



Making a difference...together

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Capital Regional District Board  
Held Wednesday, August 14, 2013, in the Board Room, 625 Fisgard Street, Victoria, BC**

---

**PRESENT:** **Directors:** A. Bryson (Chair), D. Blackwell (Vice Chair), S. Brice, J. Brownoff, L. Cross, T. Daly, V. Derman, B. Desjardins, D. Fortin, S. Gudgeon (for B. Isitt), B. Isitt (2:31), C. Hamilton, G. Hill, D. Howe, R. Kasper (for W. Milne), F. Leonard, P. Madoff (for M. Alto), W. McIntyre, J. Mendum, J. Ranns, L. Szpak (for L. Seaton), W. Vowles (for M. Hicks), L. Wergeland (1:41 p.m.) and G. Young  
**Staff:** R. Lapham, Chief Administrative Officer; J. Hull, Interim Program Director, Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program; T. Brcic, Deputy Program Director, Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program; L. Hutcheson, General Manager, Parks and Environmental Services; D. Lokken, General Manager, Corporate Services; M. Misek-Evans, General Manager, Planning and Protective Services; A. Orr, Senior Manager, Corporate Communications; T. Tanton, Senior Manager, Infrastructure Engineering; S. Santarossa, Corporate Officer, and N. More, Committee Clerk (Recorder)

**ABSENT:** **Director:** N. Jensen

**ALSO PRESENT:** K. Stuart, Stewart McDannold Stuart

The Chair called the meeting to order at 1:30 pm.

**1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

**MOVED** by Director Blackwell, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Madoff,  
That items 6.3 and 8.1 be relocated immediately following the presentations and the amended agenda and supplementary agenda be approved.

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Derman,  
That the supplementary agenda be amended with delegations 12 and 17 relocated to speak after delegation 9 and before delegation 10.

**CARRIED**

On the main motion, the Committee discussed the voting method for the notice of motion. The Chair reported that staff have confirmed it is a weighted participants vote as it pertains to the administration and operation of the service.

**MOVED** by Director Blackwell, **SECONDED** by Director Brice,  
That items 6.3 and 8.1 be relocated immediately following the presentations and delegations 12 and 17 be relocated to speak after delegation 9 and before delegation 10 and the amended agenda and amended supplementary agenda be approved.

**CARRIED**

**2. ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF JULY 3 AND JULY 10, 2013**

**MOVED** by Director Fortin, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Madoff,  
That the minutes of the meeting of July 3, 2013, be adopted.

**CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Director Fortin, **SECONDED** by Director Hill,  
That the minutes of the meeting of July 10, 2013, be adopted.

**CARRIED**

### **3. REPORT OF THE CHAIR**

Since the number of delegations present to address a single item was more than six, Chair Bryson limited the delegation speeches to three minutes each.

### **4. PRESENTATIONS/DELEGATIONS**

1. Allan Crow, re agenda item 8.1: rose to speak against the motion and began to play a video as his speech.

During the presentation it became apparent that the video had been tampered with. The Chair asked that Mr. Crow return at the end of the delegation list to play an original version of the video.

2. Gerald Graham, re agenda item 8.1: spoke against the motion. He spoke to his concerns about meeting the regulatory deadlines, contamination of the ocean, the protection of the orca as a species at risk, and a precautionary approach of treating sewage now instead of in 2030 or 2040. The delegation provided a written submission filed at Legislative Services.
3. John Newcomb, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. He felt the high-risk rating given to the current system was erroneous, a sludge plant would have high risks and hazards, the timelines were unrealistic, the decision not to consider land from the Agricultural Land Reserve was a mistake, and that pressure from the funding arrangements was part of the problem. He expressed that the current marine-based treatment or a distributed system was more supportable. The delegation provided a written submission filed at Legislative Services.
4. Ray Zimmermann, Sea to Sea Greenbelt Society, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. He felt a review was necessary in order to justify spending with a cost-benefit and environmental-health analysis. He felt due diligence had not been done, and that the Capital Regional District (CRD) gave misleading information in comparing costs with technology demonstrated by the Dockside Green development.
5. James Skwarok, Victoria Sewage Treatment Alliance, re agenda item 8.1: spoke against the motion. He expressed that the CRD had been ordered to treat sewage, there have been seven years of planning and public consultation, the plan was peer reviewed in 2009, and environmental studies mandated by senior government met with approval. He felt the motion did not provide alternatives, the plan meets the requirements, the treatment will have environmental benefits and is carbon negative and the federal and provincial regulations would not allow for delays.

6. Michelle Coburn, Greater Victoria Water Watch Coalition, re agenda item 8.1: spoke against the motion. She felt there were no easy solutions but the problems must be faced. She spoke of seven years of open houses and documents and a Greater Victoria Water Watch Coalition petition with over 3,000 signatures in support of sewage treatment. She expressed that sewage treatment is done in other communities, concerns for toxins on land must also be worried about for oceans, and a delay would be detrimental.
7. A registered speaker listed on the supplementary agenda withdrew from the list prior to the meeting.
8. Diane White, re agenda item 8.1: acknowledged the First Nations of the area, and spoke against the motion. She felt the wastewater treatment project would benefit the community and shoreline, and expressed that as a resident of Esquimalt, she had no problem with the treatment plan. She expressed concern for the shoreline and the reputation of the city as a polluter of the ocean. She thanked the Core Area Liquid Waste Management Committee (CALWMC) for seven years of studies and a viable treatment plan with funding from senior government.
9. Bryan Gilbert, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. He expressed that he did not oppose land-based treatment. He expressed concern over transparency of the decision process, the accuracy or full disclosure of cost figures, and misrepresentations of potential treatment plant designs. He felt the potential risk of delays in the project by virtue of the motion were no greater than risks due to the rezoning process. He expressed concern that the Technical and Community Advisory Committee has not been maintained.
12. Norma Brown, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. She spoke to her concerns that the project is off course with the potential to do damage. She felt deadline pressure and fear of losing funding was driving the project, the CALWMC had made decisions without adequate community input, the project would do harm to tourism and livability, that odour control was doubtful, the process had not been open, the entrance to the harbour had potential that would be wasted by the presence of a treatment plant and the land there was too small to accommodate the wastewater treatment plant. The delegation made a written submission filed at Legislative Services.
17. Trevor Davies, Canadian Union of Public Employees, BC Division, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke of concerns over transparency and accountability of the project and a lack of details on the federal and provincial funding and public control over the wastewater service. He expressed that the motion had the potential to significantly alter the project and that the CRD had an opportunity with the motion or with ongoing negotiations to ensure the integral service of wastewater treatment remained a public service. He was concerned that the CRD would be lobbied to put wastewater treatment in the hands of private ownership. He expressed that public ownership and accountability was of benefit to the community.

10. Richard Atwell, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. He used a PowerPoint presentation to illustrate his points and those of the six speakers (delegations 11 to 18) following him. He spoke to concerns about the plan not meeting Ministry objectives, siting, pipes and pumping, lack of innovation and project specifications by CRD staff. The presentation slides were filed at Legislative Services.
11. Irwin Henderson, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, he expressed concern over small gains for large pains in relation to finances and the environment.
13. Janet Riddell, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, she expressed support for sewage treatment but felt such a large investment could achieve better results. She felt there were misconceptions about the costs of tertiary treatment as exemplified by Dockside Green. The delegation made a written submission filed at Legislative Services, related to the presentation slides.
14. Diane Carr, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, she expressed support for sewage treatment but not of the current plan and expressed concern over the timeline for rollout and presented the acronym RITE (Respectful of communities, Innovative, Taxpayer friendly, Environmentally sound), which she felt if the treatment project followed would earn public approval.
15. John Farquharson, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, he expressed support for tertiary treatment. He expressed concern over cost comparisons to the system used at Dockside Green that he felt were erroneous.
16. Jack Meredith, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, he expressed concern over the risks of pumping slurry or sludge to Hartland and other, known project risks. He felt proceeding with the current project would be risky and needed a thorough review.

Director Isitt entered the meeting at 2:31 p.m. and Alternate Director Gudgeon retired from the meeting.

18. Beth Burton-Krahn, re agenda item 6.3 and 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. In the continuation of the slide presentation, she expressed that a review could result in a sewage success story for greater Victoria.
19. Nicholas Harrington, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. He felt the proposal to pump sludge to Hartland would be capital and energy intensive, and a review would outweigh costs and go a long way to garner public support.

20. Eleanor Calder, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion. She illustrated her points with photos of cruise ships visiting the area and the scenery along their route. She felt the motion captured her concerns about the project and a review would be a chance for a second look, the staff report was one-sided, citizens provided a different side, and both sides were needed to make a wise decision.
21. Stephen Lamb, re agenda item 8.1: expressed his belief in public consultation and spoke to his concerns over the compensation for the newly-appointed director of the Core Area Wastewater Treatment Program and the situation into which he felt the CRD had gotten itself over sewage treatment. He quoted the notice of motion resolution to initiate a review to insure an approach of environmental and economic sustainability and questioned whether the approach should not already exist within the program. He expressed that facts needed to be dealt with.
22. John Fuller, re agenda item 8.1: spoke in favour of the motion and expressed concern over privatization, scientific evidence, and potential effects of the proposed pipeline on the harbour. He spoke of being asked to remind the Committee of the potential for an extended deadline and expressed his hope that the project would cease.

The Committee received procedural assurance on the rule for a Director entering and taking the place of an Alternate Director while a Board meeting was in progress.

1. Allan Crow, re agenda item 8.1: returned as a speaker and played a video as his speech. The video was taken underwater during a dive by Mr. Crow in an area 5 km from the McCaulay Point outfall and a depth of about 50 feet. In the video, he spoke in a voice-over narration of low visibility due to particulates in the water, an accumulation of silt on the rocks and plants, and a decline in the abundance of sea life. In contrast, the final images of the video showed a silt-free area in Beecher Bay. He expressed that there is a price to pay in using the ocean as a receiving environment for wastewater and the CRD should not delay the treatment program. The delegation also provided a written submission filed at Legislative Services, along with the video.

Items 6.3 and 8.1 were considered before item 5.

### **6.3 Notice of Motion – Core Area Liquid Waste Sewage Treatment Project**

J. Hull spoke to the report. In summary, due diligence has been followed, there have been numerous studies and technical reports since the beginning of the program, a peer review and environmental assessments have been done, and approval came from the Province in 2010 for the wastewater treatment plan.

J. Hull used a PowerPoint presentation to illustrate the main points such as the background of the program, current plan, benefits of a regional system, climate change mitigation and resource recovery, and the efficacy of secondary treatment. Points reviewed included that the plan addresses regulatory requirements, has a negative

carbon footprint, balances environmental benefit with affordability, meets the treatment needs of the existing urban population, has capacity for growth, does not preclude opportunities for future Dockside Green-type developments and anticipates the need for future capacity in growth areas.

On the motion, discussion included the following points:

- In comparing with Dockside Green, the Core Area Wastewater Treatment project cost calculations include capital and operating costs, loan amortization over 25 years, and operation of the plant over 50 years
- ownership of both the treatment plant and the Biosolids Energy Centre (BCE) remains with the CRD. CRD employees will operate the wastewater treatment plant and the option exists to be the operators after the initial 25-year concession to the design/build/finance bidder for the BCE
- the type of review called for in the notice of motion compared to the information in the staff report
- environmental impact assessments were carried out for each component of the project, as required by the government
- volume and flow and the formula for cost estimates to municipalities based on flow
- status of rezoning process for the McLoughlin site
- addendum to RFP with final design requirements with input from site municipality

**MOVED** by Director Blackwell, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Szpak,  
That the staff report be received for information.

**CARRIED**

## **8. MOTIONS FOR WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

### **8.1 Core Area Liquid Waste Sewage Treatment Project – Director Derman**

On the motion, Director Derman spoke of his concerns about the Core Area Liquid Waste sewage treatment project, including the following points:

- The requirements of the Ministry and whether the project fulfills the objectives
- Regulatory standards and standards for tertiary systems and clarity of water
- Heat from sewage and reuse of water
- Controversy over biosolids use
- Decentralized and distributed systems and GHG emission reduction
- Investigation into other approaches that might give better results
- Opportune time for a review

The Board discussion included the following points:

- Policy decisions and land acquisitions
- Result of design/build/finance unknown at this early stage of RFP
- Other toxins in the effluent and where they end up
- Letter from the ministry in 2010 approving Amendment 8

- Representative technology to satisfy the business case; flexibility built into the plan; innovation captured in wording throughout the RFP
- Feasibility studies conducted on methods for the reuse of reclaimed water
- Heat recovery
- Regulatory bodies and the issue of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products
- Helping the ecosystem and bringing local sewage treatment up to national standard
- Meaning of the term independent review
- Similar results from different methods, distinguishing feature is cost
- Proven technology and innovation
- Opportunities for other Dockside Green-type developments
- Cost of green buildings and limits to the premium people will pay
- The value of the Agricultural Land Reserve and inappropriateness of expropriation
- Funding from governments to end releasing sewage into the ocean; balance between environment and economics
- Public confidence
- Chance for incremental opportunities to be addressed in the future
- Small size of Colwood and financial impact of project on its homeowners
- The series of motions considered by the CALWMC and the Board

**MOVED** by Director Derman, **SECONDED** by Director Hill,

Whereas the currently proposed Core Area Liquid Waste sewage treatment project may provide only limited environmental gains and, in particular, appears to be a considerably less than optimal response to climate change mitigation challenges that are rapidly becoming the imperative of our time:

Whereas the City of Colwood and the Township of Esquimalt have brought forward approaches to the sewage project that hold the promise of greater environmental benefits and a better financial outcome, and given that other such opportunities likely exist within the core area:

Whereas the CRD approach to these initiatives, and potentially others, has appeared to be inconsistent with a spirit of cooperation, a major CRD goal, and has, instead, likely fostered division:

Whereas in general, but especially in recent times, the conduct of the core area sewage treatment project has likely served to lessen public confidence in the capability and viability of regional government:

Be it resolved that the Capital Regional District Board request the Core Area Liquid Waste Committee to:

1. Initiate an extensive, independent review of the current project with the intention of insuring that the approach taken to sewage treatment:
  - a. Optimally responds to global and local environmental issues particularly those involved in climate change.

- b. Within environmental imperatives, accomplishes the best possible financial outcome and contributes to a sustainable financial environment for regional, provincial and federal taxpayers.
  - c. Is characterized by an approach that fosters a spirit of cooperation between regional government and member municipalities.
  - d. Serves to restore citizens' confidence in the capability and viability of regional government.
2. Initiate, in parallel, a new Request for Expressions of Interest that would allow any group to bring forward progressive approaches such as those suggested by the Township of Esquimalt.

Be it further resolved that the Capital Regional District Board commit to working with the Core Area Liquid Waste Committee to approach provincial and federal officials both at the staff and political level in order to insure that time for such a review and expression of interest process is available without putting provincial and federal financial contributions in jeopardy.

**DEFEATED**

**Directors Blackwell, Brice, Brownoff, Fortin, Isitt, Leonard, Wergeland and Young and  
Alternate Directors Madoff and Szpak OPPOSED**

The Board recessed at 4:39 p.m. and re-convened at 4:47 p.m. with all members present save Directors Isitt and Jensen.

## **5. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**

### **5.1 ELECTORAL AREA SERVICES COMMITTEE – July 17, 2013**

**MOVED** by Director Howe, **SECONDED** by Director McIntyre,  
That the recommendations listed for items one through six, as below, be approved.

**1. Community Works Fund – Shirley/Jordan River Official Community Plan Update and East Sooke Official Community Plan Update**

That a contribution of \$150,000 be authorized from the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Community Works Fund for the Shirley/Jordan River Official Community Plan and the East Sooke Official Community Plan updates.

**2. Community Works Fund – Southern Gulf Islands (SGI) Local Food System and Agriculture Strategy**

That a contribution of \$66,000 be authorized from the Southern Gulf Islands' (SGI) portion of the Gas Tax Community Works Fund to prepare a Southern Gulf Islands Local Food System and Agriculture Strategy by September 31, 2014.

**3. Community Works Fund – Juan de Fuca Administration Building**

- 1) That a contribution of \$200,000 be authorized from the JDF portion of the Gas Tax Community Works Fund for implementing innovative technologies that support environmental sustainability of the new Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Administration Building; and
- 2) That the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Administration Building budget be amended to include this sub-project.

**4. Transit Service for Southern Gulf Islands**

That staff be directed to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with BC Transit to conduct a pre-feasibility study for local transit options on the Southern Gulf Islands.

**5. Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Community Parks and Recreation Facilities Service Establishment Bylaw**

- 1) That Bylaw No. 3767, cited as "Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Community Parks and Recreation Facilities Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2013", be introduced and read a first and second time.
- 2) That Bylaw No. 3767 be read a third time.
- 3) That staff be directed to conduct an alternative approval process to obtain electoral consent for Bylaw No. 3767, "Juan de Fuca Electoral Area Community Parks and Recreation Facilities Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2013", to establish a new service for the provision of parks, recreational programs, facilities and acquisition of real property in the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, with a maximum annual requisition of \$275,000.

**6. Grants-In-Aid**

That the following grants-in-aid applications be approved for payment:  
Salt Spring Island Electoral Area Grants-in-Aid as approved by Director McIntyre

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| a. Copper Kettle Community Partnership | \$ 1,584 |
| b. I.F.I. Foundation                   | \$ 4,000 |

**CARRIED**

Director Isitt entered the meeting at 4:50 p.m.

**5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMITTEE – July 24, 2013**

**1. Packaging and Printed Paper Stewardship Program – Offer from Multi Material BC (ERM 13-29)**

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,

- 1) That all incentives offered by Multi Material BC be accepted to April 30, 2015;
- 2) That staff be directed to negotiate an agreement with Multi Material BC from May 19, 2014 to April 30, 2015, for approval by the Board; and
- 3) That staff investigate options beyond April 30, 2015 and report findings to the Environmental Services Committee.

**CARRIED**

5.3 JUAN DE FUCA LAND USE COMMITTEE – VOTING BLOCK A – July 16, 2013

1. Development Variance Permit Application – Board Voting Block A

**VAR-07-13 – Lot B, Section 135A, Sooke District, Plan VIP79979 (Fyfe - 1689 Cole Rd)**

**MOVED** by Alternate Director Vowles, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,  
That Development Variance Permit VAR-07-13 for Lot B, Section 135A, Sooke District, Plan VIP79979 to vary Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Bylaw No. 2040, Part 2, Section 2.07(d), by reducing the minimum rear yard setback from 11 m to 8.74 m, as shown, on the attached tentative strata plan (Attachment 2), be approved.

**CARRIED**

2. Subdivision Application with Exemption from 10% Frontage Requirement – Board Voting Block A

**S-11-11 - Lot 4, District Lot 17, Renfrew District, Plan VIP57304 (JE Anderson – 6505/6493 Powder Main Road and 17195 Osprey Place)**

**MOVED** by Alternate Director Vowles, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Kasper,  
That the request for an exemption from Section 944(1)(a) of the Local Government Act for the proposed two-lot subdivision of Lot 4, District Lot 17, Renfrew District, Plan VIP57304 as shown in the Tentative Subdivision Plan by JE Anderson & Associates dated June 17, 2013 (Appendix 2), be approved.

**CARRIED**

3. Proposed Bylaw – Board Voting Block A

**Bylaw No. 3857, “Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 113, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Alternate Director Vowles, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Kasper,  
That proposed Bylaw No. 3857, “Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 113, 2013”, be read a first and second time.

**CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Alternate Director Vowles, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Kasper,  
That in accordance with the provisions of Section 890 and 891 of the Local Government Act, the Director for the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, or Alternate Director, be delegated authority to hold a Public Hearing with respect to proposed Bylaw No. 3857, “Juan de Fuca Land Use Bylaw, 1992, Amendment Bylaw No. 113, 2013”.

**CARRIED**

5.4 JUAN DE FUCA LAND USE COMMITTEE – VOTING BLOCK B – July 16, 2013

1. Proposed Bylaw – Board Voting Block B

**Bylaw No. 3720, “Comprehensive Community Plan for Willis Point Bylaw No. 1, 2002, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,  
That proposed Bylaw No. 3720, “Comprehensive Community Plan for Willis Point  
Bylaw No. 1, 2002, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2013”, be introduced and read a first  
time and second time.

**CARRIED**

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,  
That in accordance with the provisions of Section 890 and 891 of the Local  
Government Act, the Director for the Juan de Fuca Electoral Area, or Alternate  
Director, be delegated authority to hold a Public Hearing with respect to Bylaw No.  
3720, “Comprehensive Community Plan for Willis Point Bylaw No. 1, 2002,  
Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2013”.

**CARRIED**

5.5 REGIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND COMMISSION – July 25, 2013

1. Regional Housing Trust Fund Application – M’akola Housing Society

**MOVED** by Director Derman, **SECONDED** by Director Leonard,  
That a grant of \$375,000 from the Regional Housing Trust Fund (RHTF) be awarded  
to the M’akola Housing Society to create 25 new supportive rental housing units  
subject to meeting the terms of the RHTF Grant Funding Agreement by September  
1, 2013.

**CARRIED**

5.6 REGIONAL PARKS COMMITTEE – July 17, 2013

1. Island View Beach Regional Park – Draft Interim Park Management Plan

**MOVED** by Director Brice, **SECONDED** by Director Wergeland,  
That the Island View Beach Regional Park – Draft Interim Park Management Plan be  
referred to the District of Central Saanich, the Tsawout First Nation and the public for  
review and comment.

**CARRIED**

2. E&N Trail Development – Location of Phase 3

**MOVED** by Director Brice, **SECONDED** by Alternate Director Szpak,  
That Phase 3 of development for the E&N Rail Trail be located from the Galloping  
Goose Regional Trail/Atkins Avenue to Savory Elementary School.

CARRIED

**6. ADMINISTRATION REPORTS**

**6.1 Alternative Approval Process – Salt Spring Island Community Transit Service**

The Board received an amended Appendix A, "Notice to Electors", with revised and additional wording in the second paragraph.

**MOVED** by Director McIntyre, **SECONDED** by Director Howe,

- a) That in accordance with Section 86(3) of the Community Charter, the date of September 30, 2013 be established as the deadline by which elector responses, under the Alternative Approval Process for CRD Bylaw No. 3901, must be submitted to the CRD by qualified electors within the Salt Spring Island community transit service area of the Capital Regional District; and
- b) That the attached Notice of Alternative Approval Process and the Elector Response Form be approved as amended; and
- c) That the approval of the electors of Bylaw No. 3901 be authorized in the entire service area, which is comprised of the Salt Spring Island Electoral Area within the Capital Regional District.

CARRIED

**6.2 Request for Program Expansion to Include Capital Regional District**

**MOVED** by Director Howe, **SECONDED** by Director McIntyre,

- a) That the provincial and federal governments be requested to make the necessary amendments to expand the Community Futures British Columbia Program and the Island Coastal Economic Trust region to include the Capital Regional District; and
- b) That the Electoral Area Directors and staff meet with representatives from Community Futures British Columbia, Island Coast Economic Trust and the provincial and federal government to discuss this matter further.

CARRIED

**6.3 Notice of Motion – Core Area Liquid Waste Sewage Treatment Project**

This item was considered ahead of Item 5.

**7. BYLAWS**

**7.1 Bylaw No. 3876, "Highland Water System Local Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1991, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2013"**

**MOVED** by Director McIntyre, **SECONDED** by Director Howe,  
That Bylaw No. 3876 be adopted.

CARRIED

- 7.2 **Bylaw No. 3877, “Fernwood Water Supply Local Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1989, Amendment Bylaw No. 6, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director McIntyre, **SECONDED** by Director Howe,  
That Bylaw No. 3877 be adopted.

**CARRIED**

- 7.3 **Bylaw No. 3878, “Highland and Fernwood Water Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2010, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director McIntyre, **SECONDED** by Director Howe,  
That Bylaw No. 3878 be adopted.

**CARRIED**

- 7.4 **Bylaw No. 3900, “Solid Waste Disposal Local Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1991, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,  
That Bylaw No. 3900 be adopted.

**CARRIED**

- 7.5 **Bylaw No. 3904, “Development Cost Charges Bylaw (Juan de Fuca Water Distribution), Bylaw No. 1, 2000, Amendment Bylaw No. 7, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director Mendum, **SECONDED** by Director Blackwell,  
That Bylaw No. 3904 be adopted.

**CARRIED**

- 7.6 **Bylaw No. 3906, “Saanich Peninsula Stormwater Source Control Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2013”**

**MOVED** by Director Daly, **SECONDED** by Director Cross,  
That Bylaw No. 3906 be adopted.

**CARRIED**

**8. MOTIONS FOR WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

This item was considered ahead of item 5.

**9. NEW BUSINESS**

**10. MOTION TO CLOSE THE MEETING**

**MOVED** by Director Blackwell, **SECONDED** by Director Hill,  
That the Board close the meeting in accordance with the Community Charter, Part 4,  
Division 3, 90(1) (a) personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is  
being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the regional district or  
another position appointed by the regional district (Items 3.1 & 4.1); (b) litigation or  
potential litigation affecting the regional district (Item 3.2).

**CARRIED**

The Board closed the meeting at 5:01 p.m. and rose and reported at 5:06 p.m.

**11. RISE AND REPORT**

**11.1 Appointments to CRD Committees/Commissions**

**1. Core Area and West Shore Sewage Treatment Technical and Community Advisory Committee**

The terms Terms of Reference membership list for the Core Area and West Shore Sewage Treatment Technical and Community Advisory Committee was amended to include:

- a) Two First Nations representatives: one from the Esquimalt First Nation and one from the Songhees First Nation; and
- b) Two additional Municipal Engineering representatives: one from Town of View Royal and one from District of Oak Bay.

The following persons were appointed to the Core Area and West Shore Sewage Treatment Technical and Community Advisory Committee for a term to expire at the end of the planning stage of the Core Area and West Shore sewage treatment project or as determined by the Core Area Liquid Waste Management Committee (CALWMC):

<b>Member Category</b>	<b>TOR # of Members</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Appointment</b>
CRD CALWMC	1	CRD CALWMC	Denise Blackwell
Municipal Engineering	7	City of Colwood	Michael Baxter
		District of Saanich	Dwayne Halldorson
		Township of Esquimalt	Jeff Miller
		City of Victoria	Dwayne Kalynchuk
		City of Langford	Michelle Mahovlich
		Town of View Royal	John Rosenberg
		District of Oak Bay	Dave Marshall
Post-Secondary Institutes	1	University of Victoria	Tom Tiedje
First Nations	2	Esquimalt	To be named
		Songhees	Ken Cossey
Department of National Defence	1	Canadian Forces Base Esquimalt	Jon Burbee
Chambers of Commerce	1	Greater Victoria Chamber of Commerce	Declined
	1	West Shore Chamber of Commerce	Dan Spinner
	1	Esquimalt Chamber of Commerce	Carol Witter
CRD Roundtable on the Environment	1	CRD Roundtable on the Environment	Declined
Victoria Labour Council	1	Victoria Labour Council	Trevor Davies
Tourism Victoria	1	Tourism Victoria	
Solid Waste Advisory Committee	1	Solid Waste Advisory Committee	Larry Resnick
Environmental Groups	2	T Buck Suzuki Environmental Foundation	Jim McIsaac
		Greater Victoria Water Watch Coalition	Michelle Coburn
Members at Large	5		Greg Gillespie
			Brad Oldham
			Dar Purewall
			Diane White
			Ed Ishiguro
Total	26		

## **2. Mayne Island Parks and Recreation Commission**

The following person was appointed to the Mayne Island Parks and Recreation Commission for a term to expire December 31, 2014: Calvin (Cal) Biembe.

**12. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOVED** by Director Hill, **SECONDED** by Director Brice,  
That the meeting be adjourned at 5:06 p.m.

**CARRIED**

---

**CHAIR**

**CERTIFIED CORRECT:**

---

**CORPORATE OFFICER**