11 | 1.310 Land Banking and Housing/CRHC | Campus View Affordable Housing Redevelopment | 53,097,301 | 6,800,000 | 46,297,301 |  |  | 1,300,000 |  | 2,790,485 |  |
| 9 | 1.310 Land Banking and Housing/CRHC | CRHC Redevelopment Plan - Study and Design Work | 350,000 | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1.40X SEAPARC | SEAPARC Splash Park | 1,060,000 | 530,000 | 530,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal Regional/Sub-Regional |  | 170,458,289 | 23,265,000 | 147,193,289 | 6,132,485 | 53.1\% | 8,432,485 | 73.0\% | 6,990,485 | 60.5\% |
|  | JDF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1.523 Port Renfrew Refuse Disposal | Port Renfrew Garbage and Recycling Depot Upgrade | 350,000 | 262,500 |  | 262,500 |  | 262,500 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 2.650 Port Renfrew Water | Port Renfrew Sewer and Water Master Plan Study | 350,000 | 262,500 | 87,500 | 262,500 |  | 262,500 |  | 262,500 |  |
| 11 | 1.350 Willis Point Fire Protection | Willis Point Water Supply for Fire Fighting | 144,000 | 108,000 | 36,000 | 108,000 |  | 108,000 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1.405 JDF Community Parks/New Service Est | Otter Point Community Hall | 2,000,000 | 1,50,000 | 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2.691 Wilderness Mountain Water Service | Sampling Study for Raw Water Quality | 170,000 | 127,500 | 42,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3,014,000 | 2,260,500 | 666,000 | 633,000 | 5.5\% | 633,000 | 5.5\% | 262,500 | 2.3\% |
|  | ${ }_{3}^{\text {SSI }}$ / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 3.810 Ganges Sewer Utility 1.459 Pool \& Parkland Combined Service | Ganges Sewer System Infrastructure Upgrades SSI Parks Maintenance Facility | $8,261,007$ 650,000 | 6,195,755 487,500 | 2,065,252 | $1,556,015$ 487,500 |  | 1,256,015 |  | 1,556,015 |  |
|  |  |  | 8,911,007 | 6,683,255 | 2,227,752 | 2,043,515 | 17.7\% | 1,743,515 | 15.1\% | 1,556,015 | 13.5\% |
|  | sGI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1.310 Land Banking and Housing/CRHC | Acquisition of Galiano Green - SGI Affordable Housing Project | 10,922,447 | 2,750,000 | 8,172,447 | 2,750,000 |  | 750,000 |  | 2,750,000 |  |
| 7 | 2.640 Lyall Harbour Boot Cove Water Service | New Groundwater Well Source Assessment and Dam Safety | 750,000 | 562,500 | 187,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | ${ }_{\text {l }}{ }^{\text {(Saturna) }}$ 2.642 Skana Water Service |  | 825,000 | 618,750 | 206,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3.830 Magic Lake Sewer Utility | Magic Lake Estates Capstan Pump Station | 450,000 | 337,500 | 112,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.667 Surfside Park Estates (Mayne) | Wood Dale Drive Water Main Replacement | 380,000 | 285,000 | 95,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13,327,447 | 4,553,750 | 8,773,697 | 2,750,000 | 23.8\% | 750,000 | 6.5\% | 2,750,000 | 23.8\% |
|  | Subtotal Electoral Areas |  | 25,252,454 | 13,497,505 | 11,667,449 | 5,426,515 | 46.9\% | 3,126,515 | 27.0\% | 4,568,515 | 39.5\% |
|  | Total |  | 195,710,743 | 36,762,505 | 158,860,738 | 11,559,000 | 100\% | 11,559,000 | 100\% | 11,559,000 | 100\% |


| Basis of Formular | Population <br> Estimate <br> (2021) ${ }^{*}$ | Per Capita Rate | Per Capita <br> Funding Formula <br> $\$$ | Population Estimate (2016) ${ }^{*}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { 2016-2021 UA } \\ \text { Pop. Growth* } 1000 \\ \$ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Grant \$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flat Rate |  |  |  |  |  | 500,000 |  |
| Regional District | 432,062 | 17 | 7,345,270 | - | - | 7,345,270 |  |
| Subtotal Regional | 432,062 |  | 7,345,270 | - | - | 7,845,270 | 67.9\% |
| Juan De Fuca | 5,756 | 30 | 172,680 | 5,066 | 690,000 | 862,680 | 7.5\% |
| Salt Spring Island | 12,276 | 30 | 368,280 | 10,883 | 1,393,000 | 1,761,280 | 15.2\% |
| Southern Gulf island | 5,261 | 30 | 157,830 | 4,836 | 425,000 | 582,830 | 5.0\% |
| First Nation Reserves | 5,898 | 30 | 176,940 | 5,568 | 330,000 | 506,940 | 4.4\% |
| Subtotal Unincoporated Areas | 29,191 |  | 875,730 | 26,353 | 2,838,000 | 3,713,730 | 32.1\% |
|  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 8,221,000 |  | 2,838,000 | 11,559,000 | 100.0\% |

[^40]
## Appendix E GCF Grant Requests Alignment with Grant Program and CRD 2023-2026 Corporate Plan

The following three graphs highlight alignment of GCF Requests against the grant program criteria and CRD 2023-2026 Corporate Plan, including Board Priorities and Community Needs.

Alignment with GCF Grant Program*

*The eligible project categories have been summarized. For a detailed list of eligible costs, refer to Appendix C of the April 12, CRD Board Report on Growing Communities Fund.

Alignment with CRD Board Priorities


## Alignment with CRD Community Needs



Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, JULY 05, 2023

SUBJECT Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement

## ISSUE SUMMARY

The service funding agreement between the Capital Regional District (CRD) and CREST expired on December 31, 2021. Additional funding exceeding call answer levy (CAL) revenue requires a new service funding agreement.

## BACKGROUND

## Service Authority Bylaws and Agreements

The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization.

The CRD under Bylaw No. 2893, "CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001", entered into a membership agreement with CREST, together with the other partners. Each member, including 13 municipalities, the Provincial Government and other public agencies hold a single share except the CRD, where the regional district holds three, representing three Electoral Areas within the capital region. Each member appoints a representative to the CRD Board of Directors equal to the number of shares held. For the CRD, the appointees represent each Electoral Area and are not required to be the Electoral Area Director(s).

Within the Member Agreement, the CRD financial contribution ("CRD Charge") is capped at the net fees collected from consumers with active land phone lines each month in the region under Bylaw No. 2911, "Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 1, 2001". Currently the rate is set at 66 cents per line per month, commonly referred to as the Call Answer Levy (CAL). CREST revenue requirements over and above the CRD Charge are recovered from members by way of cost sharing. CAL revenues vary by year and have been trending down since 2013. The decline in phone line revenue creates a difference in CREST's revenue requirements.

A discretionary subsidy contribution over and above the CAL was granted by the CRD Board from 2017 through 2021; the terms and conditions of the subsidy contribution have been set out in the "Emergency Communications Service Agreement" (Service Agreement), an agreement between the CRD and CREST.

Since the expiration of the Service Agreement, the CRD contribution to CREST has been in accordance with the existing aforementioned bylaws in place, as there has been no authority for the CRD to provide a contribution above the CAL.

## Agreement Renewal

At the December 8, 2021 CRD Board meeting, the Board approved a recommendation from the Planning and Protective Services Committee:

That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a new regional service agreement with CREST to provide general emergency radio communications services, with annual contributions limited to inflationary adjustments.

In the process of negotiating and preparing a new Service Agreement, an agreement was drafted that incorporated financial constraints including annual Consumer Price Index adjustments to a base fee, an upper limit on annual operational expense increases at $3 \%$, and revised financial reporting and disclosure requirements.

As a result of subsequent discussions between the CRD and CREST staff, in March 2022 the CRD Board approved a recommendation from the Finance Committee:

That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a service agreement as attached (Revised 2022 Service Agreement) to provide general emergency radio communications services.

The revised agreement, attached as Appendix B, included an upper limit on inflation of 3\% reflecting financial constraints set by the CRD Board at the time through the annual financial planning process. Additionally, upon request, CREST would be required to provide financial information in alignment with the Financial Information Act. Finally, that CREST be required to present annually to the CRD Board.

CREST did not agree to the terms of the (revised) Service Agreement as approved by the CRD Board. Negotiations and discussions have continued between Chairs of both organizations (through the spring/summer 2022) and more recently between staff where a further revised Service Agreement has been drafted and agreed to in principle between CRD and CREST staff. The following changes to the March 2022 draft agreement are being proposed:
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Table 1: Service Agreement Concordance of Changes

| Section | July 2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Term | Extend term of the agreement from five (5) years to six (6) years |
| 3. Services | Revise sub-section 3.2 and 3.3, 3.1 and 3.4 remain unchanged |
| 3.2 Each year, at l both CREST a annual operatio plan and -proje by CRD. <br> 3.3 Upon request f the Members' annual audited alignment with GREST as a - $r$ directors and st | once annually-on a date determined by-mutually agreeable to he CRD, CREST will present to the CRD Board on CREST's and financial plans, including en-performance against strategic lans $=1$ and other organizational and financial matters requested <br> the CRD , and as per the 'Financial Statements' requirements of ement -CREST will provide financial information in the form of ncial statements, annual budget and five-year financial plan. in rovisions of the Financial Information Act as if the Act applied to nal district service (e.g. schedules of remuneration for board $\$ 75,000$ ) in relation to the regional district service. |
| 4. Payment Matters | Revise sub-section 4.1 (i), remove sub-sections 4.1 (ii), (iii), and (iv) |

- 4.1(i) add that the service payment will be increased annually over the base year (2021) by a percentage increase equal to the following schedule:

| Year | Rate Per Agreement | Amount Per Agreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | $2.0 \%$ | $\$ 1,749,540$ |
| 2023 | $3.8 \%$ | $\$ 1,816,023$ |
| 2024 | $4.9 \%$ | $\$ 1,905,008$ |
| 2025 | $4.9 \%$ | $\$ 1,998,353$ |
| 2026 | $4.9 \%$ | $\$ 2,096,272$ |
| 2027 | $2.9 \%$ | $\$ 2,157,064$ |

- 4.1(ii), (iii) and (iv) are removed as the terms related to CPI are no longer relevant

The CRD Board resolution approved on March 9, 2022 will need to be rescinded and Board approval of the 2022 to 2027 Emergency Communications Service Agreement included in Appendix C is being recommended.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That the March 9, 2022 Board resolution pertaining to the approved Service Agreement be rescinded;
2. That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a further revised Service Agreement to provide emergency communications services, as attached at Appendix C; and,
3. That Staff be directed to amend the Financial Plan to reflect the increased service agreement payments for 2022 to 2027.

## Alternative 2

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That the Chief Administrative Officer be directed to enter into the Service Agreement as approved March 9, 2022 to provide emergency communications services.

## Alternative 3

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Service Delivery Implications

The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001" to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. Additionally, the CRD under Bylaw No. 2893, "CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001", entered into a membership agreement on behalf of the three electoral areas with CREST to receive emergency communication services. Other members are the 13 regional municipalities, the Provincial Government, and other public agencies such as BC Transit.

Under alternative 1, the Service Agreement as included in Appendix C, will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD. Service levels and operational oversight are provided by and approved by the CREST Board annually through their planning approval processes.

The more recent Service Agreement negotiations were based on:

- The CRD's need to ensure the annual contribution percentage increases for the CRD were the same as the other member agency contribution annual percentage increases; this is now the case for proposed 2024-2027 increases.
- An acknowledgment that CREST is facing increasing annual operational and capital costs.
- Forecast decline in CAL revenue and forecast Service Agreement (subsidy) revenue to balance CREST five year financial plan.
- The CRD's need for improved financial and service delivery reporting.

Finance Committee - July 5, 2023
Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement

Alternative 2, results in service payment escalation tied to inflation with a 3\% cap reducing the payments through 2023-2026 of the 2022-2027 six-year term. This would most likely result in an equivalent increase in memberships fees to maintain required operations of CREST and potentially, if not covered by membership fees, result in a CREST service level adjustment.

## Financial Implications

Service payments, as contained within the agreement in Alternative 1, are set to escalate each year based on specified percentage rates. Based on this schedule of payments, the service payments will continue to be higher than the originally intended cap which was previously equal to the CAL revenue and higher than the agreement under Alternative 2. The voluntary subsidy contribution over and above the CAL was granted from 2017 through 2021 by way of the service agreement. Currently, the rate per line per month is set at 66 cents. The CRD contribution amount over and above the CAL revenue collected is optional and within full discretion of the CRD Board.

With the CAL revenue expected to continue to decline, the voluntary subsidy will grow as a share of the total service payment. Table 2 shows the subsidy $\$$ and $\%$ under the previous service agreement and under Alternative 1 for 2022 and 2023.

Table 2: Alternative 1 - Service Agreement Payments vs. Projected CAL Revenue

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ Service Payment (\$M) | 1.58 | 1.62 | 1.65 | 1.68 | 1.72 | 1.75 | 1.82 |
| \$ Call Answer Levy (\$M) | 1.33 | 1.31 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| \$ Subsidy (\$M) | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.73 |
| \% Subsidy | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $40 \%$ |

For comparison, under Alternative 2, the subsidy in 2023 would be reduced to $\$ 0.71 \mathrm{M}$ and $39 \%$.
Additionally, under Alternative 1, a difference from the approved financial plan by year would be as shown in table 3 below:

Table 3: 2022-2027 Financial Plan Amendment by Year

| Year | \$ Amount per Plan | $\$$ Amount per <br> Agreement | \$ Financial Plan <br> Amendment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | $\$ 1,749,540$ | $\$ 1,749,540$ | - |
| 2023 | $\$ 1,784,531$ | $\$ 1,816,023$ | $\$ 31,492$ |
| 2024 | $\$ 1,820,221$ | $\$ 1,905,008$ | $\$ 84,787$ |
| 2025 | $\$ 1,856,626$ | $\$ 1,998,353$ | $\$ 141,727$ |
| 2026 | $\$ 1,893,758$ | $\$ 2,096,272$ | $\$ 202,514$ |
| 2027 | $\$ 1,931,634$ | $\$ 2,157,064$ | $\$ 225,430$ |
| Total | $\$ 11,036,310$ | $\$ 11,722,260$ | $\$ 685,950$ |

Upon completion of the service agreement, the 2023 to 2027 CRD Financial Plan will require amendment to reflect the agreement. The net difference for 2023 can no longer be an amendment to revenue, so will result in a deficit within the service. As required by legislation a deficit within a service will be included in the immediate next year plan for revenue purposes. The anticipated deficit is $\$ 31,492$.

Additionally, upon completion of the agreement, amounts withheld since 2022 will be released. Without a service agreement in place, payments to CREST were aligned and capped to the CAL based on applicable bylaw and member agreement. For 2022, CRD remitted \$1,166,360 versus the approved 2022 budget of $\$ 1,749,540$, withholding the voluntary subsidy of $\$ 583,180$ or $33 \%$.

## CONCLUSION

The service agreement between the CRD and CREST was set to expire on Dec 31, 2021, and requires renewal. The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. CREST, under agreement with the CRD, is delivering this service to users within the regional district. Renewal of the service agreement will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST, and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:

1. That the March 9, 2022 Board resolution pertaining to the approved Service Agreement be rescinded;
2. That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a further revised Service Agreement to provide emergency communications services, as attached at Appendix C; and
3. That Staff be directed to amend the Financial Plan to reflect the increased service agreement payments for 2022 to 2027.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Acting Chief Financial Officer |
| :--- | :--- |

Concurrence: Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer
Concurrence: Ted Robbins, B. Sc., C. Tech., Chief Administrative Officer

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Staff Report: December 8, 2021 CRD Board CREST
Appendix B: Staff Report: March 9, 2022 CRD Board CREST
Appendix C: Service Agreement 2022-2027 (with tracked changes)
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# REPORT TO PLANNING AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2021 

## SUBJECT Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement Renewal

## ISSUE SUMMARY

The service agreement between the Capital Regional District (CRD) and Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) is set to expire on Dec 31, 2021, and requires renewal.

## BACKGROUND

The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. CREST, under agreement with the CRD, is delivering this service to users within the regional district.

The CRD under Bylaw No. 2893, "CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001", entered into a membership agreement with CREST, together with the other partners. Each member, including municipalities, the Provincial Government, and other public agencies hold a single share except the CRD, where the regional district holds three, one for each Electoral Area (EA). The list of members is included in Appendix D .

Each member appoints a representative to the CREST Board of Directors equal to the number of shares held. For the CRD, the appointees represent each EA and are not required to be the elected official. The CREST Board approves the annual operating and capital budgets, appoints the CREST General Manager and approves all other permanent positions.

Within the Member agreement, the "CRD Charge" is capped at the net fees collected under Bylaw No. 2911, Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 1, 2001". The bylaw establishes a fee charged to consumers with active phone lines each month in the region. Currently the rate is set at 66 cents per land phone line per month, commonly referred to as the Call Answer Levy (CAL). Revenue requirements over and above the "CRD Charge" are recovered from members by way of cost sharing. A graphical summary of agreements and the cost sharing methodology is included in Appendix A .

The CRD is billed for the member share of costs for the Electoral Areas and recovers the costs by raising requisition directly from each EA under Bylaw No. 2891.

CAL Revenues vary by year and have been trending down since 2013. The decline in land phone line revenue creates a difference in CREST's revenue requirements. To balance, the CRD has been requisitioning costs over and above the CAL. Historical contributions are included in Appendix B.

Up until 2014, the CRD contribution to CREST equaled net fees collected from the CAL. In 2015 the contribution to CREST exceeded net fees collected and has since been funded regionally, cost apportioned by population.

The expected value of a 5 year renewal agreement exceeds the delegated authority limit to the Chief Administrative Officer.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

The Planning and Protective Services Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a new regional service agreement with CREST to provide general emergency radio communications services, with annual contributions limited to inflationary adjustments.

## Alternative 2

The Planning and Protective Services Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

## Service Delivery Implications

Renewal of the service agreement (Appendix C) will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD. Service levels and operational oversight are provided by and approved by the CREST Board annually through their planning approval processes.

## Legislative Implications

The review by staff identified the fees and charges collected under Bylaw No. 2911 have been lower than the revenue requested by CREST for a number of years and is now trending significantly lower. A review of the service establishment bylaw, fees and charges bylaw and accompanying membership agreement is recommended and will be included in service planning in future years.

## Financial Implications

In 2020, CRD contributions equalled 21.6 \% or $\$ 1,681,602$ of total CREST revenue, compared to $\$ 1,262,187$ of CAL revenue collected from telephone land lines, resulting in a regional contribution of $\$ 419,415$. The 2021 planned contributions under the expiring agreement are $\$ 1,715,234$ (a growth of $2 \%$ from prior year). With renewal of the service agreement, beginning in 2022, the annual contribution will be adjusted to actual CPI measured within the calendar year by BC Stats.

As included in the 2022 Provisional Plan, CAL revenues are anticipated to be $\$ 1,191,596$. Based on renewal of the current agreement with inflation adjustments, the CREST contribution would be
$\$ 1,749,539$. The resulting difference is $\$ 557,943$. While the annual CRD contribution grows by CPI, the funding difference between CAL and the total CREST contribution grows at a higher rate due to reductions in telephone land line levies. The resulting difference grew by $19.8 \%$ in 2021 and $11.0 \%$ in 2022.

## CONCLUSION

The service agreement between the Capital Regional District (CRD) and Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) is set to expire on Dec 31, 2021, and requires renewal. The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. CREST, under agreement with the CRD, is delivering this service to users within the regional district. Renewal of the service agreement will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Planning and Protective Services Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a new regional service agreement with CREST to provide general emergency radio communications services, with annual contributions limited to inflationary adjustments.

| Submitted by: | Rianna Lachance, BCom, CPA, CA, Senior Manager, Financial Services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Concurrence: | Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer |
| Concurrence: | Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer |
| Concurrence: | Robert Lapham, MCIP, RPP, Chief Administrative Officer |

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Appendix A: CREST Relationship Diagram
Appendix B: Historical Payments to CREST
Appendix C: Service Agreement between CRD and CREST
Appendix D: Membership Agreement

## CREST Relationship Diagram



## Historical Payments to CREST

| Year | Member User Levy |  |  | CRD Portion |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | JDF | SGI | SSI | CRD Fees <br> and Charges <br> (net Call <br> Answer) | Additional <br> Contribution | Total CRD <br> Payment |
| 2021 | 110,982 | 175,447 | 139,694 | $1,113,625$ | 601,609 | $1,715,234$ |
| 2020 | 97,172 | 157,497 | 86,785 | $1,262,187$ | 419,415 | $1,681,602$ |
| 2019 | 95,534 | 154,659 | 82,983 | $1,290,424$ | 358,206 | $1,648,630$ |
| 2018 | 93,388 | 151,186 | 81,119 | $1,313,265$ | 303,035 | $1,616,300$ |
| 2017 | 94,117 | 148,690 | 83,204 | $1,334,624$ | 250,266 | $1,584,890$ |
| 2016 | 92,374 | 145,374 | 80,428 | $1,403,593$ | 16,851 | $1,420,444$ |
| 2015 | 88,552 | 141,971 | 78,185 | $1,372,21$ | 22,529 | $1,394,746$ |
| 2014 | 84,817 | 138,521 | 75,819 | $1,330,917$ |  | - |
| 2013 | 83,790 | 135,422 | 72,941 | $1,386,937$ |  | - |
| 2012 | 83,209 | 133,387 | 68,281 | $1,541,858$ |  | - |
| 2011 | 83,264 | 132,445 | 60,902 | $1,409,460$ | $1,541,858$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1,409,460$ |  |

# EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AGREEMENT 

(the "Agreement")
THIS AGREEMENT dated for reference the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ BETWEEN:

CAPITAL REGIONAL EMERGENCY SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC.
1102944 W Shore Pkwy
Victoria, BC
V9B 0B2
("CREST")
OF THE FIRST PART
AND:

## CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT <br> 625 Fisgard Street, Victoria, BC V8W 2S6 <br> ("CRD")

OF THE SECOND PART

WHEREAS the CRD Board has adopted Bylaw No. 2891, the Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 01, 2001 to establish a service of emergency communications in the service area, including contributing to the cost of an emergency communications service operated by a third party;

AND WHEREAS CREST is a non-profit corporation established under the Emergency Communications Corporations Act, to provide a unified system of inter-municipal radio and electronic communication services;

AND WHEREAS the CRD Board has also adopted Bylaw No. 2893, the CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001 authorizing the CRD to hold three shares in CREST and appoint three Directors annually to the CREST Board;

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration (the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged) the CRD has requested CREST provide the Services defined herein and the CREST has agreed to provide those Services in accordance with the Agreement, as follows:

## 1. INTERPRETATION

In this Agreement, the following terms have the following meanings:
(a) "Service Payment" means the net monies raised and collected as user fees by the CRD pursuant to Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 01, 2001 (as
amended, re-enacted or replaced) available for disbursement to CREST, and additional payments as determined by the CRD Board.
(b) "Service Area" means the Service Area established under Bylaw No. 2891 "Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 01, 2001".

## 2. TERM

2.1 The Term of this Agreement will be for a period of five (5) years commencing on January 1, 2022, and continuing until December 31, 2026, unless sooner terminated pursuant to section 5 .

## 3. SERVICES

3.1 In consideration of the CRD making the payments required under this Agreement and performing its other obligations under this Agreement, CREST will provide and maintain a radio communications system to provide emergency communications and related services for municipalities and the CRD as members of CREST (the "Services").

### 3.2 Inability to Provide Service

Despite any other provision of the Agreement, the CRD acknowledges that CREST is not obliged to provide these Services where its systems are not operational by reason of acts of God, strike, lockout, or other labour dispute, acts of war, terrorism, sabotage or any other causes beyond the reasonable control and not the result of the fault or neglect of CREST.

## 4. PAYMENT MATTERS

### 4.1 Payment Amounts

(i) The CRD will make an annual contribution to CREST (the "Annual Contribution") in monthly installments. In 2022 the annual contribution amount will be $\$ 1,715,234$ based on the 2021 Board approved contribution (One million, seven hundred and fifteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-four dollars) (the "Base Year Fee") representing the service payment and an additional contribution.
(ii) Commencing in 2022, and for each year of the Term thereafter, the Annual Contribution will be adjusted in accordance with the percentage change in the All Items Consumer Price Index for Victoria, British Columbia, published by Statistics Canada (the "CPI") as calculated in accordance with this section. The Base Year Fee will be multiplied by the yearly percentage change in the CPI since 2021 (the "CPI Adjustment") and will be added to the Base Year Fee to determine the Annual Contribution for that year.
(iii) If the CPI Adjustment is a negative change for any year in the Term, the Annual Contribution for that year will be the Base Year Fee.
(iv) Any monthly contribution due in the calendar year prior to the annual release of the CPI will be made in the amount of the previous year's monthly installment, and will be reconciled in the monthly payments due for the remainder of that year.

### 4.2 Taxes

Any sales, use or goods and services taxes arising with respect to the Services will be paid by the CRD.

### 4.3 Services as Exempt Supply

The parties have determined, acting in good faith, that the Services are an exempt supply under the Excise Tax Act (Canada).

## 5. TERMINATION

### 5.1 Termination Rights

(i) This Agreement will terminate at the end of the term set out in section 2.1
(ii) CREST will have the right to terminate this Agreement for cause if:
a. The CRD fails to pay any amount under the Agreement when due, or
b. The CRD commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement (other than pursuant to subsection 5.1(ii)(a) above) that is not cured to the satisfaction of CREST, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to the CRD describing the material breach in reasonable detail.
(iii) The CRD will have the right to terminate this Agreement immediately for cause if CREST commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement that is not cured to the satisfaction of the CRD, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to CREST describing the breach in reasonable detail.

### 5.2 Obligation Upon Termination

Unless the parties enter into a new Agreement, the parties will cooperate fully with each other to provide for an orderly transition of the Services to a successor service
provider. CREST will continue to provide Services and to be paid for such Services during the period of transition to a successor provider to a maximum of 120 (one hundred twenty) days after the effective date of termination.

## 6. PROTECTION OF PRIVACY

6.1 Each party will abide by applicable laws relating to the collection, use and disclosure of personal information or information to which the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (British Columbia) applies.

## 7. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

### 7.1 Process

If there is any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement, then the parties will use reasonable good faith efforts to resolve such dispute, first by direct negotiation and then, if that is not successful, by mediation with a neutral third party mediator acceptable to both parties. Each party will bear its own costs and expenses in connection with any mediation and all costs and expenses of the mediator will be shared equally by the parties. Any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement that is not settled by agreement between the parties within a reasonable time will, on agreement of both parties, be settled by binding arbitration by a single arbitrator. The location of any arbitration proceeding will be in Victoria, British Columbia. The arbitration will be governed by the Arbitration Act (British Columbia). The arbitrator will be selected and the arbitration conducted in accordance with the British Columbia Domestic Arbitration Rules ("Rules"), except that the provisions of this Agreement will prevail over the Rules. The parties will share equally in the fees and expenses of the arbitrator and the cost of the facilities used for the arbitration hearing, but will otherwise each bear their respective costs incurred in connection with the arbitration including each parties own legal fees. The parties will use their best efforts to ensure that an arbitrator is selected promptly and that the arbitration hearing is conducted no later than two (2) monthly after the arbitrator is selected.

### 7.2 Award Final

The award of the arbitrator will be final and binding on each party. Judgment upon the award may be entered in any court of competent jurisdiction.

## 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS

### 8.1 No Third Party Beneficiaries

Nothing contained in this Agreement will create a duty or liability on the part of CREST, the CRD or their respective directors, officers, members, public officials, employees or agents to any member of the public. There are no third party beneficiaries to this Agreement.

### 8.2 Notices

Any notice required under the terms of this Agreement must be in writing. Any such notice will be deemed delivered:
(a) on the day of delivery in person;
(b) ten (10) days after date of deposit by prepaid registered mail, or upon confirmation receipt;
(c) on confirmation of delivery by courier;
(d) on the date sent by electronic mail if receipt is confirmed in writing by other party to whom it is directed, set forth below:

Capital Regional District
625 Fisgard Street
Victoria, BC V8W 2S6
Email: $\qquad$
-And-

CREST
1102944 W Shore Parkway
Victoria, BC V9B OB2
Email: $\qquad$
-Or- to such other address or contact person as that party may notify the other in accordance with this section.

### 8.3 Assignment

The CRD will not have the right to assign, transfer (whether directly or indirectly) or otherwise dispose of any of its interest in all or any part of this Agreement, whether gratuitously or for consideration, without the prior written consent of CREST and any attempt to do so will be void. CREST will have the right at any time to assign, transfer or otherwise dispose of the whole of this Agreement to any subsidiary or affiliate company, provided that the CRD approves the assignment in writing, not to be unreasonably withheld, and the subsidiary or affiliate company assumes all of the obligations of CREST under this Agreement.

### 8.4 Benefit

This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties and their respective successors and assigns.

### 8.5 Entire Agreement

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the parties relating to the Services and supersedes any previous agreement with respect to the Services whether written or verbal.

### 8.6 Severability

If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unenforceable then such provision will be severed from this Agreement and the remaining provisions will remain in full force and effect. The parties will in good faith negotiate a mutually acceptable and enforceable substitute for the unenforceable provision, which substitute will be as consistent as possible with the original intent of the parties.

### 8.7 Waiver

The failure of either party to require the performance of any obligation hereunder, or the waiver of any obligation in a specific instance, will not be interpreted as a general waiver of any of the obligations hereunder, which will remain in full force and effect.

### 8.8 Relationship of Parties

This Agreement will not create nor will it be interpreted as creating any association, partnership or any agency relationship between the parties.

### 8.9 Governing Law

This Agreement is governed by, and if interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws applicable in British Columbia.

### 8.10 Counterpart

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts. Each executed counterpart shall be deemed to be an original. All executed counterparts taken together shall constitute one agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT by its ) authorized signatories:

| $\overline{\text { Name }}$ | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | ) |
|  | (ame |
|  | ) |
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## MEMBERS' AGREEMENT <br> (First Amendment and Restatement)

This amended and restated Members' Agreement is made effective $\qquad$ 200 $\qquad$ .

AMONG:
All Members of the Company from time to time
AND:
Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications (CREST) Incorporated, a company duly incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia
(the "Company")

## WHEREAS:

A. The Company was incorporated under the Company Act (British Columbia) for the Purpose (as hereinafter defined);
B. The Shareholders entered into a Members’ Agreement dated April 1, 2001, as amended, (the "Original Agreement") to govern their relationship as Members and Shareholders and their respective rights and obligations in their capacity as Members and Shareholders with respect to the operating activities and business dealings of the Company;
C. As a result of the recognition of the Company under the Business Corporations Act and to reflect the current status of the Shareholders, the parties wish to make certain amendments to the Original Agreement; and
D. The parties wish to enter into this Agreement to amend and restate the terms of the Original Agreement:

NOW THEREFORE in consideration of the mutual covenants herein contained and for other good and valuable consideration (the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged by each of the parties hereto), the parties to this Agreement covenant and agree, each with the other, as follows:

## 1. INTERPRETATION

### 1.1 DEFINITIONS

Where used in this Agreement, the following words and terms shall have the meanings indicated below:
1.1.1 "Additional Purpose" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.1.1.2 hereof;
1.1.2 "Agreement" means this agreement and all Schedules attached hereto;
1.1.3 "Articles" means the articles of the Company as deposited in the Company's records office under the Business Corporations Act, as amended from time to time;
1.1.4 "Authorized Board Expenditure Amount" means expenditures that total, in the aggregate for a fiscal year of the Company, less than (i) $\$ 400,000$ or (ii) such higher amount approved by the Members pursuant to Section 2.4.6 hereof;
1.1.5 "Authorized Capital Budget" means, at any time, the annual capital budget of the Company for such time that has received all necessary approvals under Section 6.4.2 hereof;
1.1.6 "Authorized Operating Budget" means, at any time, the annual operating budget of the Company for such time that has received all necessary approvals under Section 6.3.2 hereof;
1.1.7 "BCAS" means the Emergency Health Services Commission responsible for operating the British Columbia Ambulance Service under the Health Emergency Act (British Columbia);
1.1.8 "Board" means the board of directors of the Company as constituted from time to time;
1.1.9 "Business Corporations Act" means the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) as from time to time enacted and all amendments thereto and includes the regulations made pursuant thereto;
1.1.10 "Company Services" means the holding, management and allocation of radio spectra; the provision of radio communications; the management and maintenance of radio systems and related infrastructure and equipment; the provision of emergency disaster communications; the provision of emergency response communications; the provision of emergency management information systems; and the maintenance of management information systems and other technology related to the delivery of emergency services, and any other services permitted by the ECC Act from time to time;
1.1.11 "Confidential Information" means information having a strategic, economic, or operational value that is not generally known regarding the business, affairs, and operations of the Company or any of the Members whether determined by the ECC Act or otherwise to be property of a Member, and any information whether oral, written or otherwise which is considered of a strategic or confidential nature or which may be withheld from disclosure under applicable privacy laws;
1.1.12 "Contracted User" means a Person that is not a Member or Potential Member and that enters into a Services Agreement with the Company, for so long as that agreement remains in effect;
1.1.13 "Cost Sharing Formula" means the cost sharing formula for Company Services set out in Schedule C attached hereto, as amended or replaced from time to time in the manner permitted by this Agreement;
1.1.14 "CRD" means the Capital Regional District;
1.1.15 "CREST System" means the wide area radio system operated by the Company, including all rights, properties, infrastructure and equipment related thereto;
1.1.16 "ECC Act" means the Emergency Communications Corporations Act (British Columbia) as from time to time enacted and all amendments thereto and includes the regulations made pursuant thereto;
1.1.17 "Emergency Services Agency" has the same meaning as set out in the ECC Act;
1.1.18 "Federal Government" means Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada;
1.1.19 "Fund Balance" means the balance of surplus accumulated from operations by the Company at any particular time that is unrestricted as to its future use;
1.1.20 "General Manager" means the general manager appointed for the Company from time to time;
1.1.21 "Government Agency" means an agent of, or a corporation that is wholly owned by, the Provincial Government, the Federal Government, a Municipality or a Regional District;
1.1.22 "Inflation Rate" means the increase in the Consumer Price Index - All Items for the City of Victoria, British Columbia during the preceding 12-month period ending on September 30 of the applicable year;
1.1.23 "Local Government Act" means the Local Government Act (British Columbia) as from time to time enacted and all amendments thereto and includes the regulations made pursuant thereto;
1.1.24 "Members" means, collectively, the Shareholders, the RCMP and any Potential Member that becomes a Member in accordance with Section 3.3, for as long as such Shareholder, the RCMP or Potential Member that becomes a Member holds Shares in the Company or as long as its Special User Agreement remains in effect, as applicable, with the current Members on the date of this Agreement as set out in Schedule E hereto;
1.1.25 "Municipality" means a municipality established pursuant to the Local Government Act within the Territory;
1.1.26 "Notice of Articles" means the notice of articles of the Company as filed with the Registrar of Companies under the Business Corporations Act, as amended from time to time;
1.1.27 "Person" includes a corporation, partnership, party, Municipality, Regional District, Emergency Services Agency, Government Agency, Provincial Government and Federal Government;
1.1.28 "Policing Agreements" means the agreements between the Federal Government and the Provincial Government pursuant to which the services of the RCMP are provided to Municipalities and areas of provincial jurisdiction;
1.1.29 "Potential Members" means any Municipality, Regional District or Emergency Services Agency within the Territory, the Provincial Government, the Federal Government and any Government Agency;
1.1.30 "Primary Purpose" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.1.1.1 hereof;
1.1.31 "Provincial Government" means Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia as represented by the Minister responsible for the Police Act (British Columbia);
1.1.32 "Purpose" means the Additional Purpose and the Primary Purpose as set forth in Section 2.1 hereof;
1.1.33 "Rates" means the rates assessed by the Company against the Members and payable by them under this Agreement for operating expenses and capital expenditures relating to the Company and the Company Services, as determined pursuant to the Cost Sharing Formula then in effect;
1.1.34 "RCMP" means Royal Canadian Mounted Police;
1.1.35 "Regional District" means a regional district under the Local Government Act;
1.1.36 "Reserve Fund" means the amount accumulated and designated for transfer to operations to fund expenditures not provided for in an Authorized Operating Budget or Authorized Capital Budget;
1.1.37 "Services Agreement" means an agreement between the Company and one or more Contracted Users by which the Company agrees to provide some or all of the Company Services, as such agreement is amended or replaced from time to time;
1.1.38 "Shareholder" means those Persons who hold Shares of the Company from time to time, as recorded in the Company's minute book, with the current Shareholders on the date of this Agreement as set out in Schedule D hereto;
1.1.39 "Special User Agreement" means an agreement between a federal Government Agency, including the RCMP, and the Company, as amended from time to time; and
1.1.40 "Territory" means the geographic area within which a Company Service is or is capable of being provided by the CREST System to a Member or to any Person contracting with the Company at a particular point in time.

### 1.2 QUANTITY AND GENDER

In this Agreement, the singular number shall include the plural number and vice versa, and any gender herein used shall be deemed to include the feminine, masculine, or neuter gender.

### 1.3 HEADINGS AND CAPTIONS

The headings and captions of articles, sections, and paragraphs in this Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and such headings and captions are not a part hereof and shall not be deemed in any manner to modify, explain, enlarge, or restrict any of the provisions hereof.

### 1.4 SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement shall be held invalid, illegal, or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, such provision shall be severed from this Agreement in such jurisdiction and the validity, legality, and enforceability of the remaining provisions hereof shall not be affected or impaired thereby.

### 1.5 ACCOUNTING TERMINOLOGY AND PRINCIPLES

All accounting terms not specifically defined herein shall be construed in accordance with the Handbooks of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants and its Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) as appropriate, and financial reporting shall be in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

### 1.6 RECITALS AND SCHEDULES

The recitals set forth in this Agreement are true and correct and are deemed to be a part of this Agreement and the Schedules identified below (and any other supplementary schedules, appendices, or exhibits referred to in such Schedules) are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement as fully as if they were set forth in full. The Schedules are identified as follows:

Schedule A - Articles of the Company
Schedule B - Agreement to be Bound
Schedule C - Cost Sharing Formula for Company Services
Schedule D - List of Shareholders
Schedule E - List of Members

## 2. THE COMPANY

### 2.1 PURPOSE OF THE COMPANY

2.1.1 The Company has been incorporated for, and will be operated for the following:
2.1.1.1 the provision of emergency communications and related services to its Members (the "Primary Purpose"); and
2.1.1.2
(a) the provision of communication and related services, for public safety and public service, to Municipalities, Regional Districts, Emergency Services Agencies, the Provincial Government, the Federal Government, Governmental Agencies, BCAS and the RCMP, whether or not they are Members; and
(b) any other purpose prescribed by regulation under the ECC Act for the Company from time to time;
(collectively, the "Additional Purpose"), all in the interests of civic improvement and for the benefit of the public residing within the Territory.
2.1.2 Pursuant to the Purpose, the Company shall provide Company Services to its Members; provide related administrative and technical services; own, hold or lease and manage any property and equipment forming part of the CREST System; and provide technical and other related services and expertise of the Company to other persons.

### 2.2 ARTICLES OF THE COMPANY

The Articles of the Company are in the form appended as Schedule A hereto.

### 2.3 SHARE STRUCTURE

The share capital of the Company consists of 500 common shares without par value.

### 2.4 VOTES BY MEMBERS ON EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS

In addition to any approvals required by the Shareholders pursuant to the Business Corporations Act or the Articles, the Company shall not undertake any of the following without the prior approval of at least two-thirds of the Members:
2.4.1 the winding up or dissolution of the Company under Article 9 hereof;
2.4.2 the admission of Members and the allotment of Shares to such Members;
2.4.3 any amendment to Section 4.2 hereof relating to the nomination or election of directors;
2.4.4 any amendment to the Purpose;
2.4.5 the approval of:
2.4.5.1 any annual operating budget for the Company under Section 6.3.2 hereof or any annual capital budget for the Company under Section 6.4.2 hereof; or
2.4.5.2 any amendment to an Authorized Operating Budget under Section 6.3.3 hereof or any amendment to an Authorized Capital Budget under Section 6.4.3 hereof,
that will increase the total Rates charged to Members by more than two times the Inflation Rate from those charged in the previous year's Authorized Operating Budget or Authorized Capital Budget, as applicable;
2.4.6 any increase in the Authorized Board Expenditure Amount;
2.4.7 any expenditure that is not provided for in an Authorized Operating Budget or an Authorized Capital Budget and that exceeds the Authorized Board Expenditure Amount;
2.4.8 the approval of all contracts for services to be provided to the Company that require payments thereunder for any fiscal year of the Company that exceed the Authorized Board Expenditure Amount;
2.4.9 any transfer to operations from Fund Balance or the Reserve Fund that exceeds the Authorized Board Expenditure Amount;
2.4.10 any borrowings of the Company that exceed the Authorized Board Expenditure Amount; and
2.4.11 any amendment to the Cost Sharing Formula, provided that such two-thirds approval must include the affirmative vote of those Members who would be obligated to pay not less than $50 \%$ of the costs of Company Services in accordance with the amended Cost Sharing Formula.

### 2.5 ACQUISITION OF AND HOLDING OF SPECTRA

2.5.1 Subject to the applicable federal legislation, a Member hereby assigns or transfers to the Company or consents to the assignment or transfer to the Company of all licences and authorities for radio spectra held by the Member that are related to the Company Services which the Company provides to the Member, such assignment or transfer to be effective at such time as required by the Company.
2.5.2 The Company hereby declares that it holds or will hold all radio spectra acquired by it, whether as acquired as contemplated in Section 2.5.1 hereof or otherwise, to be used for the benefit of Members and other Persons as contemplated herein.
2.5.3 If the Company is to be dissolved for any reason, the Company will use its best efforts at its own expense to restore to each Member, licences and authorities for radio spectra comparable to those assigned to or transferred to the Company by each Member, subject to applicable federal legislation.

### 2.6 USE OF RADIO SPECTRA

The Board may establish rules and regulations for the use of the radio spectra held by the Company.

### 2.7 OWNERSHIP OF EQUIPMENT

The Members acknowledge that all equipment forming part of the CREST System shall be owned by the Company including all equipment assigned for the exclusive use by a particular Member, and that the Board may establish rules for the use and holding of such equipment.

### 2.8 AGREEMENT NOT TO USE PROPERTY

Each Member that is a Municipality or a Regional District hereby agrees that in the event of an emergency, disaster or other similar occurrence within its jurisdiction, it will not use any powers or authorities which it may have, by statute or otherwise, to acquire and use, in any manner other than as specifically set forth in this Agreement, any of the property and assets of the Company.

## 3. SHAREHOLDERS, MEMBERS, ADDITIONAL MEMBERS AND CONTRACTED USERS

### 3.1 CURRENT SHAREHOLDERS

The Shareholders as at the date of this Agreement are listed in Schedule D hereto.

### 3.2 CURRENT MEMBERS

The Members as at the date of this Agreement are listed in Schedule E hereto.

### 3.3 ADDITIONAL MEMBERS

3.3.1 The Board may issue one or more shares of the Company (each, a "Share") to a Potential Member, if the Board determines that the Potential Member has a role in fulfilling the Purpose and that the provision of the Company Services to that Potential Member would be for the benefit of the public, provided that:
3.3.1.1 the CREST System and the Company have sufficient capacity to provide the Company Services to the Potential Member without any significant impairment to the Company Services then being provided to the Members;
3.3.1.2 the Potential Member enters into and agrees to be bound by the terms of this Agreement by execution of an agreement substantially in the form of Schedule B hereto; and
3.3.1.3 the Potential Member pays the applicable subscription price for such Share,
and upon the issue of Share hereunder, that Potential Member shall become a Member and a Shareholder.
3.3.2 The Board may admit a Potential Member that is a federal Government Agency as a Member if that Potential Member is prohibited by law from holding a Share and if the Board determines that the Potential Member has a role in fulfilling the Purpose and that the provision of the Company Services to that Potential Member would be for the benefit of the public, provided that:
3.3.2.1 the CREST System and the Company have sufficient capacity to provide the Company Services to the Potential Member without any significant impairment to the Company Services then being provided to the Members; and
3.3.2.2 the Potential Member enters into and agrees to be bound by the terms of this Agreement by execution of a Special User Agreement in a form acceptable to the Company,
and upon the execution of the Special User Agreement by both the Potential Member and the Company, that Potential Member shall become a Member.
3.3.3 All Shares shall be issued at a price of $\$ 10.00$ each.
3.3.4 If a Member that executed a Special User Agreement subsequently becomes a Shareholder under Section 3.3.1, then that Special User Agreement shall terminate effective upon the date that the Member becomes a Shareholder, and that Member hereby agrees to execute and deliver all documents necessary or desirable in the opinion of the Company in order to give effect to such termination.

### 3.4 EFFECT OF BEING A MEMBER

Upon a Member acquiring a Share or executing a Special User Agreement, that Member shall have agreed to use the Company for the Company Services and to fulfill its financial obligations with respect to those Company Services, when those Company Services can be provided by the Company.

### 3.5 CONTRACTED USERS

Subject to Sections 4.10 .9 and 4.11 .3 hereof, the Company may enter into a Services Agreement with one or more Contracted Users if:

### 3.5.1 the Contracted $\operatorname{User}(\mathrm{s})$ has a role in fulfilling the Purpose;

3.5.2 the provision of the Company Service or Services to that Contracted User(s) would be for the benefit of the public; and
3.5.3 the Board determines that the Company has sufficient capacity to provide the Company Service(s) being requested by the Contracted User(s) without any significant impairment to the Company Services then being provided to Members, and anticipated to be provided to Members during the term of the Services Agreement.

At a minimum, the Services Agreement should provide for full recovery of any incremental costs incurred by the Company in providing the Contracted Service(s).

## 4. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

### 4.1 COMPOSITION OF BOARD

The Company shall have a Board comprised of not less than three nor more than twentyfive directors, with the actual number of directors as determined by the Shareholders as hereinafter provided.

### 4.2 NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

4.2.1 Each Member on the date of this Agreement shall be entitled to nominate as a director one individual for each share in the Company held by it, provided that:
4.2.1.1 the CRD must nominate one individual to represent each of:
(a) Southern Gulf Islands Electoral Area,
(b) Salt Spring Island Electoral Area, and
(c) Juan de Fuca Electoral Area;
4.2.1.2 the individual nominated as a director by BC Transit from time to time must be approved by the Provincial Government; and
4.2.1.3 the individual nominated as a director by the RCMP or by the Government Agency on behalf of the RCMP, as applicable, from time to time must be approved by the Police Service Branch of the Provincial Government.
4.2.2 No Potential Member that becomes a Member after the date of this Agreement shall have the right under this Agreement to nominate an individual for election as a director, except as otherwise authorized by the Board.
4.2.3 The Shareholders agree to vote their Shares to elect as directors the individuals nominated pursuant to Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2

### 4.3 VACANCIES ON BOARD

Any vacancies on the Board created by an individual nominated under Section 4.2.1 shall be filled by an individual nominated by the Member that nominated the individual who is no longer a director.

### 4.4 NO RESTRICTIONS ON AFFILIATION TO MEMBERS

Directors may be appointed or elected officials from a Member or may be individuals with no affiliation to a Member.

### 4.5 REMUNERATION FOR DIRECTORS

Directors shall be entitled to fees for acting as a director of the Company, as determined in an Authorized Operating Budget. All directors may be paid reasonable expenses thereof incurred when acting as directors.

### 4.6 QUORUM AT DIRECTORS' MEETINGS

The quorum for all meetings of the Board shall consist of a majority of the directors. Meetings of the Board shall be held in accordance with the Articles of the Company and as herein provided.

### 4.7 EXECUTIVE MEMBER OF THE BOARD

The General Manager of the Company shall be an executive member of the Board and as such shall be entitled to be present at all meetings of the Board and to take part in all discussions at meetings of the Board but shall not have any right to vote at any such meeting. The Secretary of the Company shall send notice of all meetings of the Board to such executive member, including all materials provided to the directors, at the same time and in the same manner as notice is provided to such directors.

### 4.8 REMOVAL OF DIRECTOR

The Members shall not otherwise vote to remove a director unless the Member that nominated such director agrees to such director's removal.

### 4.9 MEETINGS OF THE BOARD

4.9.1 At least four meetings of the Board shall be held in each calendar year, such meetings to be held on a quarterly basis. Meetings of the Board may also be
called by the Chair of the Board, by the Chair's initiative or if requested by the General Manager. If the General Manager shall request in writing to the Chair of the Board that a meeting of the Board be called, the Chair shall convene a meeting of the Board to be called and held within one month or such other period as is reasonably practicable, of such request; provided however that if such meeting is of a material or emergency nature, the Chair shall convene the meeting of the Board within two weeks of such request.
4.9.2 The Chair of the Board shall have a second or casting vote at any meetings of the Board or of the Members.
4.9.3 The Secretary of the Company shall give each director and the General Manager, at least 7 days notice of each meeting of the Board and a reasonable description of the matters to be discussed at such meeting, except that failure to receive notice or adequate notice shall not invalidate the proceedings of any meeting if each director gives to the Company, before or after the meeting, a signed waiver of such notice. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of any meeting may be waived by consent in writing of all directors.
4.9.4 Except as provided in this Section or otherwise by applicable law, all meetings of the Board shall be open to the public. Matters of a confidential nature will be considered by the Board in a separate, closed, or in camera, meeting. Any director, including the Chair, will have the right to bring a motion for the Board to consider a matter at an in camera meeting.

### 4.10 BOARD DUTIES

The Board will, subject to the terms of this Agreement, supervise the general management of the business and affairs of the Company to ensure compliance with the Purpose and otherwise, with the authority to overview the general management of the Company, and supervise and give direction to the General Manager in accordance with the Articles, the Business Corporations Act, the ECC Act and this Agreement. Notwithstanding the generality of the foregoing, the Board shall be responsible for the following:
4.10.1 the appointment of the General Manager and the approval of the contract of employment for the General Manager, including terms and conditions of employment, provided that any contract shall provide for earlier termination by the Board and shall be renewable at the discretion of the Board;
4.10.2 the establishment of the duties and authority of the General Manager;
4.10.3 subject to Section 2.4 .5 hereof, as applicable, the approval of the Authorized Operating Budget, as provided in Section 6.3;
4.10.4 subject to Section 2.4 .5 hereof, as applicable, the approval of the Authorized Capital Budget, as provided in Section 6.4;
4.10.5 subject to Section 2.4 hereof, as applicable, the approval of any transfer to operations from the Fund Balance or the Reserve Fund;
4.10.6 the approval of the establishment of a base number of permanent positions within the Company and any increase to that base number of permanent positions thereafter;
4.10.7 subject to Section 2.4 .5 hereof, as applicable, the establishment of Rates substantially in accordance with the Cost Sharing Formula;
4.10.8 the determination and approval of all long term operating and capital plans and related borrowings of the Company;
4.10.9 the approval of all Services Agreements and in this regard the Board shall consider the Purpose and shall comply with the requirements of Sections 3.5 and 4.11.3 hereof; and
4.10.10the approval of the unaudited quarterly financial statements received pursuant to Section 6.2.1 hereof and the audited annual financial statements received pursuant to Section 6.2.2 hereof.

### 4.11 APPROVALS BY THE BOARD

All decisions taken by the Board shall be deemed to have been approved only if passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors present at the meeting of the Board, except for the following matters which shall be deemed to have been approved only if passed by the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the directors present at the meeting:
4.11.1 the issuance of any Shares;
4.11.2 the entering into, amendment or termination of any Special User Agreement; and
4.11.3 the entering into, amendment or termination of any Services Agreement.

### 4.12 REFERRAL TO MEMBERS

4.12.1 Notwithstanding the terms of Section 4.11 hereof but subject to Section 4.12.4 hereof, if a majority of the directors present at a meeting determine that a matter should be presented to the Members for their approval and determination, such directors may, if they give notice in writing (the "Notice") to the General Manager within two business days after the meeting of directors in which that matter was discussed and voted upon, require that the matter to be presented to the Members at a general meeting called for that purpose.
4.12.2 The General Manager shall upon receipt of the Notice advise the Chair of the Board and all directors of the receipt of the Notice and shall forthwith, within two
business days, call a meeting of the Members, such meeting to be held not more than one month after the giving of notice thereof.
4.12.3 If any matter referred to Members pursuant to Section 4.12.1 hereof is not approved by the Members at that meeting, that matter may not again be referred to Members pursuant to the provisions of this Section 4.12 hereof.
4.12.4 No matter that has been submitted to the Members for approval pursuant to Section 2.4 hereof shall be referred to the Members under Section 4.12.1 hereof during the same fiscal year of the Company without the approval of at least twothirds of the directors present at the meeting at which the referral of that matter is considered.

### 4.13 REPORTING BY DIRECTORS

A director who is elected pursuant to Section 4.2.1 hereof shall not be subject to any restriction imposed by the Company with respect to any reporting on matters conducted at meetings of the Board to the Member that nominated that director.

## 5. MANAGEMENT OF THE COMPANY

### 5.1 OFFICERS

The Company may have such officers as determined by the Board and will have at least four officers including a Chair of the Board, a Vice-Chair of the Board, the General Manager and a Secretary.

### 5.2 SPECIFIC DUTIES OF OFFICERS

5.2.1 The Chair of the Board, if present, shall chair meetings of the Board and of the Members. The Chair of the Board shall be a member of the Board and shall be elected by the Directors.
5.2.2 The Vice-Chair of Board shall be vested with all the powers and shall perform all the duties of the Chair of the Board in the absence or inability or refusal to act of the Chair. The Vice-Chair shall have such other powers and shall perform such other duties as may from time to time be assigned by the Board. The Vice-Chair of the Board shall be a member of the Board and shall be elected by the Directors.
5.2.3 The General Manager shall be the general manager of the Company. Subject to the general supervision and direction of the Board, the General Manager shall be responsible for the general supervision, management and control of the operations of the Company on a day-to-day basis. The General Manager shall, in fulfilling such duties, operate within the Purpose to provide the Company Services.
5.2.4 Within the constraints of the Authorized Budget and the Authorized Capital Budget, and subject to any determination of the Board or the Members, the

General Manager shall implement the decisions as so determined. Notwithstanding the generality of the foregoing, the General Manager will:
5.2.4.1 manage the operations of the Company to meet the requirements of the users within the Purpose;
5.2.4.2 be responsible for the hiring and termination of staff for the Company;
5.2.4.3 prepare and submit an annual operating budget and a capital budget for the approval by the Board or Members, as the case may be;
5.2.4.4 prepare and deliver following approval of the Board, an annual report to the Members and to the Minister under the ECC Act within the time as required thereunder;
5.2.4.5 request proposals for delivery of services to the Company, analyze such proposals and submit recommendations on such proposals to the Board for approval, if such approval is required;
5.2.4.6 ensure proper record keeping of books and records for the Company as required by law or by the Board; and
5.2.4.7 monitor compliance with the Articles, the Business Corporations Act, the ECC Act and the Agreement by the Members, the Board and the officers.

The General Manager shall report to the Board, and will be an executive member of the Board as set forth in Section 4.7 hereof.
5.2.5 The Secretary shall prepare the agenda for all meetings of the Members and the Board and shall draw up minutes of such meetings and shall be responsible for the safekeeping of the books and records of the Company.

### 5.3 VACANCY OF OFFICE

Any vacancy of office caused by the resignation, removal, death or incapacity of an officer shall be filled by appointment of the Board.

### 5.4 SIGNING AUTHORITY

The authorized signing officers of the Company in respect of legal documents or any bank or other financial institution or the opening of any corporate bank accounts shall be as determined by the Board.

### 5.5 AUDITORS

The Members shall appoint the auditors of the Company from time to time.

### 5.6 FINANCIAL YEAR END

Until changed by an ordinary resolution of the Shareholders, the financial year-end of the Company shall be December 31.

## 6. FINANCIAL MATTERS AND RECORDS

### 6.1 BOOKS AND RECORDS

The Company shall keep books of account and records in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and furnish to each Member copies of such accounting reports and financial statements as herein provided.

### 6.2 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The General Manager shall cause to be delivered to each member of the Board and to the Members the following financial statements, prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles:
6.2.1 as soon as available and in any event, within 30 days after the end of each quarter of each fiscal year, an unaudited balance sheet of the Company as of the end of such quarter, the statements of financial activities and fund balances for the quarter then ended and, if applicable, the six-month period or nine-month period of such fiscal year then ended, with projections to year-end compared to the Authorized Operating Budget and Authorized Capital Budget; and
6.2.2 as soon as available and in any event, within 120 days after the end of each fiscal year, the audited balance sheet of the Company as of the end of such fiscal year and the statements of financial activities and fund balances and changes in financial position for the fiscal year then ended, all accompanied by an opinion of the Company's auditors.

### 6.3 AUTHORIZED OPERATING BUDGET

6.3.1 Prior to the beginning of each fiscal year and in sufficient time to permit the implementation thereof, the General Manager shall submit to the Board for its review and approval, a proposed annual operating budget for such fiscal year which will show the revenues and expenses for the day to day operations of the Company and the Rates to be charged to Members for the year.
6.3.2 The proposed annual operating budget for a fiscal year shall be approved by the Board following the steps in Section 6.3.1 hereof, prior to the commencement of that fiscal year, in any case with such amendments or variations thereto as the Board shall deem appropriate and approve, provided that:
6.3.1.1 the Board shall recognize the Purpose; and
6.3.1.2 no annual operating budget that requires approval by the Members under Section 2.4.5 hereof will be an Authorized Operating Budget until such approval has been obtained.
6.3.3 Subject to Section 2.4.5, the Board may amend an Authorized Operating Budget from time to time.

### 6.4 AUTHORIZED CAPITAL BUDGET

6.4.1 Prior to the beginning of each fiscal year and in sufficient time to permit the implementation thereof, the General Manager shall submit to the Board for its review and approval, a proposed annual capital budget for such fiscal year which will provide for all capital expenditures to be made for the Company for that year and any long term capital plans or proposed capital expenditures and borrowings for any subsequent years.
6.4.2 The proposed annual capital budget for a fiscal year shall be approved by the Board following the steps in Section 6.4.1 hereof, prior to the commencement of that fiscal year, in any case with such amendments or variations thereto as the Board shall deem appropriate and approve, provided that:
6.4.1.1 the Board shall recognize the Purpose; and
6.4.1.2 no annual capital budget that requires approval by the Members under Section 2.4.5 hereof will be an Authorized Capital Budget until such approval has been obtained.
6.4.2 Subject to Section 2.4.5, the Board may amend an Authorized Capital Budget from time to time.

## 7. FUNDING BY MEMBERS

### 7.1 RATES FOR COMPANY SERVICES

The Members hereby agree that the Rates to be assessed by the Company for Company Services against the Members and payable by the Members for Company Services shall be established by the Board substantially in accordance with the Cost Sharing Formula and that no amendment will be made to the Cost Sharing Formula except in the manner provided in Section 2.4.11 hereof.

### 7.2 OBLIGATION TO PAY

7.2.1 Each Member hereby agrees to pay all Rates assessed and charged to it by the Company. Rates shall be payable quarterly in advance upon invoicing by the Company.
7.2.2 Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is understood that the Provincial Government will not pay any amounts except as billed directly by the RCMP for Company Services for all services provided under the Policing Agreements.
7.2.3 If a Municipality that is a Member receives its policing services through the RCMP pursuant to a Policing Agreement, then such Member hereby acknowledges that the RCMP may be assessed Rates by the Company to cover Company Services as part of the policing services provided to that Municipality, and that Member agrees to pay to the RCMP all amounts charged by the RCMP in respect of that Municipality.

### 7.3 APPROPRIATION FOR PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

Any obligation of the Provincial Government to pay money under this Agreement is subject to an appropriation being available in the fiscal year of the Provincial Government during which the payment becomes due.

## 8. RESTRICTIONS ON MEMBERS' TRANSFERS

### 8.1 RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF SHARES

8.1.1 Each of the Members agrees that it will not sell, transfer, assign, mortgage, pledge, charge, hypothecate, encumber, alienate or otherwise dispose of, create a security interest in, grant an option on, or cease to be the holder of any Shares of the Company, or any right or interest therein at any time now or hereinafter held or owned by or for them (any one of such actions being herein called a "transfer"), except that if a Municipality is amalgamating with another Municipality, then the Shares of the amalgamating Municipalities will be cancelled and one new Share will be issued in the name of the new amalgamated Municipality, upon that new Municipality executing an agreement substantially in the form of Schedule B hereto, or except as otherwise approved by the Board.
8.1.2 Any actual, attempted or purported transfer by any Member of all or any part of its Share that does not comply with the provisions of this Agreement shall be void and of no effect.

## 9. WINDING UP OR DISSOLUTION

### 9.1 WINDING UP OR DISSOLUTION

If alternate sources are available for all of the services equivalent to the Company Services then being provided to the Members, and if adequate provision is made for the payment of all outstanding debts and liabilities of the Company and the consent of any major lenders to such winding up or dissolution is obtained, if such consent is required under the terms of any lending agreement with the Company, then the Shareholders may resolve under Section 2.4.1 hereof to wind up or dissolve the Company and to dispose of the property, equipment and assets of the Company as provided in this Agreement.

### 9.2 DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY

In the event of a winding up or dissolution of the Company pursuant to Section 9.1 hereof, the property, equipment and assets owned and leased by the Company shall be disposed of in the following manner and the Members shall vote to dispose of the property, equipment and assets in the following manner.
9.2.1 all property, equipment or assets owned or leased by the Company and assigned for the exclusive use of any one Member (the "Purchasing Party") may be purchased from the Company by the Purchasing Party at the fair market value thereof. The General Manager, with the assistance of consultants or otherwise, shall establish a fair market value to such property, equipment or assets and shall advise the Purchasing Party, by written notice, of the fair market value as established. The Purchasing Party may within ten days of receipt of that notice from the General Manager, dispute the fair market value by notice in writing to the General Manager, in which event within five days of that written notice the General Manager and the Purchasing Party shall agree to appoint a valuator, knowledgeable in the valuation of the property, assets or equipment being purchased, to establish the fair market value. The determination of the valuator, which shall be made within 20 days of the appointment of the valuator, will be final and binding on the Company and the Purchasing Party. The costs of any valuation will be borne by the Purchasing Party and the Company, jointly. Any payment made by a Member hereunder shall be applied by the Company to reduce the debt incurred to purchase that equipment;
9.2.2 all property, assets and equipment owned or leased by the Company and not purchased under Section 9.2.1 hereof shall be offered by the General Manager, in blocks as determined by the General Manager, to all Shareholders and to all other Members that have executed a Special User Agreement, pursuant to an auction. The General Manager shall have full authority to establish the rules for and operate any such auction;
9.2.3 any property, equipment and assets owned or leased by the Company and not disposed for pursuant to Section 9.2 .1 or 9.2.2 hereof may be sold or disposed of by the General Manager or such other person as determined by the General Manager; and
9.2.4 with regard to the licences and authorities for radio spectra assigned to or transferred to the Company by the Members, Section 2.5.3 hereof shall apply.

### 9.3 DISTRIBUTION OF MONIES

All monies realized by the Company on the disposition pursuant to Section 9.2 hereof shall:
9.3.1 firstly, be used to satisfy all debts and liabilities of the Company; and
9.3.2 secondly, be used to satisfy the requirement under Section 2.5.3 hereof to employ best efforts with regard to restoration of licences and authorities for radio spectra; and
9.3.3 thirdly, be distributed in the manner provided in Article 24.3 of the Articles.

## 10. WITHDRAWAL BY ANY MEMBER

### 10.1 WITHDRAWAL BY ANY ONE MEMBER

Any Member may cease to be a Member of the Company by giving prior written notice (the "Notice") of its desire to cease to be a Member, in which event:
10.1.1 the date (the "Withdrawal Date") on which such Member ceases to be a Member (the "Withdrawing Member") shall be the end of the year next following the year in which the Notice is received by the Company;
10.1.2 the Withdrawing Member shall be obligated to pay to the Withdrawal Date, as a Rate, as requested by the Company, the Withdrawing Member's proportionate share of any long-term capital obligations, including any lease obligations, or repayments thereof committed to by the Company up to the Withdrawal Date;
10.1.3 upon receipt by the Company of the payment required in Section 10.1.2 hereof, the Company will transfer to the Withdrawing Member all user equipment used by that Member that has been paid for by that Member; and
10.1.4 upon receipt by the Company of the payment required in Section 10.1.2 hereof, the Withdrawing Member shall surrender the Share held by it for cancellation and that Member shall cease to be a Member as at the effective date of cancellation.

### 10.2 SPECTRA ON WITHDRAWAL

Any radio spectra held by the Company at the time of withdrawal shall not be available for use by a Withdrawing Member. However, the Company will use its best efforts at its own expense to restore to a withdrawing Member licences and authorities for radio spectra comparable to those assigned to or transferred to the Company by that withdrawing Member, subject to applicable federal legislation.

## 11. TERMINATION

### 11.1 TERMINATION

This Agreement shall terminate upon:
11.1.1 the completion of the winding-up or dissolution of the Company; or
11.1.2 the agreement of all Members, provided that the Members shall not be permitted to agree to terminate the Agreement unless all debts and liabilities of the Company have been provided for and unless permitted under the ECC Act.

## 12. CONFIDENTIALITY

### 12.1 NON-DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Members acknowledge the provisions of Section 9 of the ECC Act and in particular Subsection 9(4) of the ECC Act. The obligations of the Members and the Company under this Article 12 are subject to the applicable provisions of the ECC Act and the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (British Columbia). The Members shall, and shall ensure that all of their respective officials and employees shall, hold all Confidential Information of any kind or nature acquired in their course of dealing with the Company and with each other in their capacity as Members in confidence and shall use such Confidential Information solely for purposes related to their capacity as Members and in connection with the Purpose. The Members shall not, and shall ensure that their respective employees shall not, disclose any such Confidential Information at any time or otherwise make use of such Confidential Information for any purpose other than as Members.

## 13. GENERAL

### 13.1 APPLICABILITY

Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, this Agreement applies to each Member only so long as the Member is a Shareholder or has a Special User Agreement, as applicable.

### 13.2 PRECEDENCE

The Members shall be governed by the provisions of the ECC Act, the Notice of Articles, the Articles, the Business Corporations Act and this Agreement. In the event of any inconsistency among the provisions of any such documents, to the extent permitted by law and subject to the ECC Act, the provisions of this Agreement will take precedence and bind the parties and in particular the Members agree that the specific provisions of this Agreement shall override those general provisions in the Articles.

### 13.3 AMENDMENTS

Subject to the provisions of the ECC Act, this Agreement may be amended by approval of Members holding $50 \%$ or more of the Shares, except that any amendment to Section 2.4 hereof shall require the approval of at least two-thirds of the Members.

### 13.4 ASSIGNMENT

This Agreement may not be assigned by any Member except as provided for specifically herein.

### 13.5 COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if all parties had all signed the same document. All counterparts will be construed together and will constitute one and the same agreement. This Agreement may be executed by the parties and transmitted by facsimile transmission and if so executed and transmitted this Agreement will be for all purposes as effective as if the parties had delivered an executed original Agreement.

### 13.6 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement, including the Schedules hereto and the agreements referred to herein, constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto related to their membership in the Company, it being understood that additional agreements may be entered into relating to equipment and use thereof, use and access to information which may be restricted and other matters as required. There are not and shall not be any verbal statements, representations, warranties, undertakings or agreements between the parties and this Agreement may not be amended or modified in any respect except as provided in Section 13.3 hereof.

### 13.7 ENUREMENT

This Agreement shall enure to the benefit of and be binding on the respective successors, executors, administrators and permitted assigns of each of the Members and of the Company.

### 13.8 FURTHER ASSURANCES

The Members shall execute such further assurances and other documents and instruments and do such further and other things as may be necessary to implement and carry out the intent of this Agreement. Each Member that is a Shareholder agrees that it will vote and act at all times as a shareholder of the Company and all Members shall in all other respects use their best efforts and take all steps as may be reasonable within their powers so as to cause the Company to act in the manner contemplated by the provisions of this Agreement and so as to implement to their full extent the provisions of this Agreement (including the entering into of agreements by the Company with one or more of the parties hereto or other Persons).

### 13.9 NO PARTNERSHIP

Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed in any way or for any purpose to constitute any party a partner of any party hereto in the conduct of any business or otherwise or a member of a joint venture or a joint enterprise with any other party hereto.

### 13.10 NOTICE

Any notice or other communication permitted or required under this Agreement must be in writing. Any such notice will be deemed delivered: (i) on the day of delivery in
person; (ii) one day after deposit with an overnight courier, fully prepaid; or (iii) if sent by facsimile transmission during regular business hours on a business day, on the date delivered or sent (or, if delivered or sent after normal business hours on a business day or on a non-business day, on the next business day) and must be sent to:
(a) if to the Company:

Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications (CREST) Incorporated 108-800 Kelly Road, Suite 482
Victoria, BC V9B 6J9
Attention: General Manager
Fax: (250) 995-5711
(b) if to a Member, at the address or fax number for that Member on record with the Company from time to time or, if no address or fax number for that Member is on record with the Company, to the general mailing address or general fax number for that Member made available to the general public,
or at such other reasonable address or fax number at which personal delivery may be effected of which a party may from time to time give notice in accordance with this Section.

### 13.11 TIME OF THE ESSENCE

Time shall be of the essence of this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby.

### 13.12 WAIVER

No provision of this Agreement shall be deemed to be waived unless such waiver is in writing. Any waiver of any default by any party hereto in the observance or of the performance of any part of this Agreement shall not extend to or be taken in any manner to affect any other default.

### 13.13 RESTATEMENT OF ORIGINAL AGREEMENT

This Agreement is the first amended and restated version of the Original Agreement. This Agreement reflects a restatement of the Original Agreement, as amended, as at $\qquad$
$\qquad$ , 200 $\qquad$

### 13.14 BINDING EFFECT

This Agreement will be binding upon the Company and all of the current Members upon approval by the Minister in accordance with the requirements of the ECC Act.

## SCHEDULE A

## ARTICLES OF THE COMPANY

## SCHEDULE B

## AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

TO: Capital Region Emergency Services Telecommunications (CREST) Incorporated
AND TO: All Members of the Company, as defined pursuant to a Members’ Agreement dated $\qquad$ 2007 (the "Members' Agreement")

## WHEREAS:

A. The Company has been established for the Purpose as set forth in the Members' Agreement;
B. The undersigned wishes to subscribe for Shares in the Company and become a Shareholder and a Member of the Company; and
C. The Members' Agreement requires that prior to the issue of Shares to any person, such person must agree to be bound by the terms of the Members' Agreement.

In consideration of the payment of $\$ 2$ by the Company to the undersigned and the issue of a Share to the undersigned (the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged) the undersigned hereby agrees that:

1. The terms as used herein shall have the meaning as set forth in the Members' Agreement.
2. The undersigned hereby subscribes for one Share of the Company.
3. The undersigned hereby pays $\$ 10$ for the Share.
4. So long as the undersigned owns the Share, the undersigned hereby agrees with the Company and all other Members to be bound by the terms and conditions of the Members' Agreement as and from the date hereof, as if it had been an original signatory thereto.
5. This Agreement shall bind the undersigned and all successors thereof.
6. If the undersigned is a Municipality the undersigned confirms that it has adopted or is adopting a bylaw as contemplated in Section 4(2)(a) of the ECC Act and this subscription will only become effective upon the adoption of such a bylaw.

DATED $\qquad$ .

## SCHEDULE C

## COST-SHARING FORMULA FOR COMPANY SERVICES

### 1.0 OVERVIEW OF COST-SHARING FORMULA

1.1 The goal of the Cost-Sharing Formula is to allocate among Members all capital, operating and maintenance costs associated with the provision of Company Services by the Company, including overhead and administration costs and all financing costs associated with capital and operating expenditures, but excluding User Equipment.
1.2 There are two major cost components of the CREST System:

### 1.2.1 Infrastructure Costs; and

### 1.2.2 User Equipment Charges.

1.3 Only the Infrastructure Charge is allocated among User Agencies under the Cost-Sharing Formula described in this Schedule.
1.4 Any amendment to the allocation language of the Cost Sharing Formula requires the approval of the Members pursuant to Section 2.4.11 of the Members' Agreement.

### 2.0 DEFINITIONS

2.1 Adjusted Coverage Area means the Coverage Area multiplied by the Area Adjustment Factor.
2.2 Agreement means the Members' Agreement (First Amendment and Restatement) to which this Schedule is attached, as amended or replaced from time to time.
2.3 Area Adjustment Factor means the percentage(s) applied to the Coverage Area for each User Agency to determine the Adjusted Coverage Area, which, until amended by the Members in accordance with the Agreement, is $150 \%$ for police services in all jurisdictions, $45 \%$ for BC Transit and $100 \%$ for all other User Agencies.
2.4 Coverage Area means, with respect to each User Agency, the number of square kilometers that are within the jurisdiction of that User Agency.
2.5 CRD Charges means the fees and charges collected by the CRD under the Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 1, 2001, as amended, supplemented or replaced from time to time, and remitted to CREST by the CRD;
2.6 Current User Equipment means the User Equipment registered on the CREST System as at the date of this Agreement;
2.7 Infrastructure Costs means, for each fiscal year of the Company, the total of all Company expenses provided for in the Authorized Operating Budget and the Authorized Capital Budget including:
2.7.1 the capital costs of the CREST System, including capital expenditures, interest on debt obligations and debt reductions net of additions based on financing rates and terms secured by the Company;
2.7.2 the operating and maintenance costs of the CREST System; and
2.7.3 overhead and administration costs of the Company,
but excluding User Equipment Charges.
2.8 Net Infrastructure Charge means, for each fiscal year of the Company, the Infrastructure Costs for that fiscal year, less the amount of (a) any revenues receivable by the Company from Contracted Users during that fiscal year (b) other revenues and (c) net transfers from the Reserve Fund and Fund Balance during that fiscal year.
2.9 New Agency means any User Agency that joins the CREST System after the date of the Agreement.
2.10 Population Served means, with respect to each User Agency, the number of people resident within the Coverage Area of that User Agency.
2.11 Total Adjusted Coverage Area means the sum of all Adjusted Coverage Areas.
2.12 Total Number of Radios means the sum of all User Radios registered on the CREST System.
2.13 Total Population Served means the sum of the total Population Served.
2.14 Total Radio Traffic means the sum of all User Radio Traffic.
2.15 User Agency means any single user on the CREST System that is affiliated with a Member, such as an individual police department, fire department, RCMP detachment or municipal public works department. BC Transit and BCAS are each designated as a single User Agency for the purposes of this Schedule.
2.16 User Equipment means all User Radios and peripheral equipment owned by CREST and used by a User Agency to interface with the CREST System, such as mobile and portable radio terminals, and data terminals, including batteries.
2.17 User Equipment Charges means, for any fiscal year of the Company, the total of all charges by the Company to User Agencies for the use of User Equipment during that fiscal year provided for in the authorized Operating Budget, including amortization of User Equipment capital costs, radio and base station licensing fees, battery replacement costs and other costs not forming part of the Infrastructure Costs for that fiscal year.
2.18 User Radios means the number of voice radios (both portable and mobile units) that are registered on the CREST System by each User Agency, excluding User Equipment.
2.19 User Radio Traffic means the monthly average minutes of User Radio use by each User Agency.

Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Schedule will have the meanings assigned to them in the Agreement.

### 3.0 COST DISTRIBUTION FACTORS

3.1 There are four factors that are used to calculate each User Agency's share of the Net Infrastructure Charge:
3.1.1 the Adjusted Coverage Area for that User Agency;
3.1.2 Population Served by that User Agency;
3.1.3 User Radio Traffic of that User Agency; and
3.1.4 Number of User Radios used by that User Agency.
3.2 Sources and definitions of these cost distribution factors are contained in Table 1.
3.3 These cost distribution factors for each agency will be updated on an annual basis, as set out in Table 1.
3.4 The percentage allocation of these cost distribution factors to each User Agency's Net Infrastructure Charge is set out in Table 2.

### 4.0 APPLICATION OF SUBSIDIES AND ADJUSTMENTS

4.1 After the Company has allocated the Net Infrastructure Charge for a year among all User Agencies, the CRD Charge and any subsidies (or other payments) received by the Company in respect of that year on account of one or more User Agencies shall be applied to reduce the share of the Net Infrastructure Charge payable by those User Agencies.

### 5.0 USER EQUIPMENT CHARGES

5.1 User Equipment Charges relate to User Equipment amortization and operating costs determined as follows:

### 5.1.1 Current User Equipment:

5.1.1.1 Amortization is based on the current inventory of radios and dispatch consoles owned by the Company and allocated to each User Agency, as set out in Table 3.
5.1.1.2 Charges are based on the proportionate capital cost to each User Agency, amortized over a period of seven (7) years at a cost of capital of $5 \%$ per year.
5.1.1.3 No amortization is charged to User Agencies that have purchased or supplied their own radios prior to the date of the Agreement.

### 5.1.2 Replacement User Equipment:

5.1.2.1 As Current User Equipment is replaced or supplemented from time to time, the Company will consult with the User Agencies and the Company will purchase for ownership by the Company and distribution to a User Agency all User Equipment to be used and maintained by that User Agency.
5.1.2.2 Each Member will pay to the Company the capital cost of all such User Equipment distributed to its User Agencies, plus any associated financing costs.
5.2 License fees for spectrum for both radios and base stations are paid to Industry Canada by the Company on an annual basis. The Company will allocate to each User Agency its share of such fees, based on the Total Number of Radios.
5.3 Battery replacement costs are estimated annually and allocated to User Agencies based on the Total Number of Radios registered on the CREST System.
5.4 Only User Equipment purchased by the Company may be used on the CREST System, unless the Company otherwise consents in writing.

### 6.0 DESIGN OF THE COST SHARING FORMULA

### 6.1 User Agencies

6.1.1 Each User Agency is considered a separate user for the purposes of cost sharing under this Schedule. For example, a single Municipality's police department and fire department are two separate and completely independent User Agencies for the purposes of cost sharing.
6.1.2 For the purposes of cost sharing, a single Municipality is limited to three types of municipal User Agencies: a police department (or municipal RCMP detachment), a fire department and a public works department. Public works departments include all municipal public safety agencies, including but not limited to parks, engineering and transit agencies.
6.1.3 RCMP Allocation:
6.1.3.1 Each RCMP detachment in the Territory is a User Agency and will be allocated a share of the Net Infrastructure Charge.
6.1.3.2 For those RCMP detachments that provide services in more than one Municipality, the allocation of the Net Infrastructure Charge for those detachments among those municipalities will be calculated by the RCMP and the municipalities served by the RCMP.
6.1.3.3 CREST will bill the RCMP for all costs associated with RCMP User Agencies.

### 6.2 Allocated Costs

6.2.1 The model is designed so that:
6.2.1.1 in each year, the Net Infrastructure Charge are recovered from all User Agencies (through affiliated Members) that are active users of the CREST System in that year; and
6.2.1.2 the addition of a New Agency reduces the share of the Net Infrastructure Charge paid by all other User Agencies.
6.2.2 If a Member fails to pay its share of the Net Infrastructure Charge, then the unpaid monies will be reallocated to and collected from the other Members. In that event, the Company will initiate collection proceedings to obtain the unpaid monies from the defaulting Member.

### 6.3 Timing of Cost Allocations

6.3.1 A User Agency begins to pay its share of the Net Infrastructure Charge from the date it becomes an operational user of the CREST System.
6.3.2 If a User Agency becomes an operational user of the CREST System at any time other than January 1 of a year, then its share of the Net Infrastructure Charge for that year will be prorated accordingly.

### 7.0 MEMBERS OBLIGATION TO PAY

7.1 Members are responsible for paying all costs and charges associated with its affiliated User Agencies, including both the Net Infrastructure Charges and User Equipment Charges.

TABLE 1 - COST DISTRIBUTION FACTORS IN COST SHARING FORMULA

| Factor | Weight | Preliminary Source of Data | User Agencies <br> Serving <br> Multiple <br> Jurisdictions | Area Adjustment Factor | Updating |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Geographic <br> Area | $60 \%$ | 2004 CRD Demographic Atlas as <br> applicable; the source may change <br> over time; easily available, accurate <br> and reliable sources will be used. | Coverage areas <br> for User <br> Agencies are <br> added together | Area x 150\% Agencies = <br> BCAS $=$ Area x 45\% | Will be updated on an annual basis <br> using the most recent published <br> "BC Stats" data. |
| Number of User <br> Radios | $15 \%$ | Number of radios registered on the <br> CREST radio system as of the time <br> of update |  | All other agencies = Area x <br> $100 \%$ | Will be updated on an annual basis <br> using number of radios registered <br> on the CREST radio system as at <br> December 31 of the previous year. |
| Total Radio <br> Traffic | $15 \%$ | Analysis of system traffic reports for <br> the first half of 2005. |  |  | These cost distribution factors for <br> each agency will be updated on an <br> annual basis, based on radio traffic <br> for the prior calendar year. |
| Population <br> Served | $10 \%$ | 2004 CRD Demographic Atlas as <br> applicable; the source may change <br> over time; easily available, accurate <br> and reliable sources will be used. | Populations <br> figures for User <br> Agencies are <br> added together |  | Will be updated on an annual basis <br> using most recent published "BC <br> Stats" data. |

## TABLE 2 - ALLOCATION OF COST DISTRIBUTION FACTORS TO NET INFRASTRUCTURE CHARGE

## User Agency's Share of Net Infrastructure Charge =

[(Net Infrastructure Charge x 60\%) x Agency's Share of Total Adjusted Coverage Area (Note below)

+ (Net Infrastructure Charge x 15\%) x Agency's Share of Total Number of Radios
+ (Net Infrastructure Charge x 15\%) x Agency's Share of Total Radio Traffic
+ (Net Infrastructure Charge x 10\%) x Agency's Share of Total Population Served)]
Note: The Adjusted Coverage Area reflects the Area Adjustment Factor as defined in Section 2.4 of this Schedule

TABLE 3-2007 AGENCY ALLOCATIONS

| Agency | 2007 Allocation | PF | CF | TF | RF | Weight | Equip Total | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC Ambulance Service (BCAS) - Other | 219,099 | 2.12\% | 15.43\% | 1.42\% | 1.07\% | 20.03\% | 6,051 | 225,150 |
| BC Transit and HandyDART - Other | 125,997 | 2.12\% | 4.41\% | 2.63\% | 2.37\% | 11.52\% | 9,572 | 135,568 |
| Central Saanich - Fire | 10,874 | 0.10\% | 0.68\% | 0.01\% | 0.21\% | 0.99\% | 22,259 | 33,134 |
| Central Saanich - Police | 16,702 | 0.10\% | 1.02\% | 0.23\% | 0.17\% | 1.53\% | 17,702 | 34,404 |
| CFB Esquimalt - Fire | 11,395 | 0.06\% | 0.69\% | 0.01\% | 0.28\% | 1.04\% | 28,549 | 39,944 |
| CFB Esquimalt - Police | 16,189 | 0.06\% | 1.03\% | 0.20\% | 0.19\% | 1.48\% | 19,292 | 35,482 |
| Colwood - Fire | 6,862 | 0.09\% | 0.29\% | 0.04\% | 0.21\% | 0.63\% | 22,245 | 29,107 |
| CRD East Sooke - Fire | 8,458 | 0.01\% | 0.66\% | 0.00\% | 0.11\% | 0.77\% | 11,943 | 20,401 |
| CRD Galiano Island - Fire | 12,035 | 0.01\% | 0.95\% | 0.00\% | 0.14\% | 1.10\% | 15,825 | 27,859 |
| CRD Gulf Island Emergency Program - Other | 843 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.07\% | 0.08\% | 7,668 | 8,511 |
| CRD Mayne Island - Fire | 5,900 | 0.01\% | 0.38\% | 0.00\% | 0.15\% | 0.54\% | 15,747 | 21,647 |
| CRD Otter Point - Fire | 7,501 | 0.01\% | 0.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.15\% | 0.69\% | 16,123 | 23,624 |
| CRD Pender Island - Fire | 9,248 | 0.01\% | 0.60\% | 0.01\% | 0.22\% | 0.85\% | 23,391 | 32,639 |
| CRD Piers Island - Fire | 548 | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.00\% | 0.03\% | 0.05\% | 3,855 | 4,403 |
| CRD Port Renfrew - Fire | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | - | - |
| CRD Saltspring Island - Fire | 36,917 | 0.06\% | 3.18\% | 0.00\% | 0.13\% | 3.38\% | 14,666 | 51,583 |
| CRD Saturna Island - Fire | 6,411 | 0.00\% | 0.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.08\% | 0.59\% | 9,009 | 15,420 |
| CRD Shirley - Fire | 5,262 | 0.00\% | 0.38\% | 0.00\% | 0.10\% | 0.48\% | 10,780 | 16,042 |
| CRD Willis Point - Fire | 2,251 | 0.00\% | 0.09\% | 0.00\% | 0.11\% | 0.21\% | 11,691 | 13,942 |
| Esquimalt - Fire | 3,678 | 0.10\% | 0.12\% | 0.01\% | 0.11\% | 0.34\% | 10,886 | 14,564 |
| Highlands - Fire | 8,868 | 0.01\% | 0.62\% | 0.01\% | 0.17\% | 0.81\% | 18,116 | 26,984 |


| Langford - Fire | 12,737 | 0.12\% | 0.65\% | 0.06\% | 0.34\% | 1.16\% | 36,496 | 49,233 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metchosin - Fire | 15,294 | 0.03\% | 1.17\% | 0.01\% | 0.18\% | 1.40\% | 19,579 | 34,872 |
| North Saanich - Fire | 10,510 | 0.07\% | 0.61\% | 0.03\% | 0.25\% | 0.96\% | 26,445 | 36,955 |
| Oak Bay - Fire | 6,368 | 0.11\% | 0.17\% | 0.14\% | 0.16\% | 0.58\% | 16,019 | 22,387 |
| Oak Bay - Police | 8,783 | 0.11\% | 0.26\% | 0.30\% | 0.13\% | 0.80\% | 13,478 | 22,261 |
| Parks Canada - Other | - | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | - | - |
| RCMP Common - Police | 96,635 | 1.52\% | 5.52\% | 0.35\% | 1.46\% | 8.84\% | 124,040 | 220,675 |
| RCMP Saltspring \& Southern Gulf Islands - Police | 67,864 | 0.09\% | 5.63\% | 0.26\% | 0.22\% | 6.20\% | 19,130 | 86,995 |
| RCMP Sidney \& North Saanich - Police | 18,924 | 0.14\% | 1.04\% | 0.34\% | 0.21\% | 1.73\% | 17,724 | 36,647 |
| RCMP Sooke - Police | 31,415 | 0.08\% | 2.45\% | 0.21\% | 0.12\% | 2.87\% | 10,639 | 42,055 |
| RCMP Westshore - Police | 72,263 | 0.30\% | 4.46\% | 1.38\% | 0.47\% | 6.61\% | 40,409 | 112,672 |
| Saanich - Fire | 36,481 | 0.66\% | 1.70\% | 0.45\% | 0.52\% | 3.34\% | 52,318 | 88,799 |
| Saanich - Police | 74,537 | 0.66\% | 2.55\% | 2.49\% | 1.11\% | 6.82\% | 113,667 | 188,204 |
| Sidney - Fire | 3,801 | 0.07\% | 0.08\% | 0.00\% | 0.19\% | 0.35\% | 20,549 | 24,350 |
| Sooke - Fire | 11,960 | 0.06\% | 0.80\% | 0.02\% | 0.22\% | 1.09\% | 23,180 | 35,139 |
| University of Victoria - Other | 3,536 | 0.02\% | 0.03\% | 0.19\% | 0.09\% | 0.32\% | 596 | 4,132 |
| Victoria - Fire | 20,192 | 0.47\% | 0.32\% | 0.17\% | 0.88\% | 1.85\% | 89,366 | 109,557 |
| Victoria \& Esquimalt - Police | 81,204 | 0.58\% | 0.66\% | 4.01\% | 2.18\% | 7.42\% | 243,212 | 324,416 |
| Victoria Airport Authority - Other | 1,022 | 0.00\% | 0.08\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | 0.09\% | 113 | 1,135 |
| View Royal - Fire | 5,113 | 0.05\% | 0.24\% | 0.02\% | 0.17\% | 0.47\% | 17,964 | 23,077 |
|  | 1,093,677 | 10.00\% | 60.00\% | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 100.00\% | 1,180,291 | 2,273,969 |

SCHEDULE D

## LIST OF SHAREHOLDERS

| Shareholder | Number of Shares |
| :---: | :---: |
| Provincial Government | one (1) |
| Garry Briggs (on behalf of RCMP) | one (1) |
| BCAS | one (1) |
| CRD (Southern Gulf Islands, Salt Spring Island and Juan de Fuca Electoral Areas) | three (3) |
| Town of Sidney | one (1) |
| District of Langford | one (1) |
| Corporation of the Town of Esquimalt | one (1) |
| City of Colwood | one (1) |
| District of Metchosin | one (1) |
| The Corporation of the District of Central Saanich | one (1) |
| The Corporation of the District of Saanich | one (1) |
| The Corporation of the District of Oak Bay | one (1) |
| The Corporation of the District of North Saanich | one (1) |
| District of Highlands | one (1) |
| The Corporation of the City of Victoria | one (1) |
| Town of View Royal | one (1) |
| District of Sooke | one (1) |
| BC Transit | one (1) |

## SCHEDULE E

LIST OF MEMBERS

| Provincial Government |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| BCAS |  |
|  | CRD (Southern Gulf Islands, Salt Spring Island <br> and Juan de Fuca Electoral Areas) |
| Town of Sidney |  |
| District of Langford |  |
| Corporation of the Town of Esquimalt |  |
| City of Colwood |  |
| District of Metchosin |  |
| The Corporation of the District of Central |  |
| The Corporation of the District of Saanich |  |
| The Corporation of the District of Oak Bay |  |
| The Corporation of the District of North Saanich |  |
| District of Highlands |  |
| The Corporation of the City of Victoria |  |
| Town of View Royal |  |
| District of Sooke |  |
| RC Transit |  |

Making a difference...together

## REPORT TO FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF WEDNESDAY, MARCH 02, 2022

SUBJECT Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) Service Agreement Renewal

## ISSUE SUMMARY

The service agreement between the Capital Regional District (CRD) and Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) expired on Dec 31, 2021, and requires renewal.

## BACKGROUND

At the December 8, 2021, CRD Board meeting, the Board approved a recommendation from the Planning and Protective Services Committee:

That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a new regional service agreement with CREST to provide general emergency radio communications services, with annual contributions limited to inflationary adjustments.

Subsequent to Board approval, staff have continued to negotiate with CREST on finalizing an agreement. Changes from the previous draft agreement are highlighted in the staff report below and appendix B. These changes include an upper limit on inflation impacts (3\%), reporting on financial accountability, and an annual presentation or update to the CRD Board.

For additional reference, staff have included in appendix A the previous staff report detailing the history and formation of CREST in the member agreement bylaw, where the commitment to fund was capped to the Call Answer Levy (CAL) revenue received. The agreement in appendix $B$ would fund CREST beyond the (CAL) and increase annual contributions by CPI with an upper limit of $3 \%$.

## ALTERNATIVES

## Alternative 1

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a service agreement as attached to provide general emergency radio communications services.

## Alternative 2

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That this report be referred back to staff for additional information.

## IMPLICATIONS

The revised 2022 agreement with tracked changes is included in Appendix B. The following concordance table summarizes revisions since December.

| Section | Draft <br> (December 2021) | Final <br> (March 2022) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 3. Services | No change from the 2017-2021 <br> agreement | Keeps 3.1, but adds new 3.2 and 3.3; old 3.2 <br> becomes 3.4 |

- consistent with existing CRD governance requirements of other like services; annual reporting to the Board is now included
- now includes the option for CRD to request financial information in alignment with the provisions of the Financial Information Act as if it applied to CREST; information to be provided upon request

4. Payment Matters

Adds sub-sections 4.1.(i), (ii), and (iii)

Adds text to 4.1.(ii) and revises a phrase in 4.1.(iii).

Incorporates additional terminology to:

- actual increases of the annual contribution will be the actual measure of CPI from BC Stats versus an estimate
- in the case of negative CPI, guarantees the base prior year fee, and in the case of excess inflation, the index used to calculate the increase is capped at $3 \%$, in alignment with CREST's targeted operational cost increase of 2.9\%


## Service Delivery Implications

The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. CREST, under agreement with the CRD, and as a Not for Profit and primarily publically funded Corporation, is delivering this service to users within the regional district. However, the agreement expired on December 31, 2021.

Renewal of the service agreement (Appendix B) will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD. Service levels and operational oversight are provided by and approved by the CREST Board annually through their planning approval processes.

All other implications have already been included in the initial report to Board in December 2021.

## CONCLUSION

The service agreement between the Capital Regional District (CRD) and Capital Region Emergency Service Telecommunications Inc. (CREST) was set to expire on Dec 31, 2021, and requires renewal. The CRD has the authority within Bylaw No. 2891, "Capital Regional District

Service of Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to provide an emergency communication service or to make a financial contribution towards the cost of an emergency communication service operated by another person or organization. CREST, under agreement with the CRD, is delivering this service to users within the regional district. Renewal of the service agreement will result in continuance of CRD contributions to CREST and in turn, the required operations of an emergency communications service on behalf of the CRD.

## RECOMMENDATION

The Finance Committee recommends to the Capital Regional District Board:
That the Chief Administrative Officer be authorized to enter into a service agreement as attached to provide general emergency radio communications services.

Submitted by: Nelson Chan, MBA, FCPA, FCMA, Chief Financial Officer<br>Concurrence: Kristen Morley, J.D., General Manager, Corporate Services \& Corporate Officer<br>Concurrence: Robert Lapham, MCIP, RPP, Chief Administrative Officer

## ATTACHMENT(S)

Appendix A: November 2021 Staff Report 21-685
Appendix B: Revised 2022 Service Agreement, with tracked changes

# EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AGREEMENT 

(the "Agreement")
THIS AGREEMENT dated for reference the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 BETWEEN:

CAPITAL REGIONAL EMERGENCY SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC.
1102944 W Shore Pkwy
Victoria, BC
V9B 0B2
("CREST")
OF THE FIRST PART

## AND:

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT<br>625 Fisgard Street, Victoria, BC V8W 2S6 ("CRD")

OF THE SECOND PART

WHEREAS the CRD Board has adopted Bylaw No. 2891, the Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 01, 2001 to establish a service of emergency communications in the service area, including contributing to the cost of an emergency communications service operated by a third party;

AND WHEREAS CREST is a non-profit corporation established under the Emergency Communications Corporations Act, to provide a unified system of inter-municipal radio and electronic communication servises;

AND WHEREAS the CRD Board has also adopted Bylaw No. 2893, the CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001 authorizing the CRD to hold three shares in CREST and appoint three Directors annually to the CREST Board;

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration (the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged) the CRD has requested CREST provide the Services defined herein and the CREST has agreed to provide those Services in accordance with the Agreement, as follows:

## 1. INTERPRETATION

In this Agreement, the following terms have the following meanings:
(a) "Service Payment" means the net monies raised and collected as user fees by the CRD pursuant to Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 01, 2001 (as
amended, re-enacted or replaced) available for disbursement to CREST, and additional payments as determined by the CRD Board.
(b) "Service Area" means the Service Area established under Bylaw No. 2891 "Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 01, 2001".

## 2. TERM

2.1 The Term of this Agreement will be for a period of five (5) years commencing on January 1, 2022, and continuing until December 31, 2026, unless sooner terminated pursuant to section 5.

## 3. SERVICES

3.1 In consideration of the CRD making the payments required under this Agreement and performing its other obligations under this Agreement, CREST will provide and maintain a radio communications system to provide emergency communications and related services for municipalities and the CRD as members of CREST (the "Services").
3.2 Each year, at least once annually on a date determined by the CRD, CREST will present to the CRD Board on CREST's annual operational and financial plans. including on performance against strategic plan, project plans, and other organizational and financial matters requested by the CRD.
3.3 Upon request from the CRD, CREST will provide financial information in alignment with the provisions of the Financial Information Act as if the Act applied to CREST as a regional district service (e.g. schedules of remuneration for board directors and staff $>\$ 75,000$ ) in relation to the regional district service.

### 3.23.4 Inability to Provide Service

Despite any other provision of the Agreement, the CRD acknowledges that CREST is not obliged to provide these Services where its systems are not operational by reason of acts of God, strike, lockout, or other labour dispute, acts of war, terrorism, sabotage or any other causes beyond the reasonable control and not the result of the fault or neglect of CREST.

## 4. PAYMENT MATTERS

### 4.1 Payment Amounts

(i) The CRD will make an annual contribution to CREST (the "Annual Contribution") in monthly installments. In 2022 the annual contribution amount will be $\$ 1,715,234$ based on the 2021 Board approved contribution (One million, seven
hundred and fifteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-four dollars) (the "Base Year Fee") representing the service payment and an additional contribution.
(ii) Commencing in 2022, and for each year of the Term thereafter, the Annual Contribution will be adjusted in accordance with the percentage change in the All Items Consumer Price Index for Victoria, British Columbia, published by Statistics Canada (the "CPI") in January each year and as calculated in accordance with this section. The Base Year Fee will be multiplied by the yearly percentage change in the CPI since 2021 (the "CPI Adjustment") and will be added to the Base Year Fee to determine the Annual Contribution for that year-:however, if the CPI is more than $3 \%$, the CPI will be capped at $3 \%$ for the purposes of the annual calculation. If the All Items Consumer Price Index for Victoria is discontinued, a comparable index will be selected by the CRD, acting reasonably.
(iii) If the CPI Adjustment is a negative change for any year in the Term, the Annual Contribution for that year will be the Base Year Feeprevious year's fee.
(iv) Any monthly contribution due in the calendar year prior to the annual release of the CPI will be made in the amount of the previous year's monthly installment, and will be reconciled in the monthly payments due for the remainder of that year.

### 4.2 Taxes

Any sales, use or goods and services taxes arising with respect to the Services will be paid by the CRD.

### 4.3 Services as Exempt Supply

The parties have determined, acting in good faith, that the Services are an exempt supply under the Excise Tax Act (Canada).

## 5. TERMINATION

### 5.1 Termination Rights

(i) This Agreement will terminate at the end of the term set out in section 2.1
(ii) CREST will have the right to terminate this Agreement for cause if:
a. The CRD fails to pay any amount under the Agreement when due, or
b. The CRD commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement (other than pursuant to subsection 5.1 (ii)(a) above) that is not cured to the satisfaction of CREST, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to the CRD describing the material breach in reasonable detail.
(iii) The CRD will have the right to terminate this Agreement immediately for cause if CREST commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement that is not cured to the satisfaction of the CRD, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to CREST describing the breach in reasonable detail.

### 5.2 Obligation Upon Termination

Unless the parties enter into a new Agreement, the parties will cooperate fully with each other to provide for an orderly transition of the Services to a successor service provider. CREST will continue to provide Services and to be paid for such Services during the period of transition to a successor provider to a maximum of 120 (one hundred twenty) days after the effective date of termination.

## 6. PROTECTION OF PRIVACY

6.1 Each party will abide by applicable laws relating to the collection, use and disclosure of personal information or information to which the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (British Columbia) applies.

## 7. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

### 7.1 Process

If there is any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement, then the parties will use reasonable good faith efforts to resolve such dispute, first by direct negotiation and then, if that is not successful, by mediation with a neutral third party mediator acceptable to both parties. Each party will bear its own costs and expenses in connection with any mediation and all costs and expenses of the mediator will be shared equally by the parties. Any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement that is not settled by agreement between the parties within a reasonable time will, on agreement of both parties, be settled by binding arbitration by a single arbitrator. The location of any arbitration proceeding will be in Victoria, British Columbia. The arbitration will be governed by the Arbitration Act (British Columbia). The arbitrator will be selected and the arbitration conducted in accordance with the British Columbia Domestic Arbitration Rules ("Rules"), except that the provisions of this Agreement will prevail over the Rules. The parties will share equally in the fees and expenses of the arbitrator and the cost of the facilities used for the arbitration hearing, but will otherwise each bear their respective costs incurred in connection with the arbitration including each parties own legal fees. The parties will use their best efforts to ensure that an arbitrator is selected promptly and that the arbitration hearing is conducted no later than two (2) monthly after the arbitrator is selected.

### 7.2 Award Final

The award of the arbitrator will be final and binding on each party. Judgment upon the award may be entered in any court of competent jurisdiction.

## 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS

### 8.1 No Third Party Beneficiaries

Nothing contained in this Agreement will create a duty or liability on the part of CREST, the CRD or their respective directors, officers, members, public officials, employees or agents to any member of the public. There are no third party beneficiaries to this Agreement.

### 8.2 Notices

Any notice required under the terms of this Agreement must be in writing. Any such notice will be deemed delivered:
(a) on the day of delivery in person;
(b) ten (10) days after date of deposit by prepaid registered mail, or upon confirmation receipt;
(c) on confirmation of delivery by courier;
(d) on the date sent by electronic mail if receipt is confirmed in writing by other party to whom it is directed, set forth below:

Capital Regional District
625 Fisgard Street
Victoria, BC V8W 2S6
Email: $\qquad$
-And-
CREST
1102944 W Shore Parkway
Victoria, BC V9B 0B2
Email: $\qquad$
-Or- to such other address or contact person as that party may notify the other in accordance with this section.

### 8.3 Assignment

The CRD will not have the right to assign, transfer (whether directly or indirectly) or otherwise dispose of any of its interest in all or any part of this Agreement, whether gratuitously or for consideration, without the prior written consent of CREST and any attempt to do so will be void. CREST will have the right at any time to assign, transfer
or otherwise dispose of the whole of this Agreement to any subsidiary or affiliate company, provided that the CRD approves the assignment in writing, not to be unreasonably withheld, and the subsidiary or affiliate company assumes all of the obligations of CREST under this Agreement.

### 8.4 Benefit

This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties and their respective successors and assigns.

### 8.5 Entire Agreement

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the parties relating to the Services and supersedes any previous agreement with respect to the Services whether written or verbal.

### 8.6 Severability

If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unenforceable then such provision will be severed from this Agreement and the remaining provisions will remain in full force and effect. The parties will in good faith negotiate a mutually acceptable and enforceable substitute for the unenforceable provision, which substitute will be as consistent as possible with the original intent of the parties.

### 8.7 Waiver

The failure of either party to require the performance of any obligation hereunder, or the waiver of any obligation in a specific instance, will not be interpreted as a general waiver of any of the obligations hereunder, which will remain in full force and effect.

### 8.8 Relationship of Parties

This Agreement will not create nor will it be interpreted as creating any association, partnership or any agency relationship between the parties.

### 8.9 Governing Law

This Agreement is governed by, and if interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws applicable in British Columbia.

### 8.10 Counterpart

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts. Each executed counterpart shall be deemed to be an original. All executed counterparts taken together shall constitute one agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT by its ) authorized signatories:
Name

Name

CAPITAL
SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC. SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC. ) by its authorized signatories:
) ) ) ) )

Name

Name

# EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SERVICE AGREEMENT 

(the "Agreement")
THIS AGREEMENT dated for reference the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ BETWEEN:

## CAPITAL REGIONAL EMERGENCY SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC.

1102944 W Shore Pkwy
Victoria, BC
V9B 0B2
("CREST")
OF THE FIRST PART
AND:
CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT
625 Fisgard Street,
Victoria, BC
V8W 2S6
("CRD")
OF THE SECOND PART

WHEREAS the CRD Board has adopted Bylaw No. 2891, the "Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001", to establish a service of emergency communications in the service area, including contributing to the cost of an emergency communications service operated by a third party;

AND WHEREAS CREST is a non-profit corporation established under the Emergency Communications Corporations Act to provide a unified system of inter-municipal radio and electronic communication services;

AND WHEREAS the CRD Board has also adopted Bylaw No. 2893, the "CREST Members' Agreement Bylaw No. 1, 2001", authorizing the CRD to hold three shares in CREST and appoint three Directors annually to the CREST Board;

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements set forth in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration (the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged) the CRD has requested CREST provide the Services defined herein and the CREST has agreed to provide those Services in accordance with the Agreement, as follows:

## 1. INTERPRETATION

In this Agreement, the following terms have the following meanings:
(a) "Service Payment" means the net monies raised and collected as user fees by the CRD pursuant to Emergency Communications Charge Bylaw No. 01, 2001 (as
amended, re-enacted or replaced) available for disbursement to CREST, and additional payments as determined by the CRD Board.
(b) "Service Area" means the Service Area established under Bylaw No. 2891 "Capital Regional District Emergency Communications Service Establishment Bylaw No. 01, 2001".

## 2. TERM

2.1 The Term of this Agreement will be for a period of six five (65) years commencing on January 1, 2022, and continuing until December 31, 20216, unless sooner terminated pursuant to section 5 .

## 3. SERVICES

3.1 In consideration of the CRD making the payments required under this Agreement and performing its other obligations under this Agreement, CREST will provide and maintain a radio communications system to provide emergency communications and related services for municipalities and the CRD as members of CREST (the "Services").
3.2 Each year, at least once annually on a date determined by mutually agreeable to both CREST and the CRD, CREST will present to the CRD Board on CREST's annual operational and financial plans, including on-performance against strategic plan and ,-project plans., and other organizational and financial matters requested by CRD.
3.3 Upon request from the CRD, and as per the 'Financial Statements' requirements of the Members' Agreement,-CREST will provide financial information in the form of annual audited financial statements, annual budget and five-year financial plan. in alignment with the provisions of the Financial Information Act as if the Act applied to GREST as a regional district service (e.g. schedules of remuneration for board directors and staff $>\$ 75,000$ ) in relation to the regional district service.
3.4 Inability to Provide Service

Despite any other provision of the Agreement, the CRD acknowledges that CREST is not obliged to provide these Services where its systems are not operational by reason of acts of God, strike, lockout, or other labour dispute, acts of war, terrorism, sabotage or any other causes beyond the reasonable control and not the result of the fault or neglect of CREST.

## 4. PAYMENT MATTERS

### 4.1 Payment Amounts

(i) The CRD will make an annual contribution to CREST (the "Annual Contribution") in monthly installments. Commencing itn 2022, and for each year of the Term thereafter, -the annual contribution amount will be \$1,715,234 based on the 2021 Board approved contribution (One million, seven hundred and fifteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-four dollars) (the "Base Year Fee") representing the service payment will be increased annually over the base year (2021) by a percentage increase equal to the following schedule:

| $\underline{\text { Year }}$ | $\underline{\text { Rate Per Agreement }}$ | $\underline{\text { Amount Per Agreement }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2.0 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,749,540}$ |
| $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{3.8 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,816,023}$ |
| $\underline{\underline{2024}}$ | $\underline{4.9 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,905,008}$ |
| $\underline{2025}$ | $\underline{4.9 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,998,353}$ |
| $\underline{\underline{2026}}$ | $\underline{4.9 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 2,096,272}$ |
| $\underline{\underline{2027}}$ | $\underline{\underline{2.9 \%}}$ | $\underline{\$ 2,157,064}$ |

(iii) Commencing in 2022, and for each year of the Term thereafter, the Annual Contribution will be adjusted in accordance with the percentage change in the All Items Consumer Price Index for Victoria, British Columbia, published by Statistics Canada (the "CPI") in January each year and as calculated in accordance with this section. The Base Year Fee will be multiplied by the yearly percentage change in the CPI since 2021 (the "CPI Adjustment") and will be added to the Base Year Fee to determine the Annual Contribution for that year; however, if the CPI is more than $3 \%$, the CPI will be capped at $3 \%$ for the purposes of the annual calculation. If the All Items Consumer Price Index for Victoria is discontinued, a comparable index will be selected by the GRD, acting reasonably.
(iv) If the-CPIAdjustment is a negative change for any year in the Term, the Annual Contribution for that year will be the previous year's fee.
(v) Any monthly contribution due in the calendar year prior to the annual release of the CPI will be made in the amount of the previous year's monthly installment, and will be reconciled in the monthly payments due for the remainder of that year.
(v)-Taxes
(vi)(ii)

Any sales, use or goods and services taxes arising with respect to the Services will be paid by the CRD.

### 4.34.2 Services as Exempt Supply

The parties have determined, acting in good faith, that the Services are an exempt supply under the Excise Tax Act (Canada).

## 5. TERMINATION

### 5.1 Termination Rights

(i) This Agreement will terminate at the end of the term set out in section 2.1
(ii) CREST will have the right to terminate this Agreement for cause if:
a. The CRD fails to pay any amount under the Agreement when due, or
b. The CRD commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement (other than pursuant to subsection 5.1 (ii)(a) above) that is not cured to the satisfaction of CREST, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to the CRD describing the material breach in reasonable detail.
(iii) The CRD will have the right to terminate this Agreement immediately for cause if CREST commits any material breach of its obligations under this Agreement that is not cured to the satisfaction of the CRD, acting reasonably, within 120 (one hundred twenty) days after written notice to CREST describing the breach in reasonable detail.

### 5.2 Obligation Upon Termination

Unless the parties enter into a new Agreement, the parties will cooperate fully with each other to provide for an orderly transition of the Services to a successor service provider. CREST will continue to provide Services and to be paid for such Services during the period of transition to a successor provider to a maximum of 120 (one hundred twenty) days after the effective date of termination.

## 6. PROTECTION OF PRIVACY

6.1 Each party will abide by applicable laws relating to the collection, use and disclosure of personal information or information to which the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (British Columbia) applies.

## 7. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

7.1 Process

If there is any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement, then the parties will use reasonable good faith efforts to resolve such dispute, first by direct negotiation and then, if that is not successful, by mediation with a neutral third party mediator acceptable to both parties. Each party will bear its own costs and expenses in connection with any mediation and all costs and expenses of the mediator will be shared equally by the parties. Any dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement that is not settled by agreement between the parties within a reasonable time will, on agreement of both parties, be settled by binding arbitration by a single arbitrator. The location of any arbitration proceeding will be in Victoria, British Columbia. The arbitration will be governed by the Arbitration Act (British Columbia). The arbitrator will be selected and the arbitration conducted in accordance with the British Columbia Domestic Arbitration Rules ("Rules"), except that the provisions of this Agreement will prevail over the Rules. The parties will share equally in the fees and expenses of the arbitrator and the cost of the facilities used for the arbitration hearing, but will otherwise each bear their respective costs incurred in connection with the arbitration including each parties own legal fees. The parties will use their best efforts to ensure that an arbitrator is selected promptly and that the arbitration hearing is conducted no later than two (2) monthly after the arbitrator is selected.

### 7.2 Award Final

The award of the arbitrator will be final and binding on each party. Judgment upon the award may be entered in any court of competent jurisdiction.

## 8. GENERAL PROVISIONS

### 8.1 No Third Party Beneficiaries

Nothing contained in this Agreement will create a duty or liability on the part of CREST, the CRD or their respective directors, officers, members, public officials, employees or agents to any member of the public. There are no third party beneficiaries to this Agreement.

### 8.2 Notices

Any notice required under the terms of this Agreement must be in writing. Any such notice will be deemed delivered:
(a) on the day of delivery in person;
(b) ten (10) days after date of deposit by prepaid registered mail, or upon confirmation receipt;
(c) on confirmation of delivery by courier;
(d) on the date sent by electronic mail if receipt is confirmed in writing by other party to whom it is directed, set forth below:

Capital Regional District
625 Fisgard Street
Victoria, BC V8W 2S6

Email: $\qquad$
-And-

CREST
1102944 W Shore Parkway
Victoria, BC V9B OB2
Email: $\qquad$
-Or- to such other address or contact person as that party may notify the other in accordance with this section.

### 8.3 Assignment

The CRD will not have the right to assign, transfer (whether directly or indirectly) or otherwise dispose of any of its interest in all or any part of this Agreement, whether gratuitously or for consideration, without the prior written consent of CREST and any attempt to do so will be void. CREST will have the right at any time to assign, transfer or otherwise dispose of the whole of this Agreement to any subsidiary or affiliate company, provided that the CRD approves the assignment in writing, not to be unreasonably withheld, and the subsidiary or affiliate company assumes all of the obligations of CREST under this Agreement.
8.4 Benefit

This Agreement will ensure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties and their respective successors and assigns.

### 8.5 Entire Agreement

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the parties relating to the Services and supersedes any previous agreement with respect to the Services whether written or verbal.

### 8.6 Severability

If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unenforceable then such provision will be severed from this Agreement and the remaining provisions will remain in full force and effect. The parties will in good faith negotiate a mutually acceptable and enforceable substitute for the unenforceable provision, which substitute will be as consistent as possible with the original intent of the parties.

### 8.7 Waiver

The failure of either party to require the performance of any obligation hereunder, or the waiver of any obligation in a specific instance, will not be interpreted as a general waiver of any of the obligations hereunder, which will remain in full force and effect.

### 8.8 Relationship of Parties

This Agreement will not create nor will it be interpreted as creating any association, partnership or any agency relationship between the parties.
8.9 Governing Law

This Agreement is governed by, and if interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws applicable in British Columbia.
8.10 Counterpart

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts. Each executed counterpart shall be deemed to be an original. All executed counterparts taken together shall constitute one agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT by its ) authorized signatories:

| Name |
| :---: |
| Name |

CAPITAL REGION EMERGENCY ) SERVICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC. ) by its authorized signatories:

## Name

Name


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Surveys were conducted in 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2017. Except where noted, comparisons with previous surveys are made for the RPA. This is because different geographies have been used over the years, although the RPA has always been covered.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ These comparisons are for context only, given that not every worker is in the 25-64 age cohort and not every retiree is in the 65+ age cohort.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ As opposed to the stock of vehicles that are in commercial use.
    ${ }^{4}$ These figures include hybrid, plug-in hybrid, electric-only vehicles, biodiesel and other or unknown alternative fuel. Diesel and gasoline are grouped with gasoline as conventionally powered vehicles.
    ${ }^{5}$ Note that comparison of the survey results with ICBC statistics on the fuel types of registered private vehicles shows similar numbers of hybrid vehicles but the number of EVs is higher in the expanded survey results than the ICBC counts. However, it should also be noted that the figures may not be directly comparable, given that the 'household vehicles' captured by the survey include both privately-owned vehicles and some business-owned vehicles kept at home by the business owner or available to employees for personal use. While the number of EVs is unquestionably growing fast, caution may be exercised in interpreting the magnitude of the increase suggested by the survey results.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Heavier devices such as electric wheelchairs and mobility scooters were not included as e-micromobility devices for the purposes of the survey.
    ${ }^{7}$ Prior to the 2017 0-D survey, trips were captured only for people 11 years of age and older.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Based on 1,104,300 trips in the RPA made by persons 5+ in 2017 and 996,300 trips in the RPA made by persons 5+ in 2022.
    ${ }^{9} 59 \%$ of trips are to destinations outside the home and $41 \%$ of trips are return-home trips. The trips to work represent $34 \%$ of the to destinations outside the home.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ Distributions of trip purposes are shown by hour according to the time the trip started. Some of the trip purposes have been grouped together in the figure for clarity.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ A trip may entail more than one mode of travel, such as Park \& Ride trips. In these instances, the primary mode was assigned based on the following hierarchy (with transit, at the top of the hierarchy, always being assigned if a trip involved transit and another mode): transit, school bus, auto driver, auto passenger, other, bicycle, walked. The primary mode assigned to a multi-mode trip is usually the mode by which the greatest distance would be travelled.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12} 19$ times out of 20, for a given survey question, the survey response percentage should be somewhere within the margin of error of the survey results. The margin of error has been corrected to take into account the increase in error associated with data weighting to correct for over-/under-sampling and/or non-response bias.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ It may be noted that the 2011 survey included the southern part of the CVRD south of Cowichan Valley Highway (Highway 18)/ Herd Road. The 2006 survey included a smaller part of the South CVRD. Previous and subsequent surveys have not included this geography.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ The projection to 2022 looked only at total population. The growth rate was projected forward from 2021 to 2022 based on annualized growth rate from 2016 to 2022 for each Census Subdivision (CSD). This was then applied to the Census Profile counts uniformly across the Dissemination Areas in each CSD, with those scaled up Dissemination Area Census Profile counts then grouped to the 19 municipal districts used in the data weighting controls.
    Since the 2021 Census Profile distributions are the core weighting controls, a simple scaling up was used, rather than trying to fine-tune the controls with speculative changes in age or other distributions. A more complex forecasting exercise that tried to forecast changes in age/gender distributions would not easily take into account how the change in dwellings by dwelling type or household by household size, which are also core weighting controls, would be affected by changes in population by age group.

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ The expanded survey data represent 6,800 Camosun College students, half of the 13,600 enrolment for the entire 2022/23 year (Camosun College Institutional Accountability Plan \& Report, 2022/23 Reporting Cycle, page 35, https://camosun.ca/sites/default/files/2023-08/accountability-report-23.pdf, last accessed August 2023). From published enrolment figures it is unclear how much of the reported 2022/23 enrolment was in the Fall semester.
    ${ }^{16}$ The expanded survey data represent 17,370 University of Victoria students ( 15,040 full-time and 2,330 part-time). This compares to reported 2021 UVic enrolments of 19,361 students, with 14,039 full-time and 5,322 part-time (University of

[^11]:    Victoria Factbook Table 5 - Full Part-Time Headcount, page 1 Table 5B,
    https://www.uvic.ca/institutionalplanning/assets/docs/enrolment/factbook_table_05.pdf, last accessed August 2023). It may be noted that some portion of the reported enrolment, particularly part-time enrolment, may not have been in the Fall semester, and a small portion may include students living outside the study area. In addition, it may be noted that the university provides housing to up to 2,300 students in residence, who would not have been included in the survey sample frame. The headcount enrolment statistics for 2022 were not available, and it is unknown whether 2022 enrolments were higher or lower than 2021 enrolments.
    ${ }^{17}$ Statistics Canada. Table 14-10-0380-02 Labour force characteristics, three month moving average, seasonally adjusted (x 1,000), last accessed August 2023.

[^12]:    ${ }^{18}$ This corresponds to the five-year 9.7\% growth rate cited in Table 3.

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ Note that workers' priority for the household vehicle reflects experience observed in surveys across Canada. It does not necessarily reflect the needs of other household members; rather, how the household members collectively might or might not decide to make their trips. The focus here on workers' mode choices also corresponds to their primacy as a target market for transit because the regularity of their trip to and from home makes them most conducive to switch to that mode. The fact that, on average, there are more than enough vehicles for each worker means that, on average, households have enough vehicles to support the habitual commute to and from work by auto while also enabling, on average, the uses of the household vehicle for other non-work-related travel. This is a measure of household vehicle dependency (see Section 3.4.1).

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ Labour force characteristics by census metropolitan area (CMA), three-month moving average, seasonally adjusted and unadjusted, Table 14-10-0294-01, Statistics Canada. The cited unemployment rate refers to the Victoria CMA.
    ${ }^{21}$ Labour force characteristics by census metropolitan area and C Wilson, Greater Victoria's unemployment rate dropped to 3.5 per cent last month, Times Colonist, posted December 2, 2022. The cited unemployment rates refer to the Victoria CMA.

[^15]:    ${ }^{22}$ R Saba, Wave of retirement hits Canadian workforce as healthcare, education lose workers, CTV News, September 30, 2022.

[^16]:    ${ }^{23}$ This compares to $62 \%$ owners and $38 \%$ renters in the RPA per the 2021 Census for a $25 \%$ sample (Census long form). It is difficult to assess whether this means that there is bias in the survey sample, as $38 \%$ of households surveyed did not provide a response.

[^17]:    ${ }^{24}$ As opposed to the stock of vehicles that are in commercial use.

[^18]:    ${ }^{25}$ These figures include hybrid, plug-in hybrid, electric-only vehicles, biodiesel and other or unknown alternative fuel. Diesel and gasoline are grouped with gasoline as conventionally powered vehicles.

[^19]:    ${ }^{26}$ E-bike Incentives, District of Saanich, no date (https://www.saanich.ca/EN/main/community/sustainable-saanich/climate-change/programs-rebates/e-bike-incentives.html). The Province introduced a new rebate program for ebikes on June 1, 2023. This program does not require applicants to scrap a car in order to access the rebate. Rebates make new e-bike purchases more affordable, media release, Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, May 25, 2023.

[^20]:    ${ }^{27}$ Based on 1,104,300 trips in the RPA made by persons 5+ in 2017 and 995,900 trips in the RPA made by persons 5+ in 2022.
    ${ }^{28}$ Excludes trips made by Salt Spring Island residents.

[^21]:    *Total trip volumes: all trips in the RPA, including Salt Spring Island residents' trips in the RPA. Trips/person: trips in the RPA made by RPA residents, excluding Salt Spring Island residents and their trips.

[^22]:    * All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

[^23]:    * All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

[^24]:    ${ }^{29}$ Because these are habitual trips that workers and students 'must' make as part of their employment or schooling, they are described as 'non-discretionary' trips, even if they do not occur every day. In contrast, trips for shopping, recreation, going to a restaurant and so on are characterized as 'discretionary' trips because they commonly have flexible schedules.

[^25]:    * All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

[^26]:    * All trips in the RPA that are made by RPA residents, which excludes Salt Spring Island residents' trips.

[^27]:    ${ }^{30}$ A trip may entail more than one mode of travel, such as Park \& Ride trips. In these instances, the primary mode was assigned based on the following hierarchy (with transit, at the top of the hierarchy, always being assigned if a trip involved transit and another mode): transit, school bus, auto driver, auto passenger, other, bicycle, walked. Generally, the primary mode assigned to a multi-mode trip is usually the mode by which the greatest distance would be travelled.

[^28]:    ${ }^{31}$ The auto share drops slightly for households that have two adults and one or more children, compared with single-parent households.
    ${ }^{32}$ The sustainable share increases for households that have two adults and one or more children, compared with singleparent households.

[^29]:    ${ }^{33}$ The mode shares by household income reported here reflect only data from those households that responded to the income question.

[^30]:    ${ }^{34}$ The 7\% increase in trips to elementary and secondary schools is consistent with the $8.7 \%$ increase in the 5-17 population between 2017 and 2022. See Table 6.

[^31]:    ${ }^{35}$ Some behaviour associated with this trip purposes may not be captured in the survey. Specifically, some people now have their meals, groceries and other purchases delivered, rather than going to the restaurant or store themselves. These deliveries are considered as commercial trips, which are not captured in this household survey.

[^32]:    ${ }^{36}$ I.e., the question was not asked again for each subsequent trip made via a sustainable mode by the same person unless they returned home and left home again via another sustainable mode.

[^33]:    ${ }^{37}$ BC Transit data for Fall 2022 suggest that there were around 89,990 boardings in October and 84,470 in November. The majority ( $78 \%$ ) of the CRD OD surveys were completed by October 31, 2022, thus the BC Transit comparison would be to a weighted average between October and November of about 90,970 boardings, with the survey results representing $83 \%$ of these boardings. Whether survey respondents under-reported the actual routes they took, the methodology of the BC Transit boarding counts, the extent to which people outside the survey frame make transit trips and whether the survey sample under-represents transit users despite data weighting for various household and demographics characteristics may all be factors in the difference between the BC Transit counts and the expanded survey results.

[^34]:    *See footnote 37 earlier in this section for comparison to BC Transit's boarding counts.

[^35]:    ${ }^{38}$ HBS includes home-based trips to and from elementary and secondary schools but excludes trips to post-secondary schools, which fall under the HBO category.

[^36]:    ${ }^{39}$ Multiple of the total number of HBW trips generated in a district by the rate of internalization.

[^37]:    *supplemental follow-up questions answered by $60 \%-65 \%$ of households

[^38]:    CHAIR

[^39]:    

[^40]:    At the time of funding allocation by the Province, 2021 preliminary postcensal estimate and 2016 final postcensal estimate were used

[^41]:    Name

